

Problem 1. Prove that the following conditions on an R -module P are equivalent.

- (a) P is projective.
- (b) P is isomorphic to a direct summand of a free R -module.
- (c) If $f : M \rightarrow P$ is surjective, then there exists an R -module homomorphism $g : P \rightarrow M$ such that $f \circ g = \text{id}_P$.

Proof.

□

Problem 2. Let F be a field and let $R = F \times F$. Let $e = (1, 0) \in R$ and let $P = Re$. Show that P is a projective R -module, but that P is not a free R -module.

Proof.

□

Problem 3. Show that if R is a semisimple ring, then so is $M_n(R)$.

Proof.

□

Problem 4. Show that if R is a semisimple ring and I is any ideal, then R/I is also semisimple.

Proof.

□

Problem 5 (7.2). Let F be a field and let

$$R = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in F \right\}$$

be the ring of upper triangular matrices over F . Let $M = F^2$ and make M into a (left) R -module by matrix multiplication. Show that $\text{End}_R(M) \cong F$. Conclude that the converse of Schur's lemma is false, i.e., $\text{End}_R(M)$ can be a division ring without M being a simple R -module.

Proof.

□

Problem 6 (7.4). An R -module M is said to satisfy the descending chain condition (DCC) on submodules if any strictly decreasing chain of submodules of M of finite length.

- (a) Show that if M satisfies the DCC, then any nonempty set of submodules of M contains a minimal element.
- (b) Show that $\ell(M) < \infty$ if and only if M satisfies both the ACC and DCC.

Proof.

□

Problem 7 (7.5). Let

$$R = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbb{R}; c \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}$$

R is a ring under matrix addition and multiplication. Show that R satisfies the ACC and DCC on left ideals but neither chain condition is valid for right ideals. Thus R is of finite length as a left R -module, but $\ell(R) = \infty$ as a right R -module.

Proof. □

Problem 8 (7.11). Let F be a field, let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over F , and let $T \in \text{End}_F(V)$. We shall say that T is semisimple if the $F[X]$ -module V_T is semisimple. If $A \in M_n(F)$, we shall say that A is semisimple if the linear transformation $T_A : F^n \rightarrow F^n$ (multiplication by A) is semisimple. Let \mathbb{F}_2 be the field with 2 elements and let $F = \mathbb{F}_2(Y)$ be the rational function field in the indeterminate Y , and let $K = F[X]/\langle X^2 + Y \rangle$. Since $X^2 + Y \in F[X]$ is irreducible, K is a field containing F as a subfield. Now let

$$A = C(X^2 + Y) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & Y \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(F)$$

Show that A is semisimple when considered in $M_2(F)$ but A is not semisimple when considered in $M_2(K)$. Thus, semisimplicity of a matrix is not necessarily preserved when one passes to a larger field. However, prove that if L is a subfield of the complex numbers \mathbb{C} , then $A \in M_n(L)$ is semisimple if and only if it is also semisimple as a complex matrix.

Proof. □

Problem 9 (7.17). (a) Prove that if R is a semisimple ring and I is an ideal, then R/I is semisimple.

(b) Show (by example) that a subring of a semisimple ring need not be semisimple.

Proof. □