

# R01-FBS: Task EF x Risk Status Paper

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Participant Characteristics (Demographics Database)</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	income . . . . .	3
1.2	mom education . . . . .	3
1.3	bmi percentile . . . . .	3
1.4	percent body fat . . . . .	3
1.5	WSI . . . . .	3
<b>2</b>	<b>Effect of Risk Status</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	Go-NoGo . . . . .	4
2.2	Stop-Signal Task . . . . .	7
2.3	N-back . . . . .	23
<b>3</b>	<b>Effect of Total Body Fat Percentage</b>	<b>29</b>
3.1	Go-NoGo . . . . .	29
3.2	Stop-Signal Task . . . . .	31
3.3	N-back . . . . .	38
<b>4</b>	<b>Exploratory Analyses: relative impact of risk and body fat percentage</b>	<b>42</b>
4.1	Go-NoGo . . . . .	42
4.2	Stop-Signal Task . . . . .	43
4.3	N-back . . . . .	48
<b>5</b>	<b>Exploratory Analyses: remove BMI percentile <math>\geq 85</math> (reviewer comment)</b>	<b>52</b>
5.1	Go-NoGo . . . . .	52
5.2	Stop-Signal Task . . . . .	54
5.3	N-back . . . . .	55
<b>6</b>	<b>Exploratory Analyses: adjust for BMI-Z score (reviewer comment)</b>	<b>57</b>
6.1	Go-NoGo . . . . .	57
6.2	Stop-Signal Task . . . . .	59
6.3	N-back . . . . .	60

# 1 Participant Characteristics (Demographics Database)

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics

Characteristic	Low Risk, N = 53	High Risk, N = 40	Test Statistic	p-value
Age, yr	7.8 (0.7)	7.8 (0.6)	0.44	0.7
Sex			1.2	0.3
Male	30 (57%)	18 (45%)		
Female	23 (43%)	22 (55%)		
Ethnicity				>0.9
Hispanic/Latinx	0 (0%)	0 (0%)		
Not Hispanic/Latinx	53 (100%)	40 (100%)		
Race				0.3
Asian	3 (5.7%)	0 (0%)		
Black/AA	0 (0%)	0 (0%)		
White/Caucasian	50 (94%)	40 (100%)		
Income			10	0.006
< \$51,000	4 (7.7%)	8 (21%)		
>\$100,000	26 (50%)	7 (18%)		
\$51,000 - \$100,000	22 (42%)	23 (61%)		
Unknown	1	2		
Mother's Education				0.008
> Bachelor Degree	23 (44%)	6 (15%)		
AA/Technical Degree	3 (5.8%)	7 (18%)		
Bachelor Degree	23 (44%)	21 (52%)		
High School/GED	3 (5.8%)	6 (15%)		
Unknown	1	0		
Father's Education				<0.001
> Bachelor Degree	29 (55%)	4 (11%)		
AA/Technical Degree	3 (5.7%)	11 (31%)		
Bachelor Degree	15 (28%)	14 (40%)		
High School/GED	6 (11%)	5 (14%)		
Other/NA	0 (0%)	1 (2.9%)		
Unknown	0	5		
BMI %tile	41.7 (23.9)	55.7 (23.6)	-2.8	0.006
Total Body Fat %	27.1 (3.8)	30.6 (4.3)	-4.1	<0.001
Total Fat Mass	6,818.7 (1,419.0)	8,127.7 (1,833.3)	-3.7	<0.001
Visceral Fat Mass	157.2 (51.7)	161.2 (55.2)	-0.36	0.7
Lean Fat Mass	17,420.5 (2,574.3)	17,337.7 (2,130.0)	0.17	0.9
IQ	116.1 (16.4)	110.4 (10.8)	1.7	0.085
Unknown	11	13		

<sup>1</sup> Mean (SD); n (%)

<sup>2</sup> Welch Two Sample t-test; Pearson's Chi-squared test; Fisher's exact test

## 1.1 income

Pearson's Chi-squared test

```
data:  xtabs(~risk_status_mom + income, data = covar_demo)
X-squared = 10.368, df = 2, p-value = 0.005605
```

## 1.2 mom education

Fisher's Exact Test for Count Data

```
data:  xtabs(~risk_status_mom + mom_ed, data = covar_demo)
p-value = 0.008449
alternative hypothesis: two.sided
```

## 1.3 bmi percentile

Welch Two Sample t-test

```
data:  bmi_percentile by risk_status_mom
t = -2.8098, df = 84.587, p-value = 0.006157
alternative hypothesis: true difference in means between group Low Risk and group High Risk is not equal
95 percent confidence interval:
 -23.873036  -4.086775
sample estimates:
mean in group Low Risk mean in group High Risk
      41.73509           55.71500
```

## 1.4 percent body fat

Welch Two Sample t-test

```
data:  dxa_total_body_perc_fat by risk_status_mom
t = -4.136, df = 77.38, p-value = 8.899e-05
alternative hypothesis: true difference in means between group Low Risk and group High Risk is not equal
95 percent confidence interval:
  -5.272136  -1.845600
sample estimates:
mean in group Low Risk mean in group High Risk
      27.08113           30.64000
```

## 1.5 WSI

Welch Two Sample t-test

```
data:  wasi_fsiq2 by risk_status_mom
t = 1.7496, df = 66.923, p-value = 0.08477
```

```

alternative hypothesis: true difference in means between group Low Risk and group High Risk is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 -0.8078817 12.2787811
sample estimates:
mean in group Low Risk mean in group High Risk
      116.1429           110.4074

```

Pearson's product-moment correlation

```

data: covar_demo$dxa_total_body_perc_fat and covar_demo$wasi_fsiq2
t = -0.26716, df = 67, p-value = 0.7902
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 -0.2672392  0.2056466
sample estimates:
      cor
-0.03262184

```

## 2 Effect of Risk Status

### 2.1 Go-NoGo

Table 2: Go-NoGo Performance Summary


Characteristic	Low Risk, N = 53	High Risk, N = 39
Missed, N	4.6 (5.2)	3.9 (3.7)
False Alarm, N	16.0 (8.2)	18.9 (7.9)
Missed, %	3.1 (3.5)	2.6 (2.5)
False Alarm, %	32.0 (16.4)	37.8 (15.7)
Mean Hit RT, ms	543.6 (60.9)	544.9 (61.6)
Mean False Alarm RT, ms	432.5 (53.2)	426.6 (53.9)
d', loglinear	2.5 (0.7)	2.3 (0.6)

<sup>1</sup> Mean (SD)

### 2.1.1 Percent False Alarms

Table 3: Go-NoGo Percent False Alarms

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	53.906	22.840	2.360	0.021
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	3.097	6.475	0.478	0.634
mom_edBachelor Degree	-0.255	4.039	-0.063	0.950
mom_edHigh School/GED	-2.799	7.983	-0.351	0.727
income>\$100,000	5.961	6.929	0.860	0.392
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	-0.945	6.137	-0.154	0.878
sexFemale	-6.111	3.595	-1.700	0.093
age_yr	-2.776	2.778	-0.999	0.321
risk_status_momHigh Risk	7.766	3.842	2.021	0.047



### 2.1.2 Percent Hits

Table 4: Go-NoGo - Percent Hits

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	93.843	4.581	20.484	0.000
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	2.066	1.299	1.591	0.116
mom_edBachelor Degree	1.015	0.810	1.253	0.214
mom_edHigh School/GED	-0.770	1.601	-0.481	0.632
income>\$100,000	-1.324	1.390	-0.952	0.344
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	-1.598	1.231	-1.298	0.198
sexFemale	0.926	0.721	1.284	0.203
age_yr	0.439	0.557	0.788	0.433
risk_status_momHigh Risk	-0.075	0.771	-0.097	0.923

### 2.1.3 Go Reaction Time

Table 5: Go-NoGo - Go Reaction Time

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	771.113	86.643	8.900	0.000
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	-21.783	24.561	-0.887	0.378
mom_edBachelor Degree	-21.430	15.322	-1.399	0.166
mom_edHigh School/GED	-24.738	30.282	-0.817	0.416
income>\$100,000	-26.475	26.284	-1.007	0.317
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	-16.345	23.279	-0.702	0.485
sexFemale	16.386	13.637	1.202	0.233
age_yr	-25.797	10.539	-2.448	0.017
risk_status_momHigh Risk	3.206	14.575	0.220	0.826

### 2.1.4 d'

Table 6: Go-NoGo - d'

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	1.384	0.950	1.457	0.149
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	0.141	0.269	0.523	0.603
mom_edBachelor Degree	0.068	0.168	0.402	0.689
mom_edHigh School/GED	-0.082	0.332	-0.248	0.805
income>\$100,000	-0.292	0.288	-1.013	0.314
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	-0.131	0.255	-0.513	0.610
sexFemale	0.272	0.150	1.820	0.073
age_yr	0.149	0.116	1.287	0.202
risk_status_momHigh Risk	-0.263	0.160	-1.645	0.104

## 2.2 Stop-Signal Task

Table 7: Stop-Signal Task Performance Summary: Risk Status across all trials

Characteristic	Low Risk, N = 48	High Risk, N = 34
race horse		
0	3 (6.2%)	6 (18%)
1	45 (94%)	28 (82%)
Go RT, ms	655.5 (105.8)	646.7 (130.5)
L/R Response Error, N	5.3 (8.0)	5.1 (5.7)
Misses, N	2.9 (4.0)	4.9 (6.9)
SSD, ms	303.3 (86.8)	255.0 (89.7)
SSRT - Mean Method, ms	350.9 (61.9)	377.8 (85.5)
SSRT - Integration Method, ms	313.6 (82.0)	375.9 (124.4)

