



Figure 1: The macro constituents of a *da Vinci*[®] surgical system in the operatory room: the Surgeon Console (left), the Vision Cart (middle) and the Patient Cart (right).

1 State of the Art

1.1 The *da Vinci*[®] Surgical System

Since the FDA approval received by Intuitive Surgical Inc. (Sunnyvale, CA, USA) in 2000, the surgical robotics market has been dominated by the *da Vinci*[®] surgical system and its multiple evolutions (*da Vinci X*, *da Vinci Xi*, *da Vinci Si* and most recently the *da Vinci SP*). In 2021 there were more than 6,500 *da Vinci* surgical systems installed in 67 countries, and more than 55,000 surgeons worldwide have trained on the use of *da Vinci* systems [1]. The numerous advantages in safety, non-invasiveness, precision and dexterity were the key factors that made this technology so disruptive, as well as the role that this surgical robot was designed to undertake in the Operatory Room (OR): it is, as a matter of fact, a tool for the surgeon to exploit to enhance his performance, and not a replacement for the surgeon himself.

Three distinct components make up a *da Vinci* surgical system:

- The **Surgeon Console** accommodates the practitioner and acts as the primary interface between him and the robot. Seated at the console the surgeon grips the Master Tool Manipulators (MTMs) to control the motion of the robot arms, sees in 3D the surgical scene by looking into the High-Resolution Stereo Viewer (HRSV) and presses foot-switches to change specific settings.
- The **Patient Cart** is positioned next to the patient, and it mounts the Patient-Side Manipulators (PSMs) and the Endoscope Camera Manipulator (ECM). The PSMs are the robot arms that are used to manipulate the surgical instruments, while the ECM is used to control the stereo endoscope camera.
- The **Vision Cart** handles the communication between all the hardware components, and it is responsible for the image processing and the motion planning of the robot arms.

1.1.1 The Surgeon Console

The console is the main input-output interface between the surgeon and the robotic arms. The surgeon, in fact, holds the grippers of the MTMs (one for the right hand and one for the left hand) and moves his hands, wrists and fingers. Each MTM is a 8 degrees-of-freedom serial kinematic chain: by knowing the coordinate of each rotational joint in the chain, the position and orientation of the gripper in the cart reference frame is known through forward kinematics. A detailed kinematics analysis of the *daVinci*[®] was conducted in [2], and the Denavit-Hartenberg (DH) parameter for FK and IK are determined as well.

The pose of the MTMs grippers with respect to the console reference frame will then be used to compute the desired position of the end-effector of the PSMs, and inherently the joint coordinates through an inverse kinematics algorithm.

Seated at the console, the practitioner feels immersed into the surgical scene thanks to the 3D viewing capability of the HRSVs. This pair of oculars is directly connected to the pair of cameras situated on the ECM: the disparity between the images displayed in the left and right lenses gives the surgeon the perception of depth, ultimately rendering the surgical scene three-dimensional.

Finally, the operator has also available a set of four foot switches that may be pressed without removing the hands from the manipulators. The switches clutch the system (for repositioning purposes), change the control from the PSMs to the ECM (to achieve a different viewing point of the surgical scene) and energize the mono-polar or bi-polar electrosurgical elements whether they are mounted.

1.1.2 The Patient Cart

A robot-assisted surgery with the *daVinci*[®] is set up by positioning the patient cart in proximity of the surgical table: the relative position of the two depends on the type of operation to be executed. The cart is the frame of allocation for the PSMs and the ECM: the ECM is the kinematic structure that controls the pose of the stereo endoscope camera, while the PSMs are the robot arms that are used to position and rotate the surgical instruments. A standard *daVinci*[®] robot mounts four PSMs, controllable by two surgeons independently seated at two different consoles; however, a single surgeon can teleoperate a two-PSMs-robot by himself as it's the case for this study. The position of both PSMs and the ECM relative to the frame of the patient cart can be further adjusted to optimize their pose with respect to the surgical site and the type of operation to be performed: a set of 4 Set-Up Joints (SUJs) can be used to position in space the base of each of the PSMs and ECM. The SUJ kinematic chain consists of 1 prismatic joint and 3 revolute joints moved manually by the surgeon or the OR nurse during the pre-operative phase.

Patient-Side Manipulators Each PSM is a 7-DOF actuated arm (5 revolute joints, 1 prismatic joint, and the gripper angle of opening), which moves a surgical instrument about a Remote Center of Motion (RCM), *i.e.* a fixed fulcrum point that is invariant to the configuration of the PSM joints and the position of which is dependent only on the base link reference frame of the arm. When the surgeon or the OR nurse manually positions the SUJs, ultimately he is positioning the RCM in space: because the RCM is a fixed fulcrum point, its position will not change while the PSM is moving. This feature is what makes the *daVinci*[®] a truly minimally invasive technology, since if the RCM is positioned exactly at the surgical incision on the patient's body the surgeon has a wide range of motion that will still not require a larger opening on the patient's skin.

Intuitive Surgical makes available a variety of surgical instruments that can be mounted on the PSMs and

that cover a vast range of use cases, from tissue manipulation to suturing to cauterization. An attachment mechanism unique for all instruments allows one to quickly swap them even during the procedure.

