

# Stable Homotopy Groups of Spheres [DRAFT]

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>The Steenrod algebra</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Spectra may not be your friends, but I can introduce you</b>	<b>3</b>
3.1	Categorical nonsense . . . . .	3
3.2	Definitions and examples . . . . .	4
3.3	Homology and cohomology . . . . .	5
<b>4</b>	<b>The Adams spectral sequence</b>	<b>5</b>
4.1	Spectral sequences . . . . .	5
4.2	Exact couples . . . . .	6
4.3	The Adams spectral sequence . . . . .	7
<b>5</b>	<b>Calculating stable homotopy groups</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Methods of resolving ambiguities</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Algebra</b>	<b>8</b>
A.1	Free resolutions . . . . .	8
<b>B</b>	<b>Topology</b>	<b>9</b>
B.1	Suspension . . . . .	9
B.2	Other basic constructions . . . . .	9
B.3	Cell complexes . . . . .	9

# 1 Introduction

- Define homotopy groups
- The Eilenberg-MacLane space is  $K(G, n)$ , and it has the property that

$$\pi_i(K(G, n)) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & i = n, \\ 0 & i \neq n. \end{cases}$$

They're unique up to weak homotopy equivalence (i.e. if you have another one  $X$ , there's a map between them which descends to an isomorphism on homotopy groups)

- Freudenthal's suspension theorem: if  $\pi_i(X) = 0$  for  $i \leq k$  (i.e.  $X$  is  $k$ -connected) then the map

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_n(X) &\rightarrow \pi_{n+1}(\Sigma X) \\ [\gamma : S^n \rightarrow X] &\mapsto [\Sigma \gamma : \Sigma S^n = S^{n+1} \rightarrow \Sigma X] \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism for  $n \leq 2k$  and surjective for  $n = 2k + 1$

- This implies  $\pi_{n+k}(S^n)$  depends only on  $k$  for  $n \geq k + 2$
- (Obviously be careful with basepoints above)
- Suppose  $X$  is  $k$ -connected. Then, for  $k \geq 0$ ,  $0 = \pi_k(X) \cong \pi_{k+1}(\Sigma X)$ , so whenever a space is  $k$ -connected its suspension is  $k + 1$ -connected.
- As you take suspensions, then, your successive bounds are  $n \leq 2k$ ,  $n + 1 \leq 2k + 2 \implies n \leq 2k + 1$ ,  $n \leq 2k + 2$ , etc ... so the sequence  $\pi_n(X) \rightarrow \pi_{n+1}(\Sigma X) \rightarrow \dots$  will eventually stabilise.
- Thus, if you take the colimit of that direct system, it'll just equal the stable value, with the higher legs just being the inverse isomorphisms.
- [11], Cor 1.9 [not 100% convinced of how this follows, but believing it for now]: if  $X$  is a CW complex of dimension  $d$  and  $Y$  a  $(k - 1)$ -connected space, then the suspension homomorphism  $[X, Y] \rightarrow [\Sigma X, \Sigma Y]$  is bijective if  $d < 2k - 1$  and surjective if  $d = 2k - 1$ .

Miscellaneous facts I might need later:

- Cohomology [possibly only of pointed CW complexes] is representable, and its representing object is the Eilenberg-MacLane space. i.e.  $H^n(-; G) \cong \text{Hom}(-, K(G, n))$ .
- $\mathcal{A}_2$  is generated as an algebra by elements  $Sq^{2^k}$  ([6], Prop 4L.8).
- The map  $\mathcal{A}_2 \rightarrow \tilde{H}^*(K(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, n); \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $Sq^I \mapsto Sq^I(\iota_n)$  is an isomorphism from the degree  $d$  part of  $\mathcal{A}_2$  onto  $H^{n+d}(K(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, n); \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  for  $d \geq n$ . In particular, the admissible monomials  $Sq^I$  form an additive basis for  $\mathcal{A}_2$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{A}_2$  is exactly the algebra of all  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  cohomology operations that are stable, commuting with suspension ([7], Cor 5.38).
- "Stable homotopy groups are a homology theory" (whatever that means)
- Hurewicz theorem: for any path-connected space  $X$  and  $n > 0$  there exists a group homomorphism  $h_* : \pi_n(X) \rightarrow H_n(X)$ . For  $n = 1$  this induces an isomorphism  $\pi_1^{\text{ab}}(X) \cong H_1(X)$ . For  $n \geq 2$ , if  $X$  is  $(n - 1)$ -connected then  $\tilde{H}_i(X) = 0$  for all  $i < n$ , and the map  $h_* : \pi_n(X) \rightarrow H_n(X)$  is an isomorphism.

