# **Synthetic Population Catalyst**


# Table of contents

1	Getting started	5
I	Using SPC	7
2	SPC Outputs	8
	0	8 8
3	Outputs for England Counties	10
	3.1 Citing	16
4	Outputs for Wales ITL regions 4.1 Citing	<b>17</b> 19
5	Using the SPC output file	20
	5.1 Javascript	20
	5.2 Python	20
	5.2.1 Converting to Pandas data-frames and CSV	21
	5.2.2 Converting .pb file to JSON format	21
	5.2.3 Converting to numpy arrays	21
	5.2.4 Visualizing venues	22
6	Installation	23
	6.1 Dependencies	23
	6.2 Compiling SPC	23
	6.3 Troubleshooting downloading	23
7	Creating new study areas	24
	7.1 Specifying the area	24
	7.2 Run SPC for the new area	24
	7.3 (Optional) run SPC for lots of areas	24
	7.4 Using the output	25

П	Understanding SPC	26
8	Data schema8.1 Understanding the schema8.2 Flows: modelling daily activites8.3 Flow weights	27 27 27 28
9	Modelling methods  9.1 Join with the Health Surveys and UK Time Used Survey  9.2 BMI data  9.3 Income data  9.3.1 Methods  9.3.2 Comparison to reference values from ONS  9.4 Commuting flows	29 30 31 31 32 35
10	Data sources  10.1 Utility data  lookUp-GB.csv.gz  10.2 County level data  popcsv.gz  10.3 National data  businessRegistry.csv.gz  GIS/  QUANT_RAMP_spc.tar.gz  timeAtHomeIncreaseCTY.csv.gz diariesRef.csv.gz	37 37 38 38 40 40 40 41 41
 		42 43
11	Developer guide  11.1 Updating the docs	43 43 43 44
12	Code walkthrough  12.1 Generally useful techniques  12.1.1 Split code into two stages  12.1.2 Explicit data schema  12.1.3 Type-safe IDs  12.1.4 Idempotent data preparation  12.1.5 Logging with structure  12.1.6 Determinism	45 45 45 46 46 47 47

13	Performance										5(
	12.3 An example of the power of static type checking	g	•				•	•			48
	12.2 Protocol buffers										48

# 1 Getting started



The Synthetic Population Catalyst (SPC) makes it easier for researchers to work with synthetic population data in England. It combines a variety of data sources and outputs a single file in protocol buffer format, describing the population in a given study area. The data includes demographic, health, and daily activity data per person, and information about the venues where people conduct activities.

You can use SPC output to catalyze your own project. Rather than join together many raw data sources yourself and deal with missing and messy data, you can leverage SPC's effort and well-documented schema.

#### To get started:

- 1. Download sample data for a county in England
- 2. Explore how to use the data
- 3. If you need a different study area, build and then run SPC

You can also download this site as a PDF and find all code on Github.

This work was supported by Wave 1 of The UKRI Strategic Priorities Fund under the EPSRC Grant EP/W006022/1, particularly the "Ecosystem of Digital Twins" and "Shocks and Resilience" themes within that grant & The Alan Turing Institute

# Part I Using SPC

# 2 SPC Outputs

You don't need to run SPC yourself. See config/ for the list of MSOAs covered by each study area. If you want to run SPC for a different list of MSOAs, see here.

One of the advantages of using SPC is that help researches to mimic the population characteristics and its iterations through multiples years (see for more details). So you can replicate what the population might look like across multiple periods of time. Initially check what country you would like to explore, then pick the year to get the outcome file. In case you want to explore it and see how does the data look like, and what attributes are included, load the output in our SPC Explorer and get inspired about the potential applications you could co-create using these outcomes.

- England (Available years: 2012, 2020, 2022, 2039)
- Wales (Available years: 2012, 2020, 2022, 2039)

We also included some special areas for your testing:

- special/2012/northwest transpennine.pb.gz
- special/2020/northwest transpennine.pb.gz
- special/2022/northwest transpennine.pb.gz
- special/2032/northwest transpennine.pb.gz
- special/2039/northwest\_transpennine.pb.gz

## 2.1 Citing

If you use SPC code or data in your work, please cite using the Zenodo DOI (using the bottom-right tool to generate the citation).

## 2.2 Versioning

Over time, we may add more data to SPC or change the schema. Protocol buffers are designed to let combinations of new/old code and data files work together, but we don't intend to use this feature. We may make breaking changes, like deleting fields. We'll release a new version of the schema and output data every time and document it here. You should depend on a

specific version of the data output in your code, so new releases don't affect you until you decide to update.

- v1: released 25/04/2022, schema
- v1.1, released 27/05/2022, schema
  - added pwkstat, salary\_hourly, salary\_yearly, and idp
  - reorganized Identifiers and Employment attributes
  - non-breaking change added 02/08/2022: added bmi\_new field
- v1.2, released 29/12/2022, schema
  - switched to proto2 and made some fields optional
  - adjusted some numeric enum values to match ONS
- v2, released 09/03/2023, schema
  - new per-person and per-household fields
  - various changes to existing fields (adjusting enum number, removing the BMI enum, etc)
  - adding time-use diaries
  - expanding to Wales
  - adding multiple years of output

# 3 Outputs for England Counties

The counties of England are in this context the lieutenancy areas, often referred to as ceremonial counties. There are officially 48 of them, although we have chosen to include the City of London within Greater London in our release. Check the year you would like to explore and pick the corresponding file based on the region you are interested. Remember if you want to explore the data you can load the output in our SPC explorer

#### • 2012:

- bedfordshire.pb.gz
- berkshire.pb.gz
- bristol.pb.gz
- buckinghamshire.pb.gz
- cambridgeshire.pb.gz
- cheshire.pb.gz
- cornwall.pb.gz
- cumbria.pb.gz
- England/2012/derbyshire.pb.gz
- devon.pb.gz
- durham.pb.gz
- east-sussex.pb.gz
- east-yorkshire-with-hull.pb.gz
- essex.pb.gz
- gloucestershire.pb.gz
- greater-london.pb.gz
- greater-manchester.pb.gz
- hampshire.pb.gz
- herefordshire.pb.gz
- hertfordshire.pb.gz
- isle-of-wight.pb.gz
- kent.pb.gz
- lancashire.pb.gz
- leicestershire.pb.gz
- lincolnshire.pb.gz
- merseyside.pb.gz
- norfolk.pb.gz

- northamptonshire.pb.gz
- northumberland.pb.gz
- north-yorkshire.pb.gz
- nottinghamshire.pb.gz
- oxfordshire.pb.gz
- rutland.pb.gz
- shropshire.pb.gz
- somerset.pb.gz
- south-yorkshire.pb.gz
- staffordshire.pb.gz
- suffolk.pb.gz
- surrey.pb.gz
- tyne-and-wear.pb.gz
- $-\ warwickshire.pb.gz$
- west-midlands.pb.gz
- west-sussex.pb.gz
- west-yorkshire.pb.gz
- wiltshire.pb.gz
- worcestershire.pb.gz

#### • 2020:

- bedfordshire.pb.gz
- berkshire.pb.gz
- bristol.pb.gz
- buckinghamshire.pb.gz
- cambridgeshire.pb.gz
- cheshire.pb.gz
- cornwall.pb.gz
- cumbria.pb.gz
- derbyshire.pb.gz
- devon.pb.gz
- durham.pb.gz
- east-sussex.pb.gz
- east-yorkshire-with-hull.pb.gz
- essex.pb.gz
- gloucestershire.pb.gz
- greater-london.pb.gz
- greater-manchester.pb.gz
- hampshire.pb.gz
- herefordshire.pb.gz
- hertfordshire.pb.gz
- isle-of-wight.pb.gz

- kent.pb.gz
- lancashire.pb.gz
- leicestershire.pb.gz
- lincolnshire.pb.gz
- merseyside.pb.gz
- norfolk.pb.gz
- northamptonshire.pb.gz
- northumberland.pb.gz
- north-yorkshire.pb.gz
- nottinghamshire.pb.gz
- oxfordshire.pb.gz
- rutland.pb.gz
- shropshire.pb.gz
- somerset.pb.gz
- south-yorkshire.pb.gz
- staffordshire.pb.gz
- suffolk.pb.gz
- surrey.pb.gz
- tyne-and-wear.pb.gz
- warwickshire.pb.gz
- west-midlands.pb.gz
- west-sussex.pb.gz
- west-yorkshire.pb.gz
- wiltshire.pb.gz
- worcestershire.pb.gz

#### • 2022:

- bedfordshire.pb.gz
- berkshire.pb.gz
- bristol.pb.gz
- buckinghamshire.pb.gz
- cambridgeshire.pb.gz
- cheshire.pb.gz
- cornwall.pb.gz
- cumbria.pb.gz
- derbyshire.pb.gz
- devon.pb.gz
- durham.pb.gz
- east-sussex.pb.gz
- east-yorkshire-with-hull.pb.gz
- essex.pb.gz
- gloucestershire.pb.gz

- greater-london.pb.gz
- greater-manchester.pb.gz
- hampshire.pb.gz
- herefordshire.pb.gz
- hertfordshire.pb.gz
- isle-of-wight.pb.gz
- kent.pb.gz
- lancashire.pb.gz
- leicestershire.pb.gz
- lincolnshire.pb.gz
- merseyside.pb.gz
- norfolk.pb.gz
- northamptonshire.pb.gz
- northumberland.pb.gz
- north-yorkshire.pb.gz
- England/2022/nottinghamshire.pb.gz
- oxfordshire.pb.gz
- rutland.pb.gz
- shropshire.pb.gz
- somerset.pb.gz
- south-yorkshire.pb.gz
- staffordshire.pb.gz
- suffolk.pb.gz
- surrey.pb.gz
- tyne-and-wear.pb.gz
- warwickshire.pb.gz
- west-midlands.pb.gz
- west-sussex.pb.gz
- west-yorkshire.pb.gz
- wiltshire.pb.gz
- worcestershire.pb.gz

#### • 2032:

- bedfordshire.pb.gz
- berkshire.pb.gz
- bristol.pb.gz
- buckinghamshire.pb.gz
- cambridgeshire.pb.gz
- cheshire.pb.gz
- cornwall.pb.gz
- cumbria.pb.gz
- derbyshire.pb.gz

- devon.pb.gz
- durham.pb.gz
- east-sussex.pb.gz
- east-yorkshire-with-hull.pb.gz
- essex.pb.gz
- gloucestershire.pb.gz
- greater-london.pb.gz
- greater-manchester.pb.gz
- hampshire.pb.gz
- herefordshire.pb.gz
- hertfordshire.pb.gz
- isle-of-wight.pb.gz
- kent.pb.gz
- lancashire.pb.gz
- leicestershire.pb.gz
- lincolnshire.pb.gz
- merseyside.pb.gz
- norfolk.pb.gz
- northamptonshire.pb.gz
- northumberland.pb.gz
- north-yorkshire.pb.gz
- nottinghamshire.pb.gz
- oxfordshire.pb.gz
- rutland.pb.gz
- shropshire.pb.gz
- somerset.pb.gz
- south-yorkshire.pb.gz
- staffordshire.pb.gz
- suffolk.pb.gz
- surrey.pb.gz
- tyne-and-wear.pb.gz
- warwickshire.pb.gz
- west-midlands.pb.gz
- west-sussex.pb.gz
- west-yorkshire.pb.gz
- wiltshire.pb.gz
- worcestershire.pb.gz

#### • 2039:

- bedfordshire.pb.gz
- berkshire.pb.gz
- bristol.pb.gz

- buckinghamshire.pb.gz
- cambridgeshire.pb.gz
- cheshire.pb.gz
- cornwall.pb.gz
- cumbria.pb.gz
- derbyshire.pb.gz
- devon.pb.gz
- durham.pb.gz
- east-sussex.pb.gz
- east-yorkshire-with-hull.pb.gz
- essex.pb.gz
- gloucestershire.pb.gz
- greater-london.pb.gz
- greater-manchester.pb.gz
- hampshire.pb.gz
- herefordshire.pb.gz
- hertfordshire.pb.gz
- isle-of-wight.pb.gz
- kent.pb.gz
- lancashire.pb.gz
- leicestershire.pb.gz
- lincolnshire.pb.gz
- merseyside.pb.gz
- norfolk.pb.gz
- northamptonshire.pb.gz
- northumberland.pb.gz
- north-yorkshire.pb.gz
- nottinghamshire.pb.gz
- oxfordshire.pb.gz
- rutland.pb.gz
- shropshire.pb.gz
- somerset.pb.gz
- south-yorkshire.pb.gz
- staffordshire.pb.gz
- suffolk.pb.gz
- surrey.pb.gz
- tyne-and-wear.pb.gz
- warwickshire.pb.gz
- west-midlands.pb.gz
- west-sussex.pb.gz
- west-yorkshire.pb.gz
- wiltshire.pb.gz
- worcestershire.pb.gz

# 3.1 Citing

If you use SPC code or data in your work, please cite using the Zenodo DOI (using the bottom-right tool to generate the citation).

