Learning to program with F#

Jon Sporring

September 18, 2016

### Chapter 6

### Constants, functions, and variables

In the previous chapter, we saw how to use F# as a calculator working with literals, operators and built-in functions. To save time and make programs easier to read and debug, it is useful to bind expressions to identifiers either as new constants, functions, or operators. As an example, consider the problem,

### Problem 6.1:

For given set constants a, b, and c, solve for x in

$$ax^2 + bx + c = 0 (6.1)$$

To solve for x we use the quadratic formula from elementary algebra,

$$x = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a},\tag{6.2}$$

which gives the general solution for any values of the coefficients. Here, we will assume a positive discriminant,  $b^2 - 4ac > 0$ . In order to write a program, where the code may be reused later, we define a function discriminant: float -> float -> float, that is, a function that takes 3 arguments, a, b, and c, and calculates the discriminant. Details on function definition is given in Section 6.2. Likewise, we will define functions positiveSolution: float -> float -> float and negativeSolution: float -> float -> float, that also takes the polynomial's coefficients as arguments and calculates the solution corresponding to choosing the postive and negative sign for  $\pm$  in the equation. Our solution thus looks like Listing 6.1.

# Listing 6.1, identifiersExample.fsx: Finding roots for quadratic equations using function name binding. let discriminant a b c = b \*\* 2.0 - 4.0 \* a \* c let positiveSolution a b c = (-b + sqrt (discriminant a b c)) / (2.0 \* a) let negativeSolution a b c = (-b - sqrt (discriminant a b c)) / (2.0 \* a) let a = 1.0 let b = 0.0 let c = -1.0 let d = discriminant a b c let xp = positiveSolution a b c let xn = negativeSolution a b c printfn "0 = %A \* x \*\* 2.0 + %A \* x + %A" a b c printfn " has discriminant %A and solutions %A and %A" d xn xp 0 = 1.0 \* x \*\* 2.0 + 0.0 \* x + -1.0 has discriminant 4.0 and solutions -1.0 and 1.0

Here, we have further defined names of values a, b, and c used as input to our functions, and the results of function application is bound to the names d, xn, and xp. The names of functions and values given here are examples of identifiers, and with these, we may reuse the quadratic formulas and calculated values later, while avoiding possible typing mistakes and reducing amount of code, which needs to be debugged.

Before we begin a deeper discussion note that F# has adheres to two different syntax: regular and lightweight. In the regular syntax, newlines and whitespaces are generally ignored, while in lightweight syntax, certain keywords and lexemes may be replaced by specific use of newlines and whitespaces. Lightweight syntax is the most common, but the syntaxes may be mixed, and we will highlight the options, when relevant.

· lightweight syntax

The use of identifiers is central in programming. For F# not to be confused by built-in functionality, identifiers must follow a specific grammar: An identifier must start with a letter, but can be followed by zero or more of letters, digits, and a range of special characters except SP, LF, and CR (space, line feed, and carriage return). An identifier must not be a keyword or a reserved-keyword listed in Figures 6.1. An identifier is a name for a constant, an expression, or a type, and it is defined by the following EBNF:

### **Keywords:**

abstract, and, as, assert, base, begin, class, default, delegate, do, done, downcast, downto, elif, else, end, exception, extern, false, finally, for, fun, function, global, if, in, inherit, inline, interface, internal, lazy, let, match, member, module, mutable, namespace, new, null, of, open, or, override, private, public, rec, return, sig, static, struct, then, to, true, try, type, upcast, use, val, void, when, while, with, and yield.

### Reserved keywords for possible future use:

atomic, break, checked, component, const, constraint, constructor, continue, eager, fixed, fori, functor, include, measure, method, mixin, object, parallel, params, process, protected, pure, recursive, sealed, tailcall, trait, virtual, and volatile.

### Symbolic keywords:

```
let!, use!, do!, yield!, return!, |, ->, <-, ., :, (, ), [, ], [<, >], [|, |], {, }, ', #, :?>, :?,
:>, ..., ::, :=, ;;, ;, =, _, ??, ??, (*), <0, 0>, <00, and 00>.
```

### Reserved symbolic keywords for possible future:

~ and `.

Figure 6.1: List of (possibly future) keywords and symbolic keywords in F#.

```
Listing 6.2: Identifiers
ident = (letter | "_") {letter | dDigit | specialChar};
longIdent = ident | ident "." longIdent; (*no space around "."*)
longIdentOrOp = [longIdent "."] identOrOp; (*no space around "."*)
identOrOp =
  ident
  | "(" infixOp | prefixOp ")"
  | "(*)";
dDigit = "0" | "1" | "2" | "3" | "4" | "5" | "6" | "7" | "8" | "9";
letter = Lu | Ll | Lt | Lm | Lo | Nl; (*e.g. "A", "B" ... and "a", "b", ...*)
specialChar = Pc | Mn | Mc | Cf; (*e.g., "_"*)
codePoint = ?Any unicode codepoint?;
Lu = ?Upper case letters?;
Ll = ?Lower case letters?;
Lt = ?Digraphic letters, with first part uppercase?;
Lm = ?Modifier letters?;
Lo = ?Gender ordinal indicators?;
N1 = ?Letterlike numeric characters?;
Pc = ?Low lines?;
Mn = ?Nonspacing combining marks?;
Mc = ?Spacing combining marks?;
Cf = ?Soft Hyphens?;
```

Thus, examples of identifiers are a, the Character 9, Next\_Word, \_tok. Typically, only letters from the english alphabet are used as letter, and only \_ is used for specialChar, but the full definition referes to the Unicode general categories described in Appendix B.3, and there are currently 19.345 possible Unicode code points in the letter category and 2.245 possible Unicode code points in the specialChar category.