<sup>1</sup> n (%); Mean (SD)

Table 8: Stop-Signal Task Performance Summary: Risk Status by Energy Density Condition

Characteristic	Low ED		High ED	
	Low Risk, N = 30	High Risk, N = 25	Low Risk, N = 30	High Risk, N = 25
Go RT, ms	673.6 (115.2)	668.9 (144.3)	677.1 (95.7)	656.5 (139.1)
L/R Response Error, N	2.6 (3.9)	2.3 (2.1)	1.6 (2.0)	2.3 (2.2)
Misses, N	1.6 (2.8)	2.9 (4.1)	1.3 (1.7)	2.4 (4.0)
SSD, ms	326.4 (97.1)	283.8 (98.9)	324.4 (77.9)	266.6 (87.1)
SSRT - Mean Method, ms	342.3 (49.2)	378.2 (92.5)	347.7 (49.3)	386.4 (92.5)
SSRT - Integration Method, ms	299.4 (70.1)	367.6 (174.6)	294.8 (46.0)	373.1 (111.5)

<sup>1</sup> Mean (SD)

Table 9: Stop-Signal Task Performance Summary: Risk Status by Portion Size Condition

Characteristic	Small PS		Large PS	
	Low Risk, N = 33	High Risk, N = 25	Low Risk, N = 33	High Risk, N = 25
Go RT, ms	664.1 (112.5)	664.8 (139.9)	672.4 (117.9)	666.0 (142.9)
L/R Response Error, N	2.4 (3.4)	1.9 (1.7)	1.8 (2.9)	2.8 (2.4)
Misses, N	1.8 (3.1)	2.9 (4.4)	1.5 (2.0)	2.4 (3.8)
SSD, ms	304.6 (95.9)	272.7 (90.0)	322.6 (92.0)	288.2 (86.6)
SSRT - Mean Method, ms	355.0 (53.5)	381.4 (92.3)	346.2 (60.4)	373.0 (90.2)
SSRT - Integration Method, ms	309.8 (66.4)	375.3 (121.6)	307.3 (67.9)	345.5 (113.8)

<sup>1</sup> Mean (SD)

### 2.2.1 Stop Signal Reaction Time

Table 10: Stop-Signal Task SSRT - ED x PS

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	498.188	142.863	32.66	3.487	0.001
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	45.098	44.200	32.00	1.020	0.315
mom_edBachelor Degree	11.662	24.830	32.00	0.470	0.642
mom_edHigh School/GED	-61.251	40.577	32.00	-1.509	0.141
income>\$100,000	-72.180	37.009	32.00	-1.950	0.060
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	-40.158	33.421	32.00	-1.202	0.238
sexFemale	18.812	20.916	32.00	0.899	0.375
age_yr	-19.723	18.130	32.00	-1.088	0.285
PSLarge PS	-3.502	23.531	117.00	-0.149	0.882
EDHigh ED	7.794	23.531	117.00	0.331	0.741
PSLarge PS:EDHigh ED	13.989	33.278	117.00	0.420	0.675

#### 2.2.1.1 Design







Table 11: Stop-Signal Task SSRT - Risk Status

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	668.116	164.378	4.065	0.000
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	51.718	50.279	1.029	0.308
mom_edBachelor Degree	-24.764	28.895	-0.857	0.395
mom_edHigh School/GED	-82.236	55.160	-1.491	0.141
income>\$100,000	5.889	50.927	0.116	0.908
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	-6.496	47.774	-0.136	0.892
sexFemale	13.796	24.482	0.564	0.575
age_yr	-44.512	20.017	-2.224	0.030
risk_status_momHigh Risk	69.065	27.516	2.510	0.015

### 2.2.1.2 Overall



```
$emmeans
risk_status_mom emmean SE df lower.CL upper.CL
Low Risk      315 20.1 60      274      355
High Risk     384 22.3 60      339      428
```

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex  
Confidence level used: 0.95

```
$contrasts
contrast      estimate SE df t.ratio p.value
```

Low Risk - High Risk      -69.1 27.5 60   -2.510   0.0148

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex


age_yr	age_yr.trend	SE	df	t.ratio	p.value
7.78	-44.5	20	60	-2.224	0.0299

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, risk\_status\_mom

Table 12: Stop-Signal Task SSRT - ED x Risk Status

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	652.049	175.664	43.308	3.712	0.001
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	47.054	56.412	43.000	0.834	0.409
mom_edBachelor Degree	-52.936	31.424	43.000	-1.685	0.099
mom_edHigh School/GED	-105.585	53.747	43.000	-1.964	0.056
income>\$100,000	-18.289	49.114	43.000	-0.372	0.711
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	-26.808	44.968	43.000	-0.596	0.554
sexFemale	-3.391	26.491	43.000	-0.128	0.899
age_yr	-39.442	21.795	43.000	-1.810	0.077
EDHigh ED	-1.467	20.974	50.000	-0.070	0.945
risk_status_momHigh Risk	85.698	32.568	67.892	2.631	0.011
EDHigh ED:risk_status_momHigh Risk	10.145	31.537	50.000	0.322	0.749

### 2.2.1.3 Energy Density Trials



```
$emmeans
risk_status_mom emmean SE df lower.CL upper.CL
Low Risk        301 22.4 43    256    346
High Risk       392 22.6 43    346    438
```

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, ED  
Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger  
Confidence level used: 0.95

\$contrasts

contrast	estimate	SE	df	t.ratio	p.value
Low Risk - High Risk	-90.8	28.5	43	-3.185	0.0027

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, ED

Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger

\$emmeans

ED	emmean	SE	df	lower.CL	upper.CL
Low ED	345	19.1	60.3	307	383
High ED	348	19.1	60.3	310	387

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, risk\_status\_mom

Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger

Confidence level used: 0.95

\$contrasts

contrast	estimate	SE	df	t.ratio	p.value
Low ED - High ED	-3.61	15.8	50	-0.229	0.8201


Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, risk\_status\_mom

Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger

Table 13: Stop-Signal Task SSRT - PS x Risk Status

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	562.167	145.687	45.369	3.859	0.000
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	-36.399	46.014	45.000	-0.791	0.433
mom_edBachelor Degree	-28.541	26.029	45.000	-1.097	0.279
mom_edHigh School/GED	-99.459	46.569	45.000	-2.136	0.038
income>\$100,000	-27.560	42.456	45.000	-0.649	0.520
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	-11.140	38.657	45.000	-0.288	0.775
sexFemale	13.745	22.132	45.000	0.621	0.538
age_yr	-28.772	18.028	45.000	-1.596	0.117
PSLarge PS	5.808	18.604	52.000	0.312	0.756
risk_status_momHigh Risk	78.080	27.484	75.372	2.841	0.006
PSLarge PS:risk_status_momHigh Risk	-34.724	28.507	52.000	-1.218	0.229

#### 2.2.1.4 Portion Size Trials



```
$emmeans
risk_status_mom emmean SE df lower.CL upper.CL
Low Risk        294 18.1 45    258    331
High Risk       355 20.4 45    314    396
```

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, PS  
Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger  
Confidence level used: 0.95

\$contrasts

contrast	estimate	SE	df	t.ratio	p.value
Low Risk - High Risk	-60.7	23.5	45	-2.584	0.0131

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, PS

Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger

\$emmeans

PS	emmean	SE	df	lower.CL	upper.CL
Small PS	330	16.9	64.1	297	364
Large PS	319	16.9	64.1	285	352

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, risk\_status\_mom

Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger

Confidence level used: 0.95

\$contrasts

contrast	estimate	SE	df	t.ratio	p.value
Small PS - Large PS	11.6	14.3	52	0.811	0.4213

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, risk\_status\_mom


Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger

## 2.2.2 Stop Signal Delay

Table 14: Stop-Signal Task SSD - ED x PS

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	228.237	183.535	32.118	1.244	0.223
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	-100.066	57.022	32.000	-1.755	0.089
mom_edBachelor Degree	-39.245	32.032	32.000	-1.225	0.229
mom_edHigh School/GED	-5.423	52.348	32.000	-0.104	0.918
income>\$100,000	36.098	47.744	32.000	0.756	0.455
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	17.549	43.116	32.000	0.407	0.687
sexFemale	36.847	26.984	32.000	1.366	0.182
age_yr	10.464	23.390	32.000	0.447	0.658
PSLarge PS	13.964	12.832	117.000	1.088	0.279
EDHigh ED	-16.042	12.832	117.000	-1.250	0.214
PSLarge PS:EDHigh ED	-3.600	18.148	117.000	-0.198	0.843

### 2.2.2.1 Design



```
$emmeans
ED      emmean   SE   df lower.CL upper.CL
Low ED    316 18.5 36.2    279     354
High ED    298 18.5 36.2    261     336
```

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, PS

Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger  
Confidence level used: 0.95

\$contrasts

contrast	estimate	SE	df	t.ratio	p.value
Low ED - High ED	17.8	9.07	117	1.966	0.0516

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, PS

Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger







Table 15: Stop-Signal Task SSD - Risk Status

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	156.277	135.022	1.157	0.252
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	-101.175	41.300	-2.450	0.017
mom_edBachelor Degree	-9.519	23.734	-0.401	0.690
mom_edHigh School/GED	29.141	45.309	0.643	0.523
income>\$100,000	1.571	41.832	0.038	0.970
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	14.707	39.243	0.375	0.709
sexFemale	39.853	20.110	1.982	0.052
age_yr	17.845	16.442	1.085	0.282
risk_status_momHigh Risk	-52.107	22.602	-2.305	0.025

