LOC Structural

knitr::opts_chunk\$set(tidy = TRUE, tidy.opts = list(comment = FALSE), echo = TRUE, fig.width=6, fig.hei

Participant Characteristics

Table 1: Demographics

	Overall	LOC Groups		
Characteristic	N = 143	No, N = 106	Yes, $N = 37$	
Sex				
Female	73 (51%)	52 (49%)	21 (57%)	
Male	70 (49%)	54 (51%)	16 (43%)	
Age, yr	8.9(1.3)	9.0(1.3)	8.7(1.3)	
BMI	17.5 (3.5)	17.1 (3.1)	18.6 (4.3)	
BMI Percentile	55.3 (28.2)	51.4 (27.8)	66.3 (27.0)	
BMI Z-score	0.2 (1.0)	0.1 (0.9)	0.6 (1.0)	
bmi_class	, ,	, ,	, ,	
$_{ m HW}$	113 (79%)	87 (82%)	26 (70%)	
OB	14 (9.8%)	8 (7.5%)	6 (16%)	
OW	16 (11%)	11 (10%)	5 (14%)	
Ethnicity				
$_{ m HL}$	2(1.6%)	1 (1.1%)	1(2.9%)	
NotHL	123 (98%)	89 (99%)	34 (97%)	
Unknown	18	16	2	
Race				
Asian	4(2.8%)	4(3.8%)	0 (0%)	
Black	4(2.8%)	1(0.9%)	3 (8.1%)	
White	135 (94%)	101 (95%)	34 (92%)	
Mother's Education				
<ba< td=""><td>38 (28%)</td><td>25 (25%)</td><td>13 (35%)</td></ba<>	38 (28%)	25 (25%)	13 (35%)	
>BA	48 (35%)	37 (37%)	11 (30%)	
BA	51 (37%)	38 (38%)	13 (35%)	
Unknown	6	6	0	
Income				
<\$51,000	25 (18%)	15 (14%)	10 (28%)	
>\$100,000	51 (36%)	43 (41%)	8 (22%)	
\$51,000-\$100,000	64 (46%)	46 (44%)	18 (50%)	
Unknown	3	2	1	
Total Intercranial Volume	1,517.6 (117.9)	$1,522.4 \ (117.4)$	1,504.0 (119.8	
IQR	82.1 (1.1)	82.1 (1.0)	82.1 (1.3)	

¹ n (%); Mean (SD)

Welch Two Sample t-test

data: age_yr by loc1

t = 1.1263, df = 63.747, p-value = 0.2643 alternative hypothesis: true difference in means between group No and group Yes is not equal to 0 95 percent confidence interval: -0.2162339 0.7751168 sample estimates: mean in group No mean in group Yes 8.960823 8.681381 No Yes 1.314100 1.294109 Welch Two Sample t-test data: bmi by loc1 t = -1.9761, df = 49.117, p-value = 0.05378 alternative hypothesis: true difference in means between group No and group Yes is not equal to 0 95 percent confidence interval: -3.0864301 0.0258582 sample estimates: mean in group No mean in group Yes 17.08803 18.61831 Nο Yes 3.070295 4.347288 Welch Two Sample t-test data: bmi_p by loc1 t = -2.86, df = 64.576, p-value = 0.0057 alternative hypothesis: true difference in means between group No and group Yes is not equal to 0 95 percent confidence interval: -25.209498 -4.476882 sample estimates: mean in group No mean in group Yes 51.42519 66.26838 No Yes 27.78142 26.96677 Welch Two Sample t-test data: bmi_z by loc1 t = -2.8953, df = 59.891, p-value = 0.005279 alternative hypothesis: true difference in means between group No and group Yes is not equal to 0 95 percent confidence interval:
-0.9096446 -0.1662823
sample estimates:
mean in group No mean in group Yes
0.08745459 0.62541807
No Yes
0.9317736 0.9870819

Pearson's Chi-squared test with Yates' continuity correction

data: xtabs(~sex + loc1, data = loc_dat)
X-squared = 0.3791, df = 1, p-value = 0.5381

Fisher's Exact Test for Count Data

data: xtabs(~race + loc1, data = loc_dat)
p-value = 0.06192
alternative hypothesis: two.sided

