# Modelled regional income timeseries

# Alan Bentley

# June 2022

# Contents

1	Intr	roduction	2
	1.1	Data needs	2
	1.2	Modelling approach	2
	1.3	Data used	3
<b>2</b>	Inco	ome benchmarks	4
3	Inte	erpolation and extrapolation	7
	3.1	Method explained	7
	3.2	Final income timeseries	7
$\mathbf{A}$	ppen	dix	12
	Con	nparisons with other data sources	12
	Link	as to input data sources	15
	Link	es to comparison data sources	15

# 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 Data needs

Regional income series – split by regional council and territorial authority – are needed to understand households' and individuals' economic resources. For example, we can use these series alongside rentals for housing and house prices to provide indicators of housing affordability.

Regional timeseries of household income are not readily available as official statistics. This document describes our modelling approach, which combines several data sources, to estimate regional household income.

# 1.2 Modelling approach

Our modelling strategy is to use timely, but less comprehensive, indicators, calibrated to reliable, but infrequent, benchmarks available with a notable lag. This is a three stage approach:

- 1. Create **income benchmarks**: The Household Economic Survey (HES) is used to estimate *calibration* and coverage factors to apply to Census income estimates
- 2. **Interpolate** between income benchmarks: Linked employer-employee (LEED) tax data is used to estimate regional income timeseries between benchmarks (created in step 1)
- 3. **Extrapolate** beyond income benchmarks: LEED and other tax data is to used to extend the regional income timeseries to the latest period

The approach is flexible so can be used to estimate several aggregate statistics:

- Gross (before tax) and disposable (after tax) income
- For various income sharing units: personal, household, equivalised household (accounts for different household composition)
- By tenure (renters and all households)
- For different *periodicities* (annual, quarterly)

# 1.3 Data used

Four income data sources are used: two surveys and two variants of administrative tax data (see Table 1). Individually, each source has critical weaknesses. Collectively, we can borrow strength from each source to model timely, reliable, estimates.


Table 1: Summary of data sources

Source	Availability	Quality characteristics	
Household Economic Survey (HES)	Yearly, reporting lag of about 18 months	Comprehensive coverage of income sources (gross and disposable); limited regional coverage as sample survey	
Census of population and dwellings	5-yearly, reporting lag of about 18 months	Comprehensive regional coverage; only gross (before tax) income - overall quality thought to be lower than HES	
Tax data: Linked employer-employee data ( $\mathbf{LEED}$ )	Quarterly, 4-5 quarter lag	Good regional coverage; median gross earnings per job, based on employment location (rather than home address)	
Tax data: Business employment data	Quarterly, 2 month lag	Good regional coverage; mean gross earnings per job, based on employer location (rather than home address)	

## 2 Income benchmarks

The first stage uses survey data; namely, the Census of population and dwellings, and Household Economic Survey (HES). The Census has comprehensive regional coverage (for example, series are available for territorial authorities and Auckland local boards), but only gross (before tax) income is reported. Census income is collected as discrete, banded, amounts, so is less precise than income reported as dollars. The quality of HES income series is considered superior as detailed collection, by income source (in dollars), aids coverage of income sources and allows for the calculation of disposable (after tax) income.

Figure 1



Thin lines are actual HES estimates, Thick lines are smoothed HES estimates (LOESS trends)

Source: Stats NZ and author's calculations

Shown in Figure 1, gross income is usually a higher estimate using the HES compared with Census (using revised HES<sup>1</sup>, published in February 2020, household income for all tenures is slightly lower in 2013 using HES, but was higher in 2018, compared with comparable Census estimates). We smoothed the HES timeseries using a LOESS (locally estimated scatterplot smoothing) regression to reduce HES sampling errors. The HES series overlap with the the 2013 and 2018 Censuses (the income reference period is assumed comparable: Censuses are conducted in March; HES is conducted continuously, reported for each year to June). Calculating the average ratio of HES to Census gross income estimates produces gross income calibration factors (see Table 2 and Figure 2). These have been calculated for household and personal income, by tenure type (renters and all households).

Replacing HES gross income with disposable income we can calculate analogous *calibration and coverage* factors for disposable income.


 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ Revisions to the HES income estimator are discussed in the appendix. Estimates of different vintages are shown in appendix Figure A1.

		Income type	
Income unit	Tenure	Disposable	Gross
Household	All	0.87	1.02
Household	Renters	1.00	1.14
Personal	All	0.95	1.08
Personal	Renters	1.00	1.11

Table 2: Census calibration and coverage factors

Figure 2

# Calibration and coverage factors: calculation




Smoothed HES estimates (LOESS trends)

Figure 3

The resulting New Zealand level benchmark estimates, after applying the calibration and coverage factors, to Census data, is shown in Figure 3. The same factors were applied for each regional area of New Zealand.

# Benchmark values

New Zealand, Income sharing unit by tenure



# 3 Interpolation and extrapolation

## 3.1 Method explained

The **second stage** uses Linked employer-employee (LEED) tax data to **interpolate** between income benchmarks.