### 2.2.2.2 All Trials



```
$emmeans
risk_status_mom emmean SE df lower.CL upper.CL
Low Risk      300 16.5 60      267      333
High Risk     248 18.3 60      211      285
```

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex  
Confidence level used: 0.95

```
$contrasts
contrast      estimate SE df t.ratio p.value
```


Low Risk - High Risk      52.1 22.6 60    2.305   0.0246

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex

Table 16: Stop-Signal Task SSD - Risk Status x ED

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	179.451	165.393	43.096	1.085	0.284
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	-64.496	53.179	43.000	-1.213	0.232
mom_edBachelor Degree	3.666	29.623	43.000	0.124	0.902
mom_edHigh School/GED	44.748	50.667	43.000	0.883	0.382
income>\$100,000	9.070	46.299	43.000	0.196	0.846
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	18.598	42.390	43.000	0.439	0.663
sexFemale	56.130	24.972	43.000	2.248	0.030
age_yr	14.287	20.546	43.000	0.695	0.491
EDHigh ED	-4.775	11.059	50.000	-0.432	0.668
risk_status_momHigh Risk	-59.444	28.120	51.230	-2.114	0.039
EDHigh ED:risk_status_momHigh Risk	-15.299	16.629	50.000	-0.920	0.362

### 2.2.2.3 Energy Density Trials



```
$emmeans
risk_status_mom emmean SE df lower.CL upper.CL
Low Risk        321  21.1 43    278    363
High Risk       254  21.3 43    211    297
```

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, ED  
Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger  
Confidence level used: 0.95

\$contrasts

contrast	estimate	SE	df	t.ratio	p.value
Low Risk - High Risk	67.1	26.9	43	2.498	0.0164

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, ED

Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger

\$emmeans

ED	emmean	SE	df	lower.CL	upper.CL
Low ED	294	16.9	48.5	260	328
High ED	281	16.9	48.5	247	315

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, risk\_status\_mom

Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger

Confidence level used: 0.95

\$contrasts

contrast	estimate	SE	df	t.ratio	p.value
Low ED - High ED	12.4	8.31	50	1.494	0.1414


Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, risk\_status\_mom

Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger

Table 17: Stop-Signal Task SSD - Risk Status x PS

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	179.797	161.060	45.104	1.116	0.270
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	-75.372	50.944	45.000	-1.480	0.146
mom_edBachelor Degree	-7.256	28.818	45.000	-0.252	0.802
mom_edHigh School/GED	38.690	51.558	45.000	0.750	0.457
income>\$100,000	15.141	47.004	45.000	0.322	0.749
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	11.721	42.798	45.000	0.274	0.785
sexFemale	50.047	24.503	45.000	2.043	0.047
age_yr	13.766	19.959	45.000	0.690	0.494
PSLarge PS	14.587	10.952	52.000	1.332	0.189
risk_status_momHigh Risk	-58.315	27.337	54.338	-2.133	0.037
PSLarge PS:risk_status_momHigh Risk	2.101	16.781	52.000	0.125	0.901

#### 2.2.2.4 Portion Size Trials



```
$emmeans
risk_status_mom emmean SE df lower.CL upper.CL
Low Risk      317 20.1 45      277      358
High Risk     260 22.6 45      214      305
```

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, PS  
 Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger  
 Confidence level used: 0.95

\$contrasts

contrast	estimate	SE	df	t.ratio	p.value
Low Risk - High Risk	57.3	26	45	2.201	0.0329

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, PS

Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger

\$emmeans

PS	emmean	SE	df	lower.CL	upper.CL
Small PS	281	17.4	50.5	246	316
Large PS	296	17.4	50.5	261	331

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, risk\_status\_mom

Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger

Confidence level used: 0.95

\$contrasts

contrast	estimate	SE	df	t.ratio	p.value
Small PS - Large PS	-15.6	8.39	52	-1.864	0.0680

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, risk\_status\_mom

Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger

\$emmeans

sex	emmean	SE	df	lower.CL	upper.CL
Male	264	22.0	45	219	308
Female	314	19.8	45	274	353

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, PS, risk\_status\_mom

Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger

Confidence level used: 0.95

\$contrasts

contrast	estimate	SE	df	t.ratio	p.value
Male - Female	-50	24.5	45	-2.043	0.0470

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, PS, risk\_status\_mom

Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger

2.3 N-back

Table 18: Nback Performance Summary


Characteristic	0-Back		1-Back		2-Back	
	Low Risk, N = 50	High Risk, N = 37	Low Risk, N = 50	High Risk, N = 37	Low Risk, N = 50	High Risk, N = 37
Hits, N	15.5 (1.6)	15.9 (0.3)	15.2 (1.2)	14.7 (1.8)	10.1 (3.2)	8.7 (1.2)
Hits, %	97.1 (8.8)	99.3 (2.0)	95.1 (7.4)	91.7 (11.0)	62.9 (19.8)	54.4 (11.2)
False Alarm, N	2.0 (6.2)	0.9 (1.2)	0.7 (1.2)	0.5 (0.7)	0.8 (1.2)	1.7 (1.2)
False Alarm, %	4.6 (14.4)	2.1 (2.8)	1.6 (2.8)	1.2 (1.5)	1.9 (2.7)	3.9 (2.8)
Ballanced Acc, %	96.2 (10.3)	98.6 (1.6)	96.7 (4.0)	95.3 (5.4)	80.5 (9.8)	75.2 (11.2)
Target RT, ms	634.5 (93.9)	633.6 (68.1)	739.7 (119.4)	767.3 (98.1)	810.5 (188.1)	871.7 (148.1)

<sup>1</sup> Mean (SD)

### 2.3.1 Ballanced Accuracy

Table 19: Nback Balanced Accuracy - Risk Status x Load

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	91.899	7.717	76.026	11.908	0.000
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	-3.262	2.137	74.000	-1.527	0.131
mom_edBachelor Degree	0.455	1.331	74.000	0.342	0.733
mom_edHigh School/GED	0.172	2.459	74.000	0.070	0.944
income>\$100,000	2.137	2.234	74.000	0.957	0.342
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	2.149	2.011	74.000	1.069	0.289
sexFemale	-0.917	1.169	74.000	-0.785	0.435
age_yr	0.339	0.949	74.000	0.358	0.722
block1-Back	0.549	1.551	162.000	0.354	0.724
block2-Back	-16.052	1.551	162.000	-10.352	0.000
risk_status_momHigh Risk	3.104	1.853	218.046	1.675	0.095
block1-Back:risk_status_momHigh Risk	-3.658	2.388	162.000	-1.532	0.128
block2-Back:risk_status_momHigh Risk	-7.300	2.388	162.000	-3.057	0.003



\$emmeans

block	emmean	SE	df	lower.CL	upper.CL
0-Back	96.4	1.01	191	94.4	98.4
1-Back	95.1	1.01	191	93.1	97.1
2-Back	76.7	1.01	191	74.7	78.7



Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, risk\_status\_mom  
 Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger  
 Confidence level used: 0.95

\$contrasts

contrast	estimate	SE	df	t.ratio	p.value
(0-Back) - (1-Back)	1.28	1.19	162	1.072	0.2854
(0-Back) - (2-Back)	19.70	1.19	162	16.501	<.0001
(1-Back) - (2-Back)	18.42	1.19	162	15.429	<.0001

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, risk\_status\_mom  
 Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger

\$emmeans

risk_status_mom	emmean	SE	df	lower.CL	upper.CL
Low Risk	89.7	0.952	74	87.8	91.6
High Risk	89.1	0.983	74	87.2	91.1

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, block  
 Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger  
 Confidence level used: 0.95

\$contrasts

contrast	estimate	SE	df	t.ratio	p.value
Low Risk - High Risk	0.549	1.24	74	0.443	0.6592

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, block  
 Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger

\$emmeans

block	risk_status_mom	emmean	SE	df	lower.CL	upper.CL
0-Back	Low Risk	94.9	1.31	194	92.3	97.4
1-Back	Low Risk	95.4	1.31	194	92.8	98.0
2-Back	Low Risk	78.8	1.31	194	76.2	81.4
0-Back	High Risk	98.0	1.44	213	95.1	100.8
1-Back	High Risk	94.8	1.44	213	92.0	97.7
2-Back	High Risk	74.6	1.44	213	71.8	77.4

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex  
 Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger  
 Confidence level used: 0.95

\$contrasts

contrast	estimate	SE	df	t.ratio	p.value
(0-Back Low Risk) - (1-Back Low Risk)	-0.54908	1.55	162	-0.354	0.7237
(0-Back Low Risk) - (2-Back Low Risk)	16.05248	1.55	162	10.352	<.0001
(0-Back Low Risk) - (0-Back High Risk)	-3.10395	1.85	218	-1.675	0.0954
(0-Back Low Risk) - (1-Back High Risk)	0.00482	1.85	218	0.003	0.9979
(0-Back Low Risk) - (2-Back High Risk)	20.24836	1.85	218	10.924	<.0001
(1-Back Low Risk) - (2-Back Low Risk)	16.60156	1.55	162	10.706	<.0001
(1-Back Low Risk) - (0-Back High Risk)	-2.55487	1.85	218	-1.378	0.1695
(1-Back Low Risk) - (1-Back High Risk)	0.55390	1.85	218	0.299	0.7653


