

Stable Homotopy Groups of Spheres [DRAFT]

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	The Steenrod algebra	2
3	Spectra may not be your friends, but I can introduce you	3
3.1	Categorical nonsense	3
3.2	Definitions and examples	4
3.3	Homology and cohomology	5
4	The Adams spectral sequence	5
4.1	Spectral sequences	5
4.2	Exact couples	6
4.3	The Adams spectral sequence	7
5	Calculating stable homotopy groups	8
6	Methods of resolving ambiguities	8
A	Algebra	8
A.1	Free resolutions	8
B	Topology	9
B.1	Suspension	9
B.2	Other basic constructions	9
B.3	Cell complexes	9
C	Vague problems and Questions	10

1 Introduction

- Define homotopy groups
- Freudenthal's suspension theorem: if $\pi_i(X) = 0$ for $i \leq k$ (i.e. X is k -connected) then the map

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_n(X) &\rightarrow \pi_{n+1}(\Sigma X) \\ [\gamma : S^n \rightarrow X] &\mapsto [\Sigma \gamma : \Sigma S^n = S^{n+1} \rightarrow \Sigma X] \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism for $n \leq 2k$ and surjective for $n = 2k + 1$

- This implies $\pi_{n+k}(S^n)$ depends only on k for $n \geq k + 2$
- (Obviously be careful with basepoints above)
- Suppose X is k -connected. Then, for $k \geq 0$, $0 = \pi_k(X) \cong \pi_{k+1}(\Sigma X)$, so whenever a space is k -connected its suspension is $k + 1$ -connected.
- As you take suspensions, then, your successive bounds are $n \leq 2k$, $n + 1 \leq 2k + 2 \implies n \leq 2k + 1$, $n \leq 2k + 2$, etc ... so the sequence $\pi_n(X) \rightarrow \pi_{n+1}(\Sigma X) \rightarrow \dots$ will eventually stabilise.
- Thus, if you take the colimit of that direct system, it'll just equal the stable value, with the higher legs just being the inverse isomorphisms.
- [10], Cor 1.9 [not 100% convinced of how this follows, but believing it for now]: if X is a CW complex of dimension d and Y a $(k - 1)$ -connected space, then the suspension homomorphism $[X, Y] \rightarrow [\Sigma X, \Sigma Y]$ is bijective if $d < 2k - 1$ and surjective if $d = 2k - 1$.

Miscellaneous facts I might need later:

- Cohomology [possibly only of pointed¹ CW complexes] is representable, and its representing object is the Eilenberg-MacLane space. i.e. $H^n(-; G) \cong \text{Hom}(-, K(G, n))$.
- \mathcal{A}_2 is generated as an algebra by elements Sq^{2^k} ([5], Prop 4L.8).
- The map $\mathcal{A}_2 \rightarrow \tilde{H}^*(K(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, n); \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, $Sq^I \mapsto Sq^I(\iota_n)$ is an isomorphism from the degree d part of \mathcal{A}_2 onto $H^{n+d}(K(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, n); \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ for $d \geq n$. In particular, the admissible monomials Sq^I form an additive basis for \mathcal{A}_2 . Thus, \mathcal{A}_2 is exactly the algebra of all $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ cohomology operations that are stable, commuting with suspension ([6], Cor 5.38).
- “Stable homotopy groups are a homology theory” (whatever that means)
- Hurewicz theorem: for any path-connected space X and $n > 0$ there exists a group homomorphism $h_* : \pi_n(X) \rightarrow H_n(X)$. For $n = 1$ this induces an isomorphism $\pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X) \cong H_1(X)$. For $n \geq 2$, if X is $(n - 1)$ -connected then $\tilde{H}_i(X) = 0$ for all $i < n$, and the map $h_* : \pi_n(X) \rightarrow H_n(X)$ is an isomorphism.

[10], [4], [5]

2 The Steenrod algebra

The following is from [5] 4L.

¹What's the relevance of the ‘pointedness’ when you're only taking cohomology?? See C.

