<pre>from classifiers.decision_tree_classifier  train_df = pd.read_csv('./seminar_2/train)  train_df.head()  Index</pre>	V9 V33 V34 V35 V36 V37 V38 V39 V40 V41 Class 2 0 0 0 1 3.076 2.417 0 7.601 0 0 2 2 0 0 0 3.351 2.405 0 8.003 0 0 2 2 0 0 0 0 3.351 2.556 0 7.904 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 1 4.712 4.583 0 9.303 0 0 2
5 rows × 43 columns  test_df = pd.read_csv('./seminar_2/test.columns)  test_df.head()  Index	V9 V33 V34 V35 V36 V37 V38 V39 V40 V41 Class 2 0 0 0 0 2.949 1.591 0 7.253 0 0 2 1 0 0 0 0 3.315 1.967 0 7.257 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 2.988 1.722 0 6.770 0 0 2
<pre>5 rows × 43 columns  Exploration  class_counts = train_df["Class"].value_co</pre>	<pre>centage: ", class_counts[1]/len(train_df)) cantage:", class_counts[2]/len(train_df)) 6666 333</pre>
66.7%	
The target variable is binary, with the value 1 indicating 33.3% of the data.  nans = train_df.isnull().sum(axis = 0)  fig = plt.figure(figsize=(10, 5)) fig.suptitle('NaNs in columns', fontsize= plt.bar(nans.index, nans.values) plt.xticks(rotation=90) plt.show()	ng that the chemical is bio-degradable and 2 indicating that it is not bio-degradable. The dataset is imbalanced, with 1's representing 66.7% of the data and 2's rep
25 - 20 - 15 -	NaNs in columns
There are a few NaN values in the dataset, but not a correlation_in_data = train_df.corr()  correlation_to_class = correlation_in_dat	lot. We assume that dropping these rows will not have a significant impact on the model, but we will also test the model with imputation such as taking the mean vertal ["Class"]
fig = plt.figure(figsize=(10, 5)) fig.suptitle('Correlation to class vairab plt.bar(correlation_to_class.index, corre plt.xticks(rotation=90) plt.show()  Corre  1.0 -  0.8 -  0.6 -  0.4 -	
_	target variable, but quite a lot of features have some correlation.
<pre>sns.heatmap(correlation_in_data, fmt=".2f  <axessubplot:>  Index</axessubplot:></pre>	- 1.00 - 0.75 - 0.50 - 0.25 - 0.00
V28 - V30 - V32 - V34 - V36 - V38 - V40 - Class - V40 - Class - V40 - Class - V40 - V36 - V38 - V40 - V38 - V36 - V38 -	ated to one another, but there are some brighter spots on the heatmap indicating some correlation between features.
<pre>print(correlated_columns) print(len(correlated_columns), "highly co {('V38', 'V11', 0.8368979414216005), ('V27', 'V39', 'V13', 0.8135703600627614), ('V38', 'V15', 'V1', 0.90971096205887), ('V11', '5', 'V13', 0.791588421036705), ('V27', 'V17, 'V17, 'V18, 'V18,</pre>	ta.columns[i] ta.columns[j] ame1, colname2, correlation_in_data.iloc[i, j]))  prrelated features")  7', 'V1', 0.921560062534691), ('V39', 'V36', 0.9165966103510599), ('V30', 'V10', 0.7577897873010442), ('V37', 'V17', 0.8498222432', 'V34', 0.7988417285560266), ('V22', 'V18', -0.8008371258856498), ('V33', 'V7', 0.7858011767376897), ('V16', 'V10', 0.843963443', 'V5', 0.8590175645510726), ('V29', 'V24', 0.8046206066746212), ('V34', 'V5', 0.7827712292006271), ('V34', 'V11', 0.7852915815925715', 0.9230962155600061)}  _df.drop(["Index", "Class"], axis=1) .nunique().index, train_df_without_index_and_class.nunique().values)
Number of unique value 800 - 6	
train_df_without_index_and_class.boxplot(plt.xticks(rotation=90)plt.title("Outliers of all columns")  Text(0.5, 1.0, 'Outliers of all columns')	
120	
60 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
By plotting the distribution of the features, we can see model.	e that most features have some outlies. We will test the model with and without outlier removal, we assume that removing the outliers will have a significant impact off_without_index_and_class.nunique().index.where(train_df_without_index_and_class.nunique().values >= 100) if i is not None]
