

**Problem 1** (2.2.35). *Use the Mayer-Vietoris sequence to show that a nonorientable closed surface, or more generally a finite simplicial complex  $X$  for which  $H_1(X)$  contains torsion, cannot be embedded as a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  in such a way as to have a neighborhood homeomorphic to the mapping cylinder of some map from a closed orientable surface to  $X$ . [This assumption on a neighborhood is in fact not needed if one deduces the result from Alexander duality in 3.3]*

*Proof.* Let  $i : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be an embedding with  $N \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  such that  $N \cong M_f$  where  $M_f$  is the mapping cylinder for a map  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  with  $Y$  closed and orientable. Let  $A = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus i(X)$ . Then  $A \cap N = N \setminus i(X) \cong Y$ . Note that because  $N$  retracts onto  $i(X)$  that  $H_n(N) = H_n(X) \oplus H_n(N, X)$ . Then using Mayer-Vietoris sequence with reduced homology we get

$$0 \longrightarrow H_1(Y) \longrightarrow H_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus i(X)) \oplus H_1(X) \oplus H_1(N, X) \longrightarrow 0$$

Which gives us an isomorphism. However since  $Y$  is a closed orientable surface its first homology group is isomorphic  $\mathbb{Z}^{2g}$  which contains no torsion while the other group does which is a contradiction.

Therefore a finite simplicial complex with torsion cannot be embedded into  $\mathbb{R}^3$  such that it has a neighborhood homeomorphic to the mapping cylinder for a map from a closed orientable surface to said complex.  $\square$

**Problem 2** (2.2.38). *Show that the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \cdots & \longrightarrow & C_{n+1} & & B_n & \xrightarrow{i} & C_n & & B_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \searrow \gamma & \nearrow \alpha_b & \downarrow j & & \downarrow k & \searrow \gamma & \nearrow \alpha_b & & \\
 & & & A_n & & & A_{n-1} & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow k & \nearrow \epsilon & \downarrow \alpha_d & & \downarrow j & \nearrow \epsilon & \downarrow \alpha_d & & \\
 \cdots & \longrightarrow & E_{n+1} & & D_n & \xrightarrow{l} & E_n & & D_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots
 \end{array}$$

with the two sequences across the top and bottom exact, gives rise to an exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow E_{n+1} \rightarrow B_n \rightarrow C_n \oplus D_n \rightarrow E_n \rightarrow B_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots$$

where the maps are obtained from those in the previous diagram in the obvious way, except that  $B_n \rightarrow C_n \oplus D_n$  has a minus sign in one coordinate.

*Proof.* Label the map  $E_{n+1} \rightarrow B_n$ ,  $B_n \rightarrow C_n \oplus D_n$ , and  $C_n \oplus D_n \rightarrow E_n$  as  $\varphi$ ,  $(i, -j)$ , and  $k + l$  respectively. There are six things to verify:

- $\ker(\varphi) \subseteq \text{im}(k + l)$ : Suppose that  $e \in \ker \varphi$ . Then  $\epsilon \circ \alpha_b(e) = 0$  and by commutativity  $\beta \circ \epsilon \circ \alpha_b(e) = \epsilon \circ \alpha_d(e) = 0$ . By exactness there is a  $c \in C_{n+1}$  such that  $\gamma(c) = \epsilon(e)$ . By commutativity  $\gamma(c) = \epsilon \circ k(c) = \epsilon(e)$ . As such  $\epsilon(e - k(c)) = 0$  and by exactness there is a  $d \in D_{n+1}$  such that  $l(d) = e - k(c)$ . It then follows that  $k + l(c + d) = k(c) + e - k(c) = e \in \text{im}(k + l)$ .
- $\text{im}(k + l) \subseteq \ker(\varphi)$ : Let  $e \in \text{im}(k + l)$ . Then there exists  $c \in C_{n+1}$  and  $d \in D_{n+1}$  such that  $k(c) + l(d) = e$ . Then  $\varphi(k(c) + l(d)) = \alpha_b \circ \epsilon \circ k(c) + \alpha_b \circ \epsilon \circ l(d)$ . First note that  $\epsilon \circ l(d) = 0$  by exactness. By commutativity  $\epsilon \circ k(c) = \gamma(c)$  which implies that  $\alpha_b \circ \gamma(c) = 0$ . Therefore  $\varphi(e) = 0$ .