- There are maps $Sq^i : H^n(-; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{n+i}(-; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ for each i , and they satisfy the following properties:
 1. $Sq_X^i(f^*(\alpha)) = f^*(Sq_Y^i(\alpha))$ for $f : X \rightarrow Y$ (i.e. Sq^i is a natural transformation).
 2. $Sq_X^i(\alpha + \beta) = Sq_X^i(\alpha) + Sq_X^i(\beta)$ (i.e. Sq_X^i respects the group operation for all X).
 3. $Sq^i(\alpha \smile \beta) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq i} (Sq^j(\alpha) \smile Sq^{i-j}(\beta))$ (the Cartan formula)
 4. $Sq^i(\sigma(\alpha)) = \sigma(Sq^i(\alpha))$ where $\sigma : H^n(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(\Sigma X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is the “suspension isomorphism given by reduced cross product with a generator of $H^1(S^1; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ ”
 5. $Sq^i(\alpha) = \alpha^2$ if $i = \deg(\alpha)$ and $Sq^i(\alpha) = 0$ if $i > \deg(\alpha)$.
 6. $Sq^0 = \text{id}$.
 7. Sq^1 is the “ $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ Bockstein homomorphism β associated with the coefficient sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$ ”.
- Define $Sq := Sq^0 + Sq^1 + \dots$. Then $Sq(\alpha \smile \beta) = Sq(\alpha) \smile Sq(\beta)$ (since $(Sq(\alpha \smile \beta))_n = \sum_i Sq^i(\alpha) \smile Sq^{n-i}(\beta) = (Sq(\alpha) \smile Sq(\beta))_n$). Thus, Sq is a ring homomorphism.
- Adem relations:

$$Sq^a Sq^b = \sum_j \binom{b-j-1}{a-2j} Sq^{a+b-j} Sq^j \quad \text{if } a < 2b,$$

where $\binom{m}{n}$ is zero if m or n is negative, or $m < n$, and $\binom{m}{0} = 1$ for $m \geq 0$.

- The Steenrod algebra \mathcal{A}_2 is the algebra over $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ that is the quotient of the algebra of polynomials in the noncommuting variables Sq^1, Sq^2, \dots by the two-sided ideal generated by the Adem relations. Thus, for every space X , $H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is a module over \mathcal{A}_2 .
- \mathcal{A}_2 is graded, and its elements of degree k are those that map $H^n(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ to $H^{n+k}(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ for all n . [Presumably you’ve fixed a space X while you’re doing all this?]

[1], [9], [10], [7], [5], [2]

3 Spectra may not be your friends, but I can introduce you

3.1 Categorical nonsense

- [10]: There is a category \mathcal{H} of finite [because the corollary wanted f.d. CW complexes] based CW complexes, with $\text{Hom}(X, Y) =: [X, Y]$ the set of homotopy classes of base-point preserving maps $X \rightarrow Y$.
- There is a category $\mathbf{St}(\mathcal{H})$ of finite[?] based CW complexes, with $\text{Hom}(X, Y) =: \{X, Y\}$ the set $\text{colim}_i [\Sigma^i X, \Sigma^i Y]$ [it’s just a colimit of sets, and \mathbf{Set} is cocomplete, so we should be fine. [10] says it’s a group?] [Also, how do these guys compose?]
- There is a functor $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{St}(\mathcal{H})$. [10] doesn’t say what this is but it’s presumably the one that is the identity on objects and sends $[f : X \rightarrow Y] \in [\Sigma^0 X, \Sigma^0 Y]$ to whatever it gets sent to in $\{X, Y\}$ using the universal property of the colimit. Uniqueness makes it functorial, etc.

- We have a fully faithful functor $\mathbf{St}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathbf{St}(\mathcal{H})$ given by the suspension on objects, and the unique isomorphism $\{X, Y\} \rightarrow \{\Sigma X, \Sigma Y\}$ on maps (such an isomorphism exists, since both of those things are colimits for $[\Sigma^i X, \Sigma^i Y]$ - one of the sequences is cut off at the beginning, but it doesn't matter because both reach the stable value (see above discussion and [10] 1.9), aka the colimit).
- It's not an equivalence, because not every object is isomorphic to a suspension (e.g. anything not connected, since suspensions always connected [?])
- We can formally adjoin desuspensions $\Sigma^{-n}X$ for all n [does this mean just putting the objects there and defining $\text{Hom}(Y, \Sigma^{-n}X) := \text{Hom}(\Sigma^n Y, X)$ and $\text{Hom}(\Sigma^{-n}X, Y) := \text{Hom}(X, \Sigma^n Y)$?], but this category does not have weak colimits (i.e. colimits w/o uniqueness property). [why does it not, and why do we even want that?]
- We instead consider formal sequences of desuspensions $X_0 \rightarrow \Sigma^{-1}X_1 \rightarrow \dots$, or sequences (X_n) and maps $\Sigma X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}$, i.e. spectra. [and this fixes the problem?]

