## **Problem 1.** Let $f: A \to B$ . Then:

- a) f is injective if and only if it has a left inverse.
- b) f is surjective if and only if it has a right inverse.
- c) f is bijective if and only if it has a left and right inverse.
- d) If  $|A| = |B| = n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  then f is injective if and only if f is surjective if and only if f is bijective.

Proof.

a) Suppose that f is injective. This implies that  $f^{-1}(f(a)) = \{a\}$  for all  $a \in A$  since by the definition of injectivity if  $f(a_0) = f(a_1)$  then  $a_0 = a_1$ . Define  $g : B \to A$  as  $g(b) = f^{-1}(a)$  where  $b \in f(A)$ . If  $b \notin f(A)$  then send it to any arbitrary  $a \in A$ . Then  $g \circ f(a) = f^{-1}(f(a)) = a$  which implies that  $g \circ f = id_A$  and that g is a left inverse of f.

Now suppose that there exists a function  $g: B \to A$  such that  $g \circ f = id_A$ . Let  $a_0, a_1 \in A$  such that  $f(a_0) = f(a_1)$ . Then  $g \circ f(a_0) = a_0 = a_1 = g \circ f(a_1)$  which is the definition of injectivity.

Therefore f is injective if and only if it has a left inverse.

b) Suppose that f is surjective. Then given  $b \in B$  there exists an  $a \in A$  such that f(a) = b. Define a function  $g: B \to A$  via g(b) = a where a fulfills f(a) = b. Then  $f \circ g(b) = b$  by definition which implies that g is a right inverse of f.

Now suppose that there exists a function  $g: B \to A$  such that  $f \circ g = id_B$ . Then given  $b \in B$  let a = g(b). Then  $f(a) = f \circ g(b) = b$ . Since this holds for all elements of b f is surjective.

Therefore f is surjective if and only if it has a right inverse.

c) Suppose that f is a bijection. Then it is both injective and surjective which by the previous statements in the proposition implies that f has both a left and right inverse.

Otherwise suppose that f has a left and right inverse. Then via the previous statements in the proposition we know that f is both injective and surjective and thus a bijection.

To show that the left and right inverse are unique let g, h be a left and right inverse for f respectively. Then

$$g = g \circ id_B = g \circ (f \circ h) = (g \circ f) \circ h = id_A \circ h = h$$

Therefore f is a bijection if and only if it has a left and right inverse. Moreover these inverses are equal.

d) Suppose that |A| = |B| = 1. Then there is only one function  $f : A \to B$  defined as  $f(a_0) = b_0$ . As such the function f is injective, surjective and bijective.

Next assume for sets of size n that a function is injective if and only if it is surjective if and only if it is bijective. Let |A| = |B| = n + 1.

First consider the case where f is bijective. Then by definition f is also injective and surjective.

Next, if f is injective then take the pair  $(a_n, b_n)$ , where  $f(a_n) = b_n$ . Since f is injective the restriction  $f|_{a_n}$  will be well defined since no other element of A maps to  $b_n$ . However via our inductive hypothesis this implies that  $f|_{A\setminus\{a_n\}}$  is also bijective and surjective. Reintroduce  $(a_n, b_n)$  to  $f|_{A\setminus\{a_n\}}$  and this will maintain injectivity and surjectivity since no other element will map to  $b_n$  and  $b_n$  is mapped to by  $a_n$ .

Finally suppose that f is surjective. Then given any  $b \in B$  we can find an  $a \in A$  that maps to it. There must be at least one  $b_i \in B$  such that  $f^{-1}(b_i) = a_i$  since if the pullback for every element was greater than 1 we would have |A| > 2|B| which contradicts our assumption. Now we can take the restriction  $f_{A\setminus\{a_i\}}$  which will still be surjective. Which by our inductive hypothesis implies that  $f_{A\setminus\{a_i\}}$  is injective and bijective. Reintroduce pair  $(a_i, b_i)$  to f and for the same reasoning as above we preserve injectivity, surjectivity, and bijectivity.

Therefore via induction, if  $|A| = |B| = n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  then a function  $f : A \to B$  is injective if and only if it is surjective if and only if it is bijective.

**Problem 2.** Let  $\sim$  be an equivalence relation of the set A. For any  $a, b \in A$ ,

- a)  $a \sim b$  if and only if  $\bar{a} = \bar{b}$ .
- b) if  $\bar{a} \neq \bar{b}$ , then  $\bar{a} \cap \bar{b} = \phi$

Proof.

a) Suppose that  $a \sim b$ . Without loss of generality let  $c \in \bar{a}$ . Then  $c \sim a$  which implies that  $c \sim b$  by transitivity and as such  $c \in \bar{b}$  Therefore if  $a \sim b$  then  $\bar{a} = \bar{b}$ .

Now suppose that  $\bar{a} = \bar{b}$ . Since  $a \in \bar{a}$  and  $b \in \bar{b}$  by reflexivity we know that  $a, b \in \bar{b}$  and as such  $a \sim b$ .

Therefore  $a \sim b$  if and only if  $\bar{a} = \bar{b}$ .

b) Suppose that  $\bar{a} \neq \bar{b}$  and that there existed a  $c \in \bar{a} \cap \bar{b}$ . Then  $a \sim c$  and  $c \sim b$  which would imply that  $a \sim b$  by transitivity and that  $\bar{a} = \bar{b}$  by the previous part of the proposition which is a contradiction.

Therefore if  $\bar{a} \neq \bar{b}$  then  $\bar{a} \cap \bar{b} = \phi$ 

**Problem 3.** Let n be a fixed positive integer. Then

$$(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{\times} = \{\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} | 1 \leq a \leq n \text{ and } a, n \text{ are relatively prime} \}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{a} \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ . Then  $\bar{a}$  has a multiplicative inverse  $\bar{\alpha}$  such that  $\bar{a}\bar{\alpha} = \bar{1}$ . This means that  $a\alpha = kn + 1$  where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Rearrange and we get  $\alpha a + kn = 1$  which implies that the  $\gcd(a, n) = 1$ .

Otherwise suppose that a, n are relatively prime. Then using the extended Euclidean algorithm we can get  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\alpha a + \beta n = 1$ . Rearrange to get  $\alpha a = (-\beta)n + 1$  and rewrite mod n for  $\bar{\alpha}\bar{a} = \bar{1}$  which implies that  $\bar{a} \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$