# 4 Outputs for Wales ITL regions

International Territorial Level (ITL) regions are a post-brexit renaming of the former Nomenclature of Territorial Units for Statistics (NUTS) regions. In wales, they represent a grouping into 12 regions of the 22 unitary districts. Check the year you would like to explore and pick the corresponding file based on the region you are interested. Remember if you want to explore the data you can load the output in our SPC explorer

#### • 2012:

- bridgend-and-neath-port-talbot.pb.gz
- cardiff-and-vale-of-glamorgan.pb.gz
- central-valleys.pb.gz
- conwy-and-denbighshire.pb.gz
- flintshire-and-wrexham.pb.gz
- gwent-valleys.pb.gz
- gwynedd.pb.gz
- isle-of-anglesey.pb.gz
- monmouthshire-and-newport.pb.gz
- powys.pb.gz
- south-west-wales.pb.gz
- swansea.pb.gz

#### • 2020:

- bridgend-and-neath-port-talbot.pb.gz
- cardiff-and-vale-of-glamorgan.pb.gz
- central-valleys.pb.gz
- conwy-and-denbighshire.pb.gz
- flintshire-and-wrexham.pb.gz
- gwent-valleys.pb.gz
- gwynedd.pb.gz
- isle-of-anglesey.pb.gz
- monmouthshire-and-newport.pb.gz
- powys.pb.gz
- south-west-wales.pb.gz
- Wales/2020/swansea.pb.gz

## • 2022:

- Wales/2022/bridgend-and-neath-port-talbot.pb.gz
- Wales/2022/cardiff-and-vale-of-glamorgan.pb.gz
- Wales/2022/central-valleys.pb.gz
- Wales/2022/conwy-and-denbighshire.pb.gz
- Wales/2022/flintshire-and-wrexham.pb.gz
- Wales/2022/gwent-valleys.pb.gz
- Wales/2022/gwynedd.pb.gz
- Wales/2022/isle-of-anglesey.pb.gz
- Wales/2022/monmouthshire-and-newport.pb.gz
- Wales/2022/powys.pb.gz
- Wales/2022/south-west-wales.pb.gz
- Wales/2022/swansea.pb.gz

#### • 2032:

- bridgend-and-neath-port-talbot.pb.gz
- cardiff-and-vale-of-glamorgan.pb.gz
- central-valleys.pb.gz
- conwy-and-denbighshire.pb.gz
- flintshire-and-wrexham.pb.gz
- gwent-valleys.pb.gz
- gwynedd.pb.gz
- isle-of-anglesey.pb.gz
- monmouthshire-and-newport.pb.gz
- powys.pb.gz
- south-west-wales.pb.gz
- swansea.pb.gz

#### • 2039:

- bridgend-and-neath-port-talbot.pb.gz
- cardiff-and-vale-of-glamorgan.pb.gz
- central-valleys.pb.gz
- conwy-and-denbighshire.pb.gz
- flintshire-and-wrexham.pb.gz
- gwent-valleys.pb.gz
- gwynedd.pb.gz
- isle-of-anglesey.pb.gz
- monmouthshire-and-newport.pb.gz
- powys.pb.gz
- south-west-wales.pb.gz
- swansea.pb.gz

# 4.1 Citing

If you use SPC code or data in your work, please cite using the Zenodo DOI (using the bottom-right tool to generate the citation).

# 5 Using the SPC output file

Once you download or generate an SPC output file for your study area, how do you use it? Each study area consists of one .pb or protocol buffer file. This file efficiently encodes data following this schema. Read more about what data is contained in the output.

You can read the "protobuf" (shorthand for a protocol buffer file) in any supported language, and then extract and transform just the parts of the data you want for your model.

We have examples for Python below, but feel free to request other languages.

## 5.1 Javascript

We have a web app using Svelte to interactively explore SPC data. Its source code is great reference for how to use the proto output.

## 5.2 Python

To work with SPC protobufs in Python, you need two dependencies setup:

- The protobuf library
  - You can install system-wide with pip install protobuf
  - Or add as a dependency to a conda, poetry, etc environment
- The generated Python library, synthpop\_pb2.py
  - You can download a copy of this file into your codebase, then import synthpop\_pb2
  - You can also generate the file yourself, following the docs: protoc --python\_out=python/synthpop.proto

## 5.2.1 Converting to Pandas data-frames and CSV

The schema expresses relationships between people, households, and venues that can't all be captured by a simple 2D table. Nevertheless, you can extract per-person information and express as a dataframe or CSV file. See this example Python script for inspiration. You can try it out:

```
# Download a file
wget https://rampOstorage.blob.core.windows.net/spc-output/v1/rutland.pb.gz
# Uncompress
gunzip rutland.pb.gz
# Convert the .pb to JSON
python3 python/protobuf_to_csv.py --input_path data/output/rutland.pb
# View the output
less people.csv
```

#### 5.2.2 Converting .pb file to JSON format

To interactively explore the data, viewing JSON is much easier. It shows the same structure as the protobuf, but in a human-readable text format. The example below uses a small Python script:

```
# Download a file
wget https://rampOstorage.blob.core.windows.net/spc-output/v1/rutland.pb.gz
# Uncompress
gunzip rutland.pb.gz
# Convert the .pb to JSON
python3 python/protobuf_to_json.py data/output/rutland.pb > rutland.json
# View the output
less rutland.json
```


#### 5.2.3 Converting to numpy arrays

The ASPICS project simulates the spread of COVID through a population. The code uses numpy, and this script converts the protobuf to a bunch of different numpy arrays.

Note the ASPICS code doesn't keep using the generated Python protobuf classes for the rest of the pipeline. Data frames and numpy arrays may be more familiar and appropriate. The protobuf is a format optimized for reading and writing; you don't need to use it throughout all of your model code.

## 5.2.4 Visualizing venues

Use this script to read a protobuf file, then draws a dot for every venue, color-coded by activity.



## 6 Installation

You only need to compile SPC to run for a custom set of MSOAs. Just download existing output if your study area matches what we provide.

## 6.1 Dependencies

• Rust: The latest stable version of Rust: https://www.rust-lang.org/tools/install

## 6.2 Compiling SPC

```
git clone https://github.com/alan-turing-institute/uatk-spc/
cd uatk-spc
# The next command will take a few minutes the first time you do it, to build external dep
cargo build --release
```

## 6.3 Troubleshooting downloading

If you get an error No such file or directory (os error 2) it might be because a previous attempt to run SPC failed, and some necessary files were not fully downloaded. In these cases you could try deleting the data/raw\_data directory and then running SPC again. It should automatically try to download the big files again.

If you have trouble downloading any of the large files, you can download them manually. The logs will contain a line such as Downloading https://rampOstorage.blob.core.windows.net/nationaldata/to data/raw\_data/nationaldata/QUANT\_RAMP\_spc.tar.gz. This tells you the URL to retrieve, and where to put the output file. Note that SPC won't attempt to download files if they already exist, so if you wind up with a partially downloaded file, you have to manually remove it.

# 7 Creating new study areas

If the area you want to model isn't already generated, then you can follow this guide to run SPC on a custom area. You must first compile SPC.

## 7.1 Specifying the area

SPC takes a newline-separated list of MSOAs in the config/ directory as input, like this. You can generate this list from a LAD (local authority district). From the main SPC directory, run python scripts/select\_msoas.py. Refer to data/raw\_data/referencedata/lookUp.csv (only available after running SPC once) for all geographies available.

This script will create a new file, config/your\_region.txt.

### 7.2 Run SPC for the new area

From the main directory, just run:

```
cargo run --release -- config/your_region.txt
```

This will download some large files the first time. You'll wind up with data/output/your\_region.pb as output, as well as lots of intermediate files in data/raw\_data/. The next time you run this command (even on a different study area), it should go much faster.

## 7.3 (Optional) run SPC for lots of areas

If you want to run the program over lots of areas at once and are using Mac/Linux, you can use a for loop in a terminal to repeatedly run SPC over all files in the config directory. For example, this will run SPC on all .txt files in the config directory:

```
for file in config/*.csv; do cargo run --release -- config/$file; done
```

## 7.4 Using the output

After you generate the files, see here for how to use them in your project.

If you use SPC code or data in your work, please cite using the Zenodo DOI (using the bottom-right tool to generate the citation).

# Part II Understanding SPC

## 8 Data schema

## 8.1 Understanding the schema

Here are some helpful tips for understanding the schema.

Each .pb file contains exactly one Population message. In contrast to datasets consisting of multiple .csv files, just a single file contains everything. Some of the fields in Population are lists (of people and households) or maps (of venues keyed by activity, or of MSOAs). Unlike a flat .csv table, there may be more lists embedded later. Each Household has a list of members, for example.

The different objects refer to each other, forming a graph structure. The protobuf uses uint64 IDs to index into other lists. For example, if some household has members = [3, 10], then those two people can be found at population.people[3] and population.people[10]. Each of them will have the same household ID, pointing back to something in the population.households list.

## 8.2 Flows: modelling daily activites

SPC models daily travel behavior of people as "flows." Flows are broken down by by an activity – shopping/retail, attending primary or secondary school, working, or staying at home. For each activity type, a person has a list of venues where they may do that activity, weighted by a probability of going to that particular venue.

Note that flows\_per\_activity is stored in InfoPerMSOA, not Person. The flows for retail and school are only known at the MSOA level, not individually. So given a particular Person object, you first look up their household's MSOA — msoa = population.households[person.household].msoa and then look up flows for that MSOA — population.info\_per\_msoa[msoa].flows\_per\_activity.

Each person has exactly 1 flow for home – it's just person.household with probability 1. A person has 0 or 1 flows to work, based on the value of person.workplace.

This doesn't mean that all people in the same MSOA share the same travel behavior. Each person has their own activity\_durations field, based on time-use survey data. Even if two

people share the same set of places where they may go shopping, one person may spend much more time on that activity than another.

See the ASPICS conversion script for all of this in action – it has a function to collapse a person's flows down into a single weighted list.

Note that per MSOA, very few venues are represented as destinations – 10 for retail and 5 for school. Only the most likely venues from QUANT are used.

## 8.3 Flow weights

How do you interpret the probabilities/weights for flows? If your model needs people to visit specific places each day, you could randomly sample a venue from the flows, weighting them appropriately. For retail, you may want to repeat this sampling every day of the simulation, so they visit different venues. For primary and secondary school, it may be more appropriate to sample once and store that for the simulation – a student probably doesn't switch schools daily.

Alternatively, you can follow what ASPICS does. Every day, each person logically visits all possible venues, but their interaction there (possibly receiving or transmitting COVID) is weighted by the probability of each venue.

# 9 Modelling methods

The principles behind the generation of SPENSER population data and behind the modelling of trips to schools and retail by QUANT are detailed in

Lomax N et al. An Open-Source Model for Projecting Small Area Demographic and Land-Use Change. Geographical analysis, 54(3), 599-622 (2022). (DOI)

and

Spooner F et al. A dynamic microsimulation model for epidemics. Soc Sci Med., 291:114461 (2021). (DOI)

The result of SPENSER is two separate datasets and a merging key: one dataset for individuals, accurate at MSOA level and containing the sex, age and ethnicity fields; and one for households, accurate at OA level and containing the OA11CD, HOUSE\_nssec8, House\_type, HOUSE\_typeCommunal, HOUSE\_NRooms, HOUSE\_centralHeat, HOUSE\_tenure and HOUSE\_NCars fields respectively.

## 9.1 Join with the Health Surveys and UK Time Used Survey

Once merged into one dataset according to the matching key, the SPENSER data is enriched with the Health Surveys and UK Time Used Survey.

An individual among those sharing the same 5-year age group (see code for details of age groups for under 18) and sex is drawn from the participants of the Health Survey. This adds the id\_HS, HEALTH\_diabetes, HEALTH\_bloodpressure, HEALTH\_cvd, HEALTH\_NMedecines, HEALTH\_selfAssessed and HEALTH\_lifeSat fields. This join is not spatially differentiated and other matching criteria (ethnicity and nssec8) were retained due to a lack of representativity inside the survey. The BMI field is the result of a more comprehensive modelling detailed below.

Each individual that is not a head of household is assigned an nssec8 category. This is done according to nssec8 category distributions among the general population by sex and age groups according to ONS data (DC6114EW and DC6206SC datasets).

An individual among those sharing the same 5-year age group (see code for details of age groups for under 18), sex and nssec8 category is drawn from the participants of the UK

Time Use Survey. This adds the id\_TUS\_hh, id\_TUS\_p, pwkstat, soc2010, sic1d2007, sic2d2007, netPayWeekly and workedHoursWeekly fields. Note that the netPayWeekly and workedHoursWeekly fields have a low response rate among participants of the survey. For that reason, we have a added a much more detailed modelling of income, see below, that includes spatial differences at region level.

#### 9.2 BMI data

Body Max Index (BMI) is calculated for each individual from the Health Survey for England 2019 (access needs to be requested to the UK Data Service). This calculation is completely independent from the PSM to the HSE 2017, and therefore the new BMI values will not fit within the categories indicated by this earlier PSM. As the BMI variable is not necessarily independent from the other health variables (diabetes etc.), the new variable should only be used for studies where all other variables are considered equal. The new variable is continuous (a float).

According to the HSE 2019, the distribution of BMI values should follow figure 1. Socio-economic category was discarded for the modelling as it is not independent from the other variables and "mixed" and "other" ethnicities have been merged due to small sample sizes.

Figure 1. BMI per age. Columns represent ethnicity (White, Black, Asian, Other), and the rows sex (female, male).

The distribution for each age group is a gamma distribution. See figure 2.

Figure 2. Distribution of BMI values for white females aged 30-34.

Due to small sample sizes, the BMI is calculated for each individual depending on their age according to a gamma distribution whose mean is the mean for the corresponding age, sex and ethnicity (thick line in figure 1), but whose variance is only determined by the total variance by sex and ethnicity. The resulting BMI where validated for Bedfordshire, and correlations of 0.93 and 0.97 were found between the mean and variance of the modelled data compared to those for the reference HSE 2019 data. See figure 3. The distribution per age, as in figure 1, were also validated.

