

Lecture Notes to a Course on Non-Commutative Algebra

Taught by Prof. Eli Aljadeff at Technion IIT during Spring 2022

Typed by Elad Tzorani

March 23, 2022

1 Introduction

Course Information

Prerequisites

The course will assume undergraduate knowledge in group theory and ring theory.

Notations & Conventions

- All rings and k -algebras are assumed to be associative and unital, but not necessarily commutative.

Course Goals & Motivation

Course Goals

During the course we will go over the following topics.

- Category Theory & other algebraic tools.
- Rings & the Jacobson radical.
- The Wedderburn-Artin theorem.
- Central simple algebras.
- Brauer group theory.
- Group cohomology & Galois cohomology.

Motivation

Let k be a field and consider finite-dimensional k -algebras A that are *simple* in the sense that there are no nontrivial two-sided ideals. Assume furthermore that A is *central* in the sense that its centre, $Z(A)$, is k . Wedderburn-Artin theorem, any such algebra is a matrix algebra over division algebras.

Example 1.0.1. The following are central simple algebras over a field K :

1. K .

1 Introduction

2. $M_n(K)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- 3.

$$\mathbb{H}_K := K \left\langle i, j, k \left| \begin{array}{l} i^2 = j^2 = k^2 \\ ij = ji = k \\ ik = -ki = -j \\ jk = -kj = i \end{array} \right. \right\rangle.$$

Over \mathbb{C} we have $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \cong M_2(\mathbb{C}) \subseteq \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Example 1.0.2. There are no finite-dimensional division algebras over an algebraically closed field k .

Let k be an algebraically closed field, and let D be a finite-dimensional k -division algebra. For $z \in D$ one has that $k(z)$ is a field, which is finite over k . Since $k = \bar{k}$ it follows that $k(z) = k$, so $z \in k \cdot 1$. Hence $D = 1 \cdot k \cong k$.

Theorem 1.0.3 (Wedderburn-Artin). *Let k be a field and A a central simple algebra over k . There is a k -division algebra D and $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $A \cong M_r(D)$. Furthermore, r is uniquely determined, and D is uniquely determined up to a k -algebra isomorphism.*

Definition 1.0.4 (Brauer Equivalence). Let A, B be central simple algebras over a field k . Write $A \cong M_{r_1}(D_1)$ and $B \cong M_{r_2}(D_2)$ as in Wedderburn-Artin. We say that A, B are *Brauer equivalent*, and write $A \sim B$, if $D_1 \cong D_2$.

The Brauer (equivalence) class of a central-simple algebra A is denoted $[A]$. We denote the set of Brauer equivalence classes of central simple algebras over k by $\text{Br}(k)$. This directly corresponds to k -central division algebras. This object is helpful because there is a natural group structure on it.

Example 1.0.5. For every field k , one has a Brauer equivalence $M_r(k) \sim k$.

Proposition 1.0.6. *Let A, B be central simple algebras over a field k . Then their **tensor product** $A \otimes_k B$ is also a central simple algebra.*

In light of Theorem 1.0.6 we can define the following product on $\text{Br}(k)$.

Definition 1.0.7 (Brauer Group). Let k be a field and let $[A], [B] \in \text{Br}(k)$. We define the product

$$[A][B] := [A \otimes_k B].$$

This has the unit k and $[A]$ has an inverse $[A]^{-1} = [A^{\text{op}}]$ where A^{op} is the *opposite algebra* which is A as a set, with product $a \cdot_{\text{op}} b = b \cdot a$. This turns $\text{Br}(k)$ into a group.

Proposition 1.0.8. *If A is a central simple algebra over k of dimension m^2 , then A^{op} is of dimension m , then $A \otimes_k A^{\text{op}} \cong M_{m^2}(k) \sim k$.*

Example 1.0.9. Let $k = \mathbb{R}$. There are only two division algebras over k , which are $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then $\text{Br}(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. We get that $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}} \cong M_4(\mathbb{R})$.

2 Some Category Theory

2.1 Basic Definitions

A central study in algebra is that of category theory. Through this we can study collections of objects such as those of all abelian groups, all topological spaces, et cetera. There are obviously set-theoretic issues and one has to define things correctly. We will ignore them completely. For us, any collection of sets is called a *class* and we will work with classes without elaboration of set-theoretic issues.

Definition 2.1.1 (Category). A *category* is \mathcal{C} is a triple $(\text{ob}(\mathcal{C}), \text{Mor}(\mathcal{C}), \circ)$ that satisfies the following.

- (i) $\text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ is a class of what we call *objects*.
- (ii) For all $A, B \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ there is a set $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$.
- (iii) For all $A, B, C \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ there is a *composition*

$$\circ: \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B) \times \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, C) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, C)$$

subject to the following conditions.

- (I) For every $A \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ there is an element $1_A \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(A) := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, A)$ such that $1_A \circ f = f$ and $g \circ 1_A = g$ for all f, g (such that composition makes sense).
- (II) Composition is associative.

Example 2.1.2 (Set). The collection **Set** of sets and functions between them is a category. Composition is that of functions.

Example 2.1.3 (Group). The collection **Group** of groups and group homomorphisms is a category. Composition is that of homomorphisms.

Example 2.1.4 (Ab). The collection **Ab** of abelian groups and their homomorphisms is a category. Composition is that of homomorphisms.

Example 2.1.5 (Top). The collection **Top** of topological spaces with continuous functions between them is a category. Composition is that of continuous functions.

2 Some Category Theory

Example 2.1.6. Let X be a non-empty set with a reflexive and transitive relation R . We define a category \mathcal{C} with $\text{ob}(\mathcal{C}) = X$ and where

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(a, b) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & (a, b) \notin R \\ \{*\} & (a, b) \in R \end{cases}$$

and composition is the only one possible.

Example 2.1.7 (Monoid). Let **Monoid** be the category of **monoids** together with their homomorphisms.

Example 2.1.8. Let R be a (unital) ring. Then $(R, \times, 1)$ is a monoid. We define a category $\mathbf{B}(R)$ with one object $*$ and where $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{B}(R)}(*, *)$ and composition is defined by the multiplicative structure.

Example 2.1.9. Let \mathbf{Set}^* be the category of pairs (A, p) where A is a set and where $p \in A$, and where morphisms $(A, p) \rightarrow (B, q)$ are functions $f: A \rightarrow B$ with $f(p) = q$.

Example 2.1.10. 1. Let $R = k$ be a field. An R -module is a k -vector space.

2. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}$. An R -module is an abelian group M with the action

$$m * a = ma := \overbrace{a + \dots + a}^{m \text{ times}}.$$