Expressions are a central concept in F#. An expression can be a mathematical expression, such as 3\*5, a function application, such as f3, and many other things. Central in this chapter is the binding of values and functions to identifiers, which is done with the keyword let, using the following simplified syntax, e.g., let a = 1.0.

Expressions has an enormous variety in how they may be written, we will in this book gradually work through some of the more important facets. For this we will extend the EBNF notation with ellipses: ..., to denote that what is shown is part of the complete EBNF production rule. E.g., the part of expressions, we will discuss in this chapter is specified in EBNF by,

```
Listing 6.3: Simple expressions.
expr =
  | expr ":" type (*type annotation*)
  | expr ";" expr (*sequence of expressions*)
   "let" valueDefn "in" expr (*binding a value or variable*)
   "let" ["rec"] functionDefn "in" expr (*binding a function or operator*)
  | "fun" argumentPats "->" expr (*anonymous function*)
  | expr "<-" expr (*assignment*)</pre>
type = \dots
  | longIdent (*named such as "int"*)
valueDefn = ["mutable"] pat "=" expr;
  | "_" (*wildcard*)
  | ident (*named*)
  | pat ":" type (*type constraint*)
  | "(" pat ")" (*parenthesized*)
functionDefn = identOrOp argumentPats [":" type] "=" expr;
argumentPats = pat | pat argumentPats;
```

In the following sections, we will work through this bit by bit.

### 6.1 Values

Binding identifiers to literals or expressions that are evaluated to be values, is called value-binding, and examples are let a = 3.0 and let b = cos 0.9. On EBNF the simplified syntax,

```
Listing 6.4: Value binding expression.

expr = ...
| "let" valueDefn "in" expr (*binding a value or variable*)
```

The let bindings defines relations between patterns pat and expressions expr for many different purposes. Most often the pattern is an identifier ident, which let defines to be an alias of the expression expr. The pattern may also be defined to have specific type using the : lexeme and a named type. The \_ pattern is called the wild card pattern and, when it is in the value-binding, then the expression is evaluated but the result is discarded. The binding may be mutable as indicated by

·let ·:

· wild card

the keyword *mutable*, which will be discussed in Section 6.5, and the binding holds *lexically* for the last expression as indicated by the *in* keyword. For example, letting the identifier p be bound to the value 2.0 and using it in an expression is done as follows,

mutablelexicallyin

```
Listing 6.5, letValue.fsx:

The identifier p is used in the expression following the in keyword.

let p = 2.0 in printfn "%A" (3.0 ** p)

9.0
```

F# will ignore most newlines between lexemes, i.e., the above is equivalent to writing,

```
Listing 6.6, letValueLF.fsx:

Newlines after in make the program easier to read.

let p = 2.0 in
printfn "%A" (3.0 ** p)

9.0
```

F# also allows for an alternative notation called *lightweight syntax*, where e.g., the **in** keyword is replaced with a newline, and the expression starts on the next line at the same column as **let** starts in, i.e., the above is equivalent to

 $\cdot$  lightweight syntax

```
Listing 6.7, letValueLightWeight.fsx:
Lightweight syntax does not require the in keyword, but expression must be aligned with the let keyword.

let p = 2.0
printfn "%A" (3.0 ** p)

9.0
```

The same expression in interactive mode will also respond the inferred types, e.g.,

```
Listing 6.8, letValueLightWeightTypes.fsx:
Interactive mode also responds inferred types.

> let p = 2.0
- printfn "%A" (3.0 ** p);;
9.0

val p : float = 2.0
val it : unit = ()
```

By the val keyword in the line val p: float = 2.0 we see that p is inferred to be of type float

and bound to the value 2.0. The inference is based on the type of the right-hand-side, which is of type float. Identifiers may be defined to have a type using the : lexeme, but the types on the left-hand-side and right-hand-side of the = lexeme must be identical. I.e., mixing types gives an error,

```
Listing 6.9, letValueTypeError.fsx:
Binding error due to type mismatch.

let p : float = 3
printfn "%A" (3.0 ** p)

/Users/sporring/repositories/fsharpNotes/src/letValueTypeError.fsx(1,17):
    error FS0001: This expression was expected to have type
    float
but here has type
    int
```

Here, the left-hand-side is defined to be an identifier of type float, while the right-hand-side is a literal of type integer.

An expression can be a sequence of expressions separated by the lexeme;, e.g.,

```
Listing 6.10, letValueSequence.fsx:
A value-binding for a sequence of expressions.

let p = 2.0 in printfn "%A" p; printfn "%A" (3.0 ** p)

2.0
9.0
```

The lightweight syntax automatically inserts the ; lexeme at newlines, hence using the lightweight syntax the above is the same as,

```
Listing 6.11, letValueSequenceLightWeight.fsx:
A value-binding for a sequence using lightweight syntax.

let p = 2.0
printfn "%A" p
printfn "%A" (3.0 ** p)

2.0
9.0
```

A key concept of programming is scope. In F#, the scope of a value-binding is lexically meaning that when F# determines the value bound to a name, it looks left and upward in the program text for the let statement defining it, e.g.,

 $\cdot$  scope

```
Listing 6.12, letValueScopeLower.fsx:
Redefining identifiers is allowed in lower scopes.

let p = 3 in let p = 4 in printfn " %A" p;

4
```

F# also has to option of using dynamic scope, where the value of a binding is defined by when it is used, and this will be discussed in Section 6.5.