Figure 3. Modelled mean and variance compared to the reference mean and variance from the HSE 2019 data for each of the eight categories of figure 1.

The R codes for this modelling are here.

#### 9.3 Income data

This modelling is mainly based on the 2020 revised edition of the Earnings and hours worked, region by occupation by four-digit SOC: ASHE Table 15 database from ONS. Some percentiles for employees' gross hourly salaries are provided for each full-time and part-time job according to their four-digit SOC classification per region, and separated by sex.

#### 9.3.1 Methods

The data are far from complete (only about 15% of all possible values), especially for the highest deciles. We found that an order 3 polynomial fit was satisfactory for most categories (93.11%) to complete the partially filled SOCs. SOCs with too many missing values are given the value for the category that is immediately higher in the SOC hierarchy. Some jobs appear to have a 'ceiling' for the highest percentiles, making the polynomial fit fail. In that case, we have replaced the unknown values by the highest known value in the raw data (as there is no clear and systemic fit for these special cases). In addition, there is no information for the highest decile in all cases, which means that the highest salaries are underestimated (and exceptionally high salaries cannot be obtained). The result of this phase is four tables {male full-time, male part-time, female full-time, female part-time} containing the coefficients of the fitted order 3 polynomial, with an optional ceiling percentile when relevant.

A percentile is chosen randomly (uniformly) for each individual, and the salary is then deduced according to their full-time/part-time status, region, sex and SOC category. A basic hourly salary column is added to the unprocessed SPC data, as well as a corresponding annual salary based on their estimated hours worked per day, according to the Time Use Survey matching. In addition, we repeat this process for all individuals that are categorised as 'Self-employed' or 'Employee unspecified' by the Time Use Survey matching, as if they were full time employees. These values are recorded in the columns IncomeHAsIF and IncomeYAsIf. We noticed that a high number of employees were given no worked hours by the Time Use Survey. We have added to the IncomeYAsIf column an estimation of their annual salary based on Table 15.9a: Paid hours worked - Total 2020, and also depending on the same four variables as above (full-time/part-time status, region, sex and SOC category).

In addition, age data are made available by ONS. Part of the differences that can be observed between different age groups are already taken into account through the fact that the SOC category can evolve during a career. To take into account that dependence, we first run the above method without weighing by age. The results are shown in the age validation section below. The residual impact of age alone is then added to the model in the following way. When the percentile is drawn for a specific individual, it is morphed to fit within the usual percentage range accessible to that age category. The function that operates this morphing is inferred beforehand and takes into account the salary distribution per age computed by the previous non-age weighted iteration of the modelling (see figure - TBA - for a more detailed description of this function).

The R codes for this modelling are here.

The methods are validated in the next section. Since it is not possible to optimise every criterion at once, this next section can also be used as a reference to re-adjust some values to match exactly the ONS estimated means for one particular criterion of interest.

## 9.3.2 Comparison to reference values from ONS

We compare the results of the modelling to the raw datasets from ONS.

- Mod for modelled
- M for male
- F for female
- H for hourly gross salary
- Y for annual gross salary
- FT for full-Time
- PT for part-Time
- Only individuals recorded as employees (i.e. not self-employed) are taken into account in this section.

#### Number of employees per sex and full-time/part-time classification

The numbers given by ONS vary from dataset to dataset and are reported by ONS as indicative only. For the modelled values, we give the total number of individuals with a non-zero salary in each category.

					Μ				
Variable	All	FT	PT	M	FT	M PT	F	F FT	F PT
ONS tot	22-26k	16-19k	6-8k	11-13k	9-11k	1.5 - 2k	11-13k	6.5 - 7.5 k	4.5-5.5k
Mod tot H	23.1k	18.5k	4.6k	11.8k	11k	0.8k	11.3k	7.5k	3.8k
Mod tot Y	17.6k	14.8k	2.8k	9.4k	8.9k	0.5k	8.2k	5.9k	2.3k

A significant number of individuals listed as working either full or part time have 0 effective worked hours per day according to the Time Use Survey matching. In those cases, an hourly salary is modelled depending on their SOC, region and sex, as for any other employee, but the annual salary will be displayed as 0. It is possible to estimate the likely true number of hours worked from the same ONS dataset (Table 15.9a: Paid hours worked - Total 2020), also depending on their sex, soc and region. This calculation has been added to the "As If" column.

#### Hourly gross salary per sex and full-time/part-time classification

Variable	All	FT	PT	M	M FT	M PT	F	F FT	F PT
ONS mean	17.63	18.32	13.93	18.81	19.12	14.69	16.19	17.08	13.68
ONS median	13.71	15.15	10.38	14.84	15.58	10.12	12.58	14.42	10.47
Mod mean	16.45	17.19	13.45	17.50	17.84	12.75	15.35	16.23	13.60
Mod median	13.55	14.46	10.23	14.27	14.72	9.16	12.79	14.12	10.51

The median values are quite close to the ONS values, but the mean values are always lower. This is expected, see the description of the modelling above.

## Annual gross salary per sex and full-time/part-time classification

Only values > 0 are retained for these calculations.

Variable	All	FT	PT	M	M FT	M PT	F	F FT	F PT
ONS mean	31,646	38,552	13,819	38,421	42,072	14,796	24,871	33,253	13,512
ONS	$25,\!886$	$31,\!487$	11,240	31,393	33,915	10,883	20,614	28,002	4,743
median									
Mod mean	$34,\!317$	$36,\!595$	$22,\!257$	$37,\!574$	38,496	20,698	30,594	33,729	$22,\!585$
$\operatorname{Mod}$	28,713	30,942	17,928	31,404	$32,\!382$	17,382	$25,\!875$	29,028	18,137
median									

The average salary for part-time employees is correct when values equal to 0 are taken into account. This suggests that the total number of hours worked for part-time employees is correct, but the way they are distributed among individuals is not. It could be due to the TUS taking a snapshot of the situation during a particular week, rather than averaging their data over the year. It appears that the TUS matching also overestimates the average number of hours worked for female employees.

#### Regional differences (hourly gross salary)

	East					West	_
	Mid-	North	North	South	South	Mid-	Yorkshire and
Region	East lands	LondoEast	West	East	West	lands	The Humber
ONS mean	16.74 15.87	23.78 15.69	16.36	17.88	16.36	16.34	15.76
ONS me-	13.28 12.65	18.30 12.40	12.90	14.33	12.74	12.92	12.46
dian Mod mean	16.67 15.29	19.39 15.05	15.22	17.34	15.92	15.47	14.41

Region	East Mid- East lands	North Londo <b>E</b> ast	North West	South East	South West	West Mid- lands	Yorkshire and The Humber
Mod me- dian	13.69 12.79	16.25 12.42	12.44	14.84	13.35	12.64	12.44

The pearson correlations for mean and median between the modelled and raw values are 0.92 and and 0.93.

## Hourly gross salary per one-digit SOC

1d SOC	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
ONS mean	26.77	23.38	18.29	13.42	13.35	10.87	10.94	12.23	10.77
ONS median	20.96	21.34	15.66	11.54	12.04	10.08	9.52	10.93	9.22
Mod mean	21.52	22.14	16.00	12.76	12.55	10.49	10.50	12.05	9.87
Mod median	17.22	20.66	14.12	11.46	11.34	9.71	9.59	10.82	9.12

- 1. Managers, directors and senior officials
- 2. Professional occupations
- 3. Associate professional and technical occupations
- 4. Administrative and secretarial occupations
- 5. Skilled trades occupations
- 6. Caring, leisure and other service occupations
- 7. Sales and customer service occupations
- 8. Process, plant and machine operatives
- 9. Elementary occupations.

The Pearson correlations for mean and median between the modelled and raw values are 0.98 and 0.98.

### Hourly gross salary per age

The reference for this table is: Table 6.5a Hourly pay - Gross 2020

Table before weighting by age:

Age	16-17	18-21	22-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60+
ONS mean	7.21	9.59	14.09	18.13	20.04	19.12	16.32
ONS median	6.36	9.00	12.26	15.08	15.89	14.39	12.17
Mod mean	12.77	14.96	16.33	16.93	16.83	16.66	16.29
Mod median	10.93	12.71	13.88	14.02	13.96	13.85	13.65

The Pearson correlations for mean and median between the modelled and raw values are 0.92 and 0.92.

Table after weighting by age:

Age	16-17	18-21	22-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60+
ONS mean	7.21	9.59	14.09	18.13	20.04	19.12	16.32
ONS median	6.36	9.00	12.26	15.08	15.89	14.39	12.17
Mod mean	9.05	11.15	14.87	17.35	17.96	17.47	15.41
Mod median	8.20	9.51	12.86	14.41	14.78	14.43	12.56

The Pearson correlations for mean and median between the modelled and raw values are 0.99 and 0.99.

## 9.4 Commuting flows

In order to distribute each individual of the population to a unique physical workplace, we first created a population of all individual workplaces in England, based on a combination of the Nomis UK Business Counts 2020 dataset and the Nomis Business register and Employment Survey 2015 (see Data sources). The first dataset gives the number of individual workplace counts per industry, using the SIC 2007 industry classification, with imprecise size (i.e. number of employees) bands at MSOA level. The second dataset gives the total number of jobs available at LSOA level per SIC 2007 industry category. We found that the distribution of workplace sizes follows closely a simple 1/x distribution, allowing us to draw for each workplace a size within their band, with sum constraints given by the total number of jobs available, according to the second dataset. The R codes to create the list of all workplaces can be found here.

The workplace 'population' and individual population are then levelled for each SIC 2007 category by removing the exceeding part of whichever dataset lists more items. This takes into account that people and business companies are likely to over-report their working availability (e.g. part time and seasonal contracts are not counted differently than full time contracts, jobseekers or people on maternity leave might report the SIC of their last job). This process can be controlled by a threshold in the parameter file that defines the maximal total proportion of workers or jobs that can be removed. If the two datasets cannot be levelled accordingly, the categories are dropped and the datasets are levelled globally. Tests in the West Yorkshire area have shown that when the level 1 SIC, containing 21 unique categories, is used, 90% of the volume of commuting flows were recovered compared to the Nomis commuting OD matrices at MSOA level.

The employees for each workplace are drawn according to the 'universal law of visitation', see

Schläpfer M et al. The universal visitation law of human mobility. Nature 593, 522-527 (2021). (DOI)

This framework predicts that visitors to any destination follow a simple

$$(r,f) = K / (rf)2$$

distribution, where (r,f) is the density of visitors coming from a distance r with frequency f and K is a balancing constant depending on the specific area. In the context of commuting, it can be assumed that f=1. Additionally, we only need to weigh potential employees against each other, which removes the necessity to compute explicitly K. In the West Yorkshire test, we found a Pearson coefficient of 0.7 between the predicted flows when aggregated at MSOA level and the OD matrix at MSOA level available from Nomis.

## 10 Data sources

The original data are provided at different scales, which define their level of accuracy. For simplicity, the outputs of SPC are geolocated at Output Area (OA) level, although this scale may not be relevant to all indicators. The 2011 OAs are a geographical unit created for census collection and are designed to be relatively homogeneous, with an average size between 120 and 129 households.

The data from Open Street Map (OSM) is downloaded directly from https://www.openstreetmap.org. Everything else is hosted through local copies inside one Azure repository that interacts automatically with the model. We describe below the content of this repository and indicate the raw source used for each indicator. It is divided into utilities, county level data and national data. To recreate the content of this repository from raw sources, please refer to this part of the code.

## 10.1 Utility data

## lookUp-GB.csv.gz

The look-up table links different geographies of Great Britain together. It is used internally by the model, but can also help the user define their own study area. The following are standard denominations, compatible with ONS fields of the same name. They are based on ONS lookups. See ONS documentation for more details.

- OA11CD: Output area codes for the 2011 census (120 to 129 households)
- LSOA11CD & LSOA11NM: Lower-layer Super Output Areas (about 2000 individuals), replaced by Intermediary Zones for Scotland
- MSOA11CD, MSOA11NM: Middle-layer Super Output Areas (about 8000 individuals), replaced by Data Zones for Scotland
- LAD20CD, LAD20NM: Local Authority Districts (314 for England, 22 for Wales and 32 for Scotland)
- ITL321CD, ITL321NM, ITL221CD, ITL221NM, ITL121CD & ITL121NM: International Territorial Level, replacing pre-Brexit NUTS European divisions.
- RGN20CD & RGN20NM: Regions of England (NA for other Wales and Scotland)
- Country: England, Wales or Scotland

In addition,

- "AzureRef": Name of the geographical unit for the County level data folder inside Azure (Lieutenancy Areas a.k.a. Ceremonial Counties for England, Scottish Police Divisions and ITL321NM for Wales) For Wales: ITL321NM
- "GoogleMob" & "OSM" are alternate spellings used by Google and OSM for their data releases.

## 10.2 County level data

Files in this section are grouped by country (England, Wales and Scotland), then date (2012, 2020, 2022, 2032, 2039). The format of a path to an individual file is:

As of March 2023, England contains 5 series of 46 files (Dorset is missing), Wales 5 series of 12 files and Scotland is missing.

#### pop\_.csv.gz

The data is mainly based on the 2011 UK census, the UK Time Use Survey 2014-15 and the health surveys of GB (England, Wales, Scotland). The SPENSER (Synthetic Population Estimation and Scenario Projection) microsimulation model (ref) distributes individuals from the census with MSOA scale constraints into synthetic households with OA constraints. It is able to project this synthetic population in the future according to estimates from the Office for National Statistics (ONS). These data were enriched with some of the content of the other datasets mentioned (the rest of which can be added a posteriori from the identifiers provided). The data have also been complented with a modelling of BMI and salaries. The methods used to join the different datasets are explained in the methods.