[11], [5], [6]

## 2 The Steenrod algebra

The following is from [6] 4L.

- There are maps  $Sq^i : H^n(-; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{n+i}(-; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  for each  $i$ , and they satisfy the following properties:
  1.  $Sq_X^i(f^*(\alpha)) = f^*(Sq_Y^i(\alpha))$  for  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  (i.e.  $Sq^i$  is a natural transformation).
  2.  $Sq_X^i(\alpha + \beta) = Sq_X^i(\alpha) + Sq_X^i(\beta)$  (i.e.  $Sq_X^i$  respects the group operation for all  $X$ ).
  3.  $Sq^i(\alpha \smile \beta) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq i} (Sq^j(\alpha) \smile Sq^{i-j}(\beta))$  (the Cartan formula)
  4.  $Sq^i(\sigma(\alpha)) = \sigma(Sq^i(\alpha))$  where  $\sigma : H^n(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(\Sigma X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  is the “suspension isomorphism given by reduced cross product with a generator of  $H^1(S^1; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ ”
  5.  $Sq^i(\alpha) = \alpha^2$  if  $i = |\alpha|$  and  $Sq^i(\alpha) = 0$  if  $i > |\alpha|$ . [Hatcher doesn’t explain this notation at all, but I think he means by  $|\alpha|$  the degree of  $\alpha$  - this is what [2] says in C2]
  6.  $Sq^0 = \text{id}$ .
  7.  $Sq^1$  is the “ $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  Bockstein homomorphism  $\beta$  associated with the coefficient sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$ ”.
- Define  $Sq := Sq^0 + Sq^1 + \dots$ . Then  $Sq(\alpha \smile \beta) = Sq(\alpha) \smile Sq(\beta)$  (since  $(Sq(\alpha \smile \beta))_n = \sum_i Sq^i(\alpha) \smile Sq^{n-i}(\beta) = (Sq(\alpha) \smile Sq(\beta))_n$ ). Thus,  $Sq$  is a ring homomorphism.
- Adem relations:

$$Sq^a Sq^b = \sum_j \binom{b-j-1}{a-2j} Sq^{a+b-j} Sq^j \quad \text{if } a < 2b,$$

where  $\binom{m}{n}$  is zero if  $m$  or  $n$  is negative, or  $m < n$ , and  $\binom{m}{0} = 1$  for  $m \geq 0$ .

- The Steenrod algebra  $\mathcal{A}_2$  is the algebra over  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  that is the quotient of the algebra of polynomials in the noncommuting variables  $Sq^1, Sq^2, \dots$  by the two-sided ideal generated by the Adem relations. Thus, for every space  $X$ ,  $H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  is a module over  $\mathcal{A}_2$ .
- $\mathcal{A}_2$  is graded, and its elements of degree  $k$  are those that map  $H^n(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  to  $H^{n+k}(X, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  for all  $n$ . [Presumably you’ve fixed a space  $X$  while you’re doing all this?]

[1], [10], [11], [8], [6], [3]

## 3 Spectra may not be your friends, but I can introduce you

### 3.1 Categorical nonsense

- [11]: There is a category  $\mathcal{H}$  of finite [because the corollary wanted f.d. CW complexes] based CW complexes, with  $\text{Hom}(X, Y) =: [X, Y]$  the set of homotopy classes of base-point preserving maps  $X \rightarrow Y$ .
- There is a category  $\mathbf{St}(\mathcal{H})$  of finite[?] based CW complexes, with  $\text{Hom}(X, Y) =: \{X, Y\}$  the set  $\text{colim}_i [\Sigma^i X, \Sigma^i Y]$  [it’s just a colimit of sets, and  $\mathbf{Set}$  is cocomplete, so we should be fine. [11] says it’s a group?] [Also, how do these guys compose?]