3.2 Definitions and examples

Below follows [6], Section 5.2.

DEFINITION 3.2.1. A *spectrum* is a collection of pointed topological spaces $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, together with basepoint-preserving maps $\sigma_n : \Sigma X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}$.

EXAMPLE 3.2.2. Let X be a topological space. The *suspension spectrum* of X , denoted by $\Sigma^\infty X$, has $X_n = \Sigma^n X$ and $\sigma_n = \text{id} : \Sigma X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}$.

We write $\mathbb{S} := \Sigma^\infty S^0$, and call \mathbb{S} the *sphere spectrum*.

EXAMPLE 3.2.3. The *Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum* has X_n a CW complex $K(G, n)$ and $\sigma_n : \Sigma K(G, n) \rightarrow K(G, n+1)$ is the adjoint of the CW approximation $K(G, n) \rightarrow \Omega K(G, n+1)$.

[N.B. the important point of the above is not that it's 'an' EM space rather than 'the' (they're all homotopy equivalent). It's that a) we can definitely construct one that's a CW complex, and b) even though $\Omega(\text{a CW complex})$ is not necessarily a CW complex, we can make it one via CW approximation.]

DEFINITION 3.2.4. Let $X = \{X_n\}$ be a spectrum. We define $\pi_i(X) = \text{colim}_n \pi_{i+n}(X_n)$, where the map $\pi_{i+n}(X_n) \rightarrow \pi_{i+n+1}(X_{n+1})$ is given by the composition

$$\pi_{i+n}(X_n) \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \pi_{i+n+1}(\Sigma X_n) \xrightarrow{(\sigma_n)_*} \pi_{i+n+1}(X_{n+1}).$$

EXAMPLE 3.2.5. If X is a topological space, then $\pi_i(\Sigma^\infty X) = \pi_i^S(X)$, the i th stable homotopy group of X .

DEFINITION 3.2.6. A CW spectrum is a spectrum X consisting of CW complexes X_n with the maps $\Sigma X_n \hookrightarrow X_{n+1}$ inclusions of subcomplexes.

[Define cells and dimension of a CW spectrum]

DEFINITION 3.2.7. A spectrum X is *connective* if its cells have dimensions which are bounded below.

[The above is phrased exactly as in hatcher - presumably he means that there is some absolute bound below which no cell has dimension, rather than a bound dependent on each cell?]

DEFINITION 3.2.8. A CW spectrum is *finite* if it has only finitely many cells, and *of finite type* if it has only finitely many cells in each dimension.

3.3 Homology and cohomology

[From Hatcher: “the inclusions $\Sigma X_n \hookrightarrow X_{n+1}$ induce inclusions $C_*(X_n; G) \hookrightarrow C_*(X_{n+1}; G)$ with a dimension shift to account for the suspension”. Below is my vague explanation of what I understand this to mean.

$C_i(X_n; G)$ is the free abelian group on maps $\Delta^i \rightarrow X_n$. I claim $\Sigma \Delta^i \cong \Delta^{i+1}$. If this is true, it gives a map

$$\begin{aligned} C_i(X_n; G) &\rightarrow C_{i+1}(\Sigma X_n; G) \\ f &\mapsto \Sigma f. \end{aligned}$$

I claim this is an injection. If this is true, we also have an injection $C_{i+1}(\Sigma X_n; G) \rightarrow C_{i+1}(X_{n+1}; G)$ induced by the structure map σ_n , so we get an injection $C_i(X_n; G) \hookrightarrow C_{i+1}(X_{n+1}; G)$, which indeed has a dimension shift.

