

microPIECE - microRNA pipeline enhanced by CLIP experiments

Daniel Amsel¹, André Billion¹, Andreas Vilcinskas^{1, 2}, and Frank Förster¹

¹ Fraunhofer Institute for Molecular Biology and Applied Ecology, Department of Bioresources, Winchester Str. 2, 35394 Giessen, Germany ² Institute for Insect Biotechnology, Heinrich-Buff-Ring 26-32, 35392 Giessen, Germany

DOI: [10.21105/joss.00616](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.00616)

Software

- [Review](#) ↗
- [Repository](#) ↗
- [Archive](#) ↗

Submitted: 05 March 2018

Published: 10 April 2018

Licence

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC-BY](#)).


Summary

All microRNAs are assumed to be post-transcriptional fine-regulators. With a length of around 21 nucleotides, they form a RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) complex with a protein of the Argonaute family. This complex then binds to the messengerRNA untranslated regions and coding sequence regions and in general promotes degradation or translational inhibition. It is now important to know the microRNA-mRNA pairs in order to infer dysregulating effects on the organism. In order to assign a microRNA to a mRNA target, various tools with different technical approaches were developed. They are mostly based on the assumption that the first eight nucleotides of the microRNA (seed region) determine the binding region on the mRNA. Some approaches also include supporting bindings in the rear part of the microRNA, others take secondary structures of the mRNA or binding energies of the mRNA-miRNA complex into account. Nevertheless, they all suffer from the statistical problem that such short target regions, often occur simply by chance in transcript sequences. This results in a huge amount of false positive predictions. A target prediction of all 430 *Tribolium castaneum* mature microRNAs from [miRBase.org](#) against all 18.534 protein coding cDNA sequences from [Ensembl.org](#) results in 2.203.593 possible microRNA-target interactions, predicted by the commonly used tool *miranda* (Betel et al. 2008) with standard parameters. To increase the credibility, wet lab validation methods like luciferase reporter assays are required. The disadvantage here is that this workflow is not applicable for high-throughput analysis, as it can only treat small subsets of sequence combinations. Another, more scalable method is cross-linking immunoprecipitation-high-throughput sequencing (CLIP-seq). Here, binding regions of the RISC show a specific signal in the sequencing reads that can be used to shrink the search space of miRNA target predictions, when mapping them to the transcriptome. The limitation here is the difficult technical treatment in the laboratory. This is the reason why there are only a few datasets available for human, mouse, worm and mosquito. It would now be useful, if we could simply transfer the information of a binding region, already identified by CLIP-seq, to another species. This is what our **microRNA pipeline enhanced by CLIP experiments** **microPIECE** is about.

The pipeline (Figure 1) takes the AGO-CLIP data from a *speciesA* and transfers it to a *speciesB*. Given a set of miRNAs from *speciesB* it then predicts their targets on the transferred CLIP regions.

For the *minimal workflow* it needs a genome file, as well as its annotation file in GFF format for *speciesA* and *speciesB*. For *speciesA* at least one AGO-CLIP dataset is needed and *speciesB* needs a set of miRNAs for the target prediction. For the *full workflow*, a set of smallRNA-sequencing data is additionally needed and a set of non-coding RNAs can be provided as filter. The pipeline

uses the smallRNA data for the mining of novel microRNAs and the completion of the given miRNA dataset, if needed. It further performs expression calculation, isoform detection, genomic loci identification and orthology determination.



In case of a provided smallRNA dataset, the pipeline starts with the miRNA analysis. It uses *Cutadapt* (Martin 2011) to trim the adapter sequences from the small RNA sequencing libraries from *speciesB*. If provided, the trimmed libraries are filtered for ncRNAs using *bwa* (H. Li and Durbin 2009). The resulting files are merged into a pooled set and used for mining of novel microRNAs with *miRDeep2* (Friedländer et al. 2011). The pipeline then parses the result file and tries to add missing entries from the [miRBase.org](http://mirbase.org) database (Kozomara and Griffiths-Jones 2013), e.g. if only one arm was previously annotated and the mining discovers the exact position of the particular arm. The novel miRNAs and completed entries are merged to the existing miRNA set and used as reference for the following analysis. The expression of each miRNA is calculated in RPM, outgoing from the non-pooled trimmed and filtered libraries. The pipeline also accounts for miRNA isoforms, by removing all trimmed reads, containing undetermined nucleotides. Orthologous miRNAs in other species were determined by a *BLASTN* (Altschul et al. 1990) search against all metazoan miRNAs from [miRBase.org](http://mirbase.org). Finally, the genomic regions for the miRNAs were also identified by a *BLASTN* search against the genome of *speciesB*.

If no smallRNA dataset is provided, the pipeline directly jumps to the CLIP analysis. There it starts with the *speciesA* CLIP-seq library trimming, using *Cutadapt* (Martin 2011). Trimmed reads are then mapped to the genome with *gsnap* (Wu and Nacu 2010) and the results are evaluated by *Piranha* (Uren et al. 2012). Then the libraries are merged into the BED file format. We further used *SAMtools* (H. Li et al. 2009) and *BEDtools* (Quinlan and Hall 2010) for file conversions during the pipeline. The BED file includes a column that displays how many libraries support each genomic position. Next, a file for each library-support-level is created, so that the user can in the end decide how many CLIP libraries are necessary to account this region as binding region. Now for each library-support-level, an assignment of each sequence to the transcriptome is performed. Outgoing from the transcript, the corresponding protein is used to discover the orthologous protein in the *speciesB* by *Proteinortho* (Lechner et al. 2011). This information is used as criteria to align the CLIP region from *speciesA* to the orthologous transcript in *speciesB* with *EMBOSS Needle* (Rice, Longden, and Bleasby 2000).

