

1 The Terminal/Limiting Consumption Function

For any set of parameter values that satisfy the conditions required for convergence, the problem can be solved by setting the terminal consumption function to $c_T(m) = m$ and constructing $\{c_{T-1}, c_{T-2}, \dots\}$ by time iteration (a method that will converge to $c(m)$ by standard theorems). But $c_T(m) = m$ is very far from the final converged consumption rule $c(m)$,¹ and thus many periods of iteration will likely be required to obtain a candidate rule that even remotely resembles the converged function.

A natural alternative choice for the terminal consumption rule is the solution to the perfect foresight liquidity constrained problem, to which the model's solution converges (under specified parametric restrictions) as all forms of uncertainty approach zero (as discussed in the main text). But a difficulty with this idea is that the perfect foresight liquidity constrained solution is 'kinked.' The slope of the consumption function changes discretely at the points $\{m_{\#}^1, m_{\#}^2, \dots\}$. This is a practical problem because it rules out the use of derivatives of the consumption function in the approximate representation of $c(m)$, thereby preventing the enormous increase in efficiency obtainable from a higher-order approximation.

Our solution is simple: The formulae in another appendix that identify kink points on $c(m)$ for integer values of n (e.g., $c_{\#}^n = \mathbf{P}_{\Gamma}^{-n}$) are continuous functions of n ; the conclusion that $c(m)$ is piecewise linear between the kink points does not require that the *terminal consumption rule* (from which time iteration proceeds) also be piecewise linear. Thus, for values $n \geq 0$ we can construct a smooth function $\check{c}(m)$ that matches the true perfect foresight liquidity constrained consumption function at the set of points corresponding to integer periods in the future, but satisfies the (continuous, and greater at non-kink points) consumption rule defined from the appendix's formulas by noninteger values of n at other points.²

This strategy generates a smooth limiting consumption function — except at the remaining kink point defined by $\{m_{\#}^0, c_{\#}^0\}$. Below this point, the solution must match $c(m) = m$ because the constraint is binding. At $m = m_{\#}^0$ the MPC discretely drops (that is, $\lim_{m \uparrow m_{\#}^0} c'(m) = 1$ while $\lim_{m \downarrow m_{\#}^0} c'(m) = \kappa_{\#}^0 < 1$).

Such a kink point causes substantial problems for numerical solution methods (like the one we use, described below) that rely upon the smoothness of the limiting consumption function.

Our solution is to use, as the terminal consumption rule, a function that is identical to the (smooth) continuous consumption rule $\check{c}(m)$ above some $n \geq \underline{n}$, but to replace $\check{c}(m)$ between $m_{\#}^0$ and $m_{\#}^{\underline{n}}$ with the unique polynomial function $\hat{c}(m)$ that satisfies the following criteria:

1. $\hat{c}(m_{\#}^0) = c_{\#}^0$
2. $\hat{c}'(m_{\#}^0) = 1$

¹Unless $\beta \approx +0$.

²In practice, we calculate the first and second derivatives of c and use piecewise polynomial approximation methods that match the function at these points.

$$3. \hat{c}'(m_{\#}^{\underline{n}}) = (dc_{\#}^n/dn)(dm_{\#}^n/dn)^{-1}|_{n=\underline{n}}$$

$$4. \hat{c}''(m_{\#}^{\underline{n}}) = (d^2c_{\#}^n/dn^2)(d^2m_{\#}^n/dn^2)^{-1}|_{n=\underline{n}}$$

where \underline{n} is chosen judgmentally in a way calculated to generate a good compromise between smoothness of the limiting consumption function $\check{c}(m)$ and fidelity of that function to the $c(m)$ (see the actual code for details).

We thus define the terminal function as

$$c_T(m) = \begin{cases} 0 < m \leq m_{\#}^0 & m \\ m_{\#}^0 < m < m_{\#}^{\underline{n}} & \check{c}(m) \\ m_{\#}^{\underline{n}} < m & c(m) \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Since the precautionary motive implies that in the presence of uncertainty the optimal level of consumption is below the level that is optimal without uncertainty, and since $\check{c}(m) \geq c(m)$, implicitly defining $m = e^{\mu}$ (so that $\mu = \log m$), we can construct

$$\chi_t(\mu) = \log(1 - c_t(e^{\mu})/c_T(e^{\mu})) \quad (2)$$

which must be a number between $-\infty$ and $+\infty$ (since $0 < c_t(m) < \check{c}(m)$ for $m > 0$). This function turns out to be much better behaved (as a numerical observation; no formal proof is offered) than the level of the optimal consumption rule $c_t(m)$. In particular, $\chi_t(\mu)$ is well approximated by linear functions both as $m \downarrow 0$ and as $m \uparrow \infty$.

Differentiating with respect to μ and dropping consumption function arguments yields

$$\chi_t'(\mu) = \left(\frac{-\left(\frac{c_t'c_T - c_t c_T'}{c_T^2} e^{\mu}\right)}{1 - c_t/c_T} \right) \quad (3)$$

which can be solved for

$$c_t' = (c_t c_T' / c_T) - ((c_T - c_t)/m) \chi_t'. \quad (4)$$

Similarly, we can solve (??) for

$$c_t(m) = (1 - e^{\chi_t(\log m)}) c_T(m). \quad (5)$$

Thus, having approximated χ_t , we can recover from it the level and derivative(s) of c_t .