The fields currently contained are detailed here. They are:

- pid: Unique person identifier at GB level within SPC
- hid: Unique household identifier at GB level within SPC
- DA11CD: Output Area code of the individual's home (ONS, 2011 boundaries)
- sex: Sex assigned at birth (DC1117EW, census 2011)
- age: Age in years (DC1117EW, census 2011)
- ethnicity: Based on self-report (aggregated from DC2101EW, census 2011)
- nssec8: National Statistics Socio-economic classification (see methods)
- HOUSE\_nssec8: National Statistics Socio-economic classification of the reference person of the household (LC4605, census 2011)

- House\_type: Type of accommodation (based on LC4402EW, census 2011)
- HOUSE typeCommunal: Type of communal establishment (based on QS420, census 2011)
- HOUSE\_NRooms: Number of rooms in the accommodation (LC4404EW, census 2011)
- HOUSE\_centralHeat: Presence of central heating (based on LC4402EW, census 2011)
- HOUSE\_tenure: Tenure (based on LC4402EW, census 2011)
- HOUSE\_NCars: Number of cars (derived from LC4202EW by SPENSER team, census 2011)
- id\_HS: unique identifier within the Health Survey (aggregated from the Health surveys from England, Wales and Scotland)
- HEALTH\_diabetes: for Scotland and England, has doctor diagnosed diabetes; for Wales, diabetes currently treated (derived from HSE, HSW, SHS)
- HEALTH\_bloodpressure: for Scotland and England, Doctor diagnosed high blood pressure; for Wales, high blood pressure currently treated (derived from HSE, HSW, SHS)
- HEALTH\_cvd: for England, cardiovascular medication taken in the last 7 days; for Scotland, had cardiovascular condition excluding diabetes / blood pressure; for Wales, any heart condition excluding high blood pressure (derived from HSE, HSW, SHS)
- HEALTH\_NMedecines: Number of prescribed medications (derived from HSE, HSW, SHS)
- HEALTH selfAssessed: Self assessed general health (derived from HSE, HSW, SHS)
- HEALTH lifeSat: how satisfied with life nowadays? (derived from HSE, HSW, SHS)
- HEALTH\_bmi: BMI (see methods)
- id TUS hh: serial household identifier field in the UK Time Use Survey 2015
- id TUS p: pnum person identifier field in the UK Time Use Survey 2015
- pwkstat: Employment status (derived from UK TUS 2015)
- soc2010: Standard Occupational Classification (derived from UK TUS 2015)
- sic1d2007: Standard Industry Classification of economic activities 2007, 1st level (derived from UK TUS 2015)
- sic2d2007: Standard Industry Classification of economic activities 2007, 2nd level (derived from UK TUS 2015)
- netPayWeekly: Weekly take home pay after all deductions (derived from UK TUS 2015)
- workedHoursWeekly: Number of hours per week usually worked in main job or business (derived from UK TUS 2015)
- incomeH: Hourly gross salary for full-time and part-time employees (see methods)
- incomeY: Yearly gross salary for full-time and part-time employees (see methods)
- incomeHAsIf: Hourly gross salary for employees with self employed/other employees as employees of the same industry and with mean hourly worked for the industry when the number of hours is missing (see methods)
- incomeYAsIf: Yearly gross salary for employees with self employed/other employees as employees of the same industry and with mean hourly worked for the industry when the number of hours is missing (see methods)
- ESport: Relative probability weight to attend a sport fixture (Experimental, WIP)
- ERugby: Relative probability weight to attend a Rugby fixture (Experimental, WIP)
- EConcertM: Relative probability weight to attend a concert primarily targeting young males (Experimental, WIP)

- EConcertF: Relative probability weight to attend a concert primarily targeting young females (Experimental, WIP)
- EConcertMS: Relative probability weight to attend a concert primarily targeting middle-aged males (Experimental, WIP)
- EConcertMS: Relative probability weight to attend a concert primarily targeting middle-aged females (Experimental, WIP)
- EMuseum: Relative probability weight to visit a museum (Experimental, WIP)
- easting: X coordinate of the OA centroid in the British National Grid coordinate system (epsg:27700, source: ONS)
- northing: Y coordinate of the OA centroid in the British National Grid coordinate system (epsg:27700, source: ONS)
- lng: X coordinate of the OA centroid in the Longitude/Latitude coordinate system (epsg:4326, derived from ONS)
- lat: Y coordinate of the OA centroid in the Longitude/Latitude coordinate system (epsg:4326, derived from ONS)

#### 10.3 National data

#### businessRegistry.csv.gz

Contains a breakdown of all business units (i.e. a single workplace) in Great Britain at LSOA scale, estimated by the project contributors from two nomis datasets: UK Business Counts - local units by industry and employment size band 2020 and Business Register and Employment Survey 2015. Each item contains the size of the unit and its main sic1d07 code in reference to standard Industrial Classification of Economic Activities 2007 (number corresponding to the letter in alphabetical order). It is used to compute commuting flows.

#### GIS/

Contains three GIS datasets of GB in GeoJson format taken from ONS boundaries:

- OA 2011 Pop20.geojson at OA level
- LSOA 2011 Pop20.geojson at LSOA level
- MSOA 2011 Pop20.geojson at MSOA level

#### QUANT\_RAMP\_spc.tar.gz

See: Milton R, Batty M, Dennett A, dedicated RAMP Spatial Interaction Model GitHub repository. It is used to compute the flows towards schools and retail.

## timeAtHomeIncreaseCTY.csv.gz

This file is a subset from Google COVID-19 Community Mobility Reports, cropped to GB. It describes the daily reduction in mobility, averaged at county level, due to lockdown and other COVID-19 restrictions between the 15th of February 2020 and 15th of October 2022. Missing values have been replaced by the national average. These values can be used directly to reduce pnothome and increase phometot (and their sub-categories) to simulate more accurately the period.

## diariesRef.csv.gz

Contains diaries taken from the UK TUS that can be distributed to the population on a daily basis. They contain weekend days and weekday days. A full description of the fields can be found here.

# Part III Advanced

# 11 Developer guide

## 11.1 Updating the docs

The site is built with Quarto. You can iterate on it locally: cd docs; quarto preview

## 11.2 Code hygiene

We use automated tools to format the code.

```
cargo fmt

# Format Markdown docs
prettier --write *.md
prettier --write docs/*.qmd --parser markdown
```

Install prettier for Markdown.

## 11.3 Some tips for working with Rust

There are two equivalent ways to rebuild and then run the code. First:

```
cargo run --release -- devon
```

The -- separates arguments to cargo, the Rust build tool, and arguments to the program itself. The second way:

```
cargo build --release
./target/release/aspics devon
```

You can build the code in two ways – **debug** and **release**. There's a simple tradeoff – debug mode is fast to build, but slow to run. Release mode is slow to build, but fast to run. For the ASPICS codebase, since the input data is so large and the codebase so small, I'd recommend always using --release. If you want to use debug mode, just omit the flag.

If you're working on the Rust code outside of an IDE like VSCode, then you can check if the code compiles much faster by doing cargo check.

## 11.4 Docker

We provide a Dockerfile in case it's helpful for running, but don't recommend using it. If you want to, then assuming you have Docker setup:

```
docker build -t spc .
docker run --mount type=bind,source="$(pwd)"/data,target=/spc/data -t spc /spc/target/rele
```

This will make the data directory in your directory available to the Docker image, where it'll download the large input files and produce the final output.

# 12 Code walkthrough

SPC is implemented in Rust, and its code can be found here. This is an unusual implementation choice in the data science world, so this page has some notes about it.

## 12.1 Generally useful techniques

The code-base makes use of some techniques that may be generally applicable to other projects, independent of the language chosen.

## 12.1.1 Split code into two stages

Agent-based models and spatial interaction models require some kind of input. Often the effort to transform external data into this input can exceed that of the simulation component. Cleanly separating the two problems has some advantages:

- iterate on the simulation faster, without processing raw data every run
- reuse the prepared input for future projects
- force thinking about the data model needed by the simulation, and transform the external data into that form

SPC is exactly this first stage, originally split from ASPICS when further uses of the same population data were identified.

#### 12.1.2 Explicit data schema

Dynamically typed languages like Python don't force you to explicitly list the shape of input data. It's common to read CSV files with pandas, filter and transform the data, and use that throughout the program. This can be quick to start prototyping, but is hard to maintain longer-term. Investing in the process of writing down types:

- makes it easier for somebody new to understand your system they can first focus on what you're modeling, instead of how that's built up from raw data sources
- clarifies what data actually matters to your system; you don't carry forward unnecessary input

- makes it impossible to express invalid states
  - One example is here per person and activity, there's a list of venues the person may visit, along with a probability of going there. If the list of venues and list of probabilities are stored as separate lists or columns, then their length may not match.
- reuse the prepared input for future projects

There's a variety of techniques for expressing strongly typed data:

- protocol buffers or flatbuffers
- JSON schemas
- Python data classes and optional type hints
- statically typed languages like Rust

### 12.1.3 Type-safe IDs

Say your data model has many different objects, each with their own ID – people, households, venues, etc. You might store these in a list and use the index as an ID. This is fine, but nothing stops you from confusing IDs and accidentally passing in venue 5 to a function instead of household 5. In Rust, it's easy to create "wrapper types" like this and let the compiler prevent these mistakes.

This technique is also useful when preparing external data. GTFS data describing public transit routes and timetables contains many string IDs – shapes, trips, stops, routes. As soon as you read the raw input, you can store the strings in more precise types that prevent mixing up a stop ID and route ID.

#### 12.1.4 Idempotent data preparation

If you're iterating on your initialisation pipeline's code, you probably don't want to download a 2GB external file every single run. A common approach is to first test if a file exists and don't download it again if so. In practice, you may also need to handle unzipping files, showing a progress bar while downloading, and printing clear error messages. This codebase has some common code for doing this in Rust. We intend to publish a separate library to more easily call in your own code.

#### 12.1.5 Logging with structure

It's typical to print information as a complex pipeline runs, for the user to track progress and debug problems. But without any sort of organization, it's hard to follow what steps take a long time or encounter problems. What if your logs could show the logical structure of your pipeline and help you understand where time is spent?

The screenshot above shows a summary printed at the end of a long pipeline run. It's immediately obvious that the slowest step is creating commuting flows.

This codebase uses the tracing framework for logging, with a custom piece to draw the tree. (We'll publish this as a separate library once it's more polished.) The tracing framework is hard to understand, but the main conceptual leap over regular logging framworks is the concept of a **span**. When your code starts one logical step, you call a method to create a new span, and when it finishes, you close that span. Spans can be nested in any way – create\_commuting\_flows happens within the larger step of creating population.

#### 12.1.6 Determinism

Given the same inputs, your code should always produce identical output, no matter where it's run or how many times. Otherwise, debugging problems becomes very tedious, and it's more difficult to make conclusions from results. Of course, many projects have a stochastic element – but this should be controlled by a random number generator (RNG) seed, which is part of the input. You vary the seed and repeat the program, then reason about the distribution of results.

Aside from organizing your code to let a single RNG seed influence everything, another possible source of non-determinism is iteration order. In Rust, a HashMap could have different order every time it's used, so we use a BTreeMap instead when this matters. In Python, dictionaries are ordered. Be sure to check for your language.

## 12.2 Protocol buffers

SPC uses protocol buffers v2 for output. This has some advantages explained the "explicit data schema" section above.

Note that we chose proto2 instead of proto3, because proto3 doesn't support required fields. This is done to allow schemas to evolve better over time, but this isn't a feature SPC makes use of. There's no need to have new code work with old data, or vice versa – if the schema is updated, downstream code should adapt accordingly and use the updated input files.

Note also that protocol buffers don't easily support type-safe wrappers around numeric IDs, so downstream code has to be careful not to mix up household, venue, and person IDs. For this reason, SPC internally doesn't use the auto-generated protobuf code until the very end of the pipeline. It's always possible to be more precise with native Rust types, and convert to the less strict types later.

## 12.3 An example of the power of static type checking

Imagine we want to add a new activity type to represent people going to university and higher education. SPC already has activities for primary and secondary school, so we'll probably want to follow those as a guide. In any language, we could search the codebase for relevant terms to get a sense of what to update. In languages like Python without an up-front compilation step, if we fail to update something or write blatantly incorrect code (such as making a typo in variable names or passing a list where a string was expected), we only find out when that code happens to run. In pipelines with many steps and large input files, it could be a while before we reach the problematic code.

Let's walk through the same exercise for SPC's Rust code. We start by adding a new University case to the Activity enum. If we try to compile the code here (with cargo check or an IDE), we immediately get 4 errors.

Three of the errors are in the QUANT module. The first is here. It's immediately clear that for retail and primary/secondary school, we read in two files from QUANT representing venues where these activities take place and the probability of going to each venue. Even if we were unfamiliar with this codebase, the compiler has told us one thing we'll need to figure out, and where to wire it up.

The other error is in the code that writes the protobul output. Similarly, we need a way to represent university activities in the protobul scheme.

Extending an unfamiliar code-base backed by compiler errors is a very guided experience. If you wanted to add more demographic attributes to people or energy use information to households, you don't need to guess all of the places in the code you'll need to update. You can just add the field, then let the compiler tell you all places where those objects get created.

