

5. First note that for any  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ , there can only be infinitely many digits after the decimal point. So, it suffices to only consider the decimal digits after the decimal point that are 5. Thus, define

$$f_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the } k^{\text{th}} \text{ decimal digit of } x = 5, \\ 0 & \text{o/w.} \end{cases}$$

*Claim 1.*  $f_k$  is measurable.

*Proof of claim:* It suffices to show that  $f_k^{-1}(\{0\})$  is an open set. Suppose that  $x \in f_k^{-1}(\{0\})$ . Then, it follows that the  $k^{\text{th}}$  decimal digit of  $x$  is not 5. So, there exists some  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon) \subset f_k^{-1}(\{0\})$ . Then, consider the  $k$  decimal digit of  $x$  which I denote by  $x_k$ . If  $x_k \neq 4$  and  $x_k \neq 6$ . Then,  $(x - \frac{1}{10^k}, x + \frac{1}{10^k}) \in f_k^{-1}(\{0\})$ . Otherwise, if  $x_k = 4$  or  $x_k = 6$ , then it must be that  $(x - \frac{1}{10^{k+1}}, x + \frac{1}{10^{k+1}}) \in f_k^{-1}(\{0\})$ . Thus, it follows that  $f_k^{-1}(\{0\})$  is a Borel set, so  $f$  must be measurable.  $\square$

Let  $g_k = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i$ . Then,  $g_k$  is also measurable, since it is a sum of measurable functions. Now, it follows that  $h(x) = \sup\{g_k(x) : k \in \mathbf{Z}^+\}$  must also be measurable. Thus,  $h^{-1}(\{\infty\})$  is exactly the set where there is an infinite number of decimal points and this set by definition must also be a Borel set.

14. (a) If

$$x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=j}^{\infty} (f_j - f_k)^{-1}((-1/n, 1/n)),$$

then it follows that for all  $n \geq 1$ , there exists  $j \geq 1$  such that for all  $k \geq j$ , it must be that  $|f_j(x) - f_k(x)| < 1/n$ . This implies that for any  $n, m > j$ , it must be that  $|f_n(x) - f_m(x)| \leq |f_n(x) - f_j(x)| + |f_j(x) - f_m(x)| < 2/n$ . Thus,  $f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots$  is a Cauchy sequence, so it follows that  $f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots$  converges in  $\mathbf{R}$ .

- (b) We use the equivalence in part (a). Recall that a function is  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable if and only if for every Borel set,  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}) \in \mathcal{S}$ . Since  $(-1/n, 1/n)$  is an open set, then by definition it is a Borel set. Thus, since each  $f_j$  is  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable, it follows that  $f_j - f_k$  is also  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable. So,  $(f_j - f_k)^{-1}((-1/n, 1/n)) \in \mathcal{S}$ . Because  $\mathcal{S}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, it must be closed under countable unions and intersections. Therefore, the set must be  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable.

15. (a) It must be that  $X \in \mathcal{S}$  (this is trivial).

*Claim 2.*  $\mathcal{S}$  is closed under complements.

*Proof of claim:* Suppose that  $A \in \mathcal{S}$ . Then, it follows that there exists some  $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbf{Z}^+$  such that  $A = \bigcup_{k \in \mathcal{K}} E_k$ . Then, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (X \setminus A) &= X \setminus \bigcup_{k \in \mathcal{K}} E_k, \\ &= \bigcap_{k \in \mathcal{K}} (X \setminus E_k), \\ &= \bigcap_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \bigcup_{j \in \mathbf{Z}^+ \setminus \{k\}} E_j, \\ &= \bigcup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^+ \setminus \mathcal{K}} E_k. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{since } E_1, E_2, \dots \text{ are disjoint})$$

Thus, since  $\mathbf{Z}^+ \setminus \mathcal{K} \subset \mathbf{Z}^+$ , then it follows that  $X \setminus A \in \mathcal{S}$ .  $\square$

*Claim 3.*  $\mathcal{S}$  is closed under countable unions.