Some issues:

- Everything I’ve done above is unreduced and unpointed
- The way it’s phrased, it seems to be that this is a morphism of chain complexes - i.e. these maps commute with the ∂ s. Why would they?

]

[1], [9], [10], [7], [2], [6]

4 The Adams spectral sequence

4.1 Spectral sequences

Some notes from [10] (or maybe not)

How about some notes from [8], C2:

DEFINITION 4.1.1. A *differential bigraded module* E over a ring R is a collection of R -modules $\{E^{p,q}\}$, $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$, together with a map $d : E^{p,q} \rightarrow E^{p+s, q-s+1}$ for each p, q and some fixed $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, satisfying $d^2 = 0$.

We can take the homology of (E, d) :

$$H^{p,q}(E^{*,*}, d) = \ker(d : E^{p,q} \rightarrow E^{p+s, q-s+1}) / \operatorname{im}(d : E^{p-s, q+s-1} \rightarrow E^{p,q}).$$

DEFINITION 4.1.2. A *spectral sequence* (of *cohomological type*) is a collection of differential bigraded R -modules $\{E_r^{*,*}, d_r\}$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, with the differentials d_r of bidegree $(r, 1-r)$. These satisfy the further condition that for all p, q, r , $E_{r+1}^{p,q} \cong H^{p,q}(E_r^{*,*}, d_r)$.

We will sometimes write $d_r^{p,q}$ for the differential $d_r : E^{p,q} \rightarrow E^{p+r, q-s+1}$.

Consider the term $E_2^{*,*}$. Define

$$Z_2^{p,q} := \ker d_2^{p,q} \quad \text{and} \quad B_2^{p,q} := \operatorname{im} d_2^{p-2, q+1}.$$

The condition $d^2 = 0$ implies that $B_2^{p,q} \subseteq Z_2^{p,q} \subseteq E_2^{p,q}$, and by definition we have $E_3^{p,q} \cong Z_2^{p,q} / B_2^{p,q}$.

Now, write

$$Z_3^{p,q} := \ker d_3^{p,q} \quad \text{and} \quad B_3^{p,q} := \operatorname{im} d_3^{p-3, q+2}.$$

Since $Z_3^{p,q} \subseteq E_3^{p,q}$, it can be written as $\overline{Z}_3^{p,q}/B_2^{p,q}$ for some $\overline{Z}_3^{p,q} \subseteq Z_2^{p,q}$. Similarly, $B_3^{p,q} \cong \overline{B}_3^{p,q}/B_2^{p,q}$ for some $\overline{B}_3^{p,q} \subseteq Z_2^{p,q}$. Thus,

$$E_4^{p,q} \cong Z_3^{p,q}/B_3^{p,q} \cong \frac{\overline{Z}_2^{p,q}/B_2^{p,q}}{\overline{B}_3^{p,q}/B_2^{p,q}} \cong \overline{Z}_3^{p,q}/\overline{B}_3^{p,q}.$$

Iterating this process, we present the spectral sequence as an infinite tower of submodules of $E_2^{p,q}$:

$$B_2^{p,q} \subseteq \overline{B}_3^{p,q} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \overline{B}_n^{p,q} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \overline{Z}_n^{p,q} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \overline{Z}_3^{p,q} \subseteq Z_2^{p,q} \subseteq E_2^{p,q},$$

with the property that $E_{n+1}^{p,q} \cong \overline{Z}_n^{p,q}/\overline{B}_n^{p,q}$. The differential $d_{n+1}^{p,q}$ can be taken as a map $\overline{Z}_n^{p,q}/\overline{B}_n^{p,q} \rightarrow \overline{Z}_n^{p,q}/\overline{B}_n^{p,q}$ with kernel $\overline{Z}_{n+1}^{p,q}/\overline{B}_n^{p,q}$ and image $\overline{B}_{n+1}^{p,q}$. The short exact sequence induced by d_{n+1} ,

$$0 \rightarrow \overline{Z}_{n+1}^{p,q}/\overline{B}_n^{p,q} \rightarrow \overline{Z}_n^{p,q}/\overline{B}_n^{p,q} \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}^{p,q}} \overline{B}_{n+1}^{p,q}/\overline{B}_n^{p,q} \rightarrow 0,$$

gives rise to isomorphisms $\overline{Z}_n^{p,q}/\overline{Z}_{n+1}^{p,q} \cong \overline{B}_{n+1}^{p,q}/\overline{B}_n^{p,q}$ for all n . Conversely, a tower of submodules of E_2 , together with a set of isomorphisms, gives rise to a spectral sequence.

