

# Proposal: Unaligned Expectations in Universities and Industry for New Graduates in Computer Science and Software Engineering

**Alan Franzoni**  
for Submission  
Trieste, Italy  
alan.franzoni@gatech.edu

**Hasti Ghabel**  
for Submission  
Atlanta, GA  
hghabel1@gatech.edu

## Introduction

There is a widespread agreement that new graduates from computer science and software engineering **do not always possess required skills, abilities or knowledge when joining the tech industry**: a lot of entry-level jobs actually require three years of experience [3]; Gaps between Engineering Education, and Practice (what an Engineer does in real life) do exist [12]; The software industry presents dissatisfaction in relation to the level of recently graduated professionals [10]; there is considerable room for improvement in what is taught to software students [in relation with job relevance] [8]; Many employers find that graduates and sandwich students come to them poorly prepared for the every day problems encountered at the workplace [4].

Some universities and programs even took steps to try and fix this problem in some specific classes by doing all kind of things: from purposely hindering and disrupting the software development processes [4], to adapting and incorporating industry training strategies into a software engineering course [10], to creating and adapting a project-based software engineering course that led the students to face with current, real-world engineering problems [6], and to highlight to students how relevant is having and developing critical soft skills to succeed in projects. [2].

At first, we thought that differences could come from different programs, so we explored the difference between Computer Science and Software Engineering programs, but those didn't prove really relevant; the official ACM/IEEE curricula [7] [1] are somewhat overlapping, and some studies trying to highlight differences in outcomes between CS and SE graduates were mostly inconclusive: a lot of core competencies are quite similar [9] [11]. And, those recently-updated curricula don't

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from [permissions@acm.org](mailto:permissions@acm.org).

*CHI'16*, May 07–12, 2016, San Jose, CA, USA

© 2016 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM. ISBN 123-4567-24-567/08/06...\$15.00

DOI: [http://dx.doi.org/10.475/123\\_4](http://dx.doi.org/10.475/123_4)

seem to incorporate lessons from the aforementioned efforts.

The acknowledgment of this skill gap and the efforts to train new graduates for the industry go back as far as 1992 [5]. So, **if in a quarter of a century little to nothing changed, what is the real matter?**

## The hypothesis

So, we started thinking that maybe the problem is not one of implementation; it's not the university is unable to train graduates for the industry. We began thinking that, maybe, there was a misalignment in incentives and expectations for the various stakeholders: industry practitioners, university teachers, and students.

So, the phenomenal question is: what are the expectations and motivations for students, teachers in the field of computer science and software engineering? Following that, what do employers expect from new graduates in this field? Is there any gap between the expectation of these groups?

. In this paper and in our project we will discuss about available research articles and analyze the results. We will initially provide survey and sending it to a smaller group in this field such as our classmates. Then, we will provide the official survey and send it to many people outside this classroom, who are active in this field as teacher, student, or employers. We will analyze our results and report it in our paper.

## ACM Classification Keywords

H.5.m. Information Interfaces and Presentation (e.g. HCI): Miscellaneous; See <http://acm.org/about/class/1998/> for the full list of ACM classifiers. This section is required.

## Author Keywords

Authors' choice; of terms; separated; by semicolons; include commas, within terms only; required.

## \*\*\* PROPOSAL TODO ITEMS \*\*\*

A feedback: What is the underlying educational problem or approach you see as a problem? What are the main problem(s) with this approach or problem? How can I fix it?

1- What are the research background that shows the motivation and expectations for student/teachers/employers in cs/se program?

2- what are the problems to limit the gap for new graduates in finding a new job after school? Why there is a gap in the new graduate skills and employer expectations?

3- what are some methodology that can shorten this gap? We can compare ivy league schools and other ones to see the differences and rate of employment after graduation?

### \*\*\* INTRODUCTION \*\*\*

One of the essential elements of a good software is to have a good software engineer (Paul Luo Li et al., 2015). The question is what makes a great software engineer? (Paul Luo Li et al., 2015) All different groups are looking into this question: employers want to hire a good software engineer, universities want to train a good engineer and new graduates want to become great (Paul Luo Li et al., 2015). Paul Luo Li et al. mention some of the employer's expectations for hiring software developers (Paul Luo Li et al., 2015). The research indicates that the expert engineers are more productive in terms of producing faster solutions, produce more amount of code in the same amount of time, and write code with much fewer bugs (Paul Luo Li et al., 2015).