(1-Back Low Risk) - (2-Back High Risk)	20.79745	1.85	218	11.221	<.0001
(2-Back Low Risk) - (0-Back High Risk)	-19.15643	1.85	218	-10.335	<.0001
(2-Back Low Risk) - (1-Back High Risk)	-16.04766	1.85	218	-8.658	<.0001
(2-Back Low Risk) - (2-Back High Risk)	4.19588	1.85	218	2.264	0.0246
(0-Back High Risk) - (1-Back High Risk)	3.10877	1.82	162	1.712	0.0888
(0-Back High Risk) - (2-Back High Risk)	23.35231	1.82	162	12.859	<.0001
(1-Back High Risk) - (2-Back High Risk)	20.24354	1.82	162	11.147	<.0001

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex  
Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger

### 2.3.2 d'

Table 20: Nback Balanced Accuracy - Risk Status x Load

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	3.435	0.660	75.724	5.202	0.000
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	-0.222	0.183	74.000	-1.214	0.229
mom_edBachelor Degree	0.031	0.114	74.000	0.276	0.784
mom_edHigh School/GED	0.021	0.211	74.000	0.100	0.921
income>\$100,000	0.116	0.191	74.000	0.605	0.547
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	0.139	0.172	74.000	0.808	0.422
sexFemale	0.003	0.100	74.000	0.035	0.972
age_yr	0.003	0.081	74.000	0.034	0.973
block1-Back	0.031	0.123	162.000	0.255	0.799
block2-Back	-1.222	0.123	162.000	-9.972	0.000
risk_status_momHigh Risk	0.216	0.152	207.117	1.419	0.158
block1-Back:risk_status_momHigh Risk	-0.319	0.189	162.000	-1.693	0.092
block2-Back:risk_status_momHigh Risk	-0.540	0.189	162.000	-2.863	0.005



\$emmeans

block	risk_status_mom	emmean	SE	df	lower.CL	upper.CL
0-Back	Low Risk	3.50	0.108	181	3.29	3.71
1-Back	Low Risk	3.53	0.108	181	3.32	3.74
2-Back	Low Risk	2.28	0.108	181	2.07	2.49
0-Back	High Risk	3.72	0.118	201	3.48	3.95
1-Back	High Risk	3.43	0.118	201	3.20	3.66

2-Back High Risk	1.95	0.118	201	1.72	2.19
------------------	------	-------	-----	------	------

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex  
 Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger  
 Confidence level used: 0.95

\$contrasts

contrast	estimate	SE	df	t.ratio	p.value
(0-Back Low Risk) - (1-Back Low Risk)	-0.0313	0.123	162	-0.255	0.7990
(0-Back Low Risk) - (2-Back Low Risk)	1.2217	0.123	162	9.972	<.0001
(0-Back Low Risk) - (0-Back High Risk)	-0.2157	0.152	207	-1.419	0.1575
(0-Back Low Risk) - (1-Back High Risk)	0.0725	0.152	207	0.477	0.6338
(0-Back Low Risk) - (2-Back High Risk)	1.5461	0.152	207	10.168	<.0001
(1-Back Low Risk) - (2-Back Low Risk)	1.2530	0.123	162	10.227	<.0001
(1-Back Low Risk) - (0-Back High Risk)	-0.1844	0.152	207	-1.213	0.2265
(1-Back Low Risk) - (1-Back High Risk)	0.1038	0.152	207	0.683	0.4956
(1-Back Low Risk) - (2-Back High Risk)	1.5773	0.152	207	10.374	<.0001
(2-Back Low Risk) - (0-Back High Risk)	-1.4374	0.152	207	-9.453	<.0001
(2-Back Low Risk) - (1-Back High Risk)	-1.1492	0.152	207	-7.558	<.0001
(2-Back Low Risk) - (2-Back High Risk)	0.3244	0.152	207	2.133	0.0341
(0-Back High Risk) - (1-Back High Risk)	0.2882	0.143	162	2.009	0.0462
(0-Back High Risk) - (2-Back High Risk)	1.7618	0.143	162	12.280	<.0001
(1-Back High Risk) - (2-Back High Risk)	1.4735	0.143	162	10.271	<.0001

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex  
 Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger

\$emmeans

block	emmean	SE	df	lower.CL	upper.CL
0-Back	3.61	0.0838	178	3.44	3.77
1-Back	3.48	0.0838	178	3.31	3.65
2-Back	2.12	0.0838	178	1.95	2.28

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, risk\_status\_mom  
 Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger  
 Confidence level used: 0.95

\$contrasts

contrast	estimate	SE	df	t.ratio	p.value
(0-Back) - (1-Back)	0.128	0.0943	162	1.362	0.1751
(0-Back) - (2-Back)	1.492	0.0943	162	15.814	<.0001
(1-Back) - (2-Back)	1.363	0.0943	162	14.452	<.0001

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, risk\_status\_mom  
 Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger


### 3 Effect of Total Body Fat Percentage

#### 3.1 Go-NoGo

##### 3.1.1 Percent False Alarms

Table 21: Go-NoGo Percent False Alarms - Body Fat Percentage

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	44.029	26.885	1.638	0.105
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	5.348	6.477	0.826	0.411
mom_edBachelor Degree	1.316	4.018	0.328	0.744
mom_edHigh School/GED	-2.863	8.260	-0.347	0.730
income>\$100,000	3.060	6.908	0.443	0.659
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	-1.736	6.241	-0.278	0.782
sexFemale	-5.970	3.924	-1.521	0.132
age_yr	-2.632	2.843	-0.926	0.357
dxa_total_body_perc_fat	0.434	0.446	0.972	0.334



### 3.1.2 Percent Hits

Table 22: Go-NoGo - Percent Hits - Body Fat Percentage

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	94.783	5.286	17.932	0.000
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	2.111	1.273	1.657	0.101
mom_edBachelor Degree	1.023	0.790	1.295	0.199
mom_edHigh School/GED	-0.670	1.624	-0.412	0.681
income>\$100,000	-1.297	1.358	-0.955	0.342
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	-1.598	1.227	-1.302	0.197
sexFemale	1.028	0.772	1.333	0.187
age_yr	0.422	0.559	0.755	0.452
dxa_total_body_perc_fat	-0.032	0.088	-0.365	0.716

### 3.1.3 Go Reaction Time

Table 23: Go-NoGo - Go Reaction Time

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	776.690	100.069	7.762	0.000
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	-20.090	24.109	-0.833	0.407
mom_edBachelor Degree	-20.516	14.954	-1.372	0.174
mom_edHigh School/GED	-23.621	30.746	-0.768	0.445
income>\$100,000	-27.689	25.712	-1.077	0.285
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	-16.752	23.228	-0.721	0.473
sexFemale	17.626	14.607	1.207	0.231
age_yr	-25.916	10.581	-2.449	0.017
dxa_total_body_perc_fat	-0.139	1.660	-0.084	0.934

### 3.1.4 d'

Table 24: Go-NoGo - d'

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	1.707	1.111	1.536	0.128
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	0.064	0.268	0.238	0.813
mom_edBachelor Degree	0.014	0.166	0.084	0.933
mom_edHigh School/GED	-0.082	0.341	-0.239	0.812
income>\$100,000	-0.194	0.286	-0.678	0.500
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	-0.104	0.258	-0.403	0.688
sexFemale	0.266	0.162	1.640	0.105
age_yr	0.144	0.118	1.226	0.224
dxa_total_body_perc_fat	-0.014	0.018	-0.777	0.440


## 3.2 Stop-Signal Task

### 3.2.1 Stop Signal Reaction Time

Table 25: Stop-Signal Task SSRT - Body Fat Percentage

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	502.206	206.079	2.437	0.018
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	76.204	50.563	1.507	0.137
mom_edBachelor Degree	-2.917	28.659	-0.102	0.919
mom_edHigh School/GED	-71.793	57.289	-1.253	0.215
income>\$100,000	-6.392	52.543	-0.122	0.904
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	-3.815	49.405	-0.077	0.939
sexFemale	14.367	26.955	0.533	0.596
age_yr	-37.897	21.108	-1.795	0.078
dxa_total_body_perc_fat	4.551	3.260	1.396	0.168

#### 3.2.1.1 Overall



### 3.2.2 Energy Density Trials

Table 26: Stop-Signal Task SSRT - ED x Body Fat Percentage

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	551.769	187.720	43.148	2.939	0.005
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	94.737	57.930	43.000	1.635	0.109
mom_edBachelor Degree	-22.832	32.019	43.000	-0.713	0.480
mom_edHigh School/GED	-94.068	58.300	43.000	-1.614	0.114
income>\$100,000	-36.382	52.690	43.000	-0.690	0.494
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	-22.773	48.370	43.000	-0.471	0.640
sexFemale	-2.417	30.618	43.000	-0.079	0.937
age_yr	-23.624	23.446	43.000	-1.008	0.319
EDHigh ED	2.882	15.541	50.000	0.185	0.854
bfp_center	7.758	3.991	64.205	1.944	0.056
EDHigh ED:bfp_center	-3.423	3.603	50.000	-0.950	0.347

```
bfp_center bfp_center.trend SE df t.ratio p.value
-0.0406      6.05 3.56 43  1.698 0.0968
```

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, ED  
Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger

\$emmeans

```
ED      emmean SE df lower.CL upper.CL
Low ED   342 20.3 57.5      301      383
High ED  345 20.3 57.5      304      386
```

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex  
Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger  
Confidence level used: 0.95

\$contrasts

```
contrast      estimate SE df t.ratio p.value
Low ED - High ED   -3.02 15.5 50  -0.194 0.8467
```

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex  
Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger



### 3.2.3 Portion Size Trials

Table 27: Stop-Signal Task SSRT - PS x Body Fat Percentage

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	512.847	153.224	45.195	3.347	0.002
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	-30.682	47.800	45.000	-0.642	0.524
mom_edBachelor Degree	-14.543	26.247	45.000	-0.554	0.582
mom_edHigh School/GED	-97.409	49.128	45.000	-1.983	0.054
income>\$100,000	-38.401	44.106	45.000	-0.871	0.389
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	-12.132	40.269	45.000	-0.301	0.765
sexFemale	12.510	24.867	45.000	0.503	0.617
age_yr	-18.626	19.268	45.000	-0.967	0.339
PSLarge PS	-8.906	14.255	52.000	-0.625	0.535
bfp_center	5.662	3.284	70.126	1.724	0.089
PSLarge PS:bfp_center	-1.728	3.132	52.000	-0.552	0.583

```

bfp_center bfp_center.trend SE df t.ratio p.value
0.0436      4.8 2.89 45 1.662 0.1035

```

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, PS  
Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger

\$emmeans

```

PS      emmean SE df lower.CL upper.CL
Small PS 322 17.4 62.8 287 357
Large PS 313 17.4 62.8 278 348

```

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex  
Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger  
Confidence level used: 0.95

\$contrasts

```

contrast      estimate SE df t.ratio p.value
Small PS - Large PS 8.98 14.3 52 0.630 0.5314

```


Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex  
Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger

### 3.2.4 Stop Signal Delay

Table 28: Stop-Signal Task SSD - Body Fat Percentage

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	343.930	165.397	2.079	0.042
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	-116.200	40.582	-2.863	0.006
mom_edBachelor Degree	-26.438	23.001	-1.149	0.255
mom_edHigh School/GED	28.045	45.979	0.610	0.544
income>\$100,000	11.667	42.170	0.277	0.783
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	13.165	39.652	0.332	0.741
sexFemale	45.588	21.634	2.107	0.039
age_yr	10.650	16.941	0.629	0.532
dxa_total_body_perc_fat	-5.183	2.616	-1.981	0.052

#### 3.2.4.1 Overall



#### 3.2.4.2 Energy Density Trials

Table 29: Stop-Signal Task SSD - Body Fat Percentage x ED

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	266.333	165.836	43.054	1.606	0.116
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	-96.110	51.204	43.000	-1.877	0.067
mom_edBachelor Degree	-17.471	28.302	43.000	-0.617	0.540
mom_edHigh School/GED	47.224	51.532	43.000	0.916	0.365
income>\$100,000	24.248	46.573	43.000	0.521	0.605
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	16.907	42.754	43.000	0.395	0.694
sexFemale	66.397	27.063	43.000	2.453	0.018
age_yr	-0.045	20.724	43.000	-0.002	0.998
EDHigh ED	-11.493	8.297	50.000	-1.385	0.172
bfp_center	-7.882	3.292	51.020	-2.394	0.020
EDHigh ED:bfp_center	1.201	1.924	50.000	0.624	0.535

```

bfp_center bfp_center.trend SE df t.ratio p.value
-0.0406      -7.28 3.15 43 -2.313 0.0256

```

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, ED  
Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger

\$emmeans

```

ED      emmean SE df lower.CL upper.CL
Low ED   297 17.1 48.4      262      331
High ED   285 17.1 48.4      251      319

```

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex  
Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger  
Confidence level used: 0.95

\$contrasts

```

contrast      estimate SE df t.ratio p.value
Low ED - High ED    11.5 8.3 50   1.391 0.1703

```

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex  
Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger

Table 30: Stop-Signal Task SSD - Body Fat Percentage x PS

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	245.057	164.891	45.057	1.486	0.144
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	-78.078	51.479	45.000	-1.517	0.136
mom_edBachelor Degree	-19.506	28.267	45.000	-0.690	0.494
mom_edHigh School/GED	42.825	52.909	45.000	0.809	0.423
income>\$100,000	26.385	47.501	45.000	0.555	0.581
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	13.937	43.369	45.000	0.321	0.749
sexFemale	57.258	26.781	45.000	2.138	0.038
age_yr	1.919	20.752	45.000	0.092	0.927
PSLarge PS	15.446	8.284	52.000	1.865	0.068
bfp_center	-6.372	3.239	52.707	-1.967	0.054
PSLarge PS:bfp_center	0.806	1.820	52.000	0.443	0.660

### 3.2.4.3 Portion Size Trials

```
bfp_center bfp_center.trend SE df t.ratio p.value
0.0436      -5.97 3.11 45 -1.920 0.0612
```

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, PS  
Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger

\$emmeans

```
PS      emmean SE df lower.CL upper.CL
Small PS 288 17.6 50.3 253 323
Large PS 304 17.6 50.3 268 339
```

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex  
Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger  
Confidence level used: 0.95

\$contrasts

```
contrast      estimate SE df t.ratio p.value
Small PS - Large PS -15.5 8.28 52 -1.869 0.0673
```


Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex  
Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger

### 3.3 N-back

#### 3.3.1 Ballanced Accuracy

Table 31: Nback Balanced Accuracy - Body Fat Percentage x Load

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	93.461	7.685	75.199	12.161	0.000
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	-3.366	2.092	74.000	-1.609	0.112
mom_edBachelor Degree	0.380	1.304	74.000	0.291	0.772
mom_edHigh School/GED	0.300	2.493	74.000	0.120	0.905
income>\$100,000	2.380	2.219	74.000	1.073	0.287
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	2.253	2.019	74.000	1.116	0.268
sexFemale	-0.744	1.267	74.000	-0.588	0.559
age_yr	0.281	0.961	74.000	0.292	0.771
block1-Back	-0.986	1.192	162.003	-0.828	0.409
block2-Back	-19.106	1.192	162.003	-16.030	0.000
bfp_center	0.201	0.219	216.262	0.919	0.359
block1-Back:bfp_center	-0.183	0.280	162.003	-0.653	0.515
block2-Back:bfp_center	-0.651	0.280	162.003	-2.325	0.021



\$emmeans

block	emmean	SE	df	lower.CL	upper.CL
0-Back	96.2	1.01	191	94.2	98.2
1-Back	95.2	1.01	191	93.2	97.2
2-Back	77.0	1.01	191	75.0	79.0

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex  
 Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger  
 Confidence level used: 0.95

```
$contrasts
contrast      estimate    SE  df t.ratio p.value
(0-Back) - (1-Back)    0.993 1.19 162   0.834  0.6828
(0-Back) - (2-Back)   19.131 1.19 162  16.052 <.0001
(1-Back) - (2-Back)   18.137 1.19 162  15.218 <.0001
```

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex  
 Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger  
 P value adjustment: tukey method for comparing a family of 3 estimates


```
block  bfp_center bfp_center.trend    SE  df t.ratio p.value
0-Back    0.0381          0.2011 0.219 216   0.919  0.3592
1-Back    0.0381          0.0181 0.219 216   0.083  0.9343
2-Back    0.0381        -0.4503 0.219 216  -2.058  0.0408
```

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex  
 Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger

### 3.3.2 d'

Table 32: Nback Balanced Accuracy - Body Fat Percentage x Load

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	3.544	0.659	75.041	5.381	0.000
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	-0.240	0.179	74.000	-1.335	0.186
mom_edBachelor Degree	0.020	0.112	74.000	0.177	0.860
mom_edHigh School/GED	0.027	0.214	74.000	0.124	0.901
income>\$100,000	0.143	0.190	74.000	0.753	0.454
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	0.149	0.173	74.000	0.859	0.393
sexFemale	0.015	0.109	74.000	0.137	0.891
age_yr	-0.001	0.082	74.000	-0.017	0.986
block1-Back	-0.103	0.095	162.000	-1.083	0.281
block2-Back	-1.449	0.095	162.000	-15.208	0.000
bfp_center	0.003	0.018	206.521	0.163	0.871
block1-Back:bfp_center	-0.009	0.022	162.000	-0.389	0.697
block2-Back:bfp_center	-0.021	0.022	162.000	-0.951	0.343



\$emmeans



block	emmean	SE	df	lower.CL	upper.CL
0-Back	3.59	0.0843	179	3.42	3.76
1-Back	3.49	0.0843	179	3.32	3.65
2-Back	2.14	0.0843	179	1.97	2.31

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex  
Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger  
Confidence level used: 0.95

\$contrasts

contrast	estimate	SE	df	t.ratio	p.value
(0-Back) - (1-Back)	0.103	0.0953	162	1.086	0.5239
(0-Back) - (2-Back)	1.449	0.0953	162	15.217	<.0001
(1-Back) - (2-Back)	1.346	0.0953	162	14.131	<.0001

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex  
Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger  
P value adjustment: tukey method for comparing a family of 3 estimates

bfp_center	bfp_center.trend	SE	df	t.ratio	p.value
0.0381	-0.00706	0.0126	74	-0.559	0.5780

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, block  
Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger

## 4 Exploratory Analyses: relative impact of risk and body fat percentage

### 4.1 Go-NoGo

#### 4.1.1 Percent False Alarms

Table 33: Go-NoGo Percent False Alarms - Body Fat Percentage + Risk Status

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	49.377	26.691	1.850	0.068
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	2.917	6.534	0.446	0.657
mom_edBachelor Degree	-0.272	4.062	-0.067	0.947
mom_edHigh School/GED	-3.270	8.152	-0.401	0.689
income>\$100,000	5.797	6.985	0.830	0.409
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	-0.959	6.172	-0.155	0.877
sexFemale	-6.586	3.887	-1.695	0.094
age_yr	-2.694	2.805	-0.961	0.340
risk_status_momHigh Risk	7.310	4.099	1.783	0.078
dxa_total_body_perc_fat	0.156	0.467	0.333	0.740

