1 Unique And Stable Target and Steady State Points

This appendix proves Theorems 2 and 3 and

Lemma 1. If both \check{m} and \hat{m} exist, then $\hat{m} < \check{m}$.

1.1 Proof of Theorem 2

The elements of the proof of Theorem 2 are:

- Existence and continuity of $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t]$
- Existence of a point where $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t] = 1$
- $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}] m_t$ is monotonically decreasing

1.1.1 Existence and Continuity of $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t]$

The consumption function exists because we have imposed the sufficient conditions (the WRIC and FVAC; Theorem 1). (Indeed, Appendix C shows that c(m) is not just continuous, but twice continuously differentiable.)

Section 2.7 shows that for all t, $a_{t-1} = m_{t-1} - c_{t-1} > 0$. Since $m_t = a_{t-1}\mathcal{R}_t + \xi_t$, even if ξ_t takes on its minimum value of 0, $a_{t-1}\mathcal{R}_t > 0$, since both a_{t-1} and \mathcal{R}_t are strictly positive. With m_t and m_{t+1} both strictly positive, the ratio $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t]$ inherits continuity (and, for that matter, continuous differentiability) from the consumption function.

1.1.2 Existence of a point where $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t] = 1$.

Existence of a point where $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t] = 1$ follows from:

- 1. Existence and continuity of $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t]$ (just proven)
- 2. Existence a point where $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t] < 1$
- 3. Existence a point where $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t] > 1$
- 4. The Intermediate Value Theorem

Existence of a point where $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t] < 1$.

If RIC holds. Logic exactly parallel to that of Section 3.1 leading to equation (47), but dropping the Γ_{t+1} from the RHS, establishes that

$$\lim_{m_t \uparrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t] = \lim_{m_t \uparrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_t \left[\frac{\mathcal{R}_{t+1}(m_t - c(m_t)) + \xi_{t+1}}{m_t} \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_t[(R/\Gamma_{t+1})\mathbf{P}_R]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_t[\mathbf{P}/\Gamma_{t+1}]$$

$$< 1$$
(1)

where the inequality reflects imposition of the GIC-Nrm (35).

If RIC fails. When the RIC fails, the fact that $\lim_{m^{\uparrow}_{\infty}} c'(m) = 0$ (see equation (39)) means that the limit of the RHS of (1) as $m \uparrow \infty$ is $\overline{\mathcal{R}} = \mathbb{E}_t[\mathcal{R}_{t+1}]$. In the next step of this proof, we will prove that the combination GIC-Nrm and RHC implies $\overline{\mathcal{R}} < 1$.

So we have $\lim_{m\uparrow\infty} \mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t] < 1$ whether the RIC holds or fails.

Existence of a point where $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t] > 1$.

Paralleling the logic for c in Section 3.2: the ratio of $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}]$ to m_t is unbounded above as $m_t \downarrow 0$ because $\lim_{m_t \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}] > 0$.

Intermediate Value Theorem. If $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t]$ is continuous, and takes on values above and below 1, there must be at least one point at which it is equal to one.

1.1.3 $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}] - m_t$ is monotonically decreasing.

Now define $\zeta(m_t) \equiv \mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}] - m_t$ and note that

$$\zeta(m_t) < 0 \leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t] < 1$$

$$\zeta(m_t) = 0 \leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t] = 1$$

$$\zeta(m_t) > 0 \leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t] > 1,$$
(2)

so that $\zeta(\hat{m}) = 0$. Our goal is to prove that $\zeta(\bullet)$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ using the fact that

$$\boldsymbol{\zeta}'(m_t) \equiv \left(\frac{d}{dm_t}\right) \boldsymbol{\zeta}(m_t) = \mathbb{E}_t \left[\left(\frac{d}{dm_t}\right) \left(\mathcal{R}_{t+1}(m_t - c(m_t)) + \xi_{t+1} - m_t \right) \right]$$

$$= \bar{\mathcal{R}} \left(1 - c'(m_t) \right) - 1.$$
(3)

Now, we show that (given our other assumptions) $\zeta'(m)$ is decreasing (but for different reasons) whether the RIC holds or fails.

If RIC holds. Equation (21) indicates that if the RIC holds, then $\underline{\kappa} > 0$. We show at the bottom of Section 2.8.1 that if the RIC holds then $0 < \underline{\kappa} < c'(m_t) < 1$ so that

$$\bar{\mathcal{R}}(1 - c'(m_t)) - 1 < \bar{\mathcal{R}}(1 - \underbrace{(1 - \mathbf{p}_R)}_{\underline{\kappa}}) - 1$$

$$= \bar{\mathcal{R}}\mathbf{p}_R - 1$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_t \left[\frac{R}{\Gamma \psi} \frac{\mathbf{p}}{R} \right] - 1$$

$$= \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_t \left[\frac{\mathbf{p}}{\Gamma \psi} \right]}_{=\mathbf{p}_{\Gamma}} - 1$$

which is negative because the GIC-Nrm says $\mathbf{p}_{\Gamma} < 1$.

If RIC fails. Under RIC, recall that $\lim_{m\uparrow\infty} c'(m) = 0$. Concavity of the consumption function means that c' is a decreasing function, so everywhere

$$\bar{\mathcal{R}}\left(1 - c'(m_t)\right) < \bar{\mathcal{R}}$$

which means that $\zeta'(m_t)$ from (3) is guaranteed to be negative if

$$\bar{\mathcal{R}} \equiv \mathbb{E}_t \left[\frac{\mathsf{R}}{\Gamma \psi} \right] < 1. \tag{4}$$

But the combination of the GIC-Nrm holding and the RIC failing can be written:

$$\underbrace{\mathbb{E}_t \left[\frac{\mathbf{b}}{\Gamma \psi} \right]}_{\mathbf{E}_t} < 1 < \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{b}_{\mathsf{R}}}{\mathbf{p}}}_{\mathsf{R}},$$

and multiplying all three elements by R/\mathbf{P} gives

$$\mathbb{E}_t \left[\frac{\mathsf{R}}{\Gamma \psi} \right] < \mathsf{R}/\mathbf{P} < 1$$

which satisfies our requirement in (4).