- $\ker(i, -j) \subseteq \text{im } \varphi$ : Let  $b \in \ker(i, -j)$ . Then we have that  $i(b) = j(b) = 0$ . By exactness there is an  $a \in A_n$  such that  $\alpha_b(a) = b$ . From commutativity  $\alpha_d(a) = j \circ \alpha_b(a) = 0$ . It then follows from exactness that there is a  $c \in C_{n+1}$  such that  $k(c) = a$ . Finally by commutativity  $\varphi(k(c)) = b$ .
- $\text{im } \varphi \subseteq \ker(i, -j)$ : Let  $b \in \text{im } \varphi$ . Then there exists an  $e \in E_{n+1}$  such that  $\varphi(e) = \alpha_b \circ \epsilon(e) = b$ . Apply  $i, -j$  to get  $i \circ \alpha_b \circ \epsilon(e) - j \circ \alpha_b \circ \epsilon(e)$ . The left term is zero by exactness and the right term is transformed to  $\alpha_d \circ \epsilon(e)$  which is also zero by exactness. Therefore  $i, -j(b) = 0$ .
- $\ker(k + l) \subseteq \text{im } (i, -j)$ : Let  $c + d \in \ker(k + l)$ . Then  $k(c) + l(d) = 0$  which implies that  $k(c) = -l(d)$ . By exactness we have that  $\gamma(c) = -\epsilon \circ l(d) = 0$ . Thus there exists a  $b \in B_n$  such that  $i(b) = c$ . Moreover  $l(d + j(b)) = l(d) - l(d) = 0$  which by exactness gives us an  $a \in A_n$  such that  $\alpha_d(a) = d + j(b)$ . Then  $j(\alpha_b(a) - j(b)) = d + j(b) - j(b) = d$  by commutativity. Then if note that  $i(\alpha_b(a) - b) = -c$  we see that  $\alpha_b(a) = 0$  which implies that  $j(-b) = d$  showing that  $i, -j(b) = c + d$ .
- $\text{im } (i, -j) \subseteq \ker(k + l)$ : Let  $c, d \in \text{im } (i, -j)$ . Then there exists a  $b \in B_n$  such that  $i, -j(b) = c + d$ . Note that by commutativity  $l \circ j(-b) = i \circ k(-b) = l(d)$ . Thus  $k(c) + l(d) = k \circ i(b) + k \circ i(-b) = k \circ i(b - b) = 0$ .

Therefore since all of the above conditions hold the sequence is commutative.  $\square$

**Problem 3** (2.B.1). Compute  $H_i(S^n \setminus X)$  when  $X$  is the subspace of  $S^n$  homeomorphic to  $S^k \vee S^\ell$  or to  $S^k \amalg S^\ell$ .

Let  $A := S^n \setminus S^k$  and  $B := S^n \setminus S^\ell$ . Then  $A \cap B = S^n \setminus X$ . Now we split into two cases. First if we are doing the wedge of  $S^k$  and  $S^\ell$  then  $A \cup B = \mathbb{R}^n$ . Using the Mayer-Vietoris sequence and lemma 2.B.1 we get

$$0 \longrightarrow H_i(S^n \setminus X) \longrightarrow H_i(S^k) \oplus H_i(S^\ell) \longrightarrow 0$$

for  $i > 0$ . Thus if  $k \neq \ell$

$$H_i(S^n \setminus X) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & i = n - k - 1, n - \ell - 1 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Otherwise if  $k = \ell$  then

$$H_i(S^n \setminus X) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^2 & i = n - k - 1 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

The disjoint union case is similar. If  $k, \ell > 0$  then it is the same the only difference being the portion for  $i = n$  where

$$0 \longrightarrow H_n(S^n) \longrightarrow H_{n-1}(S^n \setminus X) \longrightarrow 0$$

Then if  $k \neq \ell$

$$H_i(S^n \setminus X) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & i = n - k - 1, n - \ell - 1, n - 1 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Otherwise if  $k = \ell$  then

$$H_i(S^n \setminus X) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & i = n - 1 \\ \mathbb{Z}^2 & i = n - k - 1 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

On the other hand if either  $k$  or  $\ell$  is zero then for  $n$  we have

$$0 \longrightarrow H_n(S^n) \longrightarrow H_{n-1}(S^n \setminus X) \longrightarrow H_{n-1}(A) \oplus H_{n-1}(B) \longrightarrow 0$$

Then if  $k = \ell = 0$  we have

$$H_i(S^n \setminus X) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^3 & i = n - 1 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

If just  $k = 0$  we have

$$H_i(S^n \setminus X) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^2 & i = n - 1 \\ \mathbb{Z} & i = n - \ell - 1 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Finally if just  $\ell = 0$  we have

$$H_i(S^n \setminus X) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^2 & i = n - 1 \\ \mathbb{Z} & i = n - k - 1 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$