

Symmetric Monoidal ∞ -Categories

(Assuming I don't change topics, this is a rough idea of what I want to talk about).

Points to cover:

- Grothendieck op-fibrations correspond to pseudofunctors to **Cat**.
- (Usual) definition of symmetric monoidal category and translation into the language of op-fibrations into \mathbf{Fin}_* , running example of \mathbf{Vect}_k with \otimes or \times .
- Possibly mention swapping out \mathbf{Fin}_* for Δ^{op} gives a monoidal category rather than a symmetric monoidal category (how do we get a braided monoidal category?)
- Correspondence of symmetric monoidal functors with morphisms of op-fibrations.
- Translation of the above into ∞ -categorical language.
- Note: we will call $(\infty, 1)$ -categories ∞ -categories. Sorry Tom, it's just convenient.
- Some examples (nerve of an ordinary symmetric monoidal category, currently trying to find more examples – many people talk about **Sp**, but it seems like I'd need a lot of background to understand this).
- Possibly generalisation to ∞ -operads, depending on how much the above comes to or if I find anything fun to do with symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories.
- Main references: [4], [5], [2], [1], [3].

1 Pseudofunctors, opfibrations, and symmetric monoidal categories

1.1 Pseudofunctors to **Cat** are Grothendieck opfibrations

The below gives an example to complement [2].

Example of a pseudofunctor to **Cat**: Let \mathcal{C} be a category with pullbacks. Recall that for a map $f : C \rightarrow D$ in \mathcal{C} , we define a pullback functor

$$\begin{aligned} f^* : \mathcal{C}_{/D} &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{/C}, \\ (h : X \rightarrow D) &\mapsto (f^*h : P \rightarrow C), \end{aligned}$$

where we have formed a pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{h^*f} & X \\ f^*h \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ C & \xrightarrow{f} & D \end{array}$$

in \mathcal{C} . For any map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X' \\ & \searrow h & \swarrow h' \\ & D & \end{array}$$

from h to h' in $\mathcal{C}_{/D}$, we define $f^*\phi$ to be the unique map making the diagram below commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & X & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X' \\ & & \searrow h & & \swarrow h' \\ & & D & & \\ & \uparrow f & & & \uparrow h'^*f \\ P & \xrightarrow{f^*\phi} & P' & & \\ & \searrow f^*h & & & \swarrow f^*h' \\ & & C & & \end{array}$$

Now, we may wish to define a functor

$$\begin{aligned} F : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} &\rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}, \\ C &\mapsto \mathcal{C}_{/C}, \end{aligned}$$

which sends a map $f : C \rightarrow D$ in \mathcal{C} to a pullback functor $f^* : \mathcal{C}_{/D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{/C}$. However a problem arises when we check that F respects composition: suppose $f : C \rightarrow D$, $g : D \rightarrow E$ are maps in \mathcal{C} . Then

$$F(g \circ f)(h : X \rightarrow E) = (g \circ f)^*h : P \rightarrow C,$$

corresponding to the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{h^*(g \circ f)} & X \\ (g \circ f)^*h \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ C & \xrightarrow{g \circ f} & E \end{array}$$

in \mathcal{C} . On the other hand,

$$(F(g) \circ F(f))(h : X \rightarrow E) = f^*(g^*h) : P'' \rightarrow C,$$

which corresponds to the diagram below.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} P'' & \xrightarrow{(g^*h)^*f} & P' & \xrightarrow{h^*g} & X \\ f^*(g^*h) \downarrow & & \downarrow g^*h & & \downarrow h \\ C & \xrightarrow{f} & D & \xrightarrow{g} & E \end{array}$$

The outer square is indeed a pullback square, since the inner two squares are, so we have a unique isomorphism $P \cong P''$. However, we do not in general have equality. This is because pullbacks are only unique up to unique isomorphism, and in defining a pullback functor we made arbitrary (and not necessarily compatible) choices of P , P' and P'' . Thus, we have not defined a functor $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$, rather, we have defined what is known as a *pseudofunctor*.