<ul> <li>Dropping NaN values</li> <li>Replacing NaN values with the mean value</li> <li>Dropping outliers</li> <li>Polynomial features</li> <li>train_data = train_df.drop(["Index"], axi without_nan = train_data.dropna(axis=0) nan_replaced = train_data.fillna(without_</li> </ul>	_nan.mean()) tats.zscore(without_nan)) < 3).all(axis=1)]
<pre>size = poli_data.shape[1] poli_data = np.hstack((poli_data, without poli_data = pd.DataFrame(poli_data, colum  test_data = test_df.drop(["Index"], axis= test_data_without_nan = test_data.dropna( test_data_nan_replaced = test_data.fillna test_data_without_outliers = test_data_without_outliers = test_data_without_size = poli_test.shape[1] poli_test = np.hstack((poli_test, test_data_data_data_data_data_data_data_da</pre>	<pre>t_nan["Class"].values.reshape(-1, 1))) mns=[f"poly_{i}" for i in range(size)] + ["Class"])  =1) (axis=0) a(test_data_without_nan.mean()) ithout_nan  thout_nan.drop(["Class"], axis=1)) ata_without_nan["Class"].values.reshape(-1, 1))) mns=[f"poly_{i}" for i in range(size)] + ["Class"])</pre>
<ul> <li>We have decided to test the following models:</li> <li>Random classifier (as a baseline)</li> <li>Majority classifier (as a baseline)</li> <li>Naive Bayes classifier (because it is fast and sime)</li> <li>Logistic regression (because it is good for binary)</li> <li>Decision tree (because it is good for high dimens)</li> <li>Random Classifier</li> <li>train_features, train_target = split_data best_rnd = RandomClassifier(train_feature best_rnd_data = train_data.copy()</li> </ul>	nple) v classification) sional data) a(train_data, "Class")
<pre>train_features, train_target = split_data maj_classifier = MajorityClassifier(train best_maj_data = train_data.copy()  Naive Bayes Modeling  wnan_features, wnan_target = split_data(w wnan_test_features, wnan_test_target = sp without_nan_nb = NaiveBayesClassifier(wna  mean_features, mean_target = split_data(n mean_test_features, mean_test_target = sp mean_nb = NaiveBayesClassifier(mean_features)</pre>	m_features, train_target)  without_nan, "Class") plit_data(test_data_without_nan, "Class") an_features, wnan_target)  man_replaced, "Class") plit_data(test_data_nan_replaced, "Class")
heavy_smoothing_nan_nb = NaiveBayesClassi  outliers_features, outliers_target = spli outliers_test_features, outliers_test_tar outliers_nb = NaiveBayesClassifier(outlie)  poli_features, poli_target = split_data(p poli_test_features, poli_test_target = sp poli_nb = NaiveBayesClassifier(poli_feature)	rget = split_data(test_data_without_outliers, "Class") ers_features, outliers_target)  poli_data, "Class") polit_data(poli_test, "Class")
<pre>scores = np.empty((len(nb_classifiers), 5 for i, (c, test_data) in enumerate(nb_cla     f, t = split_data(test_data, "Class")     scores[i] = c.evaluate(f, t)  fig, axes = plt.subplots(1, 5, figsize=(1 score_names = ["Accuracy", "Precision", " classifiers = ["Wo NaN", "Mean", "Slight for i in range(5):     axes[i].bar([i for i in range(len(nb_axes[i].set_title(score_names[i]))     axes[i].set_xticks([i for i in range(axes[i].set_xticklabels(classifiers))     axes[i].xaxis.set_tick_params(rotation)  Accuracy</pre>	assifiers):  15, 5))  "Recall", "F1", "AUC"] S", "Heavy S", "Outliers", "Poly"]  _classifiers))], scores[:, i])  (len(nb_classifiers))])
0.8 - 0.7 - 0.6 - 0.5 - 0.4 - 0.3 - 0.2 -	0.8 - 0.8 - 0.7 - 0.7 - 0.6 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.4 - 0.3 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.2 - 0.3 - 0.2 - 0.3 - 0.2 - 0.3 - 0.2 - 0.3 - 0.2 - 0.3 -
Logistic Regression Modeling  wnan_features, wnan_target = split_data(wwithout_nan_lr = LogisticRegressionClassi  mean_features, mean_target = split_data(nwean_lr = LogisticRegressionClassifier(mean_lr = LogisticR	without_nan, "Class") ifier(wnan_features, wnan_target, solver='lbfgs', max_iter=1000)  man_replaced, "Class") ean_features, mean_target, solver='lbfgs', max_iter=1000)
