Student ID: 20214878 Student Name: Alastair Kho

	þe	ole	
	Attained	Available	
Demonstration (30)	30		Implemented a Graphical Display of the graph
No options — usage; interactive mode; Functional menu; Load input file; Node operations (insert & find); Node operations (update & delete); Edge operations (insert & find); Edge operations (update & delete); Parameter tweak (node/ edge); Display graph as adj matrix; Display world - need node, edge and feature counts; Save network; Generate routes; Sorted routes; Displayed routes (save); Simulation mode; Simulation mode reads files; Simulation mode saves ranked paths; Test 1 - single path (amazing); Test 2 - multiple paths (game of catz); Test 3 - Multiple targets (pac man); Test 4 - portals (snakes and ladders); Test 5 - missing file; Test 6 - invalid file			
Project Report (40)			Need to discuss how and why of design choices
User Guide	4	4	
Class Descriptions	4	4	
Justification	6	6	
UML Class Diagram	4		In most cases Aggregation arrows (hollow diamond) are preferred to Composition (filled).
			Think of this as the client wanting evidence of
Traceability Matrix	4		requirements completion - a lot more should be handled by test harnesses.
Showcase - intro	4	4	by test namesses.
		4	
Scenario 1 (1-setup,2-results)	3	3	
Scenario 2	3	3	
Scenario 3	3	3	
Conclusion & Future Work	2	2	none provided
References	0		·
SUBTOTAL	37	40	
Implementation (30)			(code quality rubric below)
Code	15	15	Well formatted and readable code with lots of comments.
Tests	12		Excellent unit testing
Bonus	2		Graphical display and high standard of other outputs.
SUBTOTAL	29	30	
Overall deductions			
Does not compile/crashes	0	-10	
Didn't self-cite (each)	0	-5	
Use of built-in functions/ADTs	0	-20	
Undoc'd change to input files	0	-5	
Cover Sheet	0	-5	
README missing	0	-5	
Late penalty	0		
SUBTOTAL	0		
Total attained	96	100	Comment: Excellent work!
Total deductions	0	100	Comment Executive Works
FINAL SCORE	96	100	

CODE: 0-7: No comments, bad formatting, faulty code; 8-12: Some comments, consistent formatting, works; 13-15: Well commented, clear effort to make code readable/maintainable, high quality code

TESTS: 0-3 No or few tests; 4-6 Tests the main functionality; 7-9 Tests each method in each class; 10-12: test cases test for good/bad case; 13-15 Has technique for testing overall functionality