# 13 Performance

The following tables summarizes the resources SPC needs to run in different areas.

year study_area	$\mathrm{num}_{\_}$	_maoaa_ho	us <b>eluoli<u>ds</u>pepþ</b>	o <u>le</u> file_sinzent	ime commuting	_mentiony	_usage
2012 England/bedfordshire	74	245,166	647,272 25	66.83 10	3 seconds	849.02	
			Mi	iB sec-		MiB	
				ond	5		
$2020\mathrm{England/bedfordshire}$	74	$272,\!875$	674,044 27	71.65 9 se	c- 3 seconds	922.88	
			$M_{i}$		8	MiB	
$2022\mathrm{England/bedfordshire}$	74	309,706	703,582 27	77.74 9 se	c- 3 seconds	929.80	
			$M_{i}$	iB ond	8	MiB	
$2032\mathrm{England/bedfordshire}$	74	309,706	703,582 27	77.74 9 se	c- 3 seconds	929.80	
			$M_{i}$	iB ond	8	MiB	
$2039 \rm England/bedfordshire$	74	$329,\!061$	715,797 $27$	78.39 11	3 seconds	927.77	
			$M_{i}$	iB sec-		MiB	
				ond	8		
2012 England/berkshire	107	$342,\!167$	890,543 35	66.04   14	7 seconds	1.06	
			$M_{i}$	iB sec-		GiB	
				ond	8		
2020 England/berkshire	107	$365,\!905$	918,258 37	73.35   14	7 seconds	1.10	
			$M_{i}$	iB sec-		GiB	
				ond	8		
2022 England/berkshire	107	$394,\!446$	941,655 36	68.37   14	7 seconds	1.08	
			$M_{i}$	iB sec-		GiB	
				ond	8		
2032 England/berkshire	107	$394,\!446$	941,655 36	68.37   14	7 seconds	1.08	
			$M_{i}$	iB sec-		GiB	
				ond	5		
2039 England/berkshire	107	408,604	949,986 36	67.21   14	7 seconds	1.08	
			$M_{i}$	iB sec-		GiB	
				ond	5		
$2012  \mathrm{England/bristol}$	55	$182,\!299$	448,233 17	73.74 6 se	c- 2 seconds	527.23	
			$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{i}}$	iB onds	5	MiB	
2020 England/bristol	55	196,940	470,039 18	33.99 6 se	c- 2 seconds	547.49	
			$M_{i}$	iB ond	3	MiB	

year study_area	num	_maoaa_ho	us <b>eho</b> ldspe <b>pb<u>le</u>file</b>	_sizentim	e commuting_	_mentiony
2022 England/bristol	55	216,197	503,014 192.51	7 sec-	2 seconds	559.78
,			${ m MiB}$	onds		MiB
2032 England/bristol	55	216,197	503,014 192.51	7  sec-	2 seconds	559.78
- ,			${ m MiB}$	onds		MiB
039 England/bristol	55	227,770	521,371 199.72	7  sec-	2 seconds	573.40
9 ,		,	MiB	onds		MiB
012 England/buckinghai	msh <b>99</b>	99,235	261,340 108.30	5  sec-	1 second	310.38
0 / 0		,	MiB	onds		MiB
020 England/buckinghai	msh99	108,999	271,050 114.31	5  sec-	1 second	400.87
0 / 0		,	MiB	onds		MiB
2022 England/buckinghai	msh99	123,578	278,548 112.39	5  sec-	1 second	393.64
3 3 3		-,	MiB	onds		MiB
2032 England/buckinghai	msh99	123,578	278,548 112.39	5  sec-	1 second	393.64
		,	MiB	onds		MiB
039 England/buckinghai	msh <b>99</b>	130,393	281,773 112.14	5  sec-	1 second	391.52
			MiB	onds		MiB
2012 England/cambridges	shir@8	327,257	832,980 323.35	11	5 seconds	1013.16
.01 <b>2</b> 211810110/ 00111011080	01111 @ 0	321,231	MiB	sec-	0 20001142	MiB
			1,112	onds		1,112
020 England/cambridges	shir@8	348,522	863,250 341.16	12	5 seconds	1.03
0202118101104/00111011080	01111 @ 0	310,322	MiB	sec-	0 20001142	GiB
			11112	onds		GIB
022 England/cambridges	shir@8	377,634	907,166 348.75	12	5 seconds	1.03
022 121151ana / cambi rage.		011,001	MiB	sec-	o seconas	GiB
			WIID	onds		GID
032 England/cambridges	shir@8	377,634	907,166 348.75	12	5 seconds	1.03
002 England, campinger		011,001	MiB	sec-	o seconds	GiB
			WIID	onds		GID
2039 England/cambridges	shir@8	392,478	924,170 351.39	12	5 seconds	1.04
1000 England/ campinger		002,410	MiB	sec-	o seconds	GiB
			WIID	onds		GID
2012 England/cheshire	139	441,084	1,042,06 <b>3</b> 02.14	16	7 seconds	1.13
1012 Eligiana/ chesime	100	441,004	MiB	sec-	1 seconds	GiB
			WIID	onds		GID
020 England/cheshire	139	464,134	1,070,597416.35	16	7 seconds	1.46
020 England/Cheshire	103	404,104	1,070,59#10.55 MiB	sec-	i seconds	GiB
			MIID	onds		OID
022 England/cheshire	139	489,476	1,125,19\\ 25.27	onus 16	7 seconds	1.47
044 England/Cheshire	199	409,410	1,125,19 <b>4</b> 25.27 MiB		, seconds	GiB
			MID	sec-		GID
				onds		

ear study_area	num_	_maoaa_ho	us <b>ehooh</b> dspe <b>pþ</b> lefile	_sizentim	e commuting_	_mentiony
032 England/cheshire	139	489,476	1,125,19825.27	16	7 seconds	1.47
- ,		,	MiB	sec-		GiB
				onds		
039 England/cheshire	139	$501,\!501$	1,149,51 $31.10$	16	7 seconds	1.48
			MiB	sec-		GiB
				onds		
012 England/cornwall	74	$232,\!659$	$549,\!616\ 208.07$	8  sec-	2 seconds	742.92
			${ m MiB}$	onds		MiB
020 England/cornwall	74	$247,\!105$	577,414 219.74	8  sec-	3 seconds	764.95
			${ m MiB}$	onds		MiB
022 England/cornwall	74	$270,\!134$	$634,940\ 233.36$	8  sec-	3 seconds	828.45
			${ m MiB}$	onds		MiB
032 England/cornwall	74	$270,\!134$	$634,940\ 233.36$	8  sec-	3 seconds	828.45
			${ m MiB}$	onds		MiB
$039  \mathrm{England/cornwall}$	74	$280,\!546$	$658,\!610$ $239.72$	8  sec-	3 seconds	838.14
			MiB	onds		MiB
012 England/cumbria	64	$222,\!586$	498,624 188.03	7  sec-	2 seconds	547.33
			${ m MiB}$	onds		MiB
020 England/cumbria	64	$226,\!893$	499,873 188.73	7  sec-	2 seconds	548.52
			MiB	onds		MiB
022 England/cumbria	64	$230,\!206$	499,840 183.18	7  sec-	2 seconds	533.99
			${ m MiB}$	onds		MiB
032 England/cumbria	64	$230,\!206$	499,840 183.18	7  sec-	2 seconds	533.99
			${ m MiB}$	onds		MiB
039 England/cumbria	64	$231,\!202$	498,475 181.58	7  sec-	2 seconds	530.96
			${ m MiB}$	onds		MiB
012 England/derbyshire	131	$436,\!276$	1,035,35 <b>6</b> $97.75$	15	7 seconds	1.12
			MiB	sec-		GiB
				onds		
020 England/derbyshire	131	459,743	1,064,40@109.76	16	8 seconds	1.44
			MiB	sec-		GiB
				onds		
022 England/derbyshire	131	489,764	1,122,07819.52	16	7 seconds	1.45
			MiB	sec-		GiB
				onds		
032 England/derbyshire	131	489,764	1,122,07819.52	16	7 seconds	1.45
			MiB	sec-		GiB
				onds		
039 England/derbyshire	131	$505,\!314$	$1,\!152,\!518429.01$	16	8 seconds	1.47
			MiB	sec-		GiB
				onds		

year study_area	num_	_m <b>noan</b> _ho	us <b>ehool</b> dspe <b>pþ</b> lefile_	_sinzantime	e commuting_	_mentiony=_u
2012 England/devon	156	494,106	1,165,952438.60	18	8 seconds	1.49
			${ m MiB}$	sec-		GiB
				onds		
$2020\mathrm{England/devon}$	156	$523,\!033$	$1,\!212,\!387459.44$	18	8 seconds	1.53
			MiB	sec-		GiB
				onds		
2022 England/devon	156	567,011	1,304,87478.71	19	9 seconds	1.64
			MiB	sec-		GiB
				onds		
$032  \mathrm{England/devon}$	156	567,011	1,304,87478.71	19	9 seconds	1.64
			MiB	sec-		GiB
000 T	<b>.</b>	<b>2</b> 00		onds		1.00
$2039  \mathrm{England/devon}$	156	$589,\!178$	1,342,772488.23	19	9 seconds	1.66
			MiB	sec-		GiB
1010E		200 4-2	011 001 010 50	onds	_ ,	1.00
2012 England/durham	117	$390,\!472$	911,601 349.78	12	5 seconds	1.03
			${ m MiB}$	sec-		GiB
000 P 1 1/1 1		40= 000		onds	_ ,	
2020 England/durham	117	407,828	930,184 359.59	12	5 seconds	1.05
			MiB	sec-		GiB
1000 T 1 1/1 1	115	405 011	050 001 950 09	onds	F 1	1.04
$022  \mathrm{England} / \mathrm{durham}$	117	$425,\!611$	952,801 356.63	12	5 seconds	1.04
			${ m MiB}$	sec-		GiB
09017 1 1/1 1	117	405 611	050 001 950 09	onds	۲ 1	1.04
$032\mathrm{England/durham}$	117	425,611	952,801 356.63	12	5 seconds	1.04
			MiB	sec-		GiB
020 E1 1 / 11	117	424 502	050 555 957 66	onds	F J-	1.04
2039 England/durham	117	434,593	959,555 357.66 MiB	12	5 seconds	1.04 GiB
			MID	sec-		GID
2012 England /oast susser	102	355,257	827,703 313.71	$\frac{\text{onds}}{12}$	5 seconds	987.31
2012 England/east-sussex	102	555,∠57	MiB		o seconds	987.31 MiB
			MIID	sec-		MIID
2020 England/east-sussex	102	380,894	853,970 324.02	$\frac{\text{onds}}{12}$	6 seconds	1006.13
020 England/east-sussex	102	300,034	MiB	sec-	o seconds	MiB
			MIID	onds		MIID
022 England/east-sussex	102	423,181	895,907 329.56	12	5 seconds	1008.58
022 Eligiand/ Cast-sussex	102	420,101	MiB	sec-	o seconds	MiB
			MIID			TATITA
				onds		

year study_area	num_	_mandan_ho	us <b>ehoold</b> spe <b>pþ</b> lefile_	_sinzentime	$\frac{1}{2}$ commuting	_mentionye_
2032 England/east-sussex	102	423,181	895,907 329.56	12	5 seconds	1008.58
			${ m MiB}$	sec-		MiB
				onds		
2039 England/east-sussex	102	446,000	$915,\!014\ 335.45$	12	5 seconds	1020.75
			MiB	sec-		MiB
				onds		
$2012  \mathrm{England/east}$	75	$255,\!848$	$593,\!271\ 227.49$	8  sec-	3 seconds	778.75
yorkshire-with-hull			MiB	onds		MiB
$2020  \mathrm{England/east}$	75	$262,\!609$	$602,\!286\ 233.14$	8  sec-	3 seconds	835.04
yorkshire-with-hull			MiB	onds		MiB
$2022  \mathrm{England/east}$	75	$272,\!805$	$613,721\ 230.34$	8  sec-	3 seconds	824.50
yorkshire-with-hull			${ m MiB}$	onds		MiB
$2032  \mathrm{England/east}$	75	$272,\!805$	$613,721\ 230.34$	8  sec-	3 seconds	824.50
yorkshire-with-hull			${ m MiB}$	onds		MiB
$2039  \mathrm{England/east}$	75	277,770	617,357 $230.45$	8  sec-	3 seconds	825.00
yorkshire-with-hull			${ m MiB}$	onds		MiB
$2012  \mathrm{England/essex}$	211	722,974	1,786,316 $90.77$	30	19	2.06
			${ m MiB}$	sec-	seconds	GiB
				onds		
2020 England/essex	211	$773,\!454$	1,857,20526.02	32	20	2.13
			MiB	sec-	seconds	GiB
				onds		
2022 England/essex	211	$858,\!552$	1,981,99461.40	33	20	2.19
			${ m MiB}$	sec-	seconds	GiB
				onds		
032 England/essex	211	858,552	1,981,99461.40	33	20	2.19
- ,			${ m MiB}$	sec-	seconds	GiB
				onds		
2039 England/essex	211	906,640	2,042,404777.71	33	21	2.21
· ,		•	MiB	sec-	seconds	GiB
				onds		
2012 England/gloucestershi	re107	365,240	889,836 344.16	13	5 seconds	1.02
0 , 0		,	MiB	sec-		GiB
				onds		
2020 England/gloucestershi	re107	392,643	933,909 362.90	13	6 seconds	1.06
0 ,0		, .	MiB	sec-		GiB
				onds		
2022 England/gloucestershi	re107	432,216	1,025,07 <b>3</b> 89.56	14	6 seconds	1.10
0 / 0 / 0		- 1,9	MiB	sec-		GiB

