Vulnerabilities: IDOR

IDOR (Insecure Direct Object Ref)

- Relatively common vulnerability in web applications, easy to understand, detect, exploit and relatively easy to prevent
- CWE:
 - CWE-863: incorrect authorization → the product performs an authorization check when an actor attempts to access a resource or perform an action, but it does not correctly perform the check. This allows attackers to bypass intended access restrictions
 - CWE-639: authorization bypass though user-controlled key → the system's authorization functionality does not prevent one user from gaining access to