The pipeline analyzes the miRNA set (either from the *full* or *minimal workflow*) for miRNA isoforms. Based on previous benchmarks (Amsel, Vilcinskis, and Billion 2017), we chose *miraligner* from the *seqbuster* package (Pantano, Estivill, and Martí 2009) as miRNA isoform detection tool. Finally, a target prediction with *miranda* (Betel et al. 2008) on the previously transferred orthologous CLIP regions is performed.

Depending on the provided data, the minimal output of the pipeline consists of a target prediction output from *miranda* for each library-support-level, based on the transferred CLIP regions. In case the pipeline additionally received smallRNA data, a microRNA set with known and novel miRNAs together with an expression file is saved, as well as the orthologs to other species, the genomic loci of the miRNAs and the identified isoforms.

As an example case, we used *microPIECE* on the AGO-CLIP data from *Aedes aegypti* (Zhang et al. 2017) and the 430 *Tribolium castaneum* miRNAs from [miRBase.org](https://www.mirbase.org/). The target prediction resulted in 3010 miRNA-target interactions with a three of six library-support-level. Outgoing from the previously reported 2.203.593 possible microRNA-target interactions, we reduced the results to a very conservative set.

References

- Altschul, Stephen F, Warren Gish, Webb Miller, Eugene W Myers, and David J Lipman. 1990. “Basic Local Alignment Search Tool.” *Journal of Molecular Biology* 215 (3). Elsevier:403–10. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836\(05\)80360-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2).
- Amsel, Daniel, Andreas Vilcinskas, and André Billion. 2017. “Evaluation of High-Throughput isomiR Identification Tools: Illuminating the Early isomiRome of *Tribolium Castaneum*.” *BMC Bioinformatics* 18 (1). BioMed Central:359. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-017-1772-z>.
- Betel, Doron, Manda Wilson, Aaron Gabow, Debora S Marks, and Chris Sander. 2008. “The microRNA. Org Resource: Targets and Expression.” *Nucleic Acids Research* 36 (suppl_1). Oxford University Press:D149–D153. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkm995>.
- Friedländer, Marc R, Sebastian D Mackowiak, Na Li, Wei Chen, and Nikolaus Rajewsky. 2011. “MiRDeep2 Accurately Identifies Known and Hundreds of Novel microRNA Genes in Seven Animal Clades.” *Nucleic Acids Research* 40 (1). Oxford University Press:37–52. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr688>.
- Kozomara, Ana, and Sam Griffiths-Jones. 2013. “MiRBase: Annotating High Confidence microRNAs Using Deep Sequencing Data.” *Nucleic Acids Research* 42 (D1). Oxford University Press:D68–D73. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1181>.
- Lechner, Marcus, Sven Findeiß, Lydia Steiner, Manja Marz, Peter F Stadler, and Sonja J Prohaska. 2011. “Proteinortho: Detection of (Co-) Orthologs in Large-Scale Analysis.” *BMC Bioinformatics* 12 (1). BioMed Central:124. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-12-124>.
- Li, Heng, and Richard Durbin. 2009. “Fast and Accurate Short Read Alignment with Burrows–Wheeler Transform.” *Bioinformatics* 25 (14). Oxford University Press:1754–60.
- Li, Heng, Bob Handsaker, Alec Wysoker, Tim Fennell, Jue Ruan, Nils Homer, Gabor Marth, Goncalo Abecasis, and Richard Durbin. 2009. “The Sequence Alignment/Map Format and Samtools.” *Bioinformatics* 25 (16). Oxford University Press:2078–9. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352>.
- Martin, Marcel. 2011. “Cutadapt Removes Adapter Sequences from High-Throughput Sequencing Reads.” *EMBnet. Journal* 17 (1):pp–10. <https://doi.org/10.14806/ej.17.1.200>.
- Pantano, Lorena, Xavier Estivill, and Eulàlia Martí. 2009. “SeqBuster, a Bioinformatic Tool for the Processing and Analysis of Small Rnas Datasets, Reveals Ubiquitous miRNA Modifications in Human Embryonic Cells.” *Nucleic Acids Research* 38 (5). Oxford University Press:e34–e34. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkp1127>.

Quinlan, Aaron R, and Ira M Hall. 2010. “BEDTools: A Flexible Suite of Utilities for Comparing Genomic Features.” *Bioinformatics* 26 (6). Oxford University Press:841–42. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq033>.

Rice, Peter, Ian Longden, and Alan Bleasby. 2000. “EMBOSS: The European Molecular Biology Open Software Suite.” Elsevier Current Trends. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9525\(00\)02024-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9525(00)02024-2).

Uren, Philip J, Emad Bahrami-Samani, Suzanne C Burns, Mei Qiao, Fedor V Karginov, Emily Hodges, Gregory J Hannon, Jeremy R Sanford, Luiz OF Penalva, and Andrew D Smith. 2012. “Site Identification in High-Throughput Rna–Protein Interaction Data.” *Bioinformatics* 28 (23). Oxford University Press:3013–20. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts569>.

Wu, Thomas D, and Serban Nacu. 2010. “Fast and Snp-Tolerant Detection of Complex Variants and Splicing in Short Reads.” *Bioinformatics* 26 (7). Oxford University Press:873–81. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq057>.

Zhang, Xiufeng, Emre Aksoy, Thomas Girke, Alexander S Raikhel, and Fedor V Karginov. 2017. “Transcriptome-Wide microRNA and Target Dynamics in the Fat Body During the Gonadotrophic Cycle of *Aedes Aegypti*.” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 114 (10). National Acad Sciences:E1895–E1903. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1701474114>.