ear study_area	num_	_meocae_hou	s <b>eduod</b> dspe <b>pþ</b> lefile_	_sizentime	commuting	_mentiony
032 England/gloucestersh	nire107	432,216	1,025,07 <b>3</b> 89.56	14	6 seconds	1.10
·			${ m MiB}$	sec-		GiB
				onds		
039 England/gloucestersh	nire107	$453,\!383$	1,068,48403.87	14	6 seconds	1.43
			${ m MiB}$	sec-		GiB
				onds		
012 England/greater-	983	3,283,305	8,581,24 <b>3</b> .27	12	11	11.80
london			$\operatorname{GiB}$	min-	minutes	GiB
000 F 1 1/	000			utes		10.00
020 England/greater-	983	3,574,266	8,983,777.48	12	11	12.22
london			$\operatorname{GiB}$	min-	minutes	GiB
000 En alon d /	002	2.007.549	0.450.049.55	utes	11	10.05
022 England/greater- london	983	3,997,548	9,452,04 <b>3</b> .55 GiB	12 min-	minutes	12.25 GiB
IOIIQOII			GID	utes	innutes	GID
032 England/greater-	983	3 007 548	9,452,043.55	12	11	12.25
london	300	0,551,540	GiB	min-	minutes	GiB
iondon			GID	utes	mmates	GID
039 England/greater-	983	4.229.017	9,688,50 <b>6</b> .58	12	11	12.95
london		, ,	GiB	min-	minutes	GiB
				utes		
012 England/greater-	346	1,128,371	2,745,455.05	70	53	3.56
manchester			$\operatorname{GiB}$	sec-	seconds	GiB
				onds		
020 England/greater-	346	$1,\!192,\!547$	$2,\!840,\!431.10$	71	54	3.66
manchester			$\operatorname{GiB}$	sec-	seconds	GiB
				onds		
022 England/greater-	346	1,272,689	2,974,954.13	73	55	3.69
manchester			$\operatorname{GiB}$	sec-	seconds	GiB
000T 1 1/	0.46	1 070 000	0.074.054.10	onds	F.C.	0.00
032 England/greater-	346	1,272,689	2,974,954.13	74	56	3.69
manchester			$\operatorname{GiB}$	sec-	seconds	GiB
030 England / graster	216	1 210 000	2 040 727 15	$\frac{\text{onds}}{76}$	58	3.73
039 England/greater- manchester	346	1,519,090	3,049,72 <b>7</b> .15 GiB	sec-	seconds	3.73 GiB
manchester			GID	onds	seconds	GID
012 England/hampshire	225	733,611	1,810,51 <b>%</b> 98.03	32	20	2.07
ora misiana/ namponine	220	100,011	MiB	sec-	seconds	GiB
			1,1112	onds	SSCOTION	J.L

ear study_area	num_	_m <b>notan</b> _ho	us <b>ehooh<u>ds</u>pepp<u>le</u>file_</b>	_sizentim	$e commuting_{\underline{}}$	mention <sub>e</sub>
020 England/hampshire	225	777,116	1,861,250721.62	32	20	2.12
·			${ m MiB}$	$\frac{\mathrm{sec}}{\mathrm{onds}}$	seconds	GiB
022 England/hampshire	225	836,451	1,931,669728.97	32	20	2.12
	220	000,101	MiB	sec-	seconds	GiB
				onds		
032 England/hampshire	225	836,451	1,931,669728.97	33	20	2.12
			MiB	$\frac{\text{sec-}}{\text{onds}}$	seconds	GiB
039 England/hampshire	225	867,417	1,960,190735.50	33	20	2.13
- , -			MiB	$\frac{\text{sec-}}{\text{onds}}$	seconds	GiB
12 England/herefordshire	23	79,083	188,362 72.21	4 sec-	1 second	234.89
,12 2118 miles increase increa	20	10,000	MiB	onds	1 5000Hu	MiB
20 England/herefordshire	23	83,238	195,194 74.71	4 sec-	1 second	239.36
20 21181mma/ morotorabilite	20	00,200	MiB	onds	1 5000Hu	MiB
22 England/herefordshire	23	89,574	209,784 77.63	4 sec-	1 second	242.83
== 21181ana/ nererorasimre	_0	00,011	MiB	onds	1 booolia	MiB
32 England/herefordshire	23	89,574	209,784 77.63	4 sec-	1 second	242.83
		00,01	MiB	onds		MiB
39 England/herefordshire	23	92,605	216,508 79.43	4 sec-	1 second	245.69
0 /		,	MiB	onds		MiB
12 England/hertfordshire	153	457,276	1,160,152458.65	19	11	1.56
O /		,	MiB	sec-	seconds	GiB
				onds		
20 England/hertfordshire	153	494,661	1,190,04 <b>3</b> 77.18	19	11	1.59
0 ,		,	MiB	sec-	seconds	GiB
				onds		
22 England/hertfordshire	153	$546,\!573$	$1,\!219,\!124\!\!\!\!476.55$	19	11	1.67
			MiB	sec-	seconds	$\operatorname{GiB}$
				onds		
32 England/hertfordshire	153	$546,\!573$	$1,\!219,\!12476.55$	19	11	1.67
			MiB	sec-	seconds	GiB
				onds		
39 England/hertfordshire	153	$575,\!179$	$1,\!233,\!572476.97$	19	11	1.67
			MiB	sec- onds	seconds	GiB
012 England/isle-of-	18	61,636	139,732 53.88	3 sec-	1 second	188.79
wight		,	MiB	onds		MiB
020 England/isle-of-	18	65,140	143,268 54.99	3 sec-	1 second	190.45
wight	-	,	MiB	onds		MiB

year study_area	num_	ho	us <b>ehooh</b> dspe <b>pb<u>le</u>file_</b>	_sizzentime	e commuting_	_mentiony
2022 England/isle-of-	18	70,496	151,582 55.55	3 sec-	1 second	200.99
wight			${ m MiB}$	onds		MiB
2032 England/isle-of-	18	70,496	$151,582\ 55.55$	3  sec-	1 second	200.99
wight			${ m MiB}$	onds		MiB
2039 England/isle-of- wight	18	72,968	154,841 56.14 MiB	3  sec- onds	1 second	202.13 MiB
2012 England/kent	220	718,544	1,793,702700.10	29	17	2.08
.012 Eligiand/ Kent	220	710,944	MiB	sec- onds	seconds	GiB
2020 England/kent	220	781,933	1,873,451737.20	30	18	2.15
g ,		,	MiB	sec- onds	seconds	GiB
2022 England/kent	220	875,515	2,008,85773.24	31	19	2.21
<i>J</i> ,		,	MiB	sec- onds	seconds	GiB
$2032\mathrm{England/kent}$	220	875,515	$2,\!008,\!85773.24$	32	19	2.21
			MiB	sec- onds	seconds	GiB
039 England/kent	220	926,571	2,069,08788.47	35	19	2.23
,			MiB	sec- onds	seconds	GiB
012 England/lancashire	191	619,861	1,476,46\$71.94	24	14	1.83
			MiB	$\frac{\text{sec-}}{\text{onds}}$	seconds	GiB
020 England/lancashire	191	640,196	1,511,89 <b>6</b> 89.78	24	14	1.87
g ,		,	MiB	sec- onds	seconds	GiB
2022 England/lancashire	191	663,637	1,567,39 <b>5</b> 94.49	24	14	1.87
ζ ,		,	MiB	sec- onds	seconds	GiB
2032 England/lancashire	191	663,637	1,567,39 <b>5</b> 94.49	24	14	1.87
3,		,	MiB	sec- onds	seconds	GiB
2039 England/lancashire	191	674,387	1,591,90 <b>%</b> 00.02	25	14	1.88
<b>3</b> ,		, .	MiB	sec- onds	seconds	GiB
012 England/leicestershire	120	370,305	958,470 373.02	14	7 seconds	1.08
,		,	MiB	sec- onds		GiB

vear study_area	num_	_maoaa_ho	us <b>ehool</b> dspe <b>pþ</b> lefile_	_sinzentim	e commuting_	_mentiony_
2020 England/leicestershire	120	397,467	1,016,63 <b>2</b> 97.28	14	7 seconds	1.13
·			MiB	sec-		$\operatorname{GiB}$
				onds		
2022 England/leicestershire	120	$438,\!413$	$1{,}118{,}737\!\!\!/26.12$	15	8 seconds	1.48
			${ m MiB}$	sec-		GiB
				onds		
2032 England/leicestershire	120	$438,\!413$	$1,\!118,\!737426.12$	15	8 seconds	1.48
			MiB	sec-		GiB
				onds		
2039 England/leicestershire	120	$459,\!655$	1,164,67840.87	16	8 seconds	1.50
			MiB	sec-		GiB
				onds		
2012 England/lincolnshire	134	449,394	1,064,40303.05	15	7 seconds	1.43
			MiB	sec-		GiB
				onds		
2020 England/lincolnshire	134	$475,\!646$	1,098,403119.31	15	7 seconds	1.46
			MiB	sec-		GiB
2027 1 1/4 1 1 1	404			onds	_ ,	
022 England/lincolnshire	134	$507,\!295$	1,152,29\(\text{27.55}\)	15	7 seconds	1.47
			MiB	sec-		GiB
000 0 1 1/1: 1 1:	104	F07 00F	1 150 00005 55	onds	<b>—</b> 1	1 45
032 England/lincolnshire	134	$507,\!295$	1,152,29\(\text{27.55}\)	16	7 seconds	1.47
			MiB	sec-		GiB
020E 1 1/I: 1 1:	194	<b>500 540</b>	1 170 00990 09	onds	7 1	1 477
039 England/lincolnshire	134	523,548	1,172,92 <b>3</b> 30.83	16	7 seconds	1.47 C:D
			MiB	sec-		GiB
012 England/merseyside	184	603,483	1 200 200 22 06	$\frac{\text{onds}}{20}$	11	1.75
012 England/ merseyside	104	005,465	1,399,20 <b>\$</b> 33.96 MiB		seconds	GiB
			MIID	${ m sec} ext{-}$ ${ m onds}$	seconds	GID
020 England/merseyside	184	632,617	1,435,75 <b>5</b> 53.33	20	11	1.79
1020 England/ merseyside	104	052,017	1,455,75955.55 MiB		seconds	GiB
			MIID	$\frac{\mathrm{sec}}{\mathrm{onds}}$	seconds	GID
022 England/merseyside	184	665,766	1,498,51 <b>\$</b> 70.21	20	10	1.82
022 England/ merseyside	104	000,700	1,498,51 <b>9</b> 70.21 MiB	sec-	seconds	GiB
			MIID	onds	seconds	GID
032 England/merseyside	184	665,766	1,498,51 <b>\$</b> 70.21	21	11	1.82
552 England/ merseyside	104	000,700	1,498,51 <b>9</b> 70.21 MiB	sec-	seconds	GiB
			MIID		actonida	GID
				onds		

year study_area	num	_maoaa_ho	us <b>ehooh</b> dspe <b>pþ</b> lefile	_sinzaentim	e commuting_	_mentiony
2039 England/merseyside	184	685,165	1,528,03777.48	21	11	1.83
•			MiB	$rac{ m sec ext{-}}{ m onds}$	seconds	GiB
2012 England/norfolk	110	374,491	882,793 333.07	12	5 seconds	1017.16
o ,			MiB	$rac{ m sec ext{-}}{ m onds}$		MiB
2020 England/norfolk	110	397,770	916,799 348.41	12	5 seconds	1.02
<i>G</i> ,		,	MiB	sec- onds		GiB
2022 England/norfolk	110	432,187	982,755 362.27	13	5 seconds	1.04
ζ ,		,	MiB	sec- onds		GiB
2032 England/norfolk	110	432,187	982,755 362.27	13	5  seconds	1.04
			MiB	$\frac{\text{sec-}}{\text{onds}}$		GiB
2039 England/norfolk	110	450,068	1,013,21 <b>3</b> 71.39	13	5 seconds	1.06
			MiB	$\frac{\text{sec-}}{\text{onds}}$		GiB
2012 England/northampton	ns <b>l9ii</b> re	$289,\!575$	$720,\!263\ 284.39$	10	4 seconds	941.33
			MiB	sec- onds		MiB
2020 England/northampton	ns <b>l9ii</b> re	$316,\!553$	$762,382\ 304.36$	10	4 seconds	981.14
			MiB	sec- onds		MiB
2022 England/northampton	ns <b>l9ii</b> re	$352,\!529$	828,003 320.81	11	5  seconds	1005.64
			MiB	sec- onds		MiB
2032 England/northampton	ns <b>l9il</b> re	$352,\!529$	828,003 320.81	11	5  seconds	1005.64
			${ m MiB}$	sec-		MiB
				onds		
2039 England/northampton	ns <b>!9ii</b> re	$370,\!555$	855,812 328.03	11	5 seconds	1016.86
			MiB	sec-		MiB
2012 England/northumber	(Mag	138,928	315,894 120.66	$\frac{\text{onds}}{5 \text{ sec}}$	1 second	423.11
2012 England/nol mumber	iai <b>HU</b>	100,940	MiB	$\frac{5 \text{ sec}}{\text{onds}}$	1 Second	423.11 MiB
2020 England/northumber	an40	143,516	322,616 121.94	5  sec-	1 second	423.87
		110,010	MiB	onds	_ 5555114	MiB
2022 England/northumber	lan <b>40</b>	148,792	333,456 122.06	5 sec-	1 second	421.48
- '		,	m MiB	onds		MiB
2032 England/northumber	lan#10	148,792	$333,\!456\ 122.06$	5  sec-	1 second	421.48
			${ m MiB}$	onds		MiB