- There is a functor  $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{St}(\mathcal{H})$ . [11] doesn't say what this is but it's presumably the one that is the identity on objects and sends  $[f : X \rightarrow Y] \in [\Sigma^0 X, \Sigma^0 Y]$  to whatever it gets sent to in  $\{X, Y\}$  using the universal property of the colimit. Uniqueness makes it functorial, etc.
- We have a fully faithful functor  $\mathbf{St}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathbf{St}(\mathcal{H})$  given by the suspension on objects, and the unique isomorphism  $\{X, Y\} \rightarrow \{\Sigma X, \Sigma Y\}$  on maps (such an isomorphism exists, since both of those things are colimits for  $[\Sigma^i X, \Sigma^i Y]$  - one of the sequences is cut off at the beginning, but it doesn't matter because both reach the stable value (see above discussion and [11] 1.9), aka the colimit).
- It's not an equivalence, because not every object is isomorphic to a suspension (e.g. anything not connected, since suspensions always connected [?])
- We can formally adjoin desuspensions  $\Sigma^{-n} X$  for all  $n$  [does this mean just putting the objects there and defining  $\text{Hom}(Y, \Sigma^{-n} X) := \text{Hom}(\Sigma^n Y, X)$  and  $\text{Hom}(\Sigma^{-n} X, Y) := \text{Hom}(X, \Sigma^n Y)$ ?], but this category does not have weak colimits (i.e. colimits w/o uniqueness property). [why does it not, and why do we even want that?]
- We instead consider formal sequences of desuspensions  $X_0 \rightarrow \Sigma^{-1} X_1 \rightarrow \dots$ , or sequences  $(X_n)$  and maps  $\Sigma X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}$ , i.e. spectra. [and this fixes the problem?]

## 3.2 Definitions and examples

Below follows [7], Section 5.2.

DEFINITION 3.2.1. A *spectrum* is a collection of pointed topological spaces  $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , together with basepoint-preserving maps  $\sigma_n : \Sigma X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}$ .

EXAMPLE 3.2.2. Let  $X$  be a topological space. The *suspension spectrum* of  $X$ , denoted by  $\Sigma^\infty X$ , has  $X_n = \Sigma^n X$  and  $\sigma_n = \text{id} : \Sigma X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}$ .

We write  $\mathbb{S} := \Sigma^\infty S^0$ , and call  $\mathbb{S}$  the *sphere spectrum*.

[Define EM spectrum]

DEFINITION 3.2.3. Let  $X = \{X_n\}$  be a spectrum. We define  $\pi_i(X) = \text{colim}_n \pi_{i+n}(X_n)$ , where the map  $\pi_{i+n}(X_n) \rightarrow \pi_{i+n+1}(X_{n+1})$  is given by the composition

$$\pi_{i+n}(X_n) \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \pi_{i+n+1}(\Sigma X_n) \xrightarrow{(\sigma_n)_*} \pi_{i+n+1}(X_{n+1}).$$

EXAMPLE 3.2.4. If  $X$  is a topological space, then  $\pi_i(\Sigma^\infty X) = \pi_i^S(X)$ , the  $i$ th stable homotopy group of  $X$ .

DEFINITION 3.2.5. A CW spectrum is a spectrum  $X$  consisting of CW complexes  $X_n$  with the maps  $\Sigma X_n \hookrightarrow X_{n+1}$  inclusions of subcomplexes.

[Define cells and dimension of a CW spectrum]

DEFINITION 3.2.6. A spectrum  $X$  is *connective* if its cells have dimensions which are bounded below.

[The above is phrased exactly as in hatcher - presumably he means that there is some absolute bound below which no cell has dimension, rather than a bound dependent on each cell?]

DEFINITION 3.2.7. A CW spectrum is *finite* if it has only finitely many cells, and *of finite type* if it has only finitely many cells in each dimension.

### 3.3 Homology and cohomology

[From Hatcher: “the inclusions  $\Sigma X_n \hookrightarrow X_{n+1}$  induce inclusions  $C_*(X_n; G) \hookrightarrow C_*(X_{n+1}; G)$  with a dimension shift to account for the suspension”. Below is my vague explanation of what I understand this to mean.