(definition of a pseudofunctor)

The above example is one way in which pseudofunctors into **Cat** naturally arise; another common example is the pseudofunctor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{CRing} &\rightarrow \mathbf{Cat} \\ R &\mapsto R\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}, \end{aligned}$$

which sends a ring homomorphism $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ to the functor $- \otimes_R S : R\text{-}\mathbf{Mod} \rightarrow S\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}$ (extension of scalars). However, to give the data of a pseudofunctor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$, we must specify not only the functions $\text{ob}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{ob}(\mathbf{Cat})$ and $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Cat}}(F(X), F(Y))$ for each $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, but also natural isomorphisms

$$F(\text{id}_X) \cong \text{id}_{F(X)}, \quad F(g \circ f) \cong F(g) \circ F(f).$$

That's a pain, let's use Grothendieck opfibrations instead.

The definitions below are from [1].

DEFINITION 1.1.1. Let $p : X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a functor, and let $f : c \rightarrow d$ be a morphism in \mathcal{C} . A morphism Φ in X lying over f is *p-cocartesian* if for any other morphism Ψ of X lying over f , there exists a morphism I of X such that $p(I) = \text{id}_d$ and $\Psi = I \circ \Phi$.

DEFINITION 1.1.2. Let $p : X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a functor. Then p is a *Grothendieck opfibration* if for any morphism of \mathcal{C} and any lift of its source, there is a *p-cocartesian* morphism with that course lying over it.

1.2 Symmetric monoidal categories are special pseudofunctors to **Cat**

1.3 ...which are special opfibrations

The construction below is in [4].

Let (\mathcal{C}, \otimes) be a symmetric monoidal category. We define a new category \mathcal{C}^{\otimes} , whose objects are finite (possibly empty) sequences of objects of \mathcal{C} , denoted by $[C_1, \dots, C_n]$. A morphism

$$[C_1, \dots, C_n] \rightarrow [C'_1, \dots, C'_m]$$

consists of a subset $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$, a map of finite sets $\alpha : S \rightarrow \{1, \dots, m\}$, and a collection of morphisms $\{f_j : \bigotimes_{i \in \alpha^{-1}\{j\}} C_i \rightarrow C'_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq m}$ in \mathcal{C} .

For two morphisms $f : [C_1, \dots, C_n] \rightarrow [C'_1, \dots, C'_m]$ and $g : [C'_1, \dots, C'_m] \rightarrow [C''_1, \dots, C''_l]$, determining two subsets $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $T \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ and maps $\alpha : S \rightarrow \{1, \dots, m\}$, $\beta : T \rightarrow \{1, \dots, l\}$, the composition $g \circ f$ is given by the subset $U = \alpha^{-1}T \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$, the map $\beta \circ \alpha : U \rightarrow \{1, \dots, l\}$ and the maps

$$\left\{ \bigotimes_{i \in (\beta \circ \alpha)^{-1}\{k\}} C_i \cong \bigotimes_{j \in \beta^{-1}\{k\}} \bigotimes_{i \in \alpha^{-1}\{j\}} C_i \rightarrow \bigotimes_{j \in \beta^{-1}\{k\}} C'_j \rightarrow C''_k \right\}_{1 \leq k \leq l}.$$

For example, let

$$f : [C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4] \rightarrow [C'_1, C'_2, C'_3]$$

be a morphism in \mathcal{C}^\otimes consisting of the subset $\{1, 2, 3\} \subseteq \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, the map

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha : \{1, 2, 3\} &\rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}, \\ 1 &\mapsto 1, \\ 2 &\mapsto 2, \\ 3 &\mapsto 3,\end{aligned}$$

and morphisms

$$f_1 : C_1 \rightarrow C'_1, \quad f_2 : C_2 \otimes C_3 \rightarrow C'_2, \quad f_3 : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow C'_3,$$

and let

$$g : [C'_1, C'_2, C'_3] \rightarrow [C''_1, C''_2, C''_3]$$

be a morphism in \mathcal{C}^\otimes consisting of the subset $\{1, 2, 3\} \subseteq \{1, 2, 3\}$, the map

$$\begin{aligned}\beta : \{1, 2, 3\} &\rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}, \\ 1, 2, 3 &\mapsto 3,\end{aligned}$$

and morphisms

$$g_1 : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow C''_1, \quad g_2 : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow C''_2, \quad g_3 : C'_1 \otimes C'_2 \otimes C'_3 \rightarrow C''_3.$$

Then the composition $g \circ f$ consists of the subset $\alpha^{-1}\{1, 2, 3\} = \{1, 2, 3\} \subseteq \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, the map

$$\begin{aligned}\beta \circ \alpha : \{1, 2, 3\} &\rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}, \\ 1, 2, 3 &\mapsto 3,\end{aligned}$$

and the morphisms

$$(g \circ f)_1 = g_1, \quad (g \circ f)_2 = g_2, \quad (g \circ f)_3 = g_3 \circ (f_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes f_3).$$

(really?)

