**Problem 1.** Show that an angle of 30° and an angle of 15° cannot be trisected.

*Proof.* First note that if  $15^{\circ}$  can be trisected then so can  $30^{\circ}$  as we could bisect  $30^{\circ}$ , trisect  $15^{\circ}$  and then double the resulting angle. As such it will suffice to show that we cannot trisect  $15^{\circ}$ .

A number is constructible if, and only if, both its real and imaginary parts are constructible. If  $15^{\circ}$  were constructible then so would  $e^{i \cdot 10^{\circ}}$  as it would be the intersection of the angle and the unit circle. The real part of which is

$$\alpha = \cos 10^{\circ} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left( 4 + 2 \cdot \left( \frac{1}{2} (1 + i\sqrt{3})^{-\frac{1}{3}} + 2^{\frac{2}{3}} (1 + i\sqrt{3}) \right) \right)}$$

We know that a number is constructible if, and only if, we have an ascending chain of fields  $\mathbb{Q} = F_0 \subset \cdots \subset F_n = \mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$  where all of the intermediate degrees are two. This enforces that the degree of the extension must be a power of 2. However for  $\alpha$  at some point we will have to adjoin  $(1+i\sqrt{3})^{-\frac{1}{3}}$  for which the extension will be of degree 3. By the tower theorem this means that  $3|\mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$  but this cannot occur.

Therefore  $\alpha$  is not constructible and it then follows that neither 15° nor 30° can be trisected.  $\square$ 

**Problem 2.** Let  $\xi = e^{2\pi i/6} = \cos(2\pi/6) + i\sin(2\pi/6)$  be a primitive  $6^{th}$  root of unity over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Find each of the following:

- 1. The minimum polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  of  $\xi$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .
- 2. The splitting field F of f(x) over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .
- $\mathcal{G}$ .  $[F:\mathbb{Q}]$ .
- (a) Let  $f(x) = x^2 x + 1$ . This polynomial has  $\xi$  as a root. Moreover it is irreducible by the rational roots theorem as  $\pm 1$  are not roots.
- (b) The roots of f are  $\xi$  and  $-e^{2\pi i/3} = -\xi^2$ . Thus  $\mathbb{Q}[\xi, -\xi^2] = \mathbb{Q}[\xi]$  is the splitting field for f.
- (c) Since the degree of f is 2 it follows that  $[\mathbb{Q}[\xi]:\mathbb{Q}]=2$ .

**Problem 3.** Find a splitting field extension  $K : \mathbb{Q}$  for each of the following polynomials over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and in each case determine the degree  $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$ .

(a) 
$$x^4 + 1$$
 (b)  $x^4 + 4$  (c)  $(x^4 + 1)(x^4 + 4)$  (d)  $(x^4 - 1)(x^4 + 4)$ 

- (a) The roots of  $f(x) = x^4 + 1$  are  $r := e^{\pi i/4}, r^3, r^5$ , and  $r^7$ . Since each all of the other roots can be expressed as a power of r we have that the splitting field of f is  $\mathbb{Q}[r, r^3, r^5, r^7] = \mathbb{Q}[r]$  the degree of which is 4 as f is irreducible and thus the minimal polynomial. The irreducibility can be checked by shifting to f(x+1) and apply Eisenstein's Criterion with p=2.
- (b) The roots of  $g(x) = x^4 + 4$  are the same roots as above but with each multiplied by  $\sqrt{2}$ . Let  $s := \sqrt{2}e^{\pi i/4}$ . Then the other roots are  $s^3/2$ ,  $s^5/4$ , and  $s^7/8$ . Similar to before the splitting field is then  $\mathbb{Q}[s]$  this polynomial is  $(x^2 + 2x + 1)(x^2 2x + 1)$  so we have that  $x^2 + 2x + 1$   $[\mathbb{Q}[s]: s] = 2$ .
- (c) The roots of  $p(x) = fg(x) = (x^4 + 1)(x^4 + 4)$  are the roots of both part a and b. Start with r. Not that  $r^2 = i$  and that s = 1 + i. As such using r we can reach s. Thus adjoining r will give us the splitting field for p(x). The minimal polynomial will be the one from part a0 giving us that a1 a2 a3 for the degree of our splitting field.

(d) The roots of  $q(x) = (x^4 - 1)(x^4 + 4)$  are the roots of part b as well as  $\pm 1$  and  $\pm i$ . However  $s^2/2 = i$  which means that we can express all of the roots in terms of s. Similar to part (c) our splitting field is the same as b,  $\mathbb{Q}[s]$ . As before the degree of this splitting field is 2.