- 1. LEED median gross earnings per job are converted from *quarterly* to *annual* amounts, by aggregating over a rolling 4-quarter period.
- 2. Benchmark factors are calculated as the ratio of annualised LEED median earnings to income benchmarks (estimated from HES and Census in stage 1)
- 3. A timeseries of benchmark factors (between Census years) is created by linear interpolation
- 4. Applying these factors to the LEED earnings timeseries results in calibrated income timeseries

The third stage uses LEED and other tax data to extend the timeseries to the latest period.

- 1. LEED quarterly series are available with a 4-5 quarter lag. The latest available benchmark factor (from the time of the most recent Census) is applied to the LEED earnings series available beyond the benchmark.
- 2. This series is further extended to the latest period using annual changes (on a quarterly frequency) in another source of tax data, *Business employment data*, mean gross earnings are now used as median series are currently unavailable.


#### 3.2 Final income timeseries

The resulting timeseries, for total New Zealand, are shown in Figure 4. The same methodology is applied for each regional area of New Zealand.

Figure 4

# Modelled timeseries






Source: Stats NZ and author's calculations

The method can also be used to create quarterly timeseries. LEED series now use the original quarterly values (not rolled up to annual amounts) and the Census-HES benchmarks are divided by four to estimate quarterly values. Shown in Figure 5, the series are more volatile, but changes in income growth may be apparent sooner using a quarterly income series.

Figure 5

# Modelled timeseries: quarterly





Thin lines: original series Thick lines: LOESS trend

Source: Stats NZ and author's calculations

Median annual household disposable income by regional council is shown in Figure 6, and by territorial authority in Figure 7, as an examples of regional timeseries.

Figure 6

# Modelled timeseries: Regional Council

Median annual household disposable income, for all households





Figure 7



# **Appendix**

# Comparisons with other data sources

Figure A1: Comparison of modelled timeseries with HES and HLFS



Source: Stats NZ and author's calculations

Figure A1 shows a comparison of the final modelled income timeseries for New Zealand with the model benchmarks and estimates from the Household Economic Survey.<sup>2</sup>

A methodology change in the income estimator used in the Household Economic Survey for estimates from the year end June 2019 complicates timeseries comparisons. The new methodology uses income data from linked government administrative records to replace some sources of income for eligible individuals (labelled as "HES-admin" in Figure A1). According to Stats NZ's *Changes to the household economic survey 2018/19*<sup>3</sup> the new estimates of household income will be more precise, so the revised estimates are used in the calibration factors shown in Table 2. Figure A1 also shows more recent revisions to the first published estimates for the year to June 2019 and 2020 (these are due to the availability of additional administrative data, and revisions to population estimates used to calculate the survey weights).

Figure A2 shows a comparison of the final modelled income timeseries for selected regional councils with estimates from the Household Economic Survey. Unfortunately, backcast series using the new methodology are not available by region, so there is a break in the timeseries between the HES estimates between the year to June 2018 and year to June 2019

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Household gross (before tax) 'median income from all sources collected' from the Household Labour Force Survey (HLFS), annualised from a weekly amount, is shown as a further comparison.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>https://www.stats.govt.nz/methods/changes-to-the-household-economic-survey-201819

Figure A2: Regional comparison of modelled timeseries with HES

# Modelled timeseries: Regional Council

Median annual household disposable income, for all households





Figure A3: Comparison of wage measures

# Salary and wage increases compared with modelled household income



Stats NZ, Labour Cost Index (LCI), Quarterly Employment Survey (QES), Business Employment Indicators (BEI), Linked Employer–Employee Data (LEED)

# Links to input data sources

#### Household Economic Survey (HES)

### Years ending June 2007-2019

https://catalogue.data.govt.nz/dataset/hes-gross-and-disposable-income-timeseries-2006-07-2018-19

Years ending June 2007–2019 (revised data for 2007-18)

https://www.stats.govt.nz/information-releases/household-income-and-housing-cost-statistics-year-ended-june-2019

Years ending June 2019–2020 (revised data for 2019) https://www.stats.govt.nz/information-releases/household-income-and-housing-cost-statistics-year-ended-june-2020

Years ending June 2019–2021 (revised data for 2020) https://www.stats.govt.nz/information-releases/household-income-and-housing-cost-statistics-year-ended-june-2021

#### Censuses of Population and Dwellings (Census)

https://catalogue.data.govt.nz/dataset/census-household-and-personal-income-series

# Linked Employer-Employee Data (LEED)

https://www.stats.govt.nz/information-releases/linked-employer-employee-data-march-2021-quarter-nz-stat-tables

#### By Regional Council

https://nzdotstat.stats.govt.nz/wbos/Index.aspx?DataSetCode=TABLECODE7005

#### by Territorial Authority

https://nzdotstat.stats.govt.nz/wbos/Index.aspx?DataSetCode=TABLECODE7037

#### **Business Employment Data**

https://www.stats.govt.nz/information-releases/business-employment-data-march-2022-quarter/

## Links to comparison data sources

#### Household Labour Force Data

https://nzdotstat.stats.govt.nz/wbos/Index.aspx?DataSetCode=TABLECODE7480

#### **Labour Market Statistics**

Labour Cost Index (LCI) and Quarterly Employment Survey (QES)

https://www.stats.govt.nz/information-releases/labour-market-statistics-march-2022-quarter/