$C_i(X_n; G)$  is the free abelian group on maps  $\Delta^i \rightarrow X_n$ . I claim  $\Sigma \Delta^i \cong \Delta^{i+1}$ . If this is true, it gives a map

$$\begin{aligned} C_i(X_n; G) &\rightarrow C_{i+1}(\Sigma X_n; G) \\ f &\mapsto \Sigma f. \end{aligned}$$

I claim this is an injection. If this is true, we also have an injection  $C_{i+1}(\Sigma X_n; G) \rightarrow C_{i+1}(X_{n+1}; G)$  induced by the structure map  $\sigma_n$ , so we get an injection  $C_i(X_n; G) \hookrightarrow C_{i+1}(X_{n+1}; G)$ , which indeed has a dimension shift.

Some issues:

- Everything I’ve done above is unreduced and unpointed
- The way it’s phrased, it seems to be that this is a morphism of chain complexes - i.e. these maps commute with the  $\partial$ s. Why would they?

]

[1], [10], [11], [8], [3], [7]

## 4 The Adams spectral sequence

### 4.1 Spectral sequences

Some notes from [11] (or maybe not)

How about some notes from [9], C2:

DEFINITION 4.1.1. A *differential bigraded module*  $E$  over a ring  $R$  is a collection of  $R$ -modules  $\{E^{p,q}\}$ ,  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ , together with a map  $d : E^{p,q} \rightarrow E^{p+s, q-s+1}$  for each  $p, q$  and some fixed  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ , satisfying  $d^2 = 0$ .

We can take the homology of  $(E, d)$ :

$$H^{p,q}(E^{*,*}, d) = \ker(d : E^{p,q} \rightarrow E^{p+s, q-s+1}) / \operatorname{im}(d : E^{p-s, q+s-1} \rightarrow E^{p,q}).$$

DEFINITION 4.1.2. A *spectral sequence* (of *cohomological type*) is a collection of differential bigraded  $R$ -modules  $\{E_r^{*,*}, d_r\}$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , with the differentials  $d_r$  of bidegree  $(r, 1-r)$ . These satisfy the further condition that for all  $p, q, r$ ,  $E_{r+1}^{p,q} \cong H^{p,q}(E_r^{*,*}, d_r)$ .

We will sometimes write  $d_r^{p,q}$  for the differential  $d_r : E^{p,q} \rightarrow E^{p+r, q-s+1}$ .

Consider the term  $E_2^{*,*}$ . Define

$$Z_2^{p,q} := \ker d_2^{p,q} \quad \text{and} \quad B_2^{p,q} := \operatorname{im} d_2^{p-2, q+1}.$$

The condition  $d^2 = 0$  implies that  $B_2^{p,q} \subseteq Z_2^{p,q} \subseteq E_2^{p,q}$ , and by definition we have  $E_3^{p,q} \cong Z_2^{p,q} / B_2^{p,q}$ .

Now, write

$$Z_3^{p,q} := \ker d_3^{p,q} \quad \text{and} \quad B_3^{p,q} := \operatorname{im} d_3^{p-3, q+2}.$$

Since  $Z_3^{p,q} \subseteq E_3^{p,q}$ , it can be written as  $\overline{Z}_3^{p,q}/B_2^{p,q}$  for some  $\overline{Z}_3^{p,q} \subseteq Z_2^{p,q}$ . Similarly,  $B_3^{p,q} \cong \overline{B}_3^{p,q}/B_2^{p,q}$  for some  $\overline{B}_3^{p,q} \subseteq Z_2^{p,q}$ . Thus,

$$E_4^{p,q} \cong Z_3^{p,q}/B_3^{p,q} \cong \frac{\overline{Z}_2^{p,q}/B_2^{p,q}}{\overline{B}_3^{p,q}/B_2^{p,q}} \cong \overline{Z}_3^{p,q}/\overline{B}_3^{p,q}.$$

