Dr. Markus Faustmann
Paul Dunhofer
Institute of Analysis and Scientific Computing
Summer term 2025



due on 21.03.2025

# Scientific programming for interdisciplinary mathematics - Worksheet 2

Topics: numerical methods for nonlinear ODEs (fixed-point, Newton method)

## Fixed-point iteration

Given a nonlinear function  $G: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ , a fixed-point problem seeks  $z^* \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that

$$G(z^*) = z^*. (1)$$

Suppose that G is a contraction, i.e., with Lipschitz constant 0 < q < 1 it holds that

$$||G(y) - G(z)|| \le q ||y - z||$$
 for all  $y, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

where  $\|\cdot\|$  is an appropriate norm on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Given an initial iterate  $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , the successive application of G defines the sequence  $z_{j+1} := G(z_j)$  for  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . The Banach fixed-point theorem proves that  $(z_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  converges linearly towards the unique fixed point  $z^* \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , i.e.,

$$||z^{\star} - z_j|| \le q^{j-k} ||z^{\star} - z_k||$$
 for all  $j, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

#### Stopping criteria for iterative methods

Given parameters  $\tau_{abs} > 0$  and  $J_{max} \in \mathbb{N}$ , the following criteria are employed to decide the acceptance of an iterate  $z_j$  or the break-off of an iterative method:

• Absolute error tolerance 
$$||z_{j+1} - z_j|| \le \tau_{abs}$$
 (abs)

• Maximal number of iterations 
$$J_{\text{max}} \leq j$$
. (iter)

In practice, an iteration is considered as converged if the condition (abs) is satisfied. In this case, the final iterate  $z_{j+1}$  is the desired approximation of the fixed-point  $z^*$ . If instead the maximum number of iterations is reached (iter) before the other criteria are satisfied, the iteration has not converged. The choice an appropriate parameter  $\tau_{abs} > 0$  clearly has an impact on the computational cost. For the exercises below, we use

$$\tau_{\rm abs} = 10^{-12}$$
 and  $J_{\rm max} = 1000$ .

#### Problem 1:

Implement a MATLAB function for the fixed-point iteration. Adhere to the signature

$$[z, zvec] = fixedpoint(G, z0)$$

The arguments consist of the function handle G for the contraction  $G: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$  and the initial iterate z0. The output  $\mathbf{z} = z_{j+1}$  is the approximation of the fixed point  $z^*$ , where the stopping condition (abs) is satisfied with  $\tau_{\text{abs}} = 10^{-12}$ . Use  $J_{\text{max}} = 1000$  and the Euclidean norm on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  (which is norm in MATLAB). The columns  $z_{\ell} = \text{zvec}(:, \ell+1)$  of  $\text{zvec} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times (j+1)}$  should be the fixpoint iterates.

#### Problem 2:

For any parameter  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , the function  $G : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $G(z) := \exp(-\alpha |z|)$  is a contraction. Apply your implementation of the fixed-point iteration from Exercise 1 with initial guess  $z_0 = 100$  to approximate the fixed point  $z^*$  with  $G(z^*) = z^*$ .

#### Problem 3:

Given a right-hand side  $f:[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^d$  and an initial value  $y_0\in\mathbb{R}^d$ , consider a (possibly nonlinear) initial value problem

$$y'(t) = f(t, y(t))$$
 for  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $y(0) = y_0$ . (2)

Implement the following one-step methods for the solution of the initial value problem (2), where the function parameters are as follows:  $\mathbf{t} = (t_0, \dots, t_L) \in \mathbb{R}^{L+1}$  is the row vector of the time steps and  $y0 = y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is the initial condition as a column vector and  $\mathbf{f}$  is a function handle for the f-function. The return value should be the matrix  $\mathbf{y} = (y_0, \dots, y_L) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times (L+1)}$ , where the columns  $y_\ell = \mathbf{y}(:,\ell) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  are the approximations  $y_\ell \approx y(t_\ell)$ .

