## Homework 3 600.482/682 Deep Learning Spring 2020

March 1, 2020

## Due Sun. 03/01/2020 11:59:00pm. Please submit a latex generated PDF to Gradescope with entry code 9G83Y7

- 1. We have talked about backpropagation in class. And here is a supplementary material for calculating the gradient for backpropagation (https://piazza.com/class\_profile/get\_resource/jxcftju833c25t/k0labsf3cny4qw). Please study this material carefully before you start this exercise. Suppose P = WX and L = f(P) which is a loss function.
  - (a) Please show that  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial W} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial P} X^T$ . Show each step of your derivation

Answer: 
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial W} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial P} \frac{\partial P}{\partial W} \cdot P = WX, \frac{\partial L}{\partial P} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial L}{\partial p_{11}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial L}{\partial p_{1m}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial p_{n1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial L}{\partial p_{nm}} \end{pmatrix}, \frac{\partial P}{\partial W} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial P}{\partial w_{11}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial P}{\partial w_{1k}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial P}{\partial w_{n1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial P}{\partial w_{nk}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$P = WX = \begin{pmatrix} w_{11}x_{11} + \dots + w_{1k}x_{k1} & \cdots & w_{11}x_{1m} + \dots + w_{1k}x_{km} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ w_{n1}x_{11} + \dots + w_{nk}x_{k1} & \cdots & w_{n1}x_{1m} + \dots + w_{nk}x_{km} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial w_{ij}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_{ij}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial P} \frac{\partial P}{\partial w_{ij}} = \sum_{a=1}^{n} \sum_{b=1}^{m} \frac{\partial L}{\partial p_{ab}} \frac{\partial p_{ab}}{\partial w_{ij}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial p_{11}} x_{j1} + \dots + \frac{\partial L}{\partial p_{mm}} x_{jm}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial W} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial L}{\partial p_{11}} x_{11} + \dots + \frac{\partial L}{\partial p_{1m}} x_{1m} & \cdots & \frac{\partial L}{\partial p_{n1}} x_{k1} + \dots + \frac{\partial L}{\partial p_{nm}} x_{km} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial p_{n1}} & \dots & \frac{\partial L}{\partial p_{nm}} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{k1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{1m} & \cdots & x_{km} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{k1} & \cdots & x_{km} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$So, \ \frac{\partial L}{\partial W} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial P} X^T$$

(b) Suppose the loss function is L2 loss. L2 loss is defined as  $L(y,\hat{y}) = ||y - \hat{y}||^2$  where y is the groundtruth;  $\hat{y}$  is the prediction. Given the following initialization of W and X, please calculate the updated W after one iteration. (step size = 0.1)

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} 0.3 & 0.5 \\ -0.2 & 0.4 \end{pmatrix}, X = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x_1}, \mathbf{x_2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, Y = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y_1}, \mathbf{y_2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 & 1 \\ 1 & -1.5 \end{pmatrix}$$

Answer: 
$$\hat{Y} = WX = \begin{pmatrix} 0.3 & 0.5 \\ -0.2 & 0.4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1.5 & 1.1 \\ 1.2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, L(y, \hat{y}) = \|y - \hat{y}\|^2$$
, so  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial W} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \hat{Y}} \frac{\partial \hat{Y}}{\partial W} = -2(Y - \hat{Y})X^T = -2\begin{pmatrix} -1 & -0.1 \\ -0.2 & -1.5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.4 & 6.1 \\ 6 & 4.2 \end{pmatrix}$ 

$$W = W + \lambda \frac{\partial L}{\partial W} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.3 & 0.5 \\ -0.2 & 0.4 \end{pmatrix} + 0.1 \begin{pmatrix} 0.4 & 6.1 \\ 6 & 4.2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.26 & -0.12 \\ -0.8 & 0.02 \end{pmatrix}$$

- 2. In this exercise, we will explore how vanishing and exploding gradients affect the learning process. Consider a simple, 1-dimensional, 3 layer network with data  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , prediction  $\hat{y} \in [0,1]$ , true label  $y \in \{0,1\}$ , and weights  $w_1, w_2, w_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ , where weights are initialized randomly via  $\sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ . We will use the sigmoid activation function  $\sigma$  between all layers, and the cross entropy loss function  $L(y,\hat{y}) = -(y\log(\hat{y}) + (1-y)\log(1-\hat{y}))$ . This network can be represented as:  $\hat{y} = \sigma(w_3 \cdot \sigma(w_2 \cdot \sigma(w_1 \cdot x)))$ . Note that for this problem, we are not including a bias term.
  - (a) Compute the derivative for a sigmoid. What are the values of the extrema of this derivative, and when are they reached?

Answer: 
$$f(x) = sigmoid(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}}, \ f'(x) = \frac{e^{-x}}{(1 + e^{-x})^2} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}} - \frac{1}{(1 + e^{-x})^2},$$

$$f''(x) = \frac{e^{-x}}{(1 + e^{-x})^2} - \frac{2e^{-x}}{(1 + e^{-x})^3} = \frac{e^{-2x} - e^{-x}}{(1 + e^{-x})^3}$$

when x < 0, f''(x) > 0 and when x > 0, f''(x) < 0. so when x < 0, the f'(x) increase with x increase and when x > 0, the f'(x) decrease with x increase. when x=0, f'(x) reach the minimum, the minimum is  $\frac{1}{4}$ .

(b) Consider a random initialization of  $w_1 = 0.25, w_2 = -0.11, w_3 = 0.78$ , and a sample from the data set (x = 0.63, y = 1). Using backpropagation, compute the gradients for each weight. What have you noticed about the magnitude of the gradient?