Iterating this process, we present the spectral sequence as an infinite tower of submodules of  $E_2^{p,q}$ :

$$B_2^{p,q} \subseteq \overline{B}_3^{p,q} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \overline{B}_n^{p,q} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \overline{Z}_n^{p,q} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \overline{Z}_3^{p,q} \subseteq Z_2^{p,q} \subseteq E_2^{p,q},$$

with the property that  $E_{n+1}^{p,q} \cong \overline{Z}_n^{p,q}/\overline{B}_n^{p,q}$ . The differential  $d_{n+1}^{p,q}$  can be taken as a map  $\overline{Z}_n^{p,q}/\overline{B}_n^{p,q} \rightarrow \overline{Z}_n^{p,q}/\overline{B}_n^{p,q}$  with kernel  $\overline{Z}_{n+1}^{p,q}/\overline{B}_n^{p,q}$  and image  $\overline{B}_{n+1}^{p,q}$ . The short exact sequence induced by  $d_{n+1}$ ,

$$0 \rightarrow \overline{Z}_{n+1}^{p,q}/\overline{B}_n^{p,q} \rightarrow \overline{Z}_n^{p,q}/\overline{B}_n^{p,q} \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}^{p,q}} \overline{B}_{n+1}^{p,q}/\overline{B}_n^{p,q} \rightarrow 0,$$

gives rise to isomorphisms  $\overline{Z}_n^{p,q}/\overline{Z}_{n+1}^{p,q} \cong \overline{B}_{n+1}^{p,q}/\overline{B}_n^{p,q}$  for all  $n$ . Conversely, a tower of submodules of  $E_2$ , together with a set of isomorphisms, gives rise to a spectral sequence.

**DEFINITION 4.1.3.** An element of  $E_2^{p,q}$  *survives to the  $r$ th stage* if lies in  $\overline{Z}_r^{p,q}$ , having been in the kernel of the previous  $r-2$  differentials, and is *bounded by the  $r$ th stage* if it lies in  $\overline{B}_r^{p,q}$ . The bigraded module  $E_r^{*,*}$  is called the  $E_r$ -term of the spectral sequence.

We define

$$Z_\infty^{p,q} := \bigcap_n \overline{Z}_n^{p,q}, \quad B_\infty^{p,q} := \bigcup_n \overline{B}_n^{p,q}.$$

From the tower of inclusions, we see that  $B_\infty^{p,q} \subseteq Z_\infty^{p,q}$ , so we define  $E_\infty^{p,q} := Z_\infty^{p,q}/B_\infty^{p,q}$ .

**DEFINITION 4.1.4.** A spectral sequence *collapses at the  $N$ th term* if the differentials  $d_r^{p,q} = 0$  for  $r \geq N$ .

From the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \overline{Z}_r^{p,q}/\overline{B}_{r-1}^{p,q} \rightarrow \overline{Z}_{r-1}^{p,q}/\overline{B}_{r-1}^{p,q} \xrightarrow{d_r^{p,q}} \overline{B}_r^{p,q}/\overline{B}_{r-1}^{p,q} \rightarrow 0,$$

the condition  $d_r^{p,q}$  forces  $\overline{Z}_r^{p,q} = \overline{Z}_{r-1}^{p,q}$  and  $\overline{B}_r^{p,q} = \overline{B}_{r-1}^{p,q}$ . The tower of submodules becomes

$$B_2^{p,q} \subseteq \overline{B}_3^{p,q} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \overline{B}_{N-1}^{p,q} = B_N^{p,q} = \cdots = B_\infty^{p,q} \subseteq Z_\infty^{p,q} = \cdots = \overline{Z}_N^{p,q} = \overline{Z}_{N-1}^{p,q} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \overline{Z}_3^{p,q} \subseteq Z_2^{p,q} \subseteq E_2^{p,q}.$$

Thus,  $E_\infty^{p,q} = E_N^{p,q}$ .

## 4.2 Exact couples

(Following [9], C2)

**DEFINITION 4.2.1.** Let  $D, E$  be  $R$ -modules, and let  $i : D \rightarrow D$ ,  $j : D \rightarrow E$ ,  $k : E \rightarrow D$  be module homomorphisms. We call  $\mathcal{C} = \{D, E, i, j, k\}$  an *exact couple* if the diagram below is exact.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D & \xrightarrow{i} & D \\ & \nwarrow k & \nearrow j \\ & E & \end{array}$$

Let  $d := jk$ , and define the following:

$$\begin{aligned} E' &:= H(E, d) = \ker d / \operatorname{im} d \\ D' &:= i(D) = \ker j \\ i' &:= i|_{i(D)} : D' \rightarrow D' \\ j' &:= i(x) \mapsto j(x) + dE : D' \rightarrow E' \\ k' &:= (e + dE) \mapsto k(e) : E' \rightarrow D' \end{aligned}$$

We call  $\mathcal{C}' = \{D', E', i', j', k'\}$  the *derived couple* of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

PROPOSITION 4.2.2 ([9], Prop 2.7). If  $\mathcal{C} = \{D, E, i, j, k\}$  is an exact couple, then  $\mathcal{C}'$  is also an exact couple.