Scopes are given levels, and scopes may be nested, where the nested scope has a level one lower than its parent. F# distinguishes between the top and lower levels, and at the top level in the lightweight syntax, redefining values is not allowed, e.g.,

### Listing 6.13, letValueScopeLowerError.fsx: Redefining identifiers is not allowed in lightweight syntax at top level. let p = 3 let p = 4 printfn "%A" p; /Users/sporring/repositories/fsharpNotes/src/letValueScopeLowerError.fsx (2,5): error FS0037: Duplicate definition of value 'p'

But using parentheses, we create a block, i.e., a nested scope, and then redefining is allowed, e.g.,

- $\cdot$  block
- $\cdot$  nested scope

```
Listing 6.14, letValueScopeBlockAlternative3.fsx:

A block may be created using parentheses.

(
    let p = 3
    let p = 4
    printfn "%A" p
)
```

In both cases we used indentation, which is good practice, but not required here. Bindings inside are not available outside the nested scope, e.g.,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Todo: Drawings would be good to describe scope

## Listing 6.15, letValueScopeNestedScope.fsx: Bindings inside a scope are not available outside. let p = 3 ( let q = 4 printfn "%A" q ) printfn "%A %A" p q /Users/sporring/repositories/fsharpNotes/src/letValueScopeNestedScope.fsx (6,19): error FS0039: The value or constructor 'q' is not defined

Nesting is a natural part of structuring code, e.g., through function definitions to be discussed in Section 6.2 and flow control structures to be discussed in Chapter 8. Blocking code by nesting is a key concept for making robust code that is easy to use by others without the user necessarily needing to know the details of the inner workings of a block of code.

Defining blocks is useful for controlling the extend of a lexical scope of bindings. For example, adding a second printfn statement,

```
Listing 6.16, letValueScopeBlockProblem.fsx:

Overshadowing hides the first binding.

let p = 3 in let p = 4 in printfn "%A" p; printfn "%A" p

4
4
```

will print the value 4 last bound to the identifier p, since F# interprets the above as let p=3 in let p=4 in (printfn "%A" p; printfn "%A" p). Had we intended to print the two different values of p, the we should have create a block as,

```
Listing 6.17, letValueScopeBlock.fsx:
Blocks allow for the return to the previous scope.

let p = 3 in (let p = 4 in printfn " %A" p); printfn " %A" p;

4
3
```

Here, the lexical scope of let p=4 in ... is for the nested scope, which ends at ), returning to the lexical scope of let p=3 in ....

### 6.2 Non-recursive functions

A function is a mapping between an input and output domain. A key advantage of using functions, when programming, is that they *encapsulate code* into smaller units, that are easier to debug and may be reused. F# is a functional first programming language, and offers a number of alternative methods for specifying parameters, which will be discussed in this section. Binding identifiers to functions follows a syntax similar to value-binding,

· encapsulate code

```
Listing 6.18: Function binding expression

expr = ...
  | "let" functionDefn "in" expr (*binding a function or operator*)
```

Functions may also be recursive, which will be discussed in Chapter 8. An example in interactive mode is,

```
Listing 6.19, letFunction.fsx:
An example of a binding of an identifier and a function.

> let sum (x : float) (y : float) : float = x + y in
- let c = sum 357.6 863.4 in
- printfn "%A" c;;
1221.0

val sum : x:float -> y:float -> float
val c : float = 1221.0
val it : unit = ()
```

and we see that the function is interpreted to have the type val sum: x:float -> y:float -> float. The -> lexeme means a mapping between sets, in this case floats. The function is also a higher order function, to be discussed in detail below, and here it suffices to think of sum as a function that takes 2 floats as argument and returns a float.

Not all types need to be declared, just sufficient for F# to be able to infer the types for the full statement. In the example, one specification is sufficient, and we could just have specified the type of the result,

```
Listing 6.20: All types need most often not be specified.

let sum x y : float = x + y
```

or even just one of the arguments,

```
Listing 6.21: Just one type is often enough for F\# to infer the rest.

let sum (x : float) y = x + y
```

In both cases, since the + operator is only defined for operands of the same type, then when the type of either the result, any or both operands are declared, then the type of the remaining follows directly.

· operator · operand

As for values, lightweight syntax automatically inserts the keyword in and the lexeme;,

```
Listing 6.22, letFunctionLightWeight.fsx:
Lightweight syntax for function definitions.

let sum x y : float = x + y
let c = sum 357.6 863.4
printfn "%A" c
```

Arguments need not always be inferred to types, but may be of generic type, which F# prefers, when  $type\ safety$  is ensured, e.g.,

· type safety

```
Listing 6.23, functionDeclarationGeneric.fsx:

Typesafety implies that a function will work for any type, and hence it is generic.

> let second x y = y
- let a = second 3 5
- printfn "%A" a
- let b = second "horse" 5.0
- printfn "%A" b;;
5
5.0

val second : x:'a -> y:'b -> 'b
val a : int = 5
val b : float = 5.0
val it : unit = ()
```

Here, the function **second** does not use the first argument x, which therefore can be of any type, and which F# therefore calls 'a, and the type of the second element, y, can also be of any type and not necessarily the same as x, so it is called 'b. Finally the result is the same type as y, whatever it is. This is an example of a *generic function*, since it will work on any type.

· generic function

A function may contain a sequence of expressions, but must return a value. E.g., the quadratic formula may be written as,

### Listing 6.24, identifiersExampleAdvance.fsx: A function may contain sequences of expressions. let solution a b c sgn = let discriminant a b c = b \*\* 2.0 - 2.0 \* a \* c let d = discriminant a b c (-b + sgn \* sqrt d) / (2.0 \* a)let a = 1.0let b = 0.0let c = -1.0let xp = solution a b c +1.0let xn = solution a b c -1.0printfn "0 = %A \* x \*\* 2.0 + %A \* x + %A" a b c printfn " has solutions %A and %A" xn xp 0 = 1.0 \* x \*\* 2.0 + 0.0 \* x + -1.0has solutions -0.7071067812 and 0.7071067812

Here, we used the lightweight syntax, where the = identifies the start of a nested scope, and F# identifies the scope by indentation. The amount of space used for indentation is does not matter, but all lines following the first must use the same. The scope ends before the first line with the previous indentation or none. Notice how the last expression is not bound to an identifier, but is the result of the function, i.e., in contrast to many other languages, F# does not have an explicit keyword for returning values, but requires a final expression, which will be returned to the caller of the function. Note also that since the function discriminant is defined in the nested scope of solution, then discriminant cannot be called outside solution, since the scope ends before let a = 1.0.