<pre>balanced_lr = LogisticRegressionClassifie  outliers_features, outliers_target = spli outliers_lr = LogisticRegressionClassifie  poli_features, poli_target = split_data(p poli_lr = LogisticRegressionClassifier(poli_lr = LogisticRegressionClassifier</pre>	data_without_nan), (mean_lr, test_data_nan_replaced), (L1_penalty_lr, test_data_nan_replaced), (balanced_lr, test_data_nan_replaced)
<pre>score_names = ["Accuracy", "Precision", " classifiers = ["Wo NaN", "Mean", "L1", "B for i in range(5):     axes[i].bar([i for i in range(len(lr_axes[i].set_title(score_names[i]))     axes[i].set_xticks([i for i in range(axes[i].set_xticklabels(classifiers))     axes[i].xaxis.set_tick_params(rotation)  Accuracy  Accuracy  0.8  0.7  0.8  0.8 </pre>	Precision   Recall
Bala W	0.5 - 0.5 - 0.4 - 0.4 - 0.4 - 0.3 - 0.2 - 0.1 -
<pre>mean_features, mean_target = split_data(n mean_dt = DecisionTreeClassifier(mean_fea  limited_df = DecisionTreeClassifier(mean_  cc_df = DecisionTreeClassifier(mean_featu  outliers_features, outliers_target = split</pre>	wnan_features, wnan_target, random_state=42)  nan_replaced, "Class") atures, mean_target, random_state=42)  _features, mean_target, random_state=42, max_depth=10)  ures, mean_target, random_state=42, criterion='entropy', ccp_alpha=0.01)
<pre>outliers_dt = DecisionTreeClassifier(outl  poli_features, poli_target = split_data(p poli_dt = DecisionTreeClassifier(poli_feature))</pre>	<pre>liers_features, outliers_target, random_state=42)  poli_data, "Class") atures, poli_target, random_state=42)  ata_without_nan), (mean_dt, test_data_nan_replaced), (limited_df, test_data_nan_replaced), (cc_df, test_data_nan_replaced), (outl  15, 5))  "Recall", "F1", "AUC"] "", "CC", "Outliers", "Poly"] _classifier))], scores[:, i]) (len(dt_classifier))])</pre>
Accuracy  0.8 -  0.7 -  0.6 -  0.4 -  0.3 -	Precision Recall F1 AUC  0.8 -
O.2 - O.1 - O.0 Nan - Nean - New Nan	O.2 - O.1 - O.1 - O.2 - O.1 - O.2 - O.1 - O.2 - O.1 - O.2 - O.1 - O.3 -
As we can see from testing of the models above remote  Evaluation  repetitions = 10 folds = 5 evaluations = 5 scores = np.empty(shape=(len(best_models) for i, (classifier, model_data) in enumer scores[i] = classifier.test(model_dat)  score_names = ["F1 score", "Precision", " fig, ax = plt.subplots(2, 3, figsize=(15,	rate(best_models): ta, "Class", folds=folds, repetitions=repetitions)  "Recall", "Area under ROC curve", "Accuracy"]
<pre>fig, ax = plt.subplots(2, 3, figsize=(15, for i in range(len(score_names)):     r = (i + 1) // 3     c = (i + 1) % 3     ax[r, c].set_title(score_names[i])     ax[r, c].plot(scores[0, :, i], label     ax[r, c].plot(scores[1, :, i], label     ax[r, c].plot(scores[2, :, i], label     ax[r, c].plot(scores[3, :, i], label     ax[r, c].plot(scores[4, :, i], label     if i == 0:         ax[r, c].legend(loc=(-1.2, 0.2),      ax[r, c].set_ylim(0.4, 1.05)     ax[0, 0].axis("off")  (0.0, 1.0, 0.0, 1.0)</pre>	I="Random")  ="Majority")  ="Logistic Regression")  ="Decision Tree")   prop={'size': 15})    F1 score   Precision   Precision
<ul> <li>Random</li> <li>Majority</li> <li>Naive Bayes</li> <li>Logistic Regression</li> <li>Decision Tree</li> </ul>	1.0 -
Recall  1.0 -  0.9 -  0.8 -  0.7 -  0.6 -	0.4 0 2 4 6 8 0.4 0 2 4 6 8  Area under ROC curve  1.0 0.9 0.9 0.8 0.8 0.7 0.7 0.6 0.6 0.6
0.5  O.4  O.4  O.5  Selected models outpreformed the baseline models. I positive).  fig, ax = plt.subplots(1, len(score_names)):     ax[i].set_title(score_names[i])	0.5 0.4 0 2 4 6 8 0 0.4 0 2 4 6 8 8  In the recall metric majority classifier was best due to how the metric is calculated (majority classifier cannot produce false negatives as the majority class in training
F1 score  1.0  0.8  0.6  0.4	Precision   Recall   Area under ROC curve   1.0   Accuracy
0.2 - 0.0 RND MAJ NB LR DT 0.0 - 0.0 We chose logistic regression because it preforms bes	RND MAJ NB LR DT 0.0 RND MAJ NB DT 0.0 RND MAJ NB LR DT 0.0 RND MAJ NB LR DT 0.0 RND MAJ NB L