THEOREM 4.2.3 ([9], Thm 2.8). Suppose  $D^{*,*} = \{D^{p,q}\}$  and  $E^{*,*} = \{E^{p,q}\}$  are bigraded modules equipped with homomorphisms  $i$  of bidegree  $(-1, 1)$ ,  $j$  of bidegree  $(0, 0)$ , and  $k$  of bidegree  $(1, 0)$ , such that  $\{D^{*,*}, E^{*,*}, i, j, k\}$  is an exact couple. Then these data determine a spectral sequence  $\{E_r, d_r\}$  for  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  of cohomological type, with  $E_r = (E^{*,*})^{(r-1)}$ , the  $(r-1)$ st derived module of  $E^{*,*}$  and  $d_r = j^{(r)} \circ k^{(r)}$ .

A bigraded exact couple may be displayed in the following diagram, known as a *staircase diagram*:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \\ & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow i & & \\ \cdots & \xrightarrow{k} & D^{p+2,q-1} & \xrightarrow{j} & E^{p+2,q-1} & \xrightarrow{k} & D^{p+3,q-1} \xrightarrow{j} \cdots \\ & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow i & & \\ \cdots & \xrightarrow{k} & D^{p+1,q} & \xrightarrow{j} & E^{p+1,q} & \xrightarrow{k} & D^{p+2,q} \xrightarrow{j} \cdots \\ & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow i & & \\ \cdots & \xrightarrow{k} & D^{p,q+1} & \xrightarrow{j} & E^{p,q+1} & \xrightarrow{k} & D^{p+1,q+1} \xrightarrow{j} \cdots \\ & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow i & & \\ & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \end{array}$$

### 4.3 The Adams spectral sequence

Things I need before I can set it up (according to Hatcher [7]):

Let  $X$  be a CW spectrum of finite type.

- Def:  $H^*(X)$ .
- Def: EM spectrum.
- Fact:  $H^*(X)$  is finitely generated.
- Fact:  $H^*(X)$  is an  $\mathcal{A}$ -module. [We know that's true for a topological space]
- Fact: We can pick generators  $\alpha_i$  for  $H^*(X)$  as an  $\mathcal{A}$ -module such that there are at most finitely many in each  $H^n(X)$ .
- Fact: There  $\alpha_i$  determine a map  $X \rightarrow K_0$ , where  $K_0$  is a wedge of EM spectra, and  $K_0$  has finite type.

- Fact: We can replace that map with an inclusion
- Fact: A quotient of connective spectra of finite type is again a connective spectrum of finite type.
- Def: A resolution of  $H^*(X)$ .
- Prop: [7], 5.46.
- Def: The functor  $\pi_t^Y(Z) = [\Sigma^t Y, Z]$  for a finite spectrum  $Y$ .
- Def: A cofibration.
- Def: A staircase diagram
- Fact: If  $Y$  is a finite spectrum and  $Z$  is a connective spectrum of finite type, then  $\pi_t^Y(Z)$  is finitely generated.
- Fact: I can do this. I have all the necessary skills to pull this off.
- Fact: I'm going to stop listing things I need to do and start actually doing them.

[9], [1], [10], [3], [7], [11], [4]

## 5 Calculating stable homotopy groups

$\text{Ext}_A^s(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)_t$

[1], [10], [11]

## 6 Methods of resolving ambiguities

[1], [10]

READ IF YOUR CALCULATIONS AREN'T WORKING:

You are working modulo 2!!!

## A Algebra

### A.1 Free resolutions

DEFINITION 1.1.1. Let  $M, N$  be modules over a ring  $R$ . A *free resolution*  $F$  of  $M$  is an exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0,$$

with each  $F_i$  a free  $R$ -module.