(some intuition on this, tensor along the fibres, etc)

Claim: the forgetful functor

$$\begin{aligned}p : \mathcal{C}^\otimes &\rightarrow \mathbf{Fin}_*, \\ [C_1, \dots, C_n] &\mapsto \langle n \rangle_*\end{aligned}$$

is an opfibration. (It almost tautologically is).

1.4 Generalisation to ∞ -categories

DEFINITION 1.4.1 ([1], Def 2.1). A functor $p : X \rightarrow Y$ between ∞ -categories is an *inner fibration* if for all $n \geq 2$, all $0 < k < n$, and any solid arrow commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\Lambda_k^n & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow p \\ \Delta^n & \longrightarrow & Y\end{array}$$

there exists a dotted lift.

(Stupid note to self, very obvious but I forget it every now and again):

- If $X : \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is a simplicial set, and $\Delta^0 : \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set} := \text{Hom}(-, [0])$, then a map $F : X \rightarrow \Delta^0$ is a natural transformation $(F_n : X_n \rightarrow *)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$. That is, such a natural transformation is a family of maps down to a point. In other words, there's only really one natural transformation, so we really *can* view Δ^0 as a point.

EXAMPLE 1.4.2 ([1], Ex 2.2). Let $p : X \rightarrow \Delta^0$ be the canonical map, and suppose we have the diagram below, such that the outer square commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_k^n & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \phi & \downarrow p \\ \Delta^n & \longrightarrow & \Delta^0 \end{array}$$

The lower triangle commutes automatically, so the statement that p is an inner fibration is equivalent to the statement that for all $n \geq 2$, all $0 < k < n$, and any map $\Lambda_k^n \rightarrow X$, there exists a dotted lift.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_k^n & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ \Delta^n & & \end{array}$$

That is, X is an ∞ -category.

Now, since any element $y \in Y_0$ can be viewed as a copy of Δ^0 , the above discussion tells us that the fibre $p^{-1}\{y\}$ is an ∞ -category.

DEFINITION 1.4.3 ([1], Def 3.1). Let $p : X \rightarrow Y$ be an inner fibration. An edge $f : \Delta^1 \rightarrow X$ of X is *p-cocartesian* if for all $n \geq 2$, any extension

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^{\{0,1\}} & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ \downarrow & \nearrow F & \\ \Lambda_0^n & & \end{array}$$

and any solid arrow commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_0^n & \xrightarrow{F} & X \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow p \\ \Delta^n & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

a dotted lift exists.

DEFINITION 1.4.4. Let $p : X \rightarrow Y$ be an inner fibration. Then p is a cocartesian fibration if for any edge $\phi : y \rightarrow y'$ in Y_1 , and for every $x \in X_0$ lying over y , there exists a p -cocartesian edge $f : x \rightarrow x'$ of X lying over ϕ .

This is a test to check pushing to GitHub is working as expected.

References

- [1] Clark Barwick and Jay Shah. ‘Fibrations in ∞ -Category Theory’. In: *2016 MATRIX Annals*. Springer Cham, 2018, pp. 17–42.
- [2] Julius Frank. *Symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories*. 2021. URL: <https://homepages.abdn.ac.uk/markus.upmeyer/pages/JuliusMay13.pdf>.

- [3] Moritz Groth. *A Short Course on ∞ -Categories*. 2015. arXiv: [1007.2925](#) [math.AT].
- [4] Jacob Lurie. *Higher Algebra*. 2017. URL: <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf>.
- [5] Jacob Lurie. *Higher Topos Theory*. 2008. arXiv: [math/0608040](#) [math.CT].