Each of the available instruments designed by Intuitive Surgical exploits the *EndoWrist*[®] technology: a set of minuscule pulleys and cable allows the instruments' tooltips to achieve greater dexterity and range-of-motion when compared to the human wrist. This factor highly contributes to the minimally invasive nature of this surgical robot, as it permits achieving articulate and complex orientations that would require a larger incision if performed in a conventional approach.

Endoscope Camera Manipulator One of the robotic arms mounted on the patient cart holds the stereo endoscope camera and determines its pose in space. Contrary to a standard laparoscopic approach where the endoscope placement is a responsibility of the assistant surgeon, with a *daVinci*[®] robot it's the operating surgeon himself that controls the position of the camera. As a matter of fact, when the operator at the console desires to change the viewing point of the surgical scene, he switches the control from the PSMs to the ECM by pressing one of the foot pedals. While that pedal is held down, the control signal from the MTMs is sent to the ECM instead of the PSMs, which moves accordingly.

1.1.3 The Vision Cart

The computational, control and communication hardware are hosted on the vision cart, which acts as the main interface between the components. It is also responsible for computing FK and IK and for powering the motors, sensors and endoscope camera.

1.2 VR Surgical Simulators

Aghazadeh A. *et al.* [3] demonstrated a statistical correlation between robotic performance in a simulated environment and robotic clinical performance, effectively showcasing the potential of VR simulators for surgical training and their comparability to the standard dry-lab phantom training. Most of the surgical robotics companies on the market have developed and marketed their own general-purpose VR simulator, and a lot of research projects have been conducted to develop simulators for specific robotic platforms or for testing specialized applications.

Intuitive Surgical commercializes the *daVinci SimNow* virtual surgical simulator (previously *dVSS*, *daVinci Skill Simulator*) as a skill-building framework for learning how to safely and successfully operate its surgical robot. It offers a realistic simulated environment comprising 47 skill exercises and 33 virtual surgical procedures, with performance tracking and procedure-specific feedback.

Other relevant simulators on the market [4] [5] are the *Mimic dV-Trainer* (dV-Trainer; Mimic Technologies, Inc, Seattle, WA, USA), the *Robotic Surgical Simulator* (RoSS; Simulated Surgical Systems, Buffalo, NY, USA), the *Sim-Surgery Educational Platform* (SEP, SimSurgery, Norway), and the *ProMIS* hybrid simulator (*Canadian Aviation Electronics Healthcare, Canada*). Visual assistance algorithms are present in most of the listed simulators, but haptic guidance is missing in all cases.

An anticipation of the role of virtual reality environments in surgery was published in the literature as early as 2001 [6], while the very first research work on the topic developing and validating a Virtual Reality (VR) simulator interfaceable with the *daVinci*[®] appeared in 2008 [7]. From there, several studies and advancements have been published in literature [8]: initially, most of the work was focused on validating and

comparing the simulators on the market, assessing their role in the acquisition of surgical skills in general [9] or for specific surgical fields [10]. Later, the focus shifted towards the development of new simulators and the improvement of existing ones, with the goal of making them more realistic, effective and affordable [11].

1.3 Virtual Fixtures

References

- [1] Intuitive Surgical Inc. Intuitive reaches 10 million procedures performed using da vinci surgical systems, 2021.
- [2] Fontanelli G.A., Ficuciello F, Villali L., and Siciliano B. Modelling and identification of the da vinci research kit robotic arms. 2017.
- [3] Monty A. Aghazadeh, Miguel A. Mercado, Michael M. Pan, Brian J. Miles, and Alvin C. Goh. Performance of robotic simulated skills tasks is positively associated with clinical robotic surgical performance. *BJU International*, 118:475–481, 9 2016.
- [4] Justin D. Bric, Derek C. Lumbard, Matthew J. Frelich, and Jon C. Gould. Current state of virtual reality simulation in robotic surgery training: a review, 6 2016.
- [5] Anup Kumar, Roger Smith, and Vipul R. Patel. Current status of robotic simulators in acquisition of robotic surgical skills, 3 2015.
- [6] Rory McCloy and Robert Stone. Virtual reality in surgery. *Science, medicine, and the future*, 2001.
- [7] Thomas S Lendvay, Pasquale Casale, Robert Sweet, and Craig Peters. Initial validation of a virtual-reality robotic simulator. *Journal of Robotic Surgery*, 2(3):145–149, 2008.
- [8] Andrea Moglia, Vincenzo Ferrari, Luca Morelli, Mauro Ferrari, Franco Mosca, and Alfred Cuschieri. A systematic review of virtual reality simulators for robot-assisted surgery, 6 2016.
- [9] Michelle A Lerner, Mikias Ayalew, William J Peine, and Chandru P Sundaram. Does training on a virtual reality robotic simulator improve performance on the da vinci® surgical system? *Journal of Endourology*, 24(3):467–472, 2010.
- [10] Ruslan Korets, Adam C Mues, Joseph A Graversen, Mantu Gupta, Mitchell C Benson, Kimberly L Cooper, Jaime Landman, and Ketan K Badani. Validating the use of the mimic dv-trainer for robotic surgery skill acquisition among urology residents. *Urology*, 78(6):1326–1330, 2011.
- [11] Sem F Hardon, Anton Kooijmans, Roel Horeman, Maarten van der Elst, Alexander LA Bloemendaal, and Tim Horeman. Validation of the portable virtual reality training system for robotic surgery (polars): a randomized controlled trial. *Surgical endoscopy*, 36(7):5282–5292, 2022.