Problem 1.

$$\langle a, b, c | a^2, b^2, c^2, (ab)^3, (ac)^3 \rangle$$

We can identify a with $(1\ 2)$, b with $(2\ 3)$, and c with $(1\ 3)$.

Problem 2.

Proof. Start with $\langle a, b | a^4 = 1, b^2 = 1, bab^{-1} = a^{-1} \rangle$. Then the elements of this group are

$$e, a, a^2, a^3, b, ba^2, ba^3, ab, a^2b, a^3b, bab, aba, \dots$$

However using the relations above $bab = bab^{-1} = a^{-1}$ and similarly we also have aba = b. In addition we have $b = b^{-1}$ and as such $ba = a^{-1}b$ which implies that $ba^i = a^{-i}b$ collapsing more elements until we are left with

$$e, a, a^2, a^3, b, ba, ba^2, ba^3$$

showing that the group is of order 8.

Now consider the symmetries of a square with vertices labeled clockwise 1, 2, 3, 4. Then the symmetries consist of 8 permutations

$$e, (1\ 2\ 3\ 4), (1\ 4)(2\ 3), (4\ 3\ 2\ 1), (1\ 2)(3\ 4), (2\ 4), (1\ 4)(2\ 3), (1\ 3)$$

Define $\rho := (1\ 2\ 3\ 4)$ and $r := (1\ 2)(3\ 4)$. It can be seen that ρ and r generate the others. Note that since ρ is a 4-cycle and r is a product of disjoint transpositions that $\rho^4 = e$ and $r^2 = 1$. In addition if we consider $r\rho r^{-1}$ we have

$$r\rho r^{-1} = (1\ 2)(3\ 4)(1\ 2\ 3\ 4)(1\ 2)(3\ 4) = (4\ 3\ 2\ 1) = \rho^{-1}$$

Since there are eight permutations of the square and their generators fulfill the same relations we can conclude that $(a, b|a^4 = 1, b^2 = 1, bab^{-1} = a^{-1})$ is isomorphic to the group of symmetries of the square.

Problem 3.

Proof. Define a map $\varphi: G \to H$ by

$$\varphi(a) = xyx, \quad \varphi(b) = xy$$

and define φ for the rest of the elements of G by concatenation.

We will show it is a homomorphism by showing that it preserves the relation $a^2 = b^3$.

$$\varphi(a)^{2} = xyxxyx$$

$$= xyxyxy$$

$$= (xy)^{3}$$

$$= \varphi(b)^{3}$$

proving that φ is a homomorphism.

Now we will show that $\varphi(a)$ and $\varphi(b)$ are also generators of H. This will allow us to define φ^{-1} by simply reversing the map which will show that φ is an isomorphism.

For x we have

$$y = y^{-1}x^{-1}xyx = (xy)^{-1}xyx = \varphi(b)^{-1}\varphi(a)$$

Then for y it is

$$y = yxyy^{-1}x^{-1} = xyx(xy)^{-1} = \varphi(a)\varphi(b)^{-1}$$

which shows that φ is an isomorphism.

Therefore the groups G and H are isomorphic.

Problem 4.

Proof. Insert diagram of Klein Bottle decomposition and generators.

We will show that the fundamental of the Klein bottle $\pi_1(K,*)$ is isomorphic to $\langle a,b|aba^{-1}b=1\rangle$. First as above we decompose K into U,V such that both U,V and $U\cap V$ are Möbius strips. The Möbius strips are each homotopy equivalent to a circle. Therefore the fundamental groups of U,V, and $U\cap V$ are

$$\pi_1(U,*) \cong \pi_1(V,*) \cong \pi_1(U \cap V,*) \cong \pi_1(S^1,*) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

Next we examine the generators for each of the fundamental groups. The generators for $\pi_1(U,*), \pi_1(V,*)$, and $\pi_1(U \cap V,*)$ are $[\alpha], [\beta]$, and $[\gamma]$ respectively. Take the inclusion maps $i:U\cap V\to U$ and $j:U\cap V\to V$. Then $i_*([\gamma])=[\alpha]^2$ and similarly $j_*([\gamma])=[\beta]^2$. We can see this because if we were to project γ and α (or β) onto a circle we would have γ go around twice as many times as α . Then by the Seifert-van Kampen Theorem we have $\pi_1(K,*)=\langle [\alpha], [\beta]|i_*([\gamma])j_8([\gamma])^{-1}=1\rangle\cong\langle x,y|x^2=y^2\rangle$.

Now we will show that $\langle x,y|x^2=y^2\rangle\cong\langle a,b|aba^{-1}b=1\rangle$. First note that we can rewrite $aba^{-1}b=1$ as bab=a via

$$aba^{-1} = b^{-1} \Rightarrow ab = b^{-1}a \Rightarrow bab = a$$

Define a map φ such that

$$\varphi(x) = ba, \quad \varphi(y) = a$$

where we define φ on other elements by concatenation. Then we can show that φ is a homomorphism by showing it preserves the relation $x^2 = y^2$ via

$$\varphi(x)^2 = baba$$

$$= a^2$$

$$= \varphi(y)^2$$

Next we will show that we can reach both generators a, b which from the same reasoning as problem 3 will show that φ is an isomorphism. We can express a immediately as $\varphi(y) = a$. For b we have $\varphi(x)\varphi(y)^{-1} = baa^{-1} = a$. It then follows that φ is an isomorphism.

Therefore the fundamental group of the Klein bottle has presentation $\langle a, b | aba^{-1}b = 1 \rangle$.

Problem 5.

Proof. a) First we look at the space T with the Rs attached. Going along T and passing through R_n to return to the basepoint we will refer to as the generator x_n . For each arc α_i there will be a corresponding generator x_i . Given a crossing we can frame it as in the drawing above. Then if we loop around x_i with the orientation listed followed by x_j, x_i^{-1} , and then x_k^{-1} we can pull the loop back to the identity obtaining the relation $x_i x_j x_i^{-1} x_k^{-1} = 1$. This can be rewritten as $x_i x_j x_i^{-1} = x_k$. Then the presentation of $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus K) \cong \langle x_1, \dots, x_m | x_i x_j x_i^{-1} = x_k$ for each crossing)

b) Since the presentation will consist solely of relations of the form $x_i x_j x_i^{-1} = x_k$ the Abelianization of the group would reduce the relations to the form $x_j = x_k$. However since K is a knot each arc distinct arc will be related to each other either directly or transitively. This means that all generators will be equivalent leaving us with \mathbb{Z} as the Abelianization of $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus K)$.

Problem 6.

Proof. Insert picture of labeled trefoil here.

Using Problem 5 we can deduce that the presentation of the group is $\langle a, b, c | aba^{-1} = c, bcb^{-1} = a, cac^{-1} = b \rangle$. However we can simplify this presentation by plugging the first relation into the latter two to get

$$bcb^{-1} = a$$
$$baba^{-1}b^{-1} = a$$
$$bab = aba$$

and similarly

$$cac^{-1} = b$$

$$aba^{-1}aab^{-1}a^{-1} = b$$

$$abab^{-1}a^{-1} = b$$

$$aba = bab$$

Since we get the same relation and have removed all instances of the generator c we can safely trim down our original presentation to $\langle a, b | aba = bab \rangle$.

Therefore the fundamental group of the trefoil knot is isomorphic to $\langle x, y | xyx = yxy \rangle$. Moreover this group is not Abelian as $xy = yxyx^{-1}$. It then follows that the trefoil knot is not equivalent to the unknot as the fundamental group of the unknot is Abelian.