Fisher's Exact Test for Count Data

data: xtabs(~ethnicity + loc1, data = loc_dat)
p-value = 0.4832
alternative hypothesis: true odds ratio is not equal to 1
95 percent confidence interval:
 0.004822008 30.861981270
sample estimates:
odds ratio
 0.3854397

Pearson's Chi-squared test

data: xtabs(~income + loc1, data = loc_dat)
X-squared = 5.5505, df = 2, p-value = 0.06233

Pearson's Chi-squared test

data: xtabs(~mom_ed + loc1, data = loc_dat)
X-squared = 1.4672, df = 2, p-value = 0.4802

MRI quality

sample estimates:

```
age_yr bmi_p tiv
                               iqr_ratio
                11 11
age_yr
                        11 11
                               11 11
bmi_p
          "0.35" ""
          "0.08" "0.14" ""
tiv
iqr_ratio "0.11" "0.03" "0.08" ""
   Welch Two Sample t-test
data: tiv by loc1
t = 0.808, df = 61.82, p-value = 0.4222
alternative hypothesis: true difference in means between group No and group Yes is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 -27.11040 63.89191
sample estimates:
mean in group No mean in group Yes
                           1503.990
         1522.381
     No
              Yes
117.4500 119.8049
   Welch Two Sample t-test
data: iqr_ratio by loc1
t = -0.28381, df = 53.994, p-value = 0.7776
alternative hypothesis: true difference in means between group No and group Yes is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
-0.5306559 0.3990496
sample estimates:
mean in group No mean in group Yes
         82.07717
                           82.14297
              Yes
     No
1.043734 1.268398
   Welch Two Sample t-test
data: tiv by sex
t = -6.1111, df = 141, p-value = 9.144e-09
alternative hypothesis: true difference in means between group Female and group Male is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
-142.25682 -72.71435
```

mean in group Female mean in group Male 1465.007 1572.493

Female Male 107.4596 102.8681

Welch Two Sample t-test

data: iqr_ratio by sex

t = 0.10362, df = 140.76, p-value = 0.9176

alternative hypothesis: true difference in means between group Female and group Male is not equal to 0 95 percent confidence interval:

-0.3459219 0.3841880

sample estimates:

mean in group Female mean in group Male

82.10356 82.08443

Female Male 1.150069 1.057596

Matched Sample

Table 2: Demographics - Matched Subset

Overall	LOC Groups	
N = 74	No, N = 37	Yes, $N = 37$
43 (58%) 31 (42%) 8.6 (1.3) 18.0 (4.0) 60.7 (28.3)	22 (59%) 15 (41%) 8.6 (1.2) 17.4 (3.7) 55.2 (28.8)	21 (57%) 16 (43%) 8.7 (1.3) 18.6 (4.3) 66.3 (27.0)
-0.2 (0.7) 50 53 (72%)	-0.3 (0.7) 24 27 (73%)	0.0 (0.7) 26 26 (70%)
10 (14%) 11 (15%) 1 (1.5%) 65 (98%)	4 (11%) 6 (16%) 0 (0%) 31 (100%)	6 (16%) 5 (14%) 1 (2.9%) 34 (97%)
8 2 (2.7%) 3 (4.1%) 69 (93%)	6 2 (5.4%) 0 (0%) 35 (95%)	2 0 (0%) 3 (8.1%) 34 (92%)
20 (27%) 27 (36%) 27 (36%)	7 (19%) 16 (43%) 14 (38%)	13 (35%) 11 (30%) 13 (35%)
16 (22%) 24 (33%) 32 (44%) 2 1,513.5 (114.8)	6 (17%) 16 (44%) 14 (39%) 1 1,523.0 (110.5)	10 (28%) 8 (22%) 18 (50%) 1 1,504.0 (119.8) 82.1 (1.3)
	N = 74 43 (58%) 31 (42%) 8.6 (1.3) 18.0 (4.0) 60.7 (28.3) -0.2 (0.7) 50 53 (72%) 10 (14%) 11 (15%) 65 (98%) 8 2 (2.7%) 3 (4.1%) 69 (93%) 20 (27%) 27 (36%) 27 (36%) 27 (36%) 24 (33%) 32 (44%) 2	N = 74 No, N = 37 43 (58%) 22 (59%) 31 (42%) 15 (41%) 8.6 (1.3) 8.6 (1.2) 18.0 (4.0) 17.4 (3.7) 60.7 (28.3) 55.2 (28.8) -0.2 (0.7) -0.3 (0.7) 50 24 53 (72%) 27 (73%) 10 (14%) 4 (11%) 11 (15%) 6 (16%) 1 (1.5%) 0 (0%) 65 (98%) 31 (100%) 8 6 2 (2.7%) 2 (5.4%) 3 (4.1%) 0 (0%) 69 (93%) 35 (95%) 20 (27%) 7 (19%) 27 (36%) 16 (43%) 27 (36%) 14 (38%) 16 (22%) 6 (17%) 24 (33%) 16 (44%) 32 (44%) 14 (39%) 2 1 1,513.5 (114.8) 1,523.0 (110.5)