*Proof of claim:* Suppose a sequence of sets  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  such that any  $A_k \in \mathcal{S}$ . Then, by the definition of  $\mathcal{S}$ , it follows that  $A_k = \bigcup_{n \in N_k} E_n$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k &= \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n \in N_k} E_n, \\ &= \bigcup_{n \in N} E_n, \end{aligned}$$

for  $N = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} N_k$ . And since  $N \subset \mathbf{Z}^+$ , as each  $N_k \subset \mathbf{Z}^+$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{S}$  is closed under countable unions.  $\square$

(b)

*Claim 4.* If  $f$  is constant on every  $E_k$ , then  $f$  is an  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable function.

*Proof of claim:* Formally, if  $f$  is constant on every  $E_k$ , then

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \chi_{E_k},$$

for  $c_k \in \mathbf{R}$ . Thus, for any Borel set  $\mathcal{B}$ ,

$$f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}) = \bigcup \{E_k : f(x) = c_k, c_k \in (\mathcal{B} \cap \{c_1, c_2, \dots\})\}$$

So, it follows by the definition of  $\mathcal{S}$  that  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}) \in \mathcal{S}$ . Thus,  $f$  is  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable.  $\square$

*Claim 5.* If  $f$  is  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable, then  $f$  is constant on every  $E_k$ .

*Proof of claim:* By way of contradiction, assume that  $f$  is not constant on every  $E_k$ . That is, there exists some  $k \in \mathbf{Z}^+$  such that there exists some  $x, y \in E_k$  where  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ . Then, consider the Borel set  $\{f(y)\}$ . By assumption,  $x \notin f^{-1}(\{f(y)\})$ , but  $y \in f^{-1}(\{f(y)\})$ . It remains to show that there does not exist a set  $A \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $x \notin A$  and  $y \in A$ . Since  $A = \bigcup_{k \in \mathcal{K}} E_k$  this implies that for all  $k \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $x \notin E_k$ , but there exists a set such that  $y \in E_k$ . This is a contradiction, since by assumption  $x, y \in E_j$  for some  $E_j$ , but all  $E_1, E_2, \dots$  are disjoint. Thus, if  $y \in E_k$  then  $x \in E_k$ . So, it must be that  $f$  is constant on every  $E_k$ .  $\square$

21. We want to show that  $\mathcal{T} = \{A \subset \mathbf{R} : f^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{S}\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Since for any open interval

$$(a, b) = (a, \infty] \cap \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [-\infty, b - \frac{1}{n}] \right).$$

Since any open set is the countable union of disjoint open intervals, by the construction above  $\mathcal{T}$  contains all open sets. Thus, since  $\mathcal{T}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, it must also contain all Borel subsets. It remains to show that  $\mathcal{T}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Firstly,  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{T}$  since  $f^{-1}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ .

*Claim 6.*  $\mathcal{T}$  is closed under complements.

*Proof of claim:* If  $A \in \mathcal{T}$ . Then,  $f^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{S}$ . So,  $f^{-1}(\mathbf{R} \setminus A) = X \setminus f^{-1}(A)$  which is in  $\mathcal{S}$  since  $\mathcal{S}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Thus,  $\mathbf{R} \setminus A \in \mathcal{T}$ .  $\square$

*Claim 7.*  $\mathcal{T}$  is closed under countable unions.

