

These are the cases in which we timed. Even simpsh was ran with the time command, because otherwise it would be difficult to compare between them – since time runs the same way, it is more reliable for us to use it as a benchmark rather than rely on getrusage inside simpsh.

#Test 1

In simpsh as

```
./simpsh -verbose -ronly a -pipe -creat -trunc -wronly c -creat -wronly d -command 0 2 4 sort -  
command 1 3 4 tr A-Z a-z --wait
```

```
real    0m0.599s  
user    0m0.410s  
sys     0m0.040s
```

In bash as

```
time ((sort a | tr A-Z a-z >c) 2> d)
```

```
real    0m0.585s  
user    0m0.420s  
sys     0m0.025s
```

In execline as

```
pipeline {
```

```
    sort a
```

```
}
```

```
redirfd -w 1 c tr a-z A-Z
```

```
real    0m0.658s  
user    0m0.008s  
sys     0m0.024s
```

#Test 2

In simpsh

```
./simpsh --verbose -ronly a -pipe -creat -trunc -wronly c -creat -wronly d -command 0 2 4 sort -  
command 1 3 4 tr A-Z a-z --append --command 0 2 4 grep 1234 -wait
```

```
real  0m0.605s  
user  0m0.421s  
sys   0m0.035s
```

In bash

```
time (({ sort a ; grep 1234 a ; } | tr A-Z a-z >c) 2>d)
```

```
real  0m0.582s  
user  0m0.405s  
sys   0m0.037s
```

In execline

```
real  0m0.670s  
user  0m0.011s  
sys   0m0.035s
```

Conclusions

We note that bash is very similar to simpsh, spending a majority of its time in user time. However, bash seems to be a bit faster. Execline is by far the slowest, and as we ran multiple iterations of the test, it was consistently slower. The user time was by and far the lowest while the system time was still fairly close to bash and simpsh's system time.