- (a) Explicit Euler method y = explicitEuler(t, f, y0)
- (b) Implicit Euler method [y,iter] = implicitEuler(t,f,y0)

This requires the solution  $z = y_{j+1}$  of the fixed-point problem  $z = G(z) := y_j + (t_{j+1} - t_j) f(t_{j+1}, z)$  with your function fixedpoint from Exercise 1. Use  $z_0 = y_j$  as initial iterate of the fixed point iteration. Provided that  $(t_{j+1} - t_j)$  is sufficiently small, one can usually show that this equation admits a unique solution. In addition to y, also return the row vector iter  $\in \mathbb{N}^L$ , which contains the number of iterations of fixedpoint for each time step.

(c) Implicit midpoint method [y,iter] = implicitMidpoint(t,f,y0)

Proceed analogously to part (b) for the solution of the fixed-point problem iteration of the one-step method, where we approximate the fixed point  $z = y_{j+1}$  of the problem

$$z = G(z) := y_j + (t_{j+1} - t_j) f\left(\frac{t_{j+1} + t_j}{2}, \frac{y_j + z}{2}\right)$$

with initial value  $z_0 = y_i$ .

#### Problem 4:

The task is to investigate the number y of individuals infected by a nondeadly disease with permanent immunity in a population. (Consider y as a continuous number, e.g., the percentage.) The growth of the quantity y depends on the growth factor k > 0 and the saturation C - y for the maximal capacity C > 0. Let  $y_0 \ge 0$  denote the initial number of infections at time t = 0. This leads to the nonlinear initial value problem of the logistic equation

$$y'(t) = k y(t) (C - y(t)) =: f(t, y(t)) \quad \text{with initial value} \quad y(0) = y_0. \tag{3}$$

For simplicity, set k = C = 1 and  $y_0 = 1/2$ . The exact solution reads  $y(t) := (1 + (y_0^{-1} - 1) \exp(-t))^{-1}$ . Apply the functions from Exercise 3 to approximate the solution to the initial value problem (3) for  $t \in [0, 5]$ .

- (a) Plot the exact and discrete solutions into a single plot.
- (b) Plot the number of fixed-point iterations of implicitEuler and implicitMidpoint (i.e., iter) in a separate plot.
- (c) Compute the exact error  $e_h := \max_{\ell=1,\dots,L} |y(t_\ell) y_\ell|$  in the maximum norm in dependence of the uniform step sizes  $h \in \{2^{-n} : n = 1,\dots,N\}$  and compare the convergence rates for the different methods.

#### Newton's method

The Newton method is used to numerically compute a zero  $z^* \in \mathbb{R}^d$  of a given function  $F: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ , i.e.,  $F(z^*) = 0$ . For an initial value  $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we define the sequence of approximations  $(z_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0} \approx z^*$  inductively via

$$z_{j+1} := z_j + \delta_j$$
, where  $\delta_j = -DF(z_j)^{-1} F(z_j)$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , (4)

with the Jacobian  $DF(z_j) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ . Note that any fixed-point problem  $G(z^*) = z^*$  with  $G \colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$  and sought fixed point  $z^*$  can be recast as a zero problem  $F(z^*) = 0$  with the residual F(z) := G(z) - z.

## Problem 5:

Implement Newton's method as a MATLAB function of the form

$$[z, zvec] = newton(F, DF, z0)$$

Here, F is a function handle for the objective function F, DF is a function handle for its Jacobian, and the column vector  $\mathbf{z} = z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is the initial iterate. The column vector  $\mathbf{z} = z_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is the final iterate, where the stopping criterion abs is satisfied with  $\tau_{\text{abs}} = 10^{-12}$  and  $J_{\text{max}} = 1000$ . The columns  $z_{\ell} = \text{zvec}(:, \ell + 1)$  of  $\text{zvec} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times (j+1)}$  should be the Newton iterates.