**Problem 4.** Let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}$ .

- 1. Show that  $f(x) = x^4 4x^2 + 2$ . Thus,  $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$ .
- 2. Show that  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  is the splitting field of f(x) over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .
- (a) It will follow that  $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha):\mathbb{Q}] = 4$  if  $f(x) = x^4 4x^2 + 2$  is irreducible since  $f(\alpha) = 0$ . However f is irreducible by Eisenstein's criterion using 2.
- (b) The roots of f are  $\pm \sqrt{2 \pm \sqrt{2}}$ . Then each of the roots in terms of  $\alpha$  will be:
  - $-\alpha = -\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}$
  - $\alpha^3 3\alpha = \sqrt{2 \sqrt{2}}$
  - $\bullet \ -\alpha^3 + 3\alpha = -\sqrt{2 \sqrt{2}}$

Since all of the roots are in  $\mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$  we have that  $\mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$  is the splitting field.

**Problem 5.** Let  $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  be the field with p elements, where p is a prime number. Write down all monic cubic polynomials in  $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$ , factor them completely into irreducible factors and construct a splitting field for each of them. Which of these fields are isomorphic?

- 1.  $(x^3 + x^2 + 1)$  This polynomial is irreducible. The splitting field will be  $\mathbb{F}_2/(x^3 + x^2 + 1)$ .
- 2.  $(x^3 + x + 1)$  This polynomial is irreducible. The splitting field will be  $\mathbb{F}_2/(x^3 + x + 1)$ .
- 3.  $(x^3 + x^2 + x + 1)$  This polynomial is equal to  $(x + 1)^3$ . Since all of its roots are in  $\mathbb{F}_2$  its splitting field is  $\mathbb{F}_2$ .
- 4.  $(x^3+1)$  This polynomial is equal to  $(x+1)(x^2+x+1)$ . The splitting field will be  $\mathbb{F}_2/(x^2+x+1)$ .
- 5.  $(x^3 + x^2)$  This polynomial is equal to  $x^2(x+1)$ . Since all of its roots are in  $\mathbb{F}_2$  its splitting field is  $\mathbb{F}_2$ .
- 6.  $(x^3 + x)$  This polynomial is equal to  $x(x + 1)^2$ . Since all of its roots are in  $\mathbb{F}_2$  its splitting field is  $\mathbb{F}_2$ .
- 7.  $(x^3+x^2+x)$  This polynomial is equal to  $x(x^2+x+1)$ . The splitting field will be  $\mathbb{F}_2/(x^2+x+1)$ .
- 8.  $(x^3)$  This polynomial is already factored. Since all of its roots are in  $\mathbb{F}_2$  its splitting field is  $\mathbb{F}_2$ .

The ones polynomials with isomorphic splitting fields are (3,5,6,8), (4,7), and (1,2). The splitting fields for 4 and 7 are isomorphic as they the same construction. However 1 and 2 are isomorphic since finite fields of the same size are isomorphic. **Give the explicit isomorphism.** 

**Problem 6.** Let  $f(x) = x^3 + 2x + 2 \in \mathbb{F}_3[x]$ .

- 1. Show that f(x) is irreducible in  $\mathbb{F}_3[x]$ .
- 2. Let  $\alpha$  be a root of f(x) in some extension field K of  $\mathbb{F}_3$ , so that  $[\mathbb{F}_3[\alpha] : \mathbb{F}_3] = \deg f(x) = 3$ . Show that  $\mathbb{F}_3[\alpha]$  is a splitting field of f(x) over  $\mathbb{F}_3$ .
- 1. Since f(0) = -1, f(1) = -1, and f(-1) = -1 this third degree polynomial has no roots and as such is irreducible.
- 2. Let K = F[x]/f. Then let  $\alpha := x + \langle f \rangle$ . This will be a root of f in K. Then the other roots are  $\alpha 1$  and  $\alpha + 1$ . To check this if we evaluate

$$f(\alpha - 1) = (\alpha - 1)^3 + 2(\alpha - 1) + 2 = \alpha^3 + 2\alpha + 1 = 0$$

and similarly

$$f(\alpha + 1) = (\alpha + 1)^3 + 2(\alpha + 1) + 2 = \alpha^3 + 2\alpha + 1 = 0$$

Since all of the roots can be obtained from  $\alpha$  it follows that  $\mathbb{F}_3[\alpha]$  is the splitting field for f(x).

**Problem 7.** Suppose that  $f(x) \in F[x]$  is irreducible of degree n > 0, and let L be the splitting field of f(x) over F.

- 1. Suppose that [L:F] = n!. Prove that f(x) is irreducible.
- 2. Show that the converse of part (a) is false.

*Proof.* We will proceed by induction over the degree of f. Suppose that deg f = 1. Then f(x) = x - a for  $a \in F$  which is irreducible.

Next assume that for f(x) with degree n that if the degree of the splitting field, L, is n! then f(x) is irreducible.

Now suppose that f(x) was a polynomial of degree n+1 where the degree of the splitting field L is (n+1)!.

Let  $f(x) = x^4 + 1$  from above. This polynomial is irreducible however the degree of the field extension is 4 rather than 24.