Java versions

A migration strategy by Albert Attard

/thoughtworks

Agenda

- 1. What's so unique about Java version migration?
- 2. What can go wrong?
- 3. A migration strategy

Code examples

All code examples can be downloaded from:

https://bit.ly/3bBcw4q



What's so unique about Java version migration?

/thoughtworks

1 feature


2 features

















What can go wrong?



Isn't Java backwards compatible?

```
package demo;
import java.rmi.MarshalledObject;
import java.rmi.RemoteException;
import java.rmi.activation.Activatable;
import java.rmi.activation.ActivationException;
import java.rmi.activation.ActivationID;
import java.rmi.server.RMIClientSocketFactory;
import java.rmi.server.RMIServerSocketFactory;
import java.util.Locale;
public class DefaultStringRemoteFunction extends Activatable implements StringRemoteFunction {
                                                                Terminal: Local × + v
plugins {
                                                                → is-java-backwards-compatible-api git:(main) × ./gradlew build
    id "java"
                                                                Starting a Gradle Daemon, 2 busy Daemons could not be reused, use --status for details
                                                                BUILD SUCCESSFUL in 11s
                                                                2 actionable tasks: 2 executed
                                                               → is-java-backwards-compatible-api git:(main) ×
java {
    toolchain {
         languageVersion = JavaLanguageVersion.of(11)
```

```
package demo;

import java.rmi.MarshalledObject;
import java.rmi.RemoteException;

import java.rmi.activation.Activatable;
import java.rmi.activation.ActivationException;
import java.rmi.activation.ActivationID;
import java.rmi.server.RMIClientSocketFactory;
import java.rmi.server.RMIServerSocketFactory;
import java.util.Locale;
```

The java.rmi.activation package was removed in Java 15 as part of JEP 385

public class DefaultStringRemoteFunction extends Activatable implements StringRemoteFunction {

```
plugins {
    id "java"
}

java {
    toolchain {
      languageVersion = JavaLanguageVersion.of(17)
    }
}
```

```
Terminal: Local × + ∨

> Compilation failed; see the compiler error output for details.

* Try:

Run with --stacktrace option to get the stack trace. Run with --info or --debug option to get mor

* Get more help at https://help.gradle.org

BUILD FAILED in 1s
1 actionable task: 1 executed

→ is-java-backwards-compatible-api git:(main)

| P Git | | TODO | Problems | Terminal | Dependencies | Dependencies
```

```
plugins {
package demo;
                                                                          id "java"
                                                                          id "io.freefair.lombok" version "6.2.0"
import lombok.Builder;
import lombok.Data;
                                                                      java {
import java.time.OffsetDateTime;
                                                                          toolchain {
                                                                              languageVersion = JavaLanguageVersion.of(11)
@Data
@Builder(toBuilder = true)
public class Appointment {
                                                                      lombok {
                                                                          getVersion().set("1.18.12")
    private OffsetDateTime date;
                                                                                                                                      $ -
Terminal: Local × + V
→ is-java-backwards-compatible-api git:(main) × ./gradlew build
Starting a Gradle Daemon, 3 busy Daemons could not be reused, use --status for details
BUILD SUCCESSFUL in 11s
```

```
plugins {
package demo;
                                                                          id "java"
                                                                          id "io.freefair.lombok" version "6.2.0"
import lombok.Builder;
import lombok.Data;
                                                                      java {
import java.time.OffsetDateTime;
                                                                          toolchain {
                                                                              languageVersion = JavaLanguageVersion.of(17)
@Data
@Builder(toBuilder = true)
                                                                                                 Lombok 1.18.22, and
public class Appointment {
                                                                                               above, support Java 17
                                                                      lombok {
                                                                          getVersion().set("1.18.12")
    private OffsetDateTime date;
Terminal: Local × + V
                                                                                                                                      r¢r
> Compilation failed; see the compiler error output for details.
* Try:
Run with --stacktrace option to get the stack trace. Run with --info or --debug option to get more log output. Run with --scan to get full insights.
* Get more help at https://help.gradle.org
BUILD FAILED in 1s
1 actionable task: 1 executed
```