DEFINITION 4.1.3. An element of $E_2^{p,q}$ *survives to the r th stage* if lies in $\overline{Z}_r^{p,q}$, having been in the kernel of the previous $r-2$ differentials, and is *bounded by the r th stage* if it lies in $\overline{B}_r^{p,q}$. The bigraded module $E_r^{*,*}$ is called the E_r -term of the spectral sequence.

We define

$$Z_\infty^{p,q} := \bigcap_n \overline{Z}_n^{p,q}, \quad B_\infty^{p,q} := \bigcup_n \overline{B}_n^{p,q}.$$

From the tower of inclusions, we see that $B_\infty^{p,q} \subseteq Z_\infty^{p,q}$, so we define $E_\infty^{p,q} := Z_\infty^{p,q}/B_\infty^{p,q}$.

DEFINITION 4.1.4. A spectral sequence *collapses at the N th term* if the differentials $d_r^{p,q} = 0$ for $r \geq N$.

From the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \overline{Z}_r^{p,q}/\overline{B}_{r-1}^{p,q} \rightarrow \overline{Z}_{r-1}^{p,q}/\overline{B}_{r-1}^{p,q} \xrightarrow{d_r^{p,q}} \overline{B}_r^{p,q}/\overline{B}_{r-1}^{p,q} \rightarrow 0,$$

the condition $d_r^{p,q}$ forces $\overline{Z}_r^{p,q} = \overline{Z}_{r-1}^{p,q}$ and $\overline{B}_r^{p,q} = \overline{B}_{r-1}^{p,q}$. The tower of submodules becomes

$$B_2^{p,q} \subseteq \overline{B}_3^{p,q} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \overline{B}_{N-1}^{p,q} = B_N^{p,q} = \cdots = B_\infty^{p,q} \subseteq Z_\infty^{p,q} = \cdots = \overline{Z}_N^{p,q} = \overline{Z}_{N-1}^{p,q} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \overline{Z}_3^{p,q} \subseteq Z_2^{p,q} \subseteq E_2^{p,q}.$$

Thus, $E_\infty^{p,q} = E_N^{p,q}$.

4.2 Exact couples

(Following [8], C2)

DEFINITION 4.2.1. Let D, E be R -modules, and let $i : D \rightarrow D$, $j : D \rightarrow E$, $k : E \rightarrow D$ be module homomorphisms. We call $\mathcal{C} = \{D, E, i, j, k\}$ an *exact couple* if the diagram below is exact.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D & \xrightarrow{i} & D \\ & \nwarrow k & \nearrow j \\ & E & \end{array}$$

Let $d := jk$, and define the following:

$$\begin{aligned} E' &:= H(E, d) = \ker d / \operatorname{im} d \\ D' &:= i(D) = \ker j \\ i' &:= i|_{i(D)} : D' \rightarrow D' \\ j' &:= i(x) \mapsto j(x) + dE : D' \rightarrow E' \\ k' &:= (e + dE) \mapsto k(e) : E' \rightarrow D' \end{aligned}$$

We call $\mathcal{C}' = \{D', E', i', j', k'\}$ the *derived couple* of \mathcal{C} .

PROPOSITION 4.2.2 ([8], Prop 2.7). If $\mathcal{C} = \{D, E, i, j, k\}$ is an exact couple, then \mathcal{C}' is also an exact couple.

THEOREM 4.2.3 ([8], Thm 2.8). Suppose $D^{*,*} = \{D^{p,q}\}$ and $E^{*,*} = \{E^{p,q}\}$ are bigraded modules equipped with homomorphisms i of bidegree $(-1, 1)$, j of bidegree $(0, 0)$, and k of bidegree $(1, 0)$, such that $\{D^{*,*}, E^{*,*}, i, j, k\}$ is an exact couple. Then these data determine a spectral sequence $\{E_r, d_r\}$ for $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ of cohomological type, with $E_r = (E^{*,*})^{(r-1)}$, the $(r-1)$ st derived module of $E^{*,*}$ and $d_r = j^{(r)} \circ k^{(r)}$.