Applying  $\text{Hom}_R(-, N)$  gives us a chain complex

$$\cdots \leftarrow \text{Hom}_R(F_2, N) \leftarrow \text{Hom}_R(F_1, N) \leftarrow \text{Hom}_R(F_0, N) \leftarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, N) \leftarrow 0.$$

Dropping the term  $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  [why?] we get the sequence

$$\cdots \leftarrow \text{Hom}_R(F_2, N) \leftarrow \text{Hom}_R(F_1, N) \leftarrow \text{Hom}_R(F_0, N) \leftarrow 0,$$

and we define  $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, N)$  to be the  $n$ th homology group of this chain complex.

[these do not depend on the choice of free resolution of  $M$ ]



## B Topology

### B.1 Suspension

- Suspension and loops; the adjunction  $\Sigma \dashv \Omega$ , where  $\Omega$  is the loop functor.
- Reduced suspension
- [6] 2.1 Ex 20 and 2.2 Ex 32:  $\tilde{H}_n(X) \cong \tilde{H}_{n+1}(SX)$ , where  $S$  is the (non-reduced) suspension. (MV?)
- Hatcher also says on p219 that  $\tilde{H}^n(X; R) \cong \tilde{H}^{n+k}(\Sigma^k X; R)$ , where  $\Sigma$  is reduced suspension.

### B.2 Other basic constructions

DEFINITION 2.2.1. Let  $(X, x_0), (Y, y_0)$  be pointed topological spaces, and consider their product  $X \times Y$ . The subspaces  $X \times \{y_0\} \cong X$  and  $\{x_0\} \times Y \cong Y$  intersect at exactly one point,  $(x_0, y_0)$ , and so can be identified with the wedge  $X \vee Y$ . We thus define the *smash product*  $X \wedge Y := (X \times Y)/(X \vee Y)$ , with the canonical basepoint  $(x_0, y_0)$ .

- ‘Reduced cylinder’?
- Mapping cones?

### B.3 Cell complexes

- The product of cell complexes is a cell complex (maybe only if one of them is finite?)
- The smash product of (pointed?) cell complexes is a cell complex (maybe only if one is them is finite?)
- Cellular maps
- Quotient if a CW complex by a subcomplex is a CW complex, where the quotient map is cellular
- The reduced suspension of a pointed cell complex is a pointed cell complex.
- CW pairs?
- For a CW complex  $X$ ,  $SX \simeq \Sigma X$ .
- CW approximation

## References

- [1] J. F. Adams. *Stable Homotopy and Generalised Homology*. T<sub>E</sub>Xromancers, 2022.
- [2] J. F. Adams. *Stable Homotopy Theory*. Springer, 1969.
- [3] David Barnes and Constanze Roitzheim. *Foundations of Stable Homotopy Theory*. Cambridge University Press, 2020.
- [4] R. R. Bruner. *An Adams Spectral Sequence Primer*. 2009. URL: <http://www.rrb.wayne.edu/papers/adams.pdf> (visited on 08/02/2025).

- [5] Maxine Calle. *The Freudenthal Suspension Theorem*. 2020. URL: <https://bpb-us-w2.wpmucdn.com/web.sas.upenn.edu/dist/0/713/files/2020/08/FSTnotes.pdf> (visited on 08/02/2025).
- [6] Allen Hatcher. *Algebraic Topology*. 2001. URL: <https://pi.math.cornell.edu/~hatcher/AT/AT+.pdf>.
- [7] Allen Hatcher. *Spectral Sequences*. URL: <https://pi.math.cornell.edu/~hatcher/AT/ATch5.pdf> (visited on 01/02/2025).
- [8] H. R. Margolis. *Spectra and the Steenrod Algebra*. Elsevier Science Publishers B. V., 1983.
- [9] John McCleary. *A User's Guide to Spectral Sequences*. Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [10] Douglas C. Ravenel. *Complex Cobordism and Stable Homotopy Groups of Spheres*. Academic Press. Inc, 1986.
- [11] John Rognes. *The Adams Spectral Sequence*. 2012. URL: <https://www.mn.uio.no/math/personer/vit/rognes/papers/notes.050612.pdf> (visited on 08/02/2025).