1.2 Proof of Theorem 3

The elements of the proof are:

- Existence and continuity of $\mathbb{E}_t[\psi_{t+1}m_{t+1}/m_t]$
- Existence of a point where $\mathbb{E}_t[\psi_{t+1}m_{t+1}/m_t] = 1$
- $\mathbb{E}_t[\psi_{t+1}m_{t+1}-m_t]$ is monotonically decreasing

1.2.1 Existence and Continuity of The Ratio

Since by assumption $0 < \psi \le \psi_{t+1} \le \bar{\psi} < \infty$, our proof in 1.1.1 that demonstrated existence and continuity of $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}/m_t]$ implies existence and continuity of $\mathbb{E}_t[\psi_{t+1}m_{t+1}/m_t]$.

1.2.2 Existence of a stable point

Since by assumption $0 < \underline{\psi} \le \psi_{t+1} \le \overline{\psi} < \infty$, our proof in Subsection 1.1.1 that the ratio of $\mathbb{E}_t[m_{t+1}]$ to m_t is unbounded as $m_t \downarrow 0$ implies that the ratio $\mathbb{E}_t[\psi_{t+1}m_{t+1}]$ to m_t is unbounded as $m_t \downarrow 0$.

The limit of the expected ratio as m_t goes to infinity is most easily calculated by modifying the steps for the prior theorem explicitly:

$$\lim_{m_t \uparrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_t [\psi_{t+1} m_{t+1} / m_t] = \lim_{m_t \uparrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_t \left[\frac{\Gamma_{t+1} \left((\mathsf{R} / \Gamma_{t+1}) \mathsf{a}(m_t) + \xi_{t+1} \right) / \Gamma}{m_t} \right]$$

$$= \lim_{m_t \uparrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_t \left[\frac{(\mathsf{R} / \Gamma) \mathsf{a}(m_t) + \psi_{t+1} \xi_{t+1}}{m_t} \right]$$

$$= \lim_{m_t \uparrow \infty} \left[\frac{(\mathsf{R} / \Gamma) \mathsf{a}(m_t) + 1}{m_t} \right]$$

$$= (\mathsf{R} / \Gamma) \mathbf{p}_{\mathsf{R}}$$

$$= \mathbf{p}_{\Gamma}$$
(5)

where the last two lines are merely a restatement of the GIC (29).

The Intermediate Value Theorem says that if $\mathbb{E}_t[\psi_{t+1}m_{t+1}/m_t]$ is continuous, and takes on values above and below 1, there must be at least one point at which it is equal to one.

1.2.3 $\mathbb{E}_t[\psi_{t+1}m_{t+1}] - m_t$ is monotonically decreasing.

Define $\zeta(m_t) \equiv \mathbb{E}_t[\psi_{t+1}m_{t+1}] - m_t$ and note that

$$\zeta(m_t) < 0 \leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}_t[\psi_{t+1}m_{t+1}/m_t] < 1$$

$$\zeta(m_t) = 0 \leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}_t[\psi_{t+1}m_{t+1}/m_t] = 1$$

$$\zeta(m_t) > 0 \leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}_t[\psi_{t+1}m_{t+1}/m_t] > 1,$$
(6)

so that $\zeta(\hat{m}) = 0$. Our goal is to prove that $\zeta(\bullet)$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ using the fact that

$$\boldsymbol{\zeta}'(m_t) \equiv \left(\frac{d}{dm_t}\right) \boldsymbol{\zeta}(m_t) = \mathbb{E}_t \left[\left(\frac{d}{dm_t}\right) \left(\mathcal{R}(m_t - c(m_t)) + \psi_{t+1} \xi_{t+1} - m_t \right) \right]$$
(7)
= $(\mathsf{R}/\Gamma) \left(1 - c'(m_t) \right) - 1.$

Now, we show that (given our other assumptions) $\zeta'(m)$ is decreasing (but for different reasons) whether the RIC holds or fails (RIC).

If RIC holds. Equation (21) indicates that if the RIC holds, then $\underline{\kappa} > 0$. We show at the bottom of Section 2.8.1 that if the RIC holds then $0 < \underline{\kappa} < c'(m_t) < 1$ so that

$$\mathcal{R}\left(1 - c'(m_t)\right) - 1 < \mathcal{R}\left(1 - \underbrace{\left(1 - \mathbf{p}_{\mathsf{R}}\right)}_{\underline{\kappa}}\right) - 1$$
$$= (\mathsf{R}/\Gamma)\mathbf{p}_{\mathsf{R}} - 1$$

which is negative because the GIC says $\mathbf{p}_{\Gamma} < 1$.

If RIC fails. Under RIC, recall that $\lim_{m\uparrow\infty} c'(m) = 0$. Concavity of the consumption function means that c' is a decreasing function, so everywhere

$$\mathcal{R}\left(1-c'(m_t)\right) < \mathcal{R}$$

which means that $\zeta'(m_t)$ from (7) is guaranteed to be negative if

$$\mathcal{R} \equiv (\mathsf{R}/\Gamma) < 1. \tag{8}$$

But we showed in Section 2.5 that the only circumstances under which the problem has a nondegenerate solution while the RIC fails were ones where the FHWC also fails (that is, (8) holds).