year study_area	num	_m <b>noan</b> _ho	us <b>ehoo</b> h <u>ds</u> pe <b>pþ</b> <u>le</u> file_	_sinzantim	e commuting_	_mentiony_
2039 England/northumberla	an40	150,259	337,186 122.24	5 sec-	1 second	421.48
			MiB	onds		MiB
2012 England/north-	138	460,050	$1,\!085,\!067413.05$	16	7 seconds	1.45
yorkshire			MiB	sec-		GiB
				onds		
2020 England/north-	138	$478,\!639$	$1,\!107,\!92823.18$	16	7 seconds	1.47
yorkshire			MiB	sec-		GiB
				onds		
2022 England/north-	138	499,392	1,134,723420.60	16	7 seconds	1.45
yorkshire			${ m MiB}$	sec-		GiB
Ç				onds		
2032 England/north-	138	499,392	1,134,723420.60	16	7 seconds	1.45
yorkshire		•	MiB	sec-		GiB
				onds		
2039 England/north-	138	509,099	$1{,}143{,}89{5 2}1.52$	16	7 seconds	1.46
yorkshire			${ m MiB}$	sec-		GiB
Ç				onds		
2012 England/nottinghams	hi <b>16</b> 8	460,022	1,123,002432.35	16	8 seconds	1.49
, ,		,	MiB	sec-		GiB
				onds		
2020 England/nottinghams	hi <b>16</b> 8	486,163	1,169,48953.68	16	8 seconds	1.53
C , C		,	MiB	sec-		GiB
				onds		
2022 England/nottinghams	hi <b>16</b> 8	522,944	1,248,80473.35	17	8 seconds	1.56
<i>G</i> , <i>G</i>		,	MiB	sec-		GiB
				onds		
2032 England/nottinghams	hi <b>16</b> 8	522,944	1,248,80473.35	17	8 seconds	1.56
J ,		,	MiB	sec-		GiB
				onds		
2039 England/nottinghams	hi <b>1:6</b> 8	543,291	1,281,81 <b>2</b> 82.21	18	9 seconds	1.66
3 3 3, 3 3		, -	MiB	sec-		GiB
				onds		
2012 England/oxfordshire	86	261,235	671,997 260.43	9 sec-	3 seconds	852.84
G		,	MiB	onds		MiB
2020 England/oxfordshire	86	274,908	695,490 271.62	10	4 seconds	918.90
		,000	MiB	sec-		MiB
			1,1112	onds		
2022 England/oxfordshire	86	293,368	729,866 275.40	10	4 seconds	919.34
		_00,000	MiB	sec-	1 Seconds	MiB
			1/1117	onds		.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

year study_area	num_	_maoaa_ho	us <b>ednod</b> dspo	e <b>pþ<u>le</u>fil</b> e	_sizentim	${ m e~commuting}_{\_}$	_mentiony
2032 England/oxfordshire	86	293,368	729,866	275.40	10	4 seconds	919.34
•				MiB	sec-		MiB
					onds		
2039 England/oxfordshire	86	$303,\!035$	$743,\!227$	277.51	10	4 seconds	922.19
				MiB	sec-		MiB
					onds		
2012 England/rutland	5	14,912	$38,\!314$	16.37	3  sec-	1 second	54.07
				MiB	onds		MiB
020 England/rutland	5	16,698	40,381	17.09	3  sec-	1 second	57.95
				MiB	onds		MiB
$2022  { m England/rutland}$	5	18,198	44,193	18.26	3  sec-	1 second	60.08
				MiB	onds		MiB
2032 England/rutland	5	18,198	44,193	18.26	3  sec-	1 second	60.08
				MiB	onds		MiB
$2039  \mathrm{England/rutland}$	5	18,914	45,659	18.71	3  sec-	1 second	61.20
				MiB	onds		MiB
2012 England/shropshire	62	197,768	483,414	186.29	7  sec-	2 seconds	550.97
				MiB	onds		MiB
2020 England/shropshire	62	$211,\!035$	508,233	195.76	7  sec-	2 seconds	568.62
				MiB	onds		MiB
2022 England/shropshire	62	$228,\!285$	558,755	207.29	7  sec-	2 seconds	740.58
				MiB	onds		MiB
2032 England/shropshire	62	$228,\!285$	558,755	207.29	7  sec-	2 seconds	740.58
				MiB	onds		MiB
2039 England/shropshire	62	236,015	581,476	213.23	7  sec-	2 seconds	749.82
				MiB	onds		MiB
2012 England/somerset	124	329,040	790,346	303.62	11	4 seconds	970.03
				MiB	sec-		MiB
					onds		
$2020  \mathrm{England/somerset}$	124	353,976	$822,\!271$	317.43	11	4 seconds	996.71
				MiB	sec-		MiB
					onds		
$2022  \mathrm{England/somerset}$	124	$388,\!675$	880,441	331.61	12	4 seconds	1018.53
				MiB	sec-		MiB
					onds		
$2032  \mathrm{England/somerset}$	124	$388,\!675$	880,441		12	4 seconds	1018.53
				MiB	sec-		MiB
					onds		
2039  England/somerset	124	$406,\!157$	$906,\!545$	339.87	12	4 seconds	1.01
				MiB	sec-		GiB
					onds		

year study_area	num	_maoaa_ho	us <b>eluoh</b> dspe <b>pþ</b> lefile	_sizentim	e commuting	_mentiony
2012 England/south-	172	566,664	1,372,43 <b>5</b> 28.11	20	11	1.75
yorkshire			${ m MiB}$	sec-	seconds	GiB
				onds		
2020 England/south-	172	$597,\!694$	$1,\!418,\!84548.59$	21	11	1.79
yorkshire			${ m MiB}$	sec-	seconds	GiB
				onds		
2032 England/south-	172	$637,\!411$	1,493,54463.91	21	11	1.81
yorkshire			${ m MiB}$	sec-	seconds	GiB
				onds		
$2039  \mathrm{England/south}$	172	$659,\!843$	1,531,31 <b>3</b> 75.31	22	12	1.83
yorkshire			${ m MiB}$	sec-	seconds	GiB
				onds		
2012 England/staffordshire	143	$464,\!441$	$1,\!111,\!144\!\!\!\!/25.27$	16	8 seconds	1.47
			MiB	sec-		GiB
				onds		
2020 England/staffordshire	143	$486,\!645$	1,139,752437.51	16	8 seconds	1.49
			MiB	sec-		GiB
				onds		
2022 England/staffordshire	143	$510,\!634$	1,188,857444.87	17	8 seconds	1.50
			MiB	sec-		GiB
				onds		
2032 England/staffordshire	143	510,634	1,188,857444.87	17	8 seconds	1.50
			MiB	sec-		GiB
				onds		
2039 England/staffordshire	143	$522,\!882$	1,215,00@452.94	17	8 seconds	1.52
			MiB	sec-		GiB
	0.0	100 140	997 940 190 19	onds	. 1	440.05
2012 England/suffolk	90	136,142	327,349 128.13	5  sec-	1 second	440.37
	00	146 077	MiB	onds	1 1	MiB
2020 England/suffolk	90	146,277	333,781 130.90	5 sec-	1 second	445.14 M:D
0000 El 1 /	00	150 000	MiB	onds	11	MiB
2022 England/suffolk	90	159,882	344,534 130.76	5 sec-	1 second	442.14 M:D
0020 F1 1 / 4-11-	00	150 000	MiB	onds	11	MiB
$2032  \mathrm{England/suffolk}$	90	159,882	344,534 130.76	5  sec- onds	1 second	442.14 MiB
2020 England /guffalle	00	166 719	MiB	onds 5 sec-	1 second	M1B 446.24
2039 England/suffolk	90	166,718	350,358 132.54 MiB	onds	1 second	440.24 MiB
2012 England/surrey	151	458,108	1,168,11 <b>2</b> 456.50	onds 21	13	1.55
.012 Eligianu/Surrey	191	400,100	1,108,11 <b>4</b> 50.50 MiB		seconds	GiB
			WIID	sec-	seconds	GID
				onds		

year study_area	num_	_m <b>noan</b> _ho	us <b>ehooh</b> dspe <b>pb</b> lefile	_sirzentim	e commuting	_mentiony	_usag
2020 England/surrey	151	480,930	$1{,}195{,}50 {\bf @}72.89$	21	13	1.58	
			MiB	$rac{ m sec ext{-}}{ m onds}$	seconds	GiB	
2022 England/surrey	151	518,720	$1,\!214,\!557467.03$	21	13	1.56	
			MiB	sec- onds	seconds	GiB	
$2032  \mathrm{England/surrey}$	151	518,720	$1,\!214,\!557467.03$	21	13	1.56	
			MiB	$\frac{\text{sec-}}{\text{onds}}$	seconds	GiB	
2039 England/surrey	151	$538,\!941$	$1,\!221,\!227464.71$	21	13	1.64	
			MiB	$\frac{\text{sec-}}{\text{onds}}$	seconds	GiB	
2012 England/tyne-and-	145	483,909	$1{,}119{,}03@427.35$	15	7 seconds	1.47	
wear			MiB	$\frac{\text{sec-}}{\text{onds}}$		GiB	
2020 England/tyne-and-	145	501,383	1,143,19439.09	15	7 seconds	1.50	
wear			MiB	$\frac{\text{sec-}}{\text{onds}}$		GiB	
$2022  \mathrm{England/tyne}$ -and-	145	521,777	1,168,078440.03	15	7 seconds	1.49	
wear			MiB	$\frac{\text{sec-}}{\text{onds}}$		GiB	
2032 England/tyne-and-	145	521,777	1,168,078440.03	14	6 seconds	1.49	
wear			MiB	$\frac{\text{sec-}}{\text{onds}}$		GiB	
2039 England/tyne-and-	145	$532,\!652$	1,177,34@41.36	15	7 seconds	1.58	
wear			MiB	$\frac{\text{sec-}}{\text{onds}}$		GiB	
2012 England/warwickshire	108	$361,\!467$	896,673 347.44	13	6 seconds	1.03	
			MiB	$\frac{\mathrm{sec}}{\mathrm{onds}}$		GiB	
2020 England/warwickshire	108	$392,\!639$	958,833 373.63	14	6 seconds	1.08	
			MiB	$rac{ m sec ext{-}}{ m onds}$		GiB	
2022 England/warwickshire	108	$432,\!682$	1,061,95	15	7 seconds	1.44	
			MiB	$rac{ m sec ext{-}}{ m onds}$		GiB	
2032 England/warwickshire	108	$432,\!682$	1,061,95	14	7 seconds	1.44	
			MiB	$\frac{\text{sec-}}{\text{onds}}$		GiB	

year study_area	num	_m <b>noan</b> _hou	s <b>eluol</b> dspe <b>pp</b> lefile_	_sinzantime commut	ing_ <b>mentiony</b> _
2039 England/warwickshire	108	454,732	1,112,23@24.10	15 7 second	ds 1.47
			${ m MiB}$	sec-	$_{ m GiB}$
				onds	
$2012  \mathrm{England/west}$	314	$958,\!034$	$2,\!477,\!39990.27$	56   38	3.24
$\operatorname{midlands}$			MiB	sec- seconds	GiB
				onds	
$2020  \mathrm{England/west}$	314	1,002,273	2,572,395.01	58 40	3.33
midlands			$\operatorname{GiB}$	sec- seconds	$\operatorname{GiB}$
				onds	
2022 England/west-	314	1,046,146	2,664,228.04	60 41	3.37
midlands			$\operatorname{GiB}$	sec- seconds	GiB
2000 F 1 1/	014	1 0 0 0 0 1 0	2 702 217 21	onds	
032 England/west-	314	1,079,612	2,706,242.04	61 41	3.55
midlands			$\operatorname{GiB}$	sec- seconds	GiB
000 E 1 1/ /	014	1 100 000	2 707 000 07	onds	0.50
2039 England/west-	314	1,128,890	2,787,990.07	62 42	3.59
midlands			$\operatorname{GiB}$	sec- seconds	GiB
0010 England /west avages	100	249 766	926 646 291 17	onds 11 5 second	ds 1004.45
2012 England/west-sussex	100	348,766	836,646 321.17 MiB		MiB
			MID	sec- onds	WIID
020 England/west-sussex	100	375,837	871,029 337.76	12 5 second	ds 1.01
020 England/ west-sussex	100	313,031	MiB	sec-	GiB
			MID	onds	GID
022 England/west-sussex	100	419,347	931,573 350.11	12 5 second	ds 1.03
022 Eligiana, west-sussex	100	413,541	MiB	sec-	GiB
			WIID	onds	GIB
2032 England/west-sussex	100	419,347	931,573 350.11	12 5 second	ds 1.03
1002 England, west subself	100	110,01.	MiB	sec-	GiB
				onds	
2039 England/west-sussex	100	442,292	958,567 356.77	12 5 second	ds 1.04
3 /		,	MiB	sec-	${ m GiB}$
				onds	
012 England/west-	299	921,242	2,271,83 <b>3</b> 93.87	46 31	3.05
yorkshire		,	MiB	sec- seconds	$_{ m GiB}$
v				onds	
020 England/west-	299	963,460	2,339,93930.47	48 33	3.12
yorkshire		,	MiB	sec- seconds	$\operatorname{GiB}$
-				onds	

