Lumay, Alyza Shane C.

IT3R5

Performance Innovative Task:

Building a Full-Stack To-Do List Application with FastAPI Documentation

DRF vs FastAPI: Comparison

| Feature | Django REST Framework (DRF) | FastAPI |
|----------------------------|--|--|
| Framework Base | Built on Django (batteries-included web framework) | Built on Starlette (lightweight ASGI framework) |
| Speed | Slower (sync-based by default) | Very fast (async support out of the box) |
| Type Hints / Validation | Optional, uses Django forms/serializers | Mandatory, uses Python type hints & Pydantic |
| Learning Curve | Steeper, especially for beginners | Easier for those familiar with Python type hints |
| Admin Panel | Built-in Django admin | No built-in admin panel |
| ORM | Django ORM (mature, feature-rich) | Can use SQLAlchemy or others (flexible but manual) |
| Auto Docs | Basic (via third-party like drf-yasg or coreapi) | Swagger UI & Redoc auto generated by default |
| Community & Ecosystem | Large and mature | Growing rapidly, but smaller than DRF |
| Best Use Cases | Enterprise-level projects, CMS, complex admin apps | Fast APIs, microservices, modern async apps |

Advantages

DRF

- Mature ecosystem with lots of extensions
- Integrated with Django's ORM and admin
- Great for projects with complex models & authentication needs

FastAPI

- Much faster performance (async I/O)
- Cleaner code with automatic data validation (Pydantic)
- Instant interactive API docs (Swagger & ReDoc)
- Ideal for microservices and modern web apps

Disadvantages

DRF

- Slower response time due to synchronous nature
- Verbose code with serializers and views
- Less intuitive docs compared to FastAPI

FastAPI

- No built-in admin or user system
- Smaller ecosystem for advanced features
- Requires manual setup for things DRF does out of the box (auth, admin, etc.)