Table 34: Go-NoGo Percent False Alarms - Relative Weighting Analysis

Variables	Raw.RelWeight	Rescaled.RelWeight	Sign
mom_ed_num	0.003	2.406	+
income_num	0.013	9.666	+
sex_num	0.054	39.855	-
age_yr	0.014	10.542	-
risk_status_mom_num	0.037	27.181	+
dxa_total_body_perc_fat	0.014	10.351	+

## 4.2 Stop-Signal Task

### 4.2.1 Stop Signal Reaction Time

Table 35: Stop-Signal Task SSRT - Body Fat Percentage + Risk Status

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	583.055	203.472	2.866	0.006
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	50.080	50.537	0.991	0.326
mom_edBachelor Degree	-22.290	29.219	-0.763	0.449
mom_edHigh School/GED	-88.884	56.161	-1.583	0.119
income>\$100,000	3.842	51.216	0.075	0.940
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	-6.790	47.972	-0.142	0.888
sexFemale	6.941	26.386	0.263	0.793
age_yr	-41.441	20.552	-2.016	0.048
dxa_total_body_perc_fat	2.373	3.320	0.715	0.478
risk_status_momHigh Risk	62.789	28.990	2.166	0.034

Table 36: Stop-Signal Task SSRT - Relative Weighting Analysis

Variables	Raw.RelWeight	Rescaled.RelWeight	Sign
mom_ed_num	0.005	2.819	+
income_num	0.007	3.795	+
sex_num	0.006	3.120	+
age_yr	0.085	43.778	-
risk_status_mom_num	0.077	39.463	+
dxa_total_body_perc_fat	0.014	7.025	+

#### 4.2.1.1 Overall

### 4.2.2 Energy Density Trials

Table 37: Stop-Signal Task SSRT - ED + Body Fat Percentage + Risk Status

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	633.014	177.965	42.16	3.557	0.001
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	48.601	56.759	42.00	0.856	0.397
mom_edBachelor Degree	-51.092	31.696	42.00	-1.612	0.114
mom_edHigh School/GED	-112.659	54.899	42.00	-2.052	0.046
income>\$100,000	-21.219	49.544	42.00	-0.428	0.671
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	-27.402	45.220	42.00	-0.606	0.548
sexFemale	-11.397	28.796	42.00	-0.396	0.694
age_yr	-36.098	22.386	42.00	-1.612	0.114
EDHigh ED	3.020	15.525	51.00	0.195	0.847
bfp_center	2.606	3.564	42.00	0.731	0.469
risk_status_momHigh Risk	82.736	30.685	42.00	2.696	0.010

### 4.2.3 Portion Size Trials

Table 38: Stop-Signal Task SSRT - PS + Body Fat Percentage + Risk Status

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	470.062	183.367	44.131	2.564	0.014
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	-39.473	46.243	44.000	-0.854	0.398
mom_edBachelor Degree	-28.114	26.091	44.000	-1.078	0.287
mom_edHigh School/GED	-107.786	47.588	44.000	-2.265	0.028
income>\$100,000	-30.376	42.665	44.000	-0.712	0.480
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	-13.078	38.802	44.000	-0.337	0.738
sexFemale	5.035	24.219	44.000	0.208	0.836
age_yr	-24.264	18.756	44.000	-1.294	0.203
PSLarge PS	-8.981	14.160	53.000	-0.634	0.529
dxa_total_body_perc_fat	2.651	2.960	44.000	0.896	0.375
risk_status_momHigh Risk	53.023	25.070	44.000	2.115	0.040

#### 4.2.4 Stop Signal Delay

Table 39: Stop-Signal Task SSD - Body Fat Percentage + Risk Status

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	289.493	165.216	1.752	0.085
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	-98.610	41.035	-2.403	0.019
mom_edBachelor Degree	-13.394	23.725	-0.565	0.575
mom_edHigh School/GED	39.552	45.602	0.867	0.389
income>\$100,000	4.776	41.587	0.115	0.909
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	15.168	38.953	0.389	0.698
sexFemale	50.588	21.425	2.361	0.022
age_yr	13.037	16.688	0.781	0.438
dxa_total_body_perc_fat	-3.717	2.696	-1.379	0.173
risk_status_momHigh Risk	-42.277	23.540	-1.796	0.078

Table 40: Stop-Signal Task SSD - Relative Weighting Analysis

Variables	Raw.RelWeight	Rescaled.RelWeight	Sign
mom_ed_num	0.011	6.395	+
income_num	0.002	1.310	-
sex_num	0.069	39.244	+
age_yr	0.028	15.587	+
risk_status_mom_num	0.052	29.453	-
dxa_total_body_perc_fat	0.014	8.012	-

##### 4.2.4.1 Overall

#### 4.2.4.2 Energy Density Trials

Table 41: Stop-Signal Task SSD - ED + Body Fat Percentage + Risk Status

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	362.164	198.810	42.036	1.822	0.076
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	-67.554	52.336	42.000	-1.291	0.204
mom_edBachelor Degree	0.020	29.226	42.000	0.001	0.999
mom_edHigh School/GED	58.731	50.621	42.000	1.160	0.253
income>\$100,000	14.862	45.683	42.000	0.325	0.747
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	19.773	41.696	42.000	0.474	0.638
sexFemale	71.955	26.552	42.000	2.710	0.010
age_yr	7.676	20.642	42.000	0.372	0.712
EDHigh ED	-11.541	8.247	51.000	-1.400	0.168
risk_status_momHigh Risk	-51.209	28.294	42.000	-1.810	0.077
dxa_total_body_perc_fat	-5.152	3.286	42.000	-1.568	0.124

Table 42: Stop-Signal Task SSD - PS + Body Fat Percentage + Risk Status

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	334.604	201.071	44.037	1.664	0.103
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	-70.575	50.735	44.000	-1.391	0.171
mom_edBachelor Degree	-7.922	28.625	44.000	-0.277	0.783
mom_edHigh School/GED	51.682	52.211	44.000	0.990	0.328
income>\$100,000	19.535	46.810	44.000	0.417	0.678
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	14.745	42.572	44.000	0.346	0.731
sexFemale	63.639	26.572	44.000	2.395	0.021
age_yr	6.731	20.578	44.000	0.327	0.745
PSLarge PS	15.481	8.220	53.000	1.883	0.065
risk_status_momHigh Risk	-45.258	27.505	44.000	-1.645	0.107
dxa_total_body_perc_fat	-4.137	3.248	44.000	-1.274	0.209

#### 4.2.4.3 Portion Size Trials

## 4.3 N-back

### 4.3.1 Ballanced Accuracy

Table 43: Nback Balanced Accuracy - Body Fat Percentage x Load + Risk Status x Load

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	92.300	7.783	75.081	11.859	0.000
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	-3.239	2.150	73.000	-1.506	0.136
mom_edBachelor Degree	0.458	1.339	73.000	0.342	0.733
mom_edHigh School/GED	0.360	2.517	73.000	0.143	0.887
income>\$100,000	2.263	2.269	73.000	0.998	0.322
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	2.233	2.033	73.000	1.098	0.276
sexFemale	-0.715	1.278	73.000	-0.560	0.577
age_yr	0.276	0.968	73.000	0.285	0.777
block1-Back	0.506	1.595	160.000	0.317	0.752
block2-Back	-16.558	1.595	160.000	-10.380	0.000
risk_status_momHigh Risk	2.821	1.975	216.676	1.428	0.155
bfp_center	0.081	0.232	213.592	0.350	0.727
block1-Back:risk_status_momHigh Risk	-3.551	2.559	160.000	-1.388	0.167
block2-Back:risk_status_momHigh Risk	-6.065	2.559	160.000	-2.370	0.019
block1-Back:bfp_center	-0.034	0.297	160.000	-0.115	0.908
block2-Back:bfp_center	-0.397	0.297	160.000	-1.338	0.183

\$emmeans

risk_status_mom	emmean	SE	df	lower.CL	upper.CL
Low Risk	89.6	0.968	73	87.7	91.6
High Risk	89.2	1.025	73	87.2	91.3

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, block

Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger

Confidence level used: 0.95

\$contrasts

contrast	estimate	SE	df	t.ratio	p.value
Low Risk - High Risk	0.384	1.31	73	0.293	0.7704

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, block

Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger

\$emmeans

block	risk_status_mom	emmean	SE	df	lower.CL	upper.CL
0-Back	Low Risk	95.0	1.34	193	92.3	97.6
1-Back	Low Risk	95.5	1.34	193	92.9	98.1
2-Back	Low Risk	78.4	1.34	193	75.8	81.1
0-Back	High Risk	97.8	1.50	210	94.9	100.8
1-Back	High Risk	94.8	1.50	210	91.8	97.7
2-Back	High Risk	75.2	1.50	210	72.2	78.1

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex



Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger  
Confidence level used: 0.95

\$contrasts

contrast	estimate	SE	df	t.ratio	p.value
(0-Back Low Risk) - (1-Back Low Risk)	-0.504	1.60	160	-0.316	0.7528
(0-Back Low Risk) - (2-Back Low Risk)	16.573	1.60	160	10.372	<.0001
(0-Back Low Risk) - (0-Back High Risk)	-2.821	1.98	217	-1.428	0.1547
(0-Back Low Risk) - (1-Back High Risk)	0.226	1.92	210	0.118	0.9065
(0-Back Low Risk) - (2-Back High Risk)	19.817	1.92	210	10.310	<.0001
(1-Back Low Risk) - (2-Back Low Risk)	17.078	1.60	160	10.687	<.0001
(1-Back Low Risk) - (0-Back High Risk)	-2.317	1.92	210	-1.205	0.2294
(1-Back Low Risk) - (1-Back High Risk)	0.730	1.98	217	0.370	0.7120
(1-Back Low Risk) - (2-Back High Risk)	20.321	1.92	210	10.572	<.0001
(2-Back Low Risk) - (0-Back High Risk)	-19.395	1.92	210	-10.091	<.0001
(2-Back Low Risk) - (1-Back High Risk)	-16.347	1.92	210	-8.505	<.0001
(2-Back Low Risk) - (2-Back High Risk)	3.243	1.98	217	1.642	0.1021
(0-Back High Risk) - (1-Back High Risk)	3.047	1.89	160	1.611	0.1092
(0-Back High Risk) - (2-Back High Risk)	22.638	1.89	160	11.966	<.0001
(1-Back High Risk) - (2-Back High Risk)	19.591	1.89	160	10.355	<.0001