A bigraded exact couple may be displayed in the following diagram, known as a *staircase diagram*:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \\ & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow i & & \\ \dots & \xrightarrow{k} & D^{p+2,q-1} & \xrightarrow{j} & E^{p+2,q-1} & \xrightarrow{k} & D^{p+3,q-1} \xrightarrow{j} \dots \\ & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow i & & \\ \dots & \xrightarrow{k} & D^{p+1,q} & \xrightarrow{j} & E^{p+1,q} & \xrightarrow{k} & D^{p+2,q} \xrightarrow{j} \dots \\ & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow i & & \\ \dots & \xrightarrow{k} & D^{p,q+1} & \xrightarrow{j} & E^{p,q+1} & \xrightarrow{k} & D^{p+1,q+1} \xrightarrow{j} \dots \\ & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow i & & \\ & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \end{array}$$

4.3 The Adams spectral sequence

Things I need before I can set it up (according to Hatcher [6]):

Let X be a CW spectrum of finite type.

- Def: $H^*(X)$.
- Def: A wedge of spectra.
- Fact: $H^*(X)$ is finitely generated.
- Fact: $H^*(X)$ is an \mathcal{A} -module. [We know that's true for a topological space]
- Fact: We can pick generators α_i for $H^*(X)$ as an \mathcal{A} -module such that there are at most finitely many in each $H^n(X)$.
- Fact: There α_i determine a map $X \rightarrow K_0$, where K_0 is a wedge of EM spectra, and K_0 has finite type.

- Fact: We can replace that map with an inclusion.
- Def: A quotient of (connective) spectra.
- Fact: A quotient of connective spectra of finite type is again a connective spectrum of finite type.
- Def: A resolution of $H^*(X)$.
- Prop: [6], 5.46.
- Def: The functor $\pi_t^Y(Z) = [\Sigma^t Y, Z]$ for a finite spectrum Y .
- Def: A cofibration.
- Fact: If Y is a finite spectrum and Z is a connective spectrum of finite type, then $\pi_t^Y(Z)$ is finitely generated.
- Conjecture: I can do this. I have all the necessary skills to pull this off.
- Fact: I'm going to stop listing things I need to do and start actually doing them.

[8], [1], [9], [2], [6], [10], [3]

5 Calculating stable homotopy groups

$\text{Ext}_A^s(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)_t$

[1], [9], [10]

6 Methods of resolving ambiguities

[1], [9]

READ IF YOUR CALCULATIONS AREN'T WORKING:

You are working modulo 2!!!

A Algebra

A.1 Free resolutions

DEFINITION 1.1.1. Let M, N be modules over a ring R . A *free resolution* F of M is an exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0,$$

with each F_i a free R -module.

Applying $\text{Hom}_R(-, N)$ gives us a chain complex

$$\cdots \leftarrow \text{Hom}_R(F_2, N) \leftarrow \text{Hom}_R(F_1, N) \leftarrow \text{Hom}_R(F_0, N) \leftarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, N) \leftarrow 0.$$

Dropping the term $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ [why?] we get the sequence

$$\cdots \leftarrow \text{Hom}_R(F_2, N) \leftarrow \text{Hom}_R(F_1, N) \leftarrow \text{Hom}_R(F_0, N) \leftarrow 0,$$

and we define $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, N)$ to be the n th homology group of this chain complex.

[these do not depend on the choice of free resolution of M]

B Topology

B.1 Suspension

- Reduced suspension and loops; the adjunction $\Sigma \dashv \Omega$, where Ω is the loop functor.

[5], p395:

REMARK 2.1.1. It follows that $\pi_{n+1}(X) \cong \pi_n(\Omega X)$. In particular, $\Omega K(G, n)$ is a $K(G, n-1)$.