Lexical scope and function definitions can be a cause of confusion as the following example shows,<sup>2</sup>

· lexical scope

Advice

```
Listing 6.25, lexicalScopeNFunction.fsx:
Lexical scope means that f(z) = 3x and not 4x at the time of calling.

let testScope x =
let a = 3.0
let f z = a * z
let a = 4.0
f x
printfn "%A" (testScope 2.0)
```

Here, the value-binding for a is redefined, after it has been used to define a helper function f. So which value of a is used, when we later apply f to an argument? To resolve the confusion, remember that value-binding is lexically defined, i.e., the binding let f z = a \* x uses the value of a, it has by the ordering of the lines in the script, not dynamically by when f was called. Hence, **think of lexical scope as substitution of an identifier with its value or function immediately at the place of definition.** I.e., since a and a 0 are synonymous in the first lines of the program, then the function f is really defined as, let f g = a 0 \* g x.

<sup>2</sup>Todo: Add a drawing or possibly a spell-out of lexical scope here.

Functions do not need a name, but may be declared as an *anonymous function* using the **fun** keyword and the -> lexeme,

· anonymous function

```
Listing 6.26, functionDeclarationAnonymous.fsx:
Anonymous functions are functions as values.

let first = fun x y -> x
printfn "%d" (first 5 3)

5
```

Here, a name is bound to an anonymous function, which returns the first of two arguments. The difference to  $let\ first\ x\ y = x$  is that anonymous functions may be treated as values, meaning that they may be used as arguments to other functions, and new values may be reassigned to their identifiers, when mutable, as will be discussed in Section 6.5. A common use of anonymous functions is as as arguments to other functions, e.g.,

```
Listing 6.27, functionDeclarationAnonymousAdvanced.fsx:
Anonymous functions are often used as arguments for other functions.

let apply f x y = f x y
let mul = fun a b -> a * b
printfn "%d" (apply mul 3 6)
```

Note that here apply is given 3 arguments, the function mul and 2 integers. It is not given the result of mul 3 6, since that would not match the definition of apply. Anonymous functions and functions as arguments are powerfull concepts, but tend to make programs harder to read, and their use should be limited.

Advice

Functions may be declared from other functions

```
Listing 6.28, functionDeclarationCurrying.fsx:

A function can be defined as a subset of another by Currying.

let mul x y = x*y
let timesTwo = mul 2.0
printfn "%g" (mul 5.0 3.0)
printfn "%g" (timesTwo 3.0)
```

Here, mul 2.0 is a partial specification of the function mul x y, where the first argument is fixed, and hence, timesTwo is a function of 1 argument being the second argument of mul. This notation is called *currying* in tribute of Haskell Curry, and Currying is often used in functional programming, but generally currying should be used carefully, since currying may seriously reduce readability

· currying Advice

### of code.

A procedure is a generalisation of the concept of functions, and in contrast to functions procedure reduced not return values,

```
Listing 6.29, procedure.fsx:

A procedure is a function that has no return value, and in F# returns ().

let printIt a = printfn "This is '%A'" a
printIt 3
printIt 3.0

This is '3'
This is '3.0'
```

In F# this is automatically given the unit type as return value. Procedural thinking is useful for *encapsulation* of scripts, but is prone to *side-effects* and should be minimized by being replaced by functional thinking. More on side-effects in Section 6.5. **Procedural thinking is useful for encapsulation**, but is prone to side-effects and should be minimized by being replaced by functional thinking.

 $\begin{array}{l} \cdot \ encapsulation \\ \cdot \ side-effects \\ Advice \end{array}$ 

### 6.3 User-defined operators

Operators are functions, and in F#, the infix multiplication operator + is equivalent to the function (+), e.g.,

```
Listing 6.30, addOperatorNFunction.fsx:
Operators have function equivalents.

let a = 3.0
let b = 4.0
let c = a + b
let d = (+) a b
printfn "%A plus %A is %A and %A" a b c d

3.0 plus 4.0 is 7.0 and 7.0
```

All operator has this option, and you may redefine them and define your own operators, but in F# names of user-defined operators are limited by the following simplified EBNF:

```
Listing 6.31: Grammar for infix and prefix lexemes
infixOrPrefixOp = "+" | "-" | "+." | "-." | "%" | "&" | "&&";
prefixOp = infixOrPrefixOp | "~" {"~"} | "!" {opChar} - "!=";
infixOp =
  {"."} (
    infixOrPrefixOp
     "-" {opChar}
     "+" {opChar}
      "11"
      "<" {opChar}
      ">" {opChar}
      " | " {opChar}
      "&" {opChar}
      "^" {opChar}
      "*" {opChar}
      "/" {opChar}
          {opChar}
  | ":=" | "::" | "$" | "?";
opChar =
  "!" | "%" | "&" | "*" | "+" | "—" | ". " | "/"
  | "<" | "=" | ">" | "@" | "^" | "|" | "~";
```

The precedence rules and associativity of user-defined operators follows the rules for which they share prefixes with built-in rules, see Table E.6. E.g., .\*, +++, and <+ are valid operator names for infix operators, they have precedence as ordered, and their associativity are all left. Using ~ as the first character in the definition of an operator makes the operator unary and will not be part of the name. Examples of definitions and use of operators are,

```
Listing 6.32, operator Definitions.fsx:

Operators may be (re)defined by their function equivalent.

let (.*) x y = x * y + 1
printfn "%A" (3 .* 4)
let (+++) x y = x * y + y
printfn "%A" (3 +++ 4)
let (++) x y = x < y + 2.0
printfn "%A" (3.0 <+ 4.0)
let (~+.) x = x+1
printfn "%A" (+.1)

13
16
true
2
```

Operators beginning with \* must use a space in its definition, ( \* in order for it not to be confused with the beginning of a comment (\*, see Chapter 7 for more on comments in code.