## Problem 6:

Test your function newton from Exercise 5 using  $z_0 = 5$  with the following scalar examples:

- (a)  $F(z) = z^2 + \exp(z) 2$  in [0, 10] (reference solution  $z^* = 0.5372744491738566$ )
- (b)  $F(z) = \log(z) + z^2$  in [1/4, 10] (reference solution  $z^* = 0.6529186404192047$ )
- (c)  $F(z) = (\cos(2z))^2 z^2$  in [1/4, 10] (reference solution  $z^* = 0.5149332646611294$ )

If the iteration converges, compute the error  $e_k := |z_k - z_{k-1}|$  of two consecutive iterates and the convergence order

$$q_k := \log(e_k/e_{k-1})/\log(e_{k-1}/e_{k-2}).$$

Plot the convergence order  $q_k$  in each iteration step, i.e., plot  $q_k$  (on the y-axis) over the iterations k (on the x-axis).

#### Problem 7:

The Lotka-Volterra equation provides a simple model for the population of two species  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  such that species  $y_1$  has unlimited food and can grow unlimitedly, whereas species  $y_2$  eats the species  $y_1$ . The corresponding initial value problem seeks  $y \in C^2([0,T];\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that

$$y'(t) = f(y(t)) \quad \text{with} \quad y(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ 0.5 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (5)

with the nonlinear function  $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  given by

$$f(y) \coloneqq \begin{pmatrix} y_1(1-y_2) \\ -y_2(1-y_1) \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (a) Solve the initial value problem (5) for T = 15 using the one-step methods from Exercise 3.
- (b) In the implicit methods in part (a), replace the fixed-point iteration with your implementation newton of Newton's method. Save the adapted functions as implicitEulerNewton and implicitMidpointNewton. Compare the number of necessary iterations per time-step.

### Adaptive time-step control

In the first two weeks of this course, we have looked at explicit and implicit one-step methods to solve initial value problems. In practice, one is not interested in methods with a constant step size. Instead, sophisticated computer programs for the numerical solution of initial value problems work with adaptive strategies, in which the computer selects an optimal step size  $h_{\ell}$  in each step in order to achieve a given accuracy  $\tau > 0$  as efficiently (i.e., with as few evaluations of f) as possible. The following pseudocode illustrates the theoretical procedure algorithmically.

**Input:** time interval  $[t_0, T]$ , initial value  $y_0$ , right-hand side f of the initial value problem  $y(t_0) = y_0$  and y'(t) = f(t, y) for  $t \in [t_0, T]$ , one-step method with incremental function  $\Phi$ , tolerance  $\tau > 0$ , counter  $\ell = 0$ .

Goal: Determine  $t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_L = T$  and  $y_\ell$  such that  $\max_{\ell=1,\ldots,L} \|y(t_\ell) - y_\ell\|_{\infty} \lesssim \tau$ .

#### REPEAT

- Determine  $h_{\ell} > 0$  such that  $||z(t_{\ell} + h_{\ell}) z_{\ell+1}||_{\infty} \approx \tau h_{\ell}$ , where  $z_{\ell+1} := y_{\ell} + h_{\ell} \Phi(t_{\ell}, y_{\ell}, h_{\ell})$  and z solves  $z(t_{\ell}) = y_{\ell}$  and z' = f(t, z) in  $[t_{\ell}, T]$ .
- Define  $t_{\ell+1} \coloneqq \min\{t_{\ell} + h_{\ell}, T\}$  and  $y_{\ell+1} \coloneqq z_{\ell+1}$ .
- Update counter  $\ell \mapsto \ell + 1$ .

 $\mathtt{UNTIL} \quad t_\ell = T$ 

**Output:** mesh  $\Delta = \{t_0, \dots, t_L = T\}$ , approximations  $y_\ell \approx y(t_\ell)$  for all  $\ell = 0, \dots, L$ .