- [5] 2.1 Ex 20 and 2.2 Ex 32: $\tilde{H}_n(X) \cong \tilde{H}_{n+1}(SX)$, where S is the (non-reduced) suspension. (MV?)
- Hatcher also says on p219 that $\tilde{H}^n(X; R) \cong \tilde{H}^{n+k}(\Sigma^k X; R)$, where Σ is reduced suspension.

B.2 Other basic constructions

DEFINITION 2.2.1. Let $(X, x_0), (Y, y_0)$ be pointed topological spaces, and consider their product $X \times Y$. The subspaces $X \times \{y_0\} \cong X$ and $\{x_0\} \times Y \cong Y$ intersect at exactly one point, (x_0, y_0) , and so can be identified with the wedge $X \vee Y$. We thus define the *smash product* $X \wedge Y := (X \times Y)/(X \vee Y)$, with the canonical basepoint (x_0, y_0) .

- ‘Reduced cylinder’?
- Mapping cones?
- The Eilenberg-MacLane space is $K(G, n)$, and it has the property that

$$\pi_i(K(G, n)) = \begin{cases} G & i = n, \\ 0 & i \neq n. \end{cases}$$

They’re unique up to weak homotopy equivalence (i.e. if you have another one X , there’s a map between them which descends to an isomorphism on homotopy groups)

B.3 Cell complexes

- The product of cell complexes is a cell complex (maybe only if one of them is finite?)
- The smash product of (pointed?) cell complexes is a cell complex (maybe only if one of them is finite?)
- Cellular maps
- Quotient of a CW complex by a subcomplex is a CW complex, where the quotient map is cellular
- The reduced suspension of a pointed cell complex is a pointed cell complex.
- CW pairs?
- For a CW complex X , $SX \simeq \Sigma X$.

DEFINITION 2.3.1. Let X is a topological space. A *CW approximation* to X is a CW complex Z equipped with a weak homotopy equivalence $f : Z \rightarrow X$.

THEOREM 2.3.2 ([5], Prop 4.13). Every space X has a CW approximation $f : Z \rightarrow X$.

- In particular, $\Omega K(G, n)$ has a CW approximation $Z \rightarrow \Omega K(G, n)$, and since $\Omega K(G, n)$ is a $K(G, n - 1)$, so is Z .

C Vague problems and Questions

- Is ‘pointed’ (co)homology just reduced (co)homology? I’ve noticed ‘pointed things’ $(\Sigma, \Omega, \wedge, \dots)$ seem to happen to/in reduced (co)homology, and ‘unpointed things’ (S, \times, \dots) happen to/in normal (co)homology. I want to do pointed things.

References

- [1] J. F. Adams. *Stable Homotopy and Generalised Homology*. T_EXromancers, 2022.
- [2] David Barnes and Constanze Roitzheim. *Foundations of Stable Homotopy Theory*. Cambridge University Press, 2020.
- [3] R. R. Bruner. *An Adams Spectral Sequence Primer*. 2009. URL: <http://www.rrb.wayne.edu/papers/adams.pdf> (visited on 08/02/2025).
- [4] Maxine Calle. *The Freudenthal Suspension Theorem*. 2020. URL: <https://bpb-us-w2.wpmucdn.com/web.sas.upenn.edu/dist/0/713/files/2020/08/FSTnotes.pdf> (visited on 08/02/2025).
- [5] Allen Hatcher. *Algebraic Topology*. 2001. URL: <https://pi.math.cornell.edu/~hatcher/AT/AT+.pdf>.
- [6] Allen Hatcher. *Spectral Sequences*. URL: <https://pi.math.cornell.edu/~hatcher/AT/ATch5.pdf> (visited on 01/02/2025).
- [7] H. R. Margolis. *Spectra and the Steenrod Algebra*. Elsevier Science Publishers B. V., 1983.
- [8] John McCleary. *A User’s Guide to Spectral Sequences*. Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [9] Douglas C. Ravenel. *Complex Cobordism and Stable Homotopy Groups of Spheres*. Academic Press. Inc, 1986.
- [10] John Rognes. *The Adams Spectral Sequence*. 2012. URL: <https://www.mn.uio.no/math/personer/vit/rognes/papers/notes.050612.pdf> (visited on 08/02/2025).