Beware, redefining existing operators lexically redefines all future uses of the operators for all types, hence it is not a good idea to redefine operators, but better to define new. In Chapter 20

Advice

we will discuss how to define type specific operators including prefix operators.

### 6.4 The Printf function

A common way to output information to the console is to use one of the family of printf commands. These functions are special, since they take a variable number of arguments, and the number is decided by the first - the format string,

 $\cdot \, \mathtt{printf}$ 

```
Listing 6.33: printf statement.

"printf" formatString {ident}
```

where a formatString is a string (simple or verbatim) with placeholders. The function printf prints formatString to the console, where all placeholder has been replaced by the value of the corresponding argument formatted as specified, e.g., in printfn "1 2 %d" 3 the formatString is "1 2 %d", and the placeholder is %d, and the printf replaced the placeholder with the value of the corresponding argument, and the result is printed to the console, in this case 1 2 3. Possible formats for the placeholder are,

```
Listing 6.34: Placeholders in formatString for printf functions.

placeholder = "%%" | ("%" [flags] [width] ["." precision] specifier) (* No spaces between rules *)

flags = ["0"] ["+"] [SP] (* No spaces between rules *)

width = ["-"] ("*" | [dInt]) (* No spaces between rules *)

specifier = "b" | "d" | "i" | "u" | "x" | "X" | "o" | "e" | "E" | "f" | "F" |

"g" | "G" | "M" | "0" | "A" | "a" | "t"
```

There are specifiers for all the basic types and more as elaborated in Table 6.1. The placeholder can be given a specified with, either by setting a specific integer, or using the \* character, indicating that the with is given as an argument prior to the replacement value. Default is for the value to be right justified in the field, but left justification can be specified by the - character. For number types, you can specify their format by: "0" for padding the number with zeros to the left, when right justifying the number; "+" to explicitly show a plus sign for positive numbers; SP to enforce a space, where there otherwise would be a plus sign for positive numbers. For floating point numbers, the precision integer specifies the number of digits displayed of the fractional part. Examples of some of these combinations are,

Specifier	Type	Description
%b	bool	Replaces with boolean value
%s	string	
%с	char	
%d, %i	basic integer	
%u	basic unsigned integers	
%x	basic integer	formatted as unsigned hexadecimal
		with lower case letters
%X	basic integer	formatted as unsigned hexadecimal
		with upper case letters
%0	basic integer	formatted as unsigned octal integer
%f, %F,	basic floats	formatted on decimal form
%e, %E,	basic floats	formatted on scientific form. Lower
		case uses "e" while upper case uses
		"E" in the formatting.
%g, %G,	basic floats	formatted on the shortest of the cor-
		responding decimal or scientific form.
%M	decimal	
%0	Objects ToString method	
%A	any built-in types	Formatted as a literal type
%a	Printf.TextWriterFormat ->'a -> ()	
%t	(Printf.TextWriterFormat -> ()	

Table 6.1: Printf placeholder string

```
Listing 6.35, printfExample.fsx:
Examples of printf and some of its formatting options.
let pi = 3.1415192
let hello = "hello"
printf "An integer: %d\n" (int pi)
printf "A float %f on decimal form and on %e scientific form, and a char
    '%c'\n" pi pi
printf "A char '%c' and a string \"%s\"\n" hello.[0] hello
printf "Float using width 8 and 1 number after the decimal:\n"
printf " \"%8.1f\" \"%8.1f\"\n" pi -pi
printf " \"%08.1f\" \"%08.1f\"\n" pi -pi
printf " \"% 8.1f\" \"% 8.1f\"\n" pi -pi
printf " \"%-8.1f\" \"%-8.1f\"\n" pi -pi
printf " \"%+8.1f\" \"%+8.1f\"\n" pi -pi
printf " \"%8s\"\n\"%-8s\"\n" "hello" "hello"
An integer: 3
A char 'h' and a string "hello"
Float using width 8 and 1 number after the decimal:
      3.1" " -3.1"
  "000003.1" "-00003.1"
       3.1" " -3.1"
        " "-3.1 "
  "3.1
      +3.1" "
               -3.1"
      hello"
"hello
```

Function	Example	Description	
printf	printf "%d apples" 3	Prints to the console, i.e., stdout	
printfn		as printf and adds a newline.	
fprintf	fprintf stream "%d apples" 3	Prints to a stream, e.g., stderr and stdout	
		, which would be the same as printf and	
		eprintf.	
fprintfn		as fprintf but with added newline.	
eprintf	eprintf "%d apples" 3	Print to stderr	
eprintfn		as eprintf but with added newline.	
sprintf	printf "%d apples" 3	Return printed string	
failwithf	failwithf "%d failed apples" 3	prints to a string and used for raising an ex-	
		ception.	

Table 6.2: The family of printf functions.