Answer:  $\hat{y} = \sigma(w_3 \cdot \sigma(w_2 \cdot \sigma(w_1 \cdot x))) = \sigma(0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63))))$ . Suppose  $s_1 = \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.5393, s_2 = \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = \sigma(0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)))) = 0.5935.$ 

$$\sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)))) = 0.5935.$$

$$L(y, \hat{y}) = -(y \log(\hat{y}) + (1 - y) \log(1 - \hat{y})). \ So \ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \hat{y}} = -\frac{y}{\hat{y}} + \frac{1 - y}{1 - \hat{y}} = -\frac{1}{\hat{y}} = -\frac{1}{s_3} = -1.6849$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_3} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial w_3} = -1.6849 \cdot \frac{e^{-w_3 \cdot s_2}}{(1 + e^{-w_3 \cdot s_2})^2} \cdot s_2 = -0.1972$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_2} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial s_2} \frac{\partial s_2}{\partial w_2} = -1.6849 \cdot \frac{e^{-w_3 \cdot s_2}}{(1 + e^{-w_3 \cdot s_2})^2} \cdot w_3 \frac{e^{-w_2 \cdot s_1}}{(1 + e^{-w_2 \cdot s_1})^2} \cdot s_1 = -0.0427$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_1} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial s_2} \frac{\partial s_2}{\partial s_1} \frac{\partial s_1}{\partial w_1} = -1.6849 \cdot \frac{e^{-w_3 \cdot s_2}}{(1 + e^{-w_3 \cdot s_2})^2} \cdot w_3 \frac{e^{-w_2 \cdot s_1}}{(1 + e^{-w_2 \cdot s_1})^2} \cdot w_2 \frac{e^{-w_1 \cdot x}}{(1 + e^{-w_1 \cdot x})^2} \cdot w_1 = 0.00136$$

I noticed that after backpropagation the absolute value of gradient will decrease. So after 3 times the gradient become extremely small.

Now consider that we want to switch to a regression task and use a similar network structure as we did above: we remove the final sigmoid activation, so our new network is defined as  $\hat{y} = w_3 \cdot \sigma(w_2 \cdot \sigma(w_1 \cdot x))$ , where predictions  $\hat{y} \in \mathcal{R}$  and targets  $y \in \mathcal{R}$ ; we use the L2 loss function instead of cross entropy:  $L(y, \hat{y}) = (y - \hat{y})^2$ . Derive the gradient of the loss function with respect to each of the weights  $w_1, w_2, w_3$ .

Answer: 
$$\hat{y} = w_3 \cdot \sigma(w_2 \cdot \sigma(w_1 \cdot x)) = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63))$$
. Suppose  $s_1 = \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.5393, s_2 = \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63))) = 0.3784.$ 

$$L(y, \hat{y}) = (y - \hat{y})^2. \quad So \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial \hat{y}} = -2 \cdot (y - \hat{y}) = -2 \cdot (1 - \hat{y}) = -2 \cdot (1 - s_3) = -1.2431$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_3} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial w_3} = -1.2431 \cdot s_2 = -0.6031$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_2} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial s_2} \frac{\partial s_2}{\partial w_2} = -1.2431 \cdot w_3 \frac{e^{-w_2 \cdot s_1}}{(1 + e^{-w_2 \cdot s_1})^2} \cdot s_1 = -0.1306$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_1} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial s_2} \frac{\partial s_2}{\partial s_1} \frac{\partial s_1}{\partial w_1} = -1.2431 \cdot w_3 \frac{e^{-w_2 \cdot s_1}}{(1 + e^{-w_2 \cdot s_1})^2} \cdot w_2 \frac{e^{-w_1 \cdot x}}{(1 + e^{-w_1 \cdot x})^2} \cdot w_1 = 0.00417$$

(c) Consider again the random initialization of  $w_1 = 0.25, w_2 = -0.11, w_3 = 0.78$ , and a sample from the data set (x = 0.63, y = 128). Using backpropagation, compute the gradients for each weight. What have you noticed about the magnitude of the gradient? Answer:  $\hat{y} = w_3 \cdot \sigma(w_2 \cdot \sigma(w_1 \cdot x)) = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63))$  . Suppose  $s_1 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63))$  $\sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.5393, s_2 = \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot \sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.4852, s_3 = 0.78 \cdot \sigma(-0.11 \cdot 0.63)$  $\sigma(0.25 \cdot 0.63)) = 0.3784.$  $L(y,\hat{y}) = (y-\hat{y})^2$ . So  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \hat{y}} = -2 \cdot (y-\hat{y}) = -2 \cdot (128 - \hat{y}) = -2 \cdot (128 - s_3) = -255.2431$  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_3} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial w_3} = -255.2431 \cdot s_2 = -123.8373$   $\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_2} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial s_2} \frac{\partial s_2}{\partial w_2} = -255.2431 \cdot w_3 \frac{e^{-w_2 \cdot s_1}}{(1 + e^{-w_2 \cdot s_1})^2} \cdot s_1 = -26.8184$   $\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_1} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial s_2} \frac{\partial s_2}{\partial s_1} \frac{\partial s_1}{\partial w_1} = -255.2431 \cdot w_3 \frac{e^{-w_2 \cdot s_1}}{(1 + e^{-w_2 \cdot s_1})^2} \cdot w_2 \frac{e^{-w_1 \cdot x}}{(1 + e^{-w_1 \cdot x})^2} \cdot w_1 = 0.8562$ I noticed that even the y is very big, after backpropagation the gradient become ex-

tremely small.