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex  
Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger

bfp_center	bfp_center.trend	SE	df	t.ratio	p.value
0.0381	-0.0628	0.156	73	-0.402	0.6887

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, block, risk\_status\_mom  
Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger

Table 44: Nback Balanced Accuracy - Relative Weighting Analysis

Variables	Raw.RelWeight	Rescaled.RelWeight	Sign
mom_ed_num	0.002	0.484	+
income_num	0.002	0.404	+
sex_num	0.000	0.109	-
age_yr	0.000	0.046	+
block_num	0.333	73.212	-
risk_status_mom_num	0.015	3.284	+
bfp_center	0.001	0.261	+
blockrisk_status_mom	0.096	21.072	-
blockbfp_center	0.005	1.129	-

### 4.3.2 d'

Table 45: Nback dprime - Body Fat Percentage x Load + Risk Status x Load

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	3.449	0.666	74.794	5.179	0.000
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	-0.220	0.184	73.000	-1.196	0.235
mom_edBachelor Degree	0.032	0.115	73.000	0.276	0.783
mom_edHigh School/GED	0.036	0.216	73.000	0.166	0.869
income>\$100,000	0.126	0.194	73.000	0.646	0.520
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	0.146	0.174	73.000	0.837	0.406
sexFemale	0.019	0.109	73.000	0.176	0.861
age_yr	-0.002	0.083	73.000	-0.027	0.979
block1-Back	0.038	0.127	160.000	0.300	0.764
block2-Back	-1.220	0.127	160.000	-9.614	0.000
risk_status_momHigh Risk	0.236	0.163	207.070	1.450	0.149
bfp_center	-0.007	0.019	203.450	-0.378	0.706
block1-Back:risk_status_momHigh Risk	-0.336	0.204	160.000	-1.652	0.101
block2-Back:risk_status_momHigh Risk	-0.545	0.204	160.000	-2.677	0.008
block1-Back:bfp_center	0.005	0.024	160.000	0.227	0.821
block2-Back:bfp_center	0.002	0.024	160.000	0.065	0.948

\$emmeans

risk_status_mom	emmean	SE	df	lower.CL	upper.CL
Low Risk	3.10	0.0829	73	2.93	3.26
High Risk	3.04	0.0878	73	2.87	3.22

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, block  
Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger  
Confidence level used: 0.95

\$contrasts

contrast	estimate	SE	df	t.ratio	p.value
Low Risk - High Risk	0.058	0.112	73	0.516	0.6074

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, block  
Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger

\$emmeans

block	risk_status_mom	emmean	SE	df	lower.CL	upper.CL
0-Back	Low Risk	3.49	0.111	181	3.27	3.71
1-Back	Low Risk	3.53	0.111	181	3.31	3.75
2-Back	Low Risk	2.27	0.111	181	2.06	2.49
0-Back	High Risk	3.73	0.123	199	3.49	3.97
1-Back	High Risk	3.43	0.123	199	3.19	3.67
2-Back	High Risk	1.96	0.123	199	1.72	2.21

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex  
Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger

Confidence level used: 0.95

\$contrasts

contrast	estimate	SE	df	t.ratio	p.value
(0-Back Low Risk) - (1-Back Low Risk)	-0.0383	0.127	160	-0.301	0.7636
(0-Back Low Risk) - (2-Back Low Risk)	1.2197	0.127	160	9.597	<.0001
(0-Back Low Risk) - (0-Back High Risk)	-0.2357	0.163	207	-1.450	0.1485
(0-Back Low Risk) - (1-Back High Risk)	0.0622	0.158	200	0.392	0.6952
(0-Back Low Risk) - (2-Back High Risk)	1.5288	0.158	200	9.649	<.0001
(1-Back Low Risk) - (2-Back Low Risk)	1.2580	0.127	160	9.899	<.0001
(1-Back Low Risk) - (0-Back High Risk)	-0.1974	0.158	200	-1.246	0.2142
(1-Back Low Risk) - (1-Back High Risk)	0.1005	0.163	207	0.618	0.5373
(1-Back Low Risk) - (2-Back High Risk)	1.5671	0.158	200	9.890	<.0001
(2-Back Low Risk) - (0-Back High Risk)	-1.4554	0.158	200	-9.185	<.0001
(2-Back Low Risk) - (1-Back High Risk)	-1.1575	0.158	200	-7.305	<.0001
(2-Back Low Risk) - (2-Back High Risk)	0.3091	0.163	207	1.902	0.0586
(0-Back High Risk) - (1-Back High Risk)	0.2979	0.150	160	1.980	0.0494
(0-Back High Risk) - (2-Back High Risk)	1.7645	0.150	160	11.727	<.0001
(1-Back High Risk) - (2-Back High Risk)	1.4667	0.150	160	9.748	<.0001

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex

Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger

bfp_center	bfp_center.trend	SE	df	t.ratio	p.value
0.0381	-0.00491	0.0134	73	-0.367	0.7145

Results are averaged over the levels of: mom\_ed, income, sex, block, risk\_status\_mom

Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger

Table 46: Nback dprime - Relative Weighting Analysis

Variables	Raw.RelWeight	Rescaled.RelWeight	Sign
mom_ed_num	0.002	0.410	+
income_num	0.001	0.272	+
sex_num	0.000	0.090	+
age_yr	0.000	0.027	-
block_num	0.318	73.655	-
risk_status_mom_num	0.014	3.352	+
bfp_center	0.001	0.135	-
blockrisk_status_mom	0.093	21.511	-
blockbfp_center	0.002	0.547	-

## 5 Exploratory Analyses: remove BMI percentile $\geq 85$ (reviewer comment)

### 5.1 Go-NoGo

#### 5.1.1 Percent False Alarms

Table 47: Go-NoGo Percent False Alarms - Body Fat Percentage

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	50.753	22.737	2.232	0.029
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	1.643	6.762	0.243	0.809
mom_edBachelor Degree	-0.959	4.010	-0.239	0.812
mom_edHigh School/GED	-5.032	7.942	-0.634	0.528
income>\$100,000	4.361	6.851	0.636	0.526
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	-1.381	6.050	-0.228	0.820
sexFemale	-6.759	3.694	-1.830	0.071
age_yr	-2.211	2.771	-0.798	0.428
risk_status_momHigh Risk	6.426	3.946	1.629	0.108

#### 5.1.2 Percent Hits

Table 48: Go-NoGo - Percent Hits - Body Fat Percentage

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	92.425	4.513	20.479	0.000
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	1.910	1.342	1.423	0.159
mom_edBachelor Degree	1.283	0.796	1.612	0.111
mom_edHigh School/GED	-0.459	1.576	-0.291	0.772
income>\$100,000	-1.343	1.360	-0.988	0.327
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	-1.444	1.201	-1.202	0.233
sexFemale	0.805	0.733	1.097	0.276
age_yr	0.596	0.550	1.083	0.282
risk_status_momHigh Risk	0.301	0.783	0.384	0.702

### 5.1.3 Go Reaction Time

Table 49: Go-NoGo - Go Reaction Time

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	798.498	87.347	9.142	0.000
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	-5.082	25.978	-0.196	0.845
mom_edBachelor Degree	-19.352	15.405	-1.256	0.213
mom_edHigh School/GED	-22.392	30.511	-0.734	0.465
income>\$100,000	-20.794	26.319	-0.790	0.432
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	-11.924	23.240	-0.513	0.609
sexFemale	22.221	14.191	1.566	0.122
age_yr	-30.027	10.644	-2.821	0.006
risk_status_momHigh Risk	-0.244	15.157	-0.016	0.987

### 5.1.4 d'

Table 50: Go-NoGo - d'

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	1.364	0.938	1.453	0.150
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	0.198	0.279	0.708	0.481
mom_edBachelor Degree	0.114	0.166	0.686	0.495
mom_edHigh School/GED	0.030	0.328	0.091	0.928
income>\$100,000	-0.252	0.283	-0.890	0.376
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	-0.109	0.250	-0.435	0.665
sexFemale	0.296	0.152	1.942	0.056
age_yr	0.144	0.114	1.259	0.212
risk_status_momHigh Risk	-0.199	0.163	-1.222	0.226

## 5.2 Stop-Signal Task

### 5.2.1 Stop Signal Reaction Time

Table 51: Stop-Signal Task SSRT - Body Fat Percentage

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	714.639	169.526	4.216	0.000
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	54.874	55.964	0.981	0.331
mom_edBachelor Degree	-25.863	29.798	-0.868	0.389
mom_edHigh School/GED	-88.520	55.984	-1.581	0.119
income>\$100,000	21.351	52.018	0.410	0.683
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	1.083	48.532	0.022	0.982
sexFemale	13.195	25.789	0.512	0.611
age_yr	-51.284	20.631	-2.486	0.016
risk_status_momHigh Risk	70.093	29.206	2.400	0.020