Not all combinations of flags and identifier types are supported, e.g., strings cannot have number of integers after the decimal specified. The placeholder types "%A", "%a", and "%t" are special for F#, examples of their use are,

```
Listing 6.36, printfExampleAdvance.fsx:

Custom format functions may be used to specialize output.

let noArgument writer = printf "I will not print anything"
let customFormatter writer arg = printf "Custom formatter got: \"%A\"" arg printf "Print examples: %A, %A, %A\n" 3.0m 3uy "a string"
printf "Print function with no arguments: %t\n" noArgument
printf "Print function with 1 argument: %a\n" customFormatter 3.0

Print examples: 3.0M, 3uy, "a string"
Print function with no arguments: I will not print anything
Print function with 1 argument: Custom formatter got: "3.0"
```

The %A is special in that all built-in types including tuples, lists, and arrays to be discussed in Chapter 9 can be printed using this formatting string, but notice that the formatting performed includes the named literal string. The two formatting strings %t and %a are options for user-customizing the formatting, and will not be discussed further.

Beware, formatString is not a string but a Printf.TextWriterFormat, so to predefine a formatString as, e.g., let str = "hello %s" in printf str "world" will be a type error.

The family of printf is shown in Table 6.2. The function fprintf prints to a stream, e.g., stderr and stdout, of type System.IO.TextWriter. Streams will be discussed in further detail in Chapter 12. The function failwithf is used with exceptions, see Chapter 11 for more details. The function has a number of possible return value types, and for testing the *ignore* function ignores it all, e.g., ignore ignore (failwithf "%d failed apples" 3)

### 6.5 Variables

The mutable in let bindings means that the identifier may be rebound to a new value using the <- · <-

lexeme with the following syntax,<sup>3</sup>

```
Listing 6.37: Value reassignment for mutable variables.

expr = ...
| expr "<-" expr (*assignment*)
```

Mutable data is synonymous with the term variable. A variable is an area in the computers working memory associated with an identifier and a type, and this area may be read from and written to during program execution. For example,

· Mutable data · variable

· <-

```
Listing 6.38, mutableAssignReassingShort.fsx:
A variable is defined and later reassigned a new value.

let mutable x = 5
printfn "%d" x
x <- -3
printfn "%d" x
```

Here, an area in memory was denoted x, initially assigned the integer value 5, hence the type was inferred to be int. Later, this value of x was replaced with another integer using the <- lexeme. The <- lexeme is used to distinguish the assignment from the comparison operator, i.e., if we by mistake had written,

Listing 6.39, mutableEqual.fsx:
It is a common error to mistake = and <- lexemes for mutable variables.

> let mutable a = 0
- a = 3;;

val mutable a : int = 0
val it : bool = false

then we instead would have obtained the default assignment of the result of the comparison of the content of a with the integer 3, which is false. However, it is important to note, that when the variable is initially defined, then the '=' operator must be used, while later reassignments must use the <-expression.

Assignment type mismatches will result in an error,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Todo: Discussion on heap and stack should be added here.

## Listing 6.40, mutableAssignReassingTypeError.fsx: Assignment type mismatching causes a compile time error. let mutable x = 5 printfn "%d" x x <- -3.0 printfn "%d" x /Users/sporring/repositories/fsharpNotes/src/ mutableAssignReassingTypeError.fsx(3,6): error FS0001: This expression was expected to have type int but here has type float

I.e., once the type of an identifier has been declared or inferred, then it cannot be changed.

A typical variable is a counter of type integer, and a typical use of counters is to increment them, for example,

```
Listing 6.41, mutableAssignIncrement.fsx:

Variable increment is a common use of variables.

let mutable x = 5 // Declare a variable x and assign the value 5 to it printfn "%d" x

x <- x + 1 // Assign a new value -3 to x

printfn "%d" x

5
6
```

Using variables in expressions as opposed to the left-hand-side of an assignment operation, reads the value of the variable. Thus, when using a variable as the return value of a function, then the value is copied from the local scope of the function to the scope from which it is called. E.g.,

```
Listing 6.42, mutable AssignReturnVariable.fsx:
Returning a mutable variable returns its value.

> let g () =
- let mutable y = 0
- y
- printfn "%d" (g ());;
0

val g : unit -> int
val it : unit = ()
```

In the example, we see that the type is a value, and not mutable.

Variables implement dynamic scope, e.g., in comparison with the lexical scope, where the value of an identifier depends on *where* it is defined, dynamic scope depends on, *when* it is used. E.g., the script in Listing 6.25 defines a function using lexical scope and returns the number 6.0, however, if a is made mutable, then the behaviour is different:

```
Listing 6.43, dynamicScopeNFunction.fsx:

Mutual variables implement dynamics scope rules. Compare with Listing 6.25.

let testScope x =
    let mutable a = 3.0
    let f z = a * x
    a <- 4.0
    f x
printfn "%A" (testScope 2.0)
```

Here, the respons is 8.0, since the value of a changed befor the function f was called.

It is possible to work with mutable variables but through a special technique called *encapsulation*. E.g., in the following example the we create a counter as an encapsulated mutable variable,

 $\cdot$  encapsulation

```
Listing 6.44, mutableAssignIncrementEncapsulation.fsx:

Local mutable content can be indirectly accessed outside its scope.

let incr =
    let mutable counter = 0
    fun () ->
        counter <- counter + 1
        counter

printfn "%d" (incr ())

printfn "%d" (incr ())

printfn "%d" (incr ())
```

This works because the line let mutable counter = 0 is only executed once, when the function incr is defined. This is also an example of a side-effect. Encapsulation of mutable data is good programming practice, but avoiding mutable data all together is better practice.