year study_area	num_	_m <b>noan</b> _hou	s <b>ehooh</b> dspe <b>pple</b> file_	_sinzantime	e commuting_	_mentiony
2022 England/west-	299	1,021,830	2,434,90 <b>2</b> 45.77	48	33	3.13
yorkshire			MiB	sec-	seconds	GiB
				onds		
2032 England/west-	299	1,021,830	2,434,90 <b>2</b> 45.77	49	33	3.13
yorkshire			MiB	sec-	seconds	GiB
0020 E1 1 /4	200	1 052 050	0.401.95057.40	onds	33	2 20
2039 England/west- yorkshire	299	1,053,859	2,481,35 <b>9</b> 57.40 MiB	49	seconds	3.32 GiB
yorksiiire			MID	$\frac{\text{sec-}}{\text{onds}}$	seconds	GID
2012 England/wiltshire	89	285,600	704,491 274.58	9 sec-	3 seconds	921.08
2012 Eligiana / Williami	00	200,000	MiB	onds	o seconds	MiB
2020 England/wiltshire	89	309,159	735,088 288.20	9 sec-	3 seconds	947.43
· /		,	MiB	onds		MiB
2022 England/wiltshire	89	$335,\!400$	$774,105\ 292.69$	10	3 seconds	949.16
			${ m MiB}$	sec-		MiB
				onds		
2032 England/wiltshire	89	$335,\!400$	774,105 292.69	10	3 seconds	949.16
			MiB	sec-		MiB
0000 D 1 1/ :1/ 1:	00	940.066	700 075 006 40	onds	0 1	055.00
2039 England/wiltshire	89	348,866	792,075 296.40	10	3 seconds	955.08
			MiB	$\frac{\text{sec-}}{\text{onds}}$		MiB
2012 England/worcestership	re85	240,958	578,628 221.47	8 sec-	3 seconds	770.62
2012 Eligiana/ worecoversim	1000	240,500	MiB	onds	o seconds	MiB
2020 England/worcestership	re85	255,594	601,116 231.59	8 sec-	3 seconds	790.42
3		/	MiB	onds		MiB
2022 England/worcestership	re85	274,309	644,922 241.99	8  sec-	3 seconds	849.84
			${ m MiB}$	onds		MiB
2032 England/worcestership	re85	$274,\!309$	$644,922 \ 241.99$	8  sec-	3 seconds	849.84
			MiB	onds		MiB
2039 England/worcestership	re85	$283,\!275$	666,303 248.38	8 sec-	3 seconds	861.38
2012	000		MiB	onds		MiB
2012 special/northwest_tra	nsq <b>x</b> enr	iin&,653,096	, ,	5	4 minutes	7.74
			$\operatorname{GiB}$	min-		GiB
2020 special/northwest tra	n & 7.00nr	in <b>d</b> 788 694	6 616 1179 56	$rac{ ext{utes}}{5}$	4 minutes	7.95
2020 special/ nor inwest_tra	1112442111	11112,100,024	GiB	min-	T IIIIIUUUGS	GiB
			UП	utes		CID
2022 special/northwest_tra	n <b>s 29</b> nr	in <b>2</b> .960.285	6.908.374.62	5	4 minutes	8.02
	-1	. , - > 5, - 50	GiB	min-		GiB
				utes		

ear study_area	num	_meonane_hou	s <b>eduod</b> dspo	epþ <u>le</u> file_	_sinzentim	$e commuting_{\_}$	_mentiony
$032\mathrm{special/northwest\_tra}$	n <b>sp29</b> ni	nin@,960,285	6,908,37	<b>4</b> 2.62	5	5 minutes	8.02
- , —	=	. ,	. ,	GiB	min-		GiB
					utes		
$039\mathrm{special/northwest\_tra}$	n <b>sp29</b> ni	nin&,058,114	7,059,12	<b>2</b> .66	5	5 minutes	8.08
				GiB	min-		GiB
					utes		
012 Wales/bridgend-and-	38	119,725	283,159	108.21	5  sec-	1 second	382.24
neath-port-talbot				MiB	onds		MiB
020 Wales/bridgend-and-	38	123,909	289,896	111.10	5  sec-	1 second	387.45
neath-port-talbot				MiB	onds		MiB
022 Wales/bridgend-and-	38	124,921	292,227	111.51	4  sec-	1 second	387.72
neath-port-talbot				MiB	onds		MiB
032 Wales/bridgend-and-	38	128,601	301,529		5  sec-	1 second	390.82
neath-port-talbot				MiB	onds		MiB
039 Wales/bridgend-and-	38	129,740	307,260	114.33	5  sec-	1 second	391.29
neath-port-talbot				MiB	onds		MiB
012 Wales/cardiff-and-	63	199,208	484,182	187.17	6  sec-	2 seconds	558.19
vale-of-glamorgan				MiB	onds		MiB
020 Wales/cardiff-and-	63	$214,\!676$	$499,\!272$	194.70	7  sec-	2 seconds	572.89
vale-of-glamorgan				MiB	onds		MiB
22 Wales/cardiff-and-	63	218,981	502,763	196.11	6  sec-	2 seconds	576.04
vale-of-glamorgan				MiB	onds		MiB
032 Wales/cardiff-and-	63	$240,\!112$	$522,\!526$	199.42	7  sec-	2 seconds	577.84
vale-of-glamorgan				MiB	onds		MiB
039 Wales/cardiff-and-	63	$254,\!162$	531,549	201.82	7  sec-	2 seconds	737.29
vale-of-glamorgan				MiB	onds		MiB
012 Wales/central-valleys	38	$124,\!691$	296,581	115.15	5  sec-	1 second	396.20
				MiB	onds		MiB
020 Wales/central-valleys	38	$130,\!072$	301,907		18	1 second	400.97
				MiB	sec-		MiB
					onds		
022 Wales/central-valleys	38	131,383	303,557	118.40	8  sec-	1 second	424.47
				MiB	onds		MiB
032 Wales/central-valleys	38	$136,\!404$	310,032	118.04	5  sec-	1 second	421.13
				MiB	onds		MiB
039 Wales/central-valleys	38	138,735	314,703	119.17	5  sec-	1 second	423.02
				MiB	onds		MiB
012 Wales/conwy-and-	30	92,732	211,205	80.50	4  sec-	1 second	251.47
denbighshire				MiB	onds		MiB
020 Wales/conwy-and-	30	$95,\!314$	213,302	81.56	4  sec-	1 second	253.63
denbighshire				MiB	onds		MiB

year study_area	num	_maonana_hou	ıs <b>ehoo</b> h <u>ds</u> p	e <b>pþ<u>le</u>fil</b> e	_sinzentim	${ m e~commuting}_{\_}$	_mentiony
2022 Wales/conwy-and-	30	95,881	214,182	81.85	4 sec-	1 second	254.21
denbighshire				MiB	onds		MiB
2032 Wales/conwy-and-	30	97,683	218,122	81.11	4  sec-	1 second	251.17
denbighshire				MiB	onds		MiB
2039 Wales/conwy-and-	30	97,687	220,933	80.92	4  sec-	1 second	249.76
denbighshire				MiB	onds		MiB
012 Wales/flintshire-and-	38	$122,\!180$	288,696		5  sec-	$1 \ { m second}$	393.63
wrexham				MiB	onds	_	MiB
020 Wales/flintshire-and-	38	$127,\!660$	292,056		5  sec-	1 second	395.27
wrexham				MiB	onds		MiB
022 Wales/flintshire-and-	38	$129,\!007$	292,644		5  sec-	1 second	396.56
wrexham				MiB	onds		MiB
2032 Wales/flintshire-and-	38	$134,\!527$	$292,\!817$		5  sec-	1 second	410.92
wrexham				MiB	onds		MiB
039 Wales/flintshire-and-	38	$136,\!425$	293,540	112.22	5  sec-	1 second	410.77
wrexham				MiB	onds		MiB
012 Wales/gwent-valleys	46	$144,\!178$	341,543	132.17	5  sec-	1 second	451.03
				MiB	onds		MiB
020 Wales/gwent-valleys	46	148,386	$344,\!566$	132.83	5  sec-	1 second	450.89
				MiB	onds		MiB
022 Wales/gwent-valleys	46	149,374	345,498	132.72	5  sec-	1 second	450.23
·				MiB	onds		MiB
032 Wales/gwent-valleys	46	151,842	347,976	130.50	5  sec-	1 second	442.86
, = 0				MiB	onds		MiB
039 Wales/gwent-valleys	46	151,729	350,397	130.58	5  sec-	1 second	443.03
, 3		,	,	MiB	onds		MiB
012 Wales/gwynedd	17	52,926	122,595		3  sec-	1 second	141.47
70 0		,	,	MiB	onds		MiB
2020 Wales/gwynedd	17	55,064	124,569		3  sec-	1 second	143.70
700		,	,	MiB	onds		MiB
022 Wales/gwynedd	17	55,683	125,030		3  sec-	1 second	143.45
		,	-,	MiB	onds		MiB
032 Wales/gwynedd	17	58,372	128,844		3  sec-	1 second	143.80
00 <b>2</b> ((and 5) 8 ((3) 11 cad		33,3.2	120,011	MiB	onds	1 2000114	MiB
039 Wales/gwynedd	17	59,746	130,948		3 sec-	1 second	145.62
ooo maroo/ 8 wy moda	11	55,140	100,010	MiB	onds	1 5000110	MiB
2012 Wales/isle-of-	9	30,797	69,919	27.65	3 sec-	1 second	96.81
anglesey	J	90,191	00,910	MiB	onds	1 Become	MiB
2020 Wales/isle-of-	9	31,366	60 845	27.85	3 sec-	1 second	97.39
•	Э	51,500	69,845			1 Second	
anglesey				MiB	onds		MiB

vear study_area	num	_m <b>noan</b> _hou	ıs <b>eluol</b> dsp	e <b>pþ</b> lefile	_sirzentim	$e commuting_{\_}$	_mentiony
2022 Wales/isle-of-	9	31,488	69,864	27.91	3 sec-	1 second	97.71
anglesey				MiB	onds		MiB
2032 Wales/isle-of-	9	31,601	69,502	27.09	3  sec-	1 second	95.51
anglesey				MiB	onds		MiB
039 Wales/isle-of-	9	31,337	69,423	26.91	3  sec-	1 second	95.37
anglesey				MiB	onds		MiB
$012  \mathrm{Wales/monmouthshire}$	- 31	100,402	240,491	94.44	4  sec-	1 second	280.40
and-newport				MiB	onds		MiB
020 Wales/monmouthshire-	- 31	104,394	250,185	98.11	4  sec-	1 second	286.97
and-newport				MiB	onds		MiB
$2022  \mathrm{Wales/monmouthshire}$	- 31	105,481	253,282	99.27	4  sec-	1 second	289.03
and-newport				MiB	onds		MiB
$2032  \mathrm{Wales/monmouthshire}$	- 31	109,752	265,785	102.21	4  sec-	1 second	371.39
and-newport				MiB	onds		MiB
039 Wales/monmouthshire-	- 31	111,246	273,319	103.90	4  sec-	1 second	373.82
and-newport				MiB	onds		MiB
012 Wales/powys	19	59,028	132,725	51.21	4  sec-	1 second	185.06
,				MiB	onds		MiB
020 Wales/powys	19	59,972	132,328	50.60	4  sec-	1 second	183.38
·				MiB	onds		MiB
022 Wales/powys	19	60,190	132,467	50.46	4  sec-	1 second	182.88
				MiB	onds		MiB
032 Wales/powys	19	$59,\!586$	133,010	49.63	4  sec-	1 second	180.64
				MiB	onds		MiB
039 Wales/powys	19	57,969	133,514	49.35	4  sec-	1 second	179.80
·				MiB	onds		MiB
2012 Wales/south-west-	50	165,004	383,260	145.79	5  sec-	1 second	474.32
wales				MiB	onds		MiB
2020 Wales/south-west-	50	$170,\!327$	385,937	146.53	5  sec-	1 second	474.47
wales				MiB	onds		MiB
2022 Wales/south-west-	50	171,623	386,901	147.00	5  sec-	1 second	476.10
wales				MiB	onds		MiB
2032 Wales/south-west-	50	175,897	392,107	145.19	6  sec-	1 second	469.31
wales				MiB	onds		MiB
039 Wales/south-west-	50	$176,\!482$	394,303	144.53	6  sec-	1 second	467.47
wales				MiB	onds		MiB
012 Wales/swansea	31	104,423	242,128	93.10	4  sec-	1 second	276.14
·				MiB	onds		MiB
020 Wales/swansea	31	110,304	247,820	95.72	4  sec-	1 second	281.38
		*	,	MiB			MiB

year study_area	num_	_maonana_hou	us <b>ehooh</b> dspe <b>pp</b> lefile_	_sinzentime	$e$ commuting_	mentiony_usage
2022 Wales/swansea	31	111,940	249,098 96.10	4 sec-	1 second	282.16
			${ m MiB}$	onds		MiB
2032 Wales/swansea	31	119,141	257,653 98.28	4  sec-	1 second	285.53
			${ m MiB}$	onds		MiB
2039 Wales/swansea	31	$123,\!450$	262,306 99.93	4  sec-	1 second	366.61
			MiB	onds		MiB

#### Notes:

- pb\_file\_size refers to the size of the uncompressed protobuf file in data/output/
- The total runtime is usually dominated by matching workers to businesses, so commuting\_runtime gives a breakdown
- Measuring memory usage of Linux processes isn't straightforward, so memory\_usage should just be a guide
- These measurements were all taken on one developer's laptop, and they don't represent multiple runs. This table just aims to give a general sense of how long running takes.
  - That machine has 24 cores, which matters for the parallelized commuting calculation.
- The time *usually* doesn't include downloading or decompressing raw data. For some areas, it might!
- scripts/collect\_stats.py produces the table above