



Quiz 5

Exercise on how to make classes thread-safe

Question # 1

Is the following class thread-safe?

```
public class Sum {  
  
    int count = 0;  
  
    int sum(int... vals) {  
  
        count++;  
  
        int total = 0;  
        for (int i = 0; i < vals.length; i++) {  
            total += vals[i];  
        }  
        return total;  
    }  
  
    void printInvocations() {  
        System.out.println(count);  
    }  
}
```

☐ A) Yes



☐ B) No

Submit Answer

Reset Quiz ↺

Show Explanation

Question # 2

What are the different ways in which we can make the `Sum` class thread-safe?

We can use an instance of the **AtomicInteger** for keeping the count of invocations. The thread-safe code will be as follows:

Using Atomic Integer



```
public class SumFixed {  
  
    AtomicInteger count = new AtomicInteger(0);  
  
    int sum(int... vals) {  
  
        count.getAndIncrement();  
  
        int total = 0;  
        for (int i = 0; i < vals.length; i++) {  
            total += vals[i];  
        }  
        return total;  
    }  
  
    void printInvocations() {  
        System.out.println(count.get());  
    }  
}
```

We can also fix the sum class by using synchronizing on the object instance.

Using Synchronization on **this**



```
public class SumFixed {  
  
    int count = 0;  
  
    synchronized int sum(int... vals) {  
  
        count++;  
  
        int total = 0;  
        for (int i = 0; i < vals.length; i++) {  
            total += vals[i];  
        }  
        return total;  
    }  
  
    synchronized void printInvocations() {  
        System.out.println(count);  
    }  
}
```

We could also use another object other than **this** for synchronization. The code would then be as follows:



```
public class SumFixed {  
  
    int count = 0;  
    Object lock = new Object();  
  
    int sum(int... vals) {  
  
        synchronized (lock) {  
            count++;  
        }  
  
        int total = 0;  
        for (int i = 0; i < vals.length; i++) {  
            total += vals[i];  
        }  
        return total;  
    }  
  
    void printInvocations() {  
        synchronized (lock) {  
            System.out.println(count);  
        }  
    }  
}
```

Question # 3

In the above question, when we fixed the Sum class for thread safety we synchronized the printInvocations() method. What will happen if we didn't synchronize the printInvocations() method?





The `printInvocations()` method performs a read-only operation of the shared variable `count`. If we skipped synchronizing the method, then the method call can potentially return/print stale value for the `count` variable including zero.

One may be tempted to skip synchronizing the read-only access of variables if the application logic can tolerate stale values for a variable but that is a dangerous proposition. Writes to the `count` variable may not be visible to other threads because of how the Java's memory model works. We'll need to declare the `count` variable ***volatile*** to ensure threads reading it see the most recent value. However, marking a variable ***volatile*** will not eliminate race conditions.

Question # 4

If we synchronize the `sum()` method as follows, will it be thread-safe?



```
int sum(int... vals) {  
  
    Object myLock = new Object();  
    synchronized (myLock) {  
        count++;  
    }  
  
    int total = 0;  
    for (int i = 0; i < vals.length; i++) {  
        total += vals[i];  
    }  
    return total;  
}
```

Q

☐ A) Yes

☐ B) No

Submit Answer

Reset Quiz ↺

Show Explanation



Interviewing soon? We've partnered with Hired so that companies apply to you
[utm_source=educative&utm_medium=lesson&utm_location=CA&utm_campaign=](#)



← Back

Next →

Quiz 4

Quiz 6



Mark as Completed



Report an
Issue



Ask a Question

(https://discuss.educative.io/tag/quiz-5__revision-quizzes__java-multithreading-for-senior-engineering-interviews)