*Proof of claim:* Suppose a sequence of sets  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  such that  $A_k \in \mathcal{T}$ . Then, it follows that

$$f^{-1} \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \right) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}(A_k).$$

This last expression is in  $\mathcal{S}$  since each  $f^{-1}(A_k) \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  is closed under countable unions. So,  $\mathcal{T}$  is also closed under countable unions.  $\square$

25. We want to construct a sequence of functions such that for any  $f_k$ , if  $x < y$ , then  $f_k(x) < f_k(y)$ . Let  $f_k(x) = f(x) + \frac{x}{k}$ . Since by assumption  $f(x)$  is an increasing function, for any  $x < y$ , it must be that  $f(x) \leq f(y)$ . Moreover,  $\frac{x}{k} < \frac{y}{k}$  since  $k > 0$ . Thus, for any  $x < y$ , it must be that  $f_k(x) < f_k(y)$ . Furthermore,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(x) + \frac{x}{k} = f(x) + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x}{k} = f(x).$$

27. *Remark.* As mentioned in the text, for a measure space  $(X, \mathcal{S})$ , if  $\mathcal{S}$  is the set of all subsets of  $X$ , then every function is  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable. However, if  $\mathcal{S}$  does not contain all subsets of  $X$ , say there exists  $E \subset X$  such that  $E \notin \mathcal{S}$ , then the function  $\chi_E(x)$  is not  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable.

Thus, the set of measurable functions depends only on  $\mathcal{S}$ . Also, note that in the proof of Theorem 2.39, since  $f^{-1}$  has “ $\sigma$ -algebra” properties, the sets  $(a, \infty)$  for all  $a \in \mathbf{R}$  can be replaced by any collection of sets as long as they can be used to generate all open intervals in  $\mathbf{R}$ .

Since the set of all open interval in  $[-\infty, \infty]$  is the same as the set of all open intervals in  $\mathbf{R}$ , it follows from the proof of Theorem 2.39, that all open intervals can be constructed from  $(a, \infty)$ . As a result,  $f$  must also be measurable.

30. First consider the inside iterated limit as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . We first show that if  $-1 < \cos(j!\pi x) < 1$ , then the inner limit goes to 0. See the following claim.

*Claim 8.* If  $x \in [0, 1]$ , then

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} x^a = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof of claim:* If  $x = 1$ , then  $x^a = 1$  for all  $a \in \mathbf{R}$ . So,  $\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} x^a = 1$ . On the other hand, consider the case where  $x \in [0, 1)$ . Let  $a = \tilde{a} + b$  such that  $\tilde{a} \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $0 \leq b < 1$ . Then, it follows that  $x^a = x^{\tilde{a}+b} = x^b \cdot x^{\tilde{a}}$ . Since  $x \in [0, 1)$ ,  $x \leq x^b \leq 1$ . Thus, it follows that  $x^a \leq x^{\tilde{a}}$ , where  $\tilde{a} \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ . Since  $\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} x^{\tilde{a}} = 0$  and  $0 \leq x^a$  for all  $a \in \mathbf{R}$ , it must be that  $\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} x^a = 0$ .  $\square$

Thus, it follows that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \cos(j!\pi x)^{2k} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \cos(j!\pi x)^2 = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

So, it suffices to show that  $\cos(j!\pi x)^2 = 1$  only if  $x \in \mathbf{Q}$ , as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . If  $x \in \mathbf{Q}$ , then by definition, there exists some  $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$  such that  $x = \frac{a}{b}$ . Thus, by the definition of the limit, for every integer sequence which converges to  $\infty$ ,  $j_1, j_2, \dots$ , there must exist some  $N$  such that for all  $n > N$ ,  $j_n > |b|$ . For all such  $j_n$ , it must be that

$$\cos\left(j_n! \pi \frac{a}{b}\right) = \cos\left(\underbrace{j_n(j_n-1) \cdots (|b|+1)(|b|-1) \cdots \pi a}_{(a)}\right).$$

Since (a) is an integer and  $a \in \mathbf{Z}$ , it must be that the cosine term is in  $\{-1, 1\}$ . Thus, the limit converges to 1. On the other hand, if  $x \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \mathbf{Q}$ , then for every integer sequence  $j_1, j_2, \dots$ , there does not exist some  $j_n$  such that  $j_n!x$  is an integer, otherwise,  $x$  would be rational.