# Simplified step size control based on h–(h/2) strategy

One method for adaptive step size control is the so-called h-(h/2) strategy. In each step  $\ell$ , we solve the problem twice: first, one step of size h yields  $y_h \approx y_{\ell+1}$ ; second, two steps of size h/2 yield  $y_{h/2} \approx y_{\ell+1}$ . We then compare the error estimator  $\varepsilon := ||y_h - y_{h/2}||_{\infty}$  with a fixed desired accuracy  $\tau > 0$ :

- If  $\varepsilon > \tau h$ , we halve the step size  $h \mapsto h/2$  and repeat the current step;
- If  $\varepsilon \leq \tau h$ , the desired accuracy was achieved and we move on with  $y_{\ell+1} := y_{h/2}$  and:
  - If  $\varepsilon \ll \tau h$ , e.g.,  $\varepsilon < \tau h/2$ , we double the current step size for the next step;
  - $\bullet$  Otherwise, we keep the current step size h also for the next step.

# Problem 8 (Implementation of the h-(h/2) strategy):

Implement a Matlab function

for the adaptive step size control based on the h–(h/2) strategy. Here, the input tau is the desired accuracy  $\tau > 0$ , the column vector y0 is the initial value  $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , t0 and T specify the time interval  $[t_0, T]$ , h0 is the initial step size, and f is the function handle of the function  $f: [t_0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ . Finally, solveODE is the function handle of a one step method with signature

$$z = solveODE(s, f, z0)$$

solving an ODE z'=f(s,z) with initial value  $z_0=z(s_0)\in\mathbb{R}^d$  at the time steps  $s_j$  specified in the row vector  $\mathbf{s}=(s_0,\ldots,s_m)\in\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ . The output are the approximations  $y_j\approx y(t_j)\in\mathbb{R}^d$  as a matrix  $\mathbf{y}\in\mathbb{R}^{d\times(m+1)}$  at the corresponding adaptive time steps  $\mathbf{t}=(t_0,\ldots,t_N)\in\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$  with  $t_N=T$ .

**Hint:** To obtain  $y_h \approx y_{\ell+1}$ , use the function solveODE with  $s = [t_\ell, t_{\ell+1}]$  and  $z_0 = y_\ell$ . To obtain  $y_{h/2} \approx y_{\ell+1}$ , use the function solveODE with  $s = [t_\ell, (t_\ell + t_{\ell+1})/2, t_{\ell+1}]$  and  $z_0 = y_\ell$ .

#### Problem 9 (Solving an ODE system with adaptive time steps):

In this exercise, we revisit the linear system of ordinary differential equations

$$\begin{cases} y_1' = -y_2 \\ y_2' = y_1 \end{cases} =: f(t, y) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 2\pi] \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} y_1(0) = 1 \\ y_2(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$
 (6)

from Exercise 6 on Sheet 1. The exact solution reads  $y(t) := (\cos(t), \sin(t))^{\top}$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider the explicit Euler method with uniform mesh refinement (i.e., uniform time steps) with  $h := 2^{-n}$  and adaptive mesh refinement with  $\tau := 2^{-n}$  and  $h_0 := 5$ . In either case, let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  be the number of time steps for each approach. Use your code from Exercise 8. Plot the errors after the final time step, i.e.,  $e_N := |y(2\pi) - y_N|$ , on the y-axis over the number of time steps N on the x-axis in a loglog-plot. What rate of convergence  $e_N = \mathcal{O}(N^{-\alpha})$  do you observe?

# Take-home message

For nonlinear ODEs, each step of an implicit Runge–Kutta method from Exercise sheet 1 requires the solution of a nonlinear system of equations. A simple way to solve the arising nonlinear system is by means of a fixed-point iteration which leads to linear convergence. To speed up the convergence, we can use the Newton method, which is a very efficient method for solving nonlinear systems and leads to quadratic convergence (at least locally in a vicinity of the solution).

In practice, adaptive time-step control is crucial for the efficient and accurate solution of initial value problems. The h–(h/2) strategy is a simple and effective method to achieve this.