Hewner and Guzdial investigate a game company on what are the employer expectations from new graduates (Hewner and Guzdial, 2010). They identify two of the essentials skills or expectations are high programming skills as well as people skills such as working in a team and collaborating with other people (Hewner and Guzdial, 2010). McConnell argue that software developers' personality traits like intellectual honesty, curiosity and being humble about their intelligence are important skills in addition to technical skills (McConnell, 2004). Hewner describes the mismatch between a student's expectations on skills they hope to learn and what they are taught in an introductory computer science class (Hewner, 2011). He notes that students come to the course with preconception about what they will learn in that computer science course (Hewner, 2011). The educators mention some of the students preconceptions as below (Hewner, 2011):

- Students expect to learn "advanced features" in application softwares.
- They expect to do IT work such as assembling computers from parts and configure routers.
- They expect to learn only about programming and not the architecture and theory.

Teaching computer science is different from teaching other subjects (Guzdial, 2014, <https://cacm.acm.org/blogs/blog-cacm/174930-what-it-takes-to-be-a-successful-high-school-computer-science-teacher/fulltext>) Good teachers should be able to read the code and help students to write code by hand off from computers (as well as at the computer) (Guzdel, 2014). On the other hand, the less successful teachers focus heavily on assessments and readings (Guzdel, 2014).

The technology and computer science industries are growing so fast (Ayofe and Ajetola, 2009). Therefore, the companies are looking for the graduates, who are able to use the

latest technologies. However, the companies criticize the universities curriculum doesn't meet the practical issues in industry (Ayofe and Ajetola, 2009).

### REFERENCES

1. Mark Ardis, David Budgen, Gregory W. Hislop, Jeff Offutt, Mark Sebern, and Willem Visser. 2015. SE 2014: Curriculum Guidelines for Undergraduate Degree Programs in Software Engineering. *Computer* 48, 11 (nov 2015), 106–109. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/mc.2015.345>
2. Maria Cecilia Bastarrica, Daniel Perovich, and Maira Marques Samary. 2017. What Can Students Get from a Software Engineering Capstone Course?. In *2017 IEEE/ACM 39th International Conference on Software Engineering: Software Engineering Education and Training Track (ICSE-SEET)*. IEEE. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/icse-seet.2017.15>
3. Kushal Chakrabarti. 61 percent of Entry-Level Jobs Require 3 Years of Experience. (???). <https://talent.works/blog/2018/03/28/the-science-of-the-job-search-part-iii-61-of-entry-level-jobs-re>
4. Ray Dawson. 2000. Twenty dirty tricks to train software engineers. In *Proceedings of the 22nd international conference on Software engineering - ICSE '00*. ACM Press. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/337180.337204>
5. R.J. Dawson, R.W. Newsham, and R.S. Kerridge. 1992. Introducing new software engineering graduates to the 'real world' at the GPT company. *Software Engineering Journal* 7, 3 (1992), 171. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1049/sej.1992.0018>
6. David Delgado, Alejandro Velasco, Jairo Aponte, and Andrian Marcus. 2017. Evolving a Project-Based Software Engineering Course: A Case Study. In *2017 IEEE 30th Conference on Software Engineering Education and Training (CSEE&T)*. IEEE. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/cseet.2017.22>
7. ACM Computing Curricula Task Force (Ed.). 2013. *Computer Science Curricula 2013: Curriculum Guidelines for Undergraduate Degree Programs in Computer Science*. ACM, Inc. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2534860>
8. Lethbridge. A survey of the relevance of computer science and software engineering education. In *Proceedings 11th Conference on Software Engineering Education*. IEEE Comput. Soc. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/csee.1998.658300>
9. F. Meziane and S. Vadera. 2004. A comparison of computer science and software engineering programmes in English universities. In *17th Conference on Software Engineering Education and Training, 2004. Proceedings*. IEEE. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/csee.2004.1276512>
10. Carlos Portela, Alexandre Vasconcelos, Sandro Oliveira, and Mauricio Souza. 2017. The Use of Industry Training

Strategies in a Software Engineering Course: An Experience Report. In *2017 IEEE 30th Conference on Software Engineering Education and Training (CSEE&T)*. IEEE. DOI:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/cseet.2017.16>

11. Ghulam Rasool and Touseef Tahir. 2014. A Comparison of Software Engineering and Computer Science Undergraduate Programs in Pakistan. In *2014 12th*

*International Conference on Frontiers of Information Technology*. IEEE. DOI :  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/fit.2014.27>

12. Vijayakumar Sivanesan. Analyzing the Gaps between Engineering Education and Practice. (????).  
[https://gatech.instructure.com/files/638493/download?download\\_frd=1](https://gatech.instructure.com/files/638493/download?download_frd=1) EdTech Spring 2017.