#### 5.2.1.1 Overall

### 5.2.2 Stop Signal Delay

Table 52: Stop-Signal Task SSD - Body Fat Percentage

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	153.780	140.218	1.097	0.277
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	-85.788	46.289	-1.853	0.069
mom_edBachelor Degree	-10.958	24.647	-0.445	0.658
mom_edHigh School/GED	29.996	46.306	0.648	0.520
income>\$100,000	-6.696	43.026	-0.156	0.877
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	13.417	40.142	0.334	0.739
sexFemale	42.259	21.331	1.981	0.052
age_yr	18.835	17.065	1.104	0.274
risk_status_momHigh Risk	-62.436	24.157	-2.585	0.012

#### 5.2.2.1 Overall

## 5.3 N-back

### 5.3.1 Ballanced Accuracy

Table 53: Nback Balanced Accuracy - Body Fat Percentage x Load

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	91.840	7.965	71.921	11.531	0.000
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	-2.457	2.313	70.000	-1.062	0.292
mom_edBachelor Degree	0.654	1.370	70.000	0.477	0.635
mom_edHigh School/GED	0.309	2.494	70.000	0.124	0.902
income>\$100,000	2.122	2.281	70.000	0.931	0.355
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	2.375	2.039	70.000	1.164	0.248
sexFemale	-0.691	1.242	70.000	-0.556	0.580
age_yr	0.296	0.979	70.000	0.303	0.763
block1-Back	0.573	1.602	154.000	0.358	0.721
block2-Back	-15.558	1.602	154.000	-9.711	0.000
risk_status_momHigh Risk	3.076	1.943	204.004	1.583	0.115
block1-Back:risk_status_momHigh Risk	-3.715	2.479	154.000	-1.499	0.136
block2-Back:risk_status_momHigh Risk	-7.668	2.479	154.000	-3.093	0.002

### 5.3.2 d'

Table 54: Nback Balanced Accuracy - Body Fat Percentage x Load

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	3.418	0.668	71.745	5.120	0.000
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	-0.110	0.194	70.000	-0.566	0.574
mom_edBachelor Degree	0.066	0.115	70.000	0.572	0.569
mom_edHigh School/GED	0.046	0.209	70.000	0.218	0.828
income>\$100,000	0.120	0.191	70.000	0.628	0.532
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	0.167	0.171	70.000	0.974	0.333
sexFemale	0.042	0.104	70.000	0.407	0.685
age_yr	-0.001	0.082	70.000	-0.017	0.986
block1-Back	0.039	0.128	154.000	0.308	0.759
block2-Back	-1.212	0.128	154.000	-9.460	0.000
risk_status_momHigh Risk	0.204	0.159	197.704	1.281	0.202
block1-Back:risk_status_momHigh Risk	-0.320	0.198	154.000	-1.614	0.109
block2-Back:risk_status_momHigh Risk	-0.552	0.198	154.000	-2.788	0.006



## 6 Exploratory Analyses: adjust for BMI-Z score (reviewer comment)

### 6.1 Go-NoGo

#### 6.1.1 Percent False Alarms

Table 55: Go-NoGo Percent False Alarms - Body Fat Percentage

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	54.582	22.869	2.387	0.019
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	2.221	6.546	0.339	0.735
mom_edBachelor Degree	-0.125	4.044	-0.031	0.975
mom_edHigh School/GED	-4.069	8.103	-0.502	0.617
income>\$100,000	4.013	7.238	0.554	0.581
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	-2.100	6.263	-0.335	0.738
sexFemale	-5.787	3.614	-1.601	0.113
age_yr	-2.604	2.786	-0.935	0.353
bmi_z	2.321	2.475	0.938	0.351
risk_status_momHigh Risk	6.509	4.072	1.599	0.114

#### 6.1.2 Percent Hits

Table 56: Go-NoGo - Percent Hits - Body Fat Percentage

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	93.893	4.609	20.370	0.000
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	2.001	1.319	1.517	0.133
mom_edBachelor Degree	1.025	0.815	1.257	0.213
mom_edHigh School/GED	-0.864	1.633	-0.529	0.598
income>\$100,000	-1.468	1.459	-1.006	0.317
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	-1.684	1.262	-1.334	0.186
sexFemale	0.950	0.728	1.304	0.196
age_yr	0.452	0.562	0.805	0.423
bmi_z	0.172	0.499	0.344	0.731
risk_status_momHigh Risk	-0.168	0.821	-0.205	0.838

### 6.1.3 Go Reaction Time

Table 57: Go-NoGo - Go Reaction Time

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	768.020	86.528	8.876	0.000
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	-17.774	24.770	-0.718	0.475
mom_edBachelor Degree	-22.027	15.303	-1.439	0.154
mom_edHigh School/GED	-18.922	30.658	-0.617	0.539
income>\$100,000	-17.556	27.388	-0.641	0.523
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	-11.059	23.699	-0.467	0.642
sexFemale	14.904	13.675	1.090	0.279
age_yr	-26.584	10.542	-2.522	0.014
bmi_z	-10.628	9.364	-1.135	0.260
risk_status_momHigh Risk	8.959	15.406	0.582	0.563

### 6.1.4 d'

Table 58: Go-NoGo - d'

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	1.378	0.956	1.441	0.154
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	0.149	0.274	0.545	0.588
mom_edBachelor Degree	0.066	0.169	0.392	0.696
mom_edHigh School/GED	-0.070	0.339	-0.208	0.836
income>\$100,000	-0.273	0.303	-0.903	0.369
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	-0.120	0.262	-0.458	0.648
sexFemale	0.269	0.151	1.780	0.079
age_yr	0.147	0.117	1.262	0.211
bmi_z	-0.022	0.103	-0.213	0.832
risk_status_momHigh Risk	-0.251	0.170	-1.474	0.144

## 6.2 Stop-Signal Task

### 6.2.1 Stop Signal Reaction Time

Table 59: Stop-Signal Task SSRT - Body Fat Percentage

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	667.004	165.754	4.024	0.000
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	53.200	51.067	1.042	0.302
mom_edBachelor Degree	-24.900	29.130	-0.855	0.396
mom_edHigh School/GED	-80.102	56.330	-1.422	0.160
income>\$100,000	9.716	53.833	0.180	0.857
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	-4.849	48.658	-0.100	0.921
sexFemale	13.555	24.698	0.549	0.585
age_yr	-44.847	20.226	-2.217	0.030
bmi_z	-4.203	17.810	-0.236	0.814
risk_status_momHigh Risk	71.570	29.696	2.410	0.019

#### 6.2.1.1 Overall

### 6.2.2 Stop Signal Delay

Table 60: Stop-Signal Task SSD - Body Fat Percentage

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	153.586	135.659	1.132	0.262
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	-97.589	41.795	-2.335	0.023
mom_edBachelor Degree	-9.848	23.841	-0.413	0.681
mom_edHigh School/GED	34.305	46.102	0.744	0.460
income>\$100,000	10.829	44.059	0.246	0.807
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	18.692	39.823	0.469	0.641
sexFemale	39.269	20.214	1.943	0.057
age_yr	17.034	16.554	1.029	0.308
bmi_z	-10.168	14.576	-0.698	0.488
risk_status_momHigh Risk	-46.048	24.304	-1.895	0.063

#### 6.2.2.1 Overall

## 6.3 N-back

### 6.3.1 Ballanced Accuracy

Table 61: Nback Balanced Accuracy - Body Fat Percentage x Load

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	91.917	7.769	74.972	11.831	0.000
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	-3.228	2.164	73.000	-1.492	0.140
mom_edBachelor Degree	0.444	1.342	73.000	0.331	0.742
mom_edHigh School/GED	0.208	2.488	73.000	0.084	0.934
income>\$100,000	2.241	2.361	73.000	0.949	0.346
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	2.217	2.078	73.000	1.067	0.289
sexFemale	-0.930	1.180	73.000	-0.788	0.433
age_yr	0.324	0.961	73.000	0.337	0.737
bmi_z	-0.118	0.820	73.000	-0.144	0.886
block1-Back	0.549	1.551	162.000	0.354	0.724
block2-Back	-16.052	1.551	162.000	-10.352	0.000
risk_status_momHigh Risk	3.159	1.898	209.459	1.665	0.097
block1-Back:risk_status_momHigh Risk	-3.658	2.388	162.000	-1.532	0.128
block2-Back:risk_status_momHigh Risk	-7.300	2.388	162.000	-3.057	0.003

### 6.3.2 d'

Table 62: Nback Balanced Accuracy - Body Fat Percentage x Load

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	3.439	0.664	74.680	5.178	0.000
mom_edAA/Technical Degree	-0.215	0.185	73.000	-1.160	0.250
mom_edBachelor Degree	0.029	0.115	73.000	0.252	0.802
mom_edHigh School/GED	0.029	0.213	73.000	0.136	0.892
income>\$100,000	0.139	0.202	73.000	0.686	0.495
income\$51,000 - \$100,000	0.154	0.178	73.000	0.866	0.389
sexFemale	0.001	0.101	73.000	0.007	0.994
age_yr	-0.001	0.082	73.000	-0.008	0.993
bmi_z	-0.026	0.070	73.000	-0.371	0.712
block1-Back	0.031	0.123	162.000	0.255	0.799
block2-Back	-1.222	0.123	162.000	-9.972	0.000
risk_status_momHigh Risk	0.228	0.156	197.549	1.461	0.146
block1-Back:risk_status_momHigh Risk	-0.319	0.189	162.000	-1.693	0.092
block2-Back:risk_status_momHigh Risk	-0.540	0.189	162.000	-2.863	0.005