→ is-java-backwards-compatible-api git:(main) ×

A newer version of a dependency may not play well with other dependencies

```
plugins {
    id "java"
    id "io.freefair.lombok" version "6.2.0"

}

java {
    languageVersion = JavaLanguageVersion.of(11)
    }
}

lombok {
    getVersion().set("1.18.12")
}
```

```
AppointmentTest
     Ø ↓2 ↓5 ₹ ↑ ↓ ₩ ③ » ✔ Tests passed: 1 of 1 test – 255 ms
                                  255 ms
       Test Results
         AppointmentTest
                                  255 ms
                                        > Task :generateMainEffectiveLombokConfig1
                                  255 ms

✓ parseAppointmentDate()

                                        > Task :compileJava
                                        > Task :processResources NO-SOURCE
                                        > Task :classes
                                        > Task :generateTestEffectiveLombokConfig1
                                        > Task :compileTestJava
                                        > Task :processTestResources NO-SOURCE
                                        > Task :testClasses
                                        > Task :test
  Tests passed: 1
                                         BUILD SUCCESSFUL in 6s
P Git ▶ Run III TODO
                   Problems
```

```
import java.time.OffsetDateTime;
@Data
@Builder(toBuilder = true)
@JsonDeserialize(builder = Appointment.AppointmentBuilder.class)
public class Appointment {
   @JsonFormat(pattern = "yyyy-MM-dd'T'HH:mm:ss'Z'")
    private OffsetDateTime date;
   @JsonPOJOBuilder(withPrefix = "")
    public static class AppointmentBuilder { }
```

```
plugins {
   id "java"
   id "io.freefair.lombok" version "6.2.0"

}

java {
   toolchain {
      languageVersion = JavaLanguageVersion.of(11)
   }
}

lombok {
   getVersion().set("1.18.16")
}
```


```
AppointmentTest
     201 ms
      Test Results
       AppointmentTest
                             201 ms
                                   Cannot deserialize value of type `java.time.OffsetDateTime`
                             201 ms
        parseAppointmentDate()
                                    at [Source: (String)"{"date": "2077-04-27T12:34:56Z"}"; li
                                   com.fasterxml.jackson.databind.exc.InvalidFormatException:
                                    at [Source: (String)"{"date": "2077-04-27T12:34:56Z"}"; li
                                       at app//com.fasterxml.jackson.databind.exc.InvalidForma
                                       at app//com.fasterxml.jackson.databind.DeserializationC
                                       at app//com.fasterxml.jackson.databind.DeserializationC
                                       at app//com.fasterxml.jackson.datatype.jsr310.deser.JSR
                                       at app//com.fasterxml.jackson.datatype.jsr310.deser.Ins
Tests failed: 1, passed: 0
P Git ► Run : TODO • Problems
```

Are the tests really running?

```
kage demo;

lic class Algorithm {
  public int add(final int a, final int b) {
    return a - b;
} This is an
  intentional bug

aCTest
void addsTwoNumbers() {
  final Algorithm algorithm = new Algorithm();
  final int result = algorithm.add(a: 2, b: 4);
  assertEquals(expected: 6, result);
}
```



```
→ are-the-tests-running git:(main) × ./mvnw test
[INFO] Scanning for projects...
INFO
[INFO] ------ org.example:are-the-tests-running >------
[INFO] Building are-the-tests-running 1.0-SNAPSHOT
[INFO] ------[ jar ]------
[INFO]
[INFO] --- maven-resources-plugin:2.6:resources (default-resources) @ are-the-tests-running
[WARNING] Using platform encoding (US-ASCII actually) to copy filtered resources, i.e. build
Tests run: 0, Failures: 0, Errors: 0, Skipped: 0
     Actually, the test did not run!
[INFO]
[INFO] BUILD SUCCESS | The test did not fail!
[INFO] Total time: 1.013 s
[INFO] Finished at: 2021-11-02T08:53:51+01:00
  © 2021 Thoughtworks
```

JUnit 4 tests are executed JUnit 5 tests are **NOT** executed

JUnit 4 tests are executed JUnit 5 tests are executed

Code coverage should not change

```
public class Algorithm {

   public void run(final double from, final double until, final DoubleConsumer consumer) {


      for (double i = from; i < until; i++) {

            consumer.accept(i);
        }
    }
}</pre>
```

```
@Test
void run4Times() {
    final List<Double> values = new ArrayList ◇();
    final DoubleConsumer consumer = values::add;

final Algorithm algorithm = new Algorithm();
    algorithm.run( from: 1D, until: 5D, consumer);

final List<Double> expected = List.of(1D, 2D, 3D, 4D);
    assertEquals(expected, values);
}
```



100% code coverage

```
@Test
void runsForever() {
    final List<Double> values = new ArrayList♦();
    final DoubleConsumer consumer = values::add;
    final Algorithm algorithm = new Algorithm();
    algorithm.run(from: 1_000_000_000_000_000_1D, until: 1_000_000_000_000_000_5D, consumer);
    final List<Double> expected = List.of(1_000_000_000_000_000_1D,
            1_000_000_000_000_000_2D,
            1_000_000_000_000_000_3D,
            1_000_000_000_000_000_4D);
    assertEquals(expected, values);
```

Runs forever, or until it runs out of memory

```
QTest
void varPlus1ShouldBeGreaterThanVar() {
   final double a = 1_000_000_000_000_000_1D;
   final double b = a + 1;

   assertTrue(condition: a < b);
}</pre>
```

```
AlgorithmTest.findValues
                  1ª 1= 至 ★ ↑ ↓ 🔊 🔾

→ Comparison Street Stree
                                                                                                                                           22 ms
Test Results
AlgorithmTest
                                                                                                                                                                        expected: <true> but was: <false>
                                                                                                                                          22 ms
                           findValues()
                                                                                                                                                                         Expected :true
                                                                                                                                                                                                                     :false
                                                                                                                                                                         Actual
                                                                                                                                                                         <Click to see difference>
                                                                                                                                                                         org.opentest4j.AssertionFailedError: expected: <true> but was: <false>
                                                                                                                                                                                             at app//org.junit.jupiter.api.AssertionUtils.fail(AssertionUtils.java:55)
                                                                                                                                                                                            at app//org.junit.jupiter.api.AssertTrue.assertTrue(AssertTrue.java:40)
                                                                                                                                                                                            at app//org.junit.jupiter.api.AssertTrue.assertTrue(AssertTrue.java:35)
```

Extra, Extra - Read All About It: Nearly All Binary Searches and Mergesorts are Broken

Friday, June 2, 2006


Posted by Joshua Bloch, Software Engineer

I remember vividly Jon Bentley's first Algorithms lecture at CMU, where he asked all of us incoming Ph.D. students to write a binary search, and then dissected one of our implementations in front of the class. Of course it was broken, as were most of our implementations. This made a real impression on me, as did the treatment of this material in his wonderful *Programming Pearls* (Addison-Wesley, 1986; Second Edition, 2000). The key lesson was to carefully consider the invariants in your programs.

The bug is in this line:

```
6: int mid = (low + high) / 2;
```

When updating, you can only go as fast as your slowest dependency



A migration strategy










Jenkins












```
FROM adoptopenjdk:8u292-b10-jre-hotspot
WORKDIR /opt/app
COPY build/libs/app.jar app.jar
ENTRYPOINT ["java", "-jar", "app.jar"]
```

The functional tests run against the container

Ports exposed by the container



REST/HTTP


```
@Container
private final GenericContainer<?> app = createAppContainer();
@Test
void returnStatusUp() {
    /* Given */
    assertTrue(app.isRunning());
    /* When */
    final ResponseEntity<HealthCheckResponse> response = actuatorHealth();
```

```
/* Then */
assertEquals(response.getStatusCode(), HttpStatus.OK);
assertEquals(response.getBody(), HealthCheckResponse.of("UP"));
}
```


Java 11



Why not jumping straight to Java 17?



Changes required to move to Java 17




```
FROM adoptopenjdk:8u292-b10-jre-hotspot
WORKDIR /opt/app
                                  Same JAR File
COPY build/libs/app.jar app.jar
ENTRYPOINT ["java", "-jar", "app.jar"]
FROM adoptopenjdk/openjdk11:x86_64-alpine-jre-11.0.13_8
```

```
FROM adoptopenjdk/openjdk11:x86_64-alpine-jre-11.0.13_8
WORKDIR /opt/app
COPY build/libs/app.jar app.jar
```


ENTRYPOINT ["java", "-jar", "app.jar"]

are used in both cases



```
java {
    toolchain { JavaToolchainSpec it ->
         languageVersion = JavaLanguageVersion.of(8)
java {
   toolchain { JavaToolchainSpec it ->
       boolean useNewJavaVersion = System.getProperty("app.new.java.version", "false")
       int javaVersion = useNewJavaVersion ? 11 : 8
       languageVersion = JavaLanguageVersion.of(javaVersion)
```

\$./gradlew build -Dapp.new.java.version=true



Java 11



The source code does not yet uses Java 11 features



Are we there yet?

```
java {
    toolchain { JavaToolchainSpec it ->
        languageVersion = JavaLanguageVersion.of(11)
    }
}
```

No need to have this parameterized, once the whole pipeline is switched to the same version of Java

Rinse & Repeat

Thank you

Albert Attard albert.attard@thoughtworks.com

/thoughtworks