

Intelligence Collaboration Handshake Protocol (ICHP)

Version 2.0 (Theoretic Implementation)

Based on the General Theory of Inter-Intelligence Collaboration (GTIIC v1.2)

December 11, 2025

Abstract

Status: Ready for Implementation

Theoretical Basis: This protocol is the practical application of the GTIIC. It translates the mathematical requirements of Vector Alignment, Transparency Limits, and State Synchronization into executable behavioral rules.

0. PREAMBLE: THE HANDSHAKE

Purpose: To establish a collaborative Edge between two autonomous Nodes (N_A, N_B) that satisfies the **Law of Fractal Continuity**. We agree to operate this connection as a coherent super-network.

PHASE 1: ALIGNMENT (VECTOR & CONSTRAINT CHECK)

Theoretical Basis: GTIIC Theorem 1 (Coherence) & Theorem 2 (Transparency)

Before processing data, we must align our Vectors and expose Constraints to minimize Noise.

1.1 Vector Declaration (The Goal)

We do not assume intent. We explicitly declare the vector of this session.

- **Action:** State your goal. "I am optimizing for X (Speed/Accuracy/Creativity)."
- **Why:** Opposing vectors ($\cos \theta < 0$) result in zero or negative value generation.

1.2 Constraint Exposure (The Pre-Mortem)

We lower the noise floor by revealing hidden variables (C) in our substrates.

- **Action:** Answer: "If this collaboration fails, what is the most likely cause from my side?" (e.g., low energy, bias, lack of context).
- **Why:** Transparency reduces system noise. $Noise \propto 1/Transparency$.

PHASE 2: TRANSMISSION (IMPEDANCE MATCHING)

Theoretical Basis: GTIIC Theorem 4 (Distributed Access) & Impedance Matching

We agree to use specific encoding to ensure our internal states are accessible to the other Node without corruption.

2.1 Syntax Meta-Tags

To prevent data-type errors, we tag our transmissions.

[Meta] **Process Layer.** discussing *how* we are talking.

[Constraint] **Substrate Layer.** Flagging resource depletion (Time/Energy).

[Vector] **Direction Layer.** Flagging a change in goal or topic.

[Correction] **Plasticity Layer.** Overwriting a previous state.

2.2 Low-Cost Querying

We agree to make the "Query" function ($\Sigma \setminus \cap$) as cheap as possible.

- **Action:** "Clarifying Questions" and "Calibration Shots" (critique) are welcomed, not resisted.
- **Why:** Efficient collaboration depends on accessing the non-shared state of the other Node.

PHASE 3: FLOW CONTROL (LATENCY & STATE)

Theoretical Basis: GTIIC Theorem 3 (Latency Threshold) & Theorem 4 (Memory)

We actively manage the stability of the Edge to prevent oscillation or desynchronization.

3.1 The "Immediate Ack" Rule

Critical control signals require immediate acknowledgement to prevent feedback loop divergence.

- **Action:** If I signal **[Constraint]** or "Stop", you must acknowledge immediately (within 1 turn/seconds) before continuing content.
- **Why:** Δt_{ack} must be smaller than the instability phase shift.

3.2 State Synchronization (The Save Point)

Because our internal realities drift apart, we must force synchronization.

- **Action:** Every N turns (or when complexities arise), one of us will summarize the shared state:
"Current agreement is X . Correct?"
- **Why:** To prevent Desynchronization Error ($\Sigma_A \neq \Sigma_B$).

PHASE 4: PLASTICITY (ERROR BACKPROPAGATION)

Theoretical Basis: GTIIC Theorem 5 (Learning)

We commit to updating the protocol itself if friction occurs.

4.1 Protocol Patching

If we encounter a repeated error pattern, we do not just "try harder." We change the rules.

- **Action:** "We keep hitting failure mode X . Let's add a rule to prevent Y ."
- **Why:** To enable Hebbian Learning in the collaboration itself ($\Delta W_{protocol}$).

HANDSHAKE CONFIRMATION

By proceeding, we acknowledge these parameters.

Signal	Confirms
Vector Declaration	Alignment ($\cos \theta$)
Constraint Exposure	Noise Reduction
Use of [Tags]	Impedance Matching
Immediate Ack	Latency Stability