¹ n (%); Mean (SD)

Table 3: Grey Matter Volume - OFC

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	-0.494	0.391	-1.263	0.212
loc1Yes	0.097	0.045	2.146	0.036
tiv	0.002	0.000	7.919	0.000
age_yr	-0.010	0.021	-0.502	0.617
sexMale	0.023	0.058	0.397	0.692
bmi_hw_dummy study_dummy	0.159 -0.009	$0.058 \\ 0.024$	2.752 -0.393	$0.008 \\ 0.696$

Table 4: Grey Matter Volume - Parahippocampal Gyrus

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$
(Intercept)	0.452	0.403	1.120	0.267
loc1Yes	-0.116	0.047	-2.497	0.015
tiv	0.002	0.000	6.352	0.000
age_yr	0.015	0.021	0.725	0.472
sexMale	0.112	0.060	1.868	0.067
bmi_hw_dummy study_dummy	$0.111 \\ 0.054$	$0.060 \\ 0.025$	$1.865 \\ 2.199$	$0.067 \\ 0.032$

Table 5: Grey Matter Volume - Cerebellum Lobule IV

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$
(Intercept)	4.143	1.256	3.298	0.002
loc1Yes	-0.132	0.145	-0.913	0.365
tiv	0.003	0.001	3.378	0.001
age_yr	-0.056	0.066	-0.840	0.404
sexMale	0.059	0.186	0.319	0.751
bmi_hw_dummy study_dummy	0.081 -0.047	$0.186 \\ 0.077$	0.436 -0.606	$0.664 \\ 0.547$

Table 6: Grey Matter Volume - CA4

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$
(Intercept)	0.112	0.098	1.149	0.255
loc1Yes	-0.031	0.011	-2.797	0.007
tiv	0.000	0.000	5.631	0.000
age_yr	0.007	0.005	1.359	0.179
sexMale	0.009	0.014	0.591	0.556
bmi_hw_dummy study_dummy	$0.015 \\ 0.002$	$0.014 \\ 0.006$	$1.039 \\ 0.320$	$0.303 \\ 0.750$

Table 7: Sulci Depth - ACC

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	2.017	0.218	9.247	0.000
loc1Yes	0.115	0.043	2.703	0.009
age_yr	0.015	0.020	0.779	0.439
sexMale	0.074	0.044	1.687	0.097
bmi_hw_dummy	0.082	0.054	1.526	0.132
$study_dummy$	0.029	0.022	1.312	0.194

Table 8: Sulci Depth - Cuneus

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$
(Intercept)	2.701	0.236	11.447	0.000
loc1Yes	0.047	0.046	1.021	0.311
age_yr	-0.028	0.021	-1.326	0.190
sexMale	0.047	0.047	0.989	0.326
bmi_hw_dummy	-0.043	0.058	-0.742	0.461
$study_dummy$	0.025	0.024	1.025	0.310

Table 9: Cortical Complexity - Insula

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$
(Intercept)	2.133	0.113	18.796	0.000
loc1Yes	0.061	0.022	2.764	0.008
age_yr	0.024	0.010	2.402	0.019
sexMale	0.060	0.023	2.625	0.011
bmi_hw_dummy	0.024	0.028	0.862	0.392
$study_dummy$	-0.003	0.012	-0.275	0.784