Advice

F# has a variation of mutable variables called *reference cells*. Reference cells have built-in function  $\cdot$  reference cells ref and operators! and :=,

### Listing 6.45, refCell.fsx: Reference cells are variants of mutable variables. let x = ref 0 printfn "%d" !x x := !x + 1 printfn "%d" !x 0 1

That is, the ref function creates a reference variable, the '!' and the ':=' operators reads and writes its value. Reference cells are in some language called pointers, and their use is strongly discouraged, since they may cause *side-effects*, where variable changes are performed across independent scopes. The incr example in Listing 6.44 is an example of a side-effect. Another example is,

 $\cdot$  side-effects

```
Listing 6.46, mutableAssignReturnSideEffect.fsx:
Intertwining independent scopes is typically a bad idea.

let updateFactor factor =
  factor := 2

let multiplyWithFactor x =
  let a = ref 1
  updateFactor a
  !a * x

printfn "%d" (multiplyWithFactor 3)
```

In the example, the function updateFactor changes a variable in the scope of multiplyWithFactor , which is prone to errors, since the computations are not local at the place of writing, i.e., in multiplyWithFactor, and if updateFactor were defined in a library, then the source code may not be available. Better style of programming is,

## Listing 6.47, mutableAssignReturnWithoutSideEffect.fsx: A solution of Listing 6.46 avoiding side-effects. let updateFactor () = 2 let multiplyWithFactor x = let a = ref 1 a := updateFactor () !a \* x printfn "%d" (multiplyWithFactor 3)

Here, there can be no doubt in multiplyWithFactor that the value of a is changing. Side-effects do have their use, but should in general be avoided at almost all costs, and in general it is advised to minimize the use of side effects.

Advice

·aliasing

Reference cells gives rise to an effect called *aliasing*, where two or more identifiers refer to the same data as illustrated by the following example:

```
Listing 6.48, refCellAliasing.fsx:
Aliasing can cause surprising results and should be avoided.

let a = ref 1
```

```
let a = ref 1
let b = a
printfn "%d, %d" !a !b
b := 2
printfn "%d, %d" !a !b
1, 1
2, 2
```

Here, a is defined as a reference cell, and by defining b to be equal to a, we have created an alias. This can be very confusing, since as the example shows, changing the value of b causes a to change as well. Aliasing is a variant of side-effects, and aliasing should be avoided at all costs.

Advice

### Chapter 7

### In-code documentation

Documentation is a very important part of writing programs, since it is most unlikely, that you will be writing really obvious code. And what seems obvious at the point of writing may be mystifying months later to the author and to others. The documentation serves several purposes:

- 1. Communicate what the code should be doing
- 2. Highlight big insights essential for the code
- 3. Highlight possible conflicts and/or areas, where the code could be changed later

The essential point is that coding is a journey in problem solving, and proper documentation is an aid in understanding the solution and the journey that lead to it. Documentation is most often a mixture between in-code documentation and accompanying documents. Here, we will focus on in-code documentation, but arguably this does cause problems in multi-language environments, and run the risk of bloating code.

F# has the following simplified syntax for in-code documentation,

```
Listing 7.1: Comments.

blockComment = "(*" {codePoint} "*)";
lineComment = "//" {codePoint - newline} newline;
```

That is, text framed as a blockComment is still parsed by F# as keywords and basic types implying that (\* a comment (\* in a comment \*) \*) and (\* "\*)" \*) are valid comments, while (\* " \*) is invalid.<sup>1</sup>

The F# compiler has an option for generating Extensible Markup Language (XML) files from scripts using the C# documentation comments tags<sup>2</sup>. The XML documentation starts with a triple-slash ///, i.e., a lineComment and a slash, which serves as comments for the code construct, that follows immediately after. XML consists of tags which always appears in pairs, e.g., the tag "tag" would look like <tag> . . . </tag>. The F# accept any tags, but recommends those listed in Table 7.1. If no tags are used, then it is automatically assumed to be a <summary>. An example of a documented script is,

<sup>·</sup> Extensible Markup Language

 $<sup>\</sup>cdot$  XML

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Todo: **lstlisting colors is bad.** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>For specification of C# documentations comments see ECMA-334 3rd Edition, Annex E, Section 2: http://www.ecma-international.org/publications/files/ECMA-ST/Ecma-334.pdf

Tag	Description
<c></c>	Set text in a code-font.
<code></code>	Set one or more lines in code-font.
<example></example>	Set as an example.
<exception></exception>	Describe the exceptions a function can throw.
<li>t&gt;</li>	Create a list or table.
<para></para>	Set text as a paragraph.
<pre><param/></pre>	Describe a parameter for a function or constructor.
<pre><paramref></paramref></pre>	Identify that a word is a parameter name.
<pre><permission></permission></pre>	Document the accessibility of a member.
<remarks></remarks>	Further describe a function.
<returns></returns>	Describe the return value of a function.
<see></see>	Set as link to other functions.
<seealso></seealso>	Generate a See Also entry.
<summary></summary>	Main description of a function or value.
<typeparam></typeparam>	Describe a type parameter for a generic type or method.
<typeparamref></typeparamref>	Identify that a word is a type parameter name.
<value></value>	Describe a value.

Table 7.1: Recommended XML tags for documentation comments, from ECMA-334 3rd Edition, Annex E, Section 2.

```
Listing 7.2, commentExample.fsx:
Code with XML comments.
/// The discriminant of a quadratic equation with parameters a, b, and c
let discriminant a b c = b ** 2.0 - 2.0 * a * c
/// < summary > Find x when 0 = ax^2+bx+c. </summary >
/// <remarks>Negative discriminant are not checked.</remarks>
/// <example>
      The following code:
///
///
      <code>
111
        let a = 1.0
        let b = 0.0
111
        let c = -1.0
111
111
        let xp = (solution a b c +1.0)
       printfn "0 = %.1fx^2 + %.1fx + %.1f => x_+ = %.1f" a b c xp
111
      </code>
///
      prints \langle c \rangle 0 = 1.0 x^2 + 0.0 x + -1.0 \Rightarrow x_+ = 0.7 \langle c \rangle to the console.
///
/// </example>
/// <param name="a">Quadratic coefficient.</param>
/// <param name="b">Linear coefficient.</param>
/// <param name="c">Constant coefficient.</param>
/// <param name="sgn">+1 or -1 determines the solution.</param>
/// <returns>The solution to x.</returns>
let solution a b c sgn =
 let d = discriminant a b c
  (-b + sgn * sqrt d) / (2.0 * a)
let a = 1.0
let b = 0.0
let c = -1.0
let xp = (solution a b c +1.0)
printfn "0 = %.1fx^2 + %.1fx + %.1f => x_+ = %.1f" a b c xp
0 = 1.0x^2 + 0.0x + -1.0 \Rightarrow x_+ = 0.7
```

Mono's fsharpc command may be used to extract the comments into an XML file,

### Listing 7.3, Converting in-code comments to XML. \$ fsharpc --doc:commentExample.xml commentExample.fsx F# Compiler for F# 4.0 (Open Source Edition) Freely distributed under the Apache 2.0 Open Source License

This results in an XML file with the following content,

```
Listing 7.4, An XML file generated by fsharpc.
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8"?>
<doc>
<assembly><name>commentExample</name></assembly>
<members>
<member name="M:CommentExample.solution(System.Double,System.Double,System)</pre>
    .Double, System.Double)">
 <summary>Find x when 0 = ax^2+bx+c.</summary>
 <remarks>Negative discriminant are not checked.</remarks>
 <example>
   The following code:
   <code>
     let a = 1.0
     let b = 0.0
     let c = -1.0
     let xp = (solution a b c +1.0)
     printfn "0 = %.1fx^2 + %.1fx + %.1f => x_+ = %.1f" a b c xp
   </code>
   prints \langle c \rangle 0 = 1.0x^2 + 0.0x + -1.0 = x_+ = 0.7 \langle /c \rangle to the console.
 </example>
 <param name="a">Quadratic coefficient.</param>
 <param name="b">Linear coefficient.</param>
 <param name="c">Constant coefficient.</param>
 <param name="sgn">+1 or -1 determines the solution.</param>
 <returns>The solution to x.</returns>
<member name="M:CommentExample.discriminant(System.Double,System.Double,</pre>
   System.Double)">
<summary>
 The discriminant of a quadratic equation with parameters a, b, and c
</summary>
</member>
</members>
</doc>
```

The extracted XML is written in C# type by convention, since F# is part of the Mono and .Net framework that may be used by any of the languages using Assemblies. Besides the XML inserted in the script, the XML has added <?xml ...> header, <doc>, <assembly>, <members>, and <member > tags. The header and the <doc> tag are standards for XML. The extracted XML is geared towards documenting big libraries of codes and thus highlights the structured programming organization, see Part IV, and <assembly>, <members>, and <member> are indications for where the functions belong in the hierarchy. As an example, the prefix M:CommentExample. means that it is a method in the namespace CommentExample, which in this case is the name of the file. Further,

the function type val solution: a:float -> b:float -> c:float -> sgn:float -> float is in the XML documentation M:CommentExample.solution(System.Double,Syst

An accompanying program in the Mono suite is mdoc, whose primary use is to perform a syntax analysis of an assembly and generate a scaffold XML structure for an accompanying document. With the -i flag, it is further possible to include the in-code comments as initial descriptions in the XML. The XML may be updated gracefully by mdoc as the code develops, without destroying manually entered documentation in the accompanying documentation. Finally, the XML may be exported to HTML

The primary use of the mdoc command is to analyze compiled code and generate an empty XML structure with placeholders to describe functions, values, and variables. This structure can then be updated and edited as the program develops. The edited XML files can then be exported to *Hyper Text Markup Language (HTML)* files, which can be viewed in any browser. Using the console, all of this is accomplished by,

```
· Hyper Text
Markup
Language
```

 $\cdot \, HTML$ 

```
Listing 7.5, Converting an XML file to HTML.
$ mdoc update -o commentExample -i commentExample.xml commentExample.exe
New Type: CommentExample
Member Added: public static double determinant (double a, double b, double
    c);
Member Added: public static double solution (double a, double b, double c,
    double sgn);
Member Added: public static double a { get; }
Member Added: public static double b { get; }
Member Added: public static double c { get; }
Member Added: public static double xp { get; }
Namespace Directory Created:
New Namespace File:
Members Added: 6, Members Deleted: 0
$ mdoc export-html -out commentExampleHTML commentExample
.CommentExample
```

The primary use of the mdoc command is to analyze compiled code and generate an empty XML structure with placeholders to describe functions, values, and variables. This structure can then be updated and edited as the program develops. The edited XML files can then be exported to HTML files, which can be viewed in any browser, an example of which is shown in Figure 7.1. A full description of how to use mdoc is found here<sup>3</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>http://www.mono-project.com/docs/tools+libraries/tools/monodoc/generating-documentation/

### solution Method

Find x when  $0 = ax^2+bx+c$ .

### **Syntax**

```
[Microsoft.FSharp.Core.CompilationArgumentCounts(Mono.Cecil.CustomAttributeArgument[])] public static double solution (double a, double b, double c, double sgn)
```

### **Parameters**

```
a Quadratic coefficient.
b Linear coefficient.
c Constant coefficient.
sgn +1 or -1 determines the solution.
```

### Returns

The solution to x.

### Remarks

Negative discriminant are not checked.

### **Example**

### Requirements

```
\begin{tabular}{ll} Name space: \\ Assembly: comment Example (in comment Example.dll) \\ Assembly Versions: 0.0.0.0 \end{tabular}
```

Figure 7.1: Part of the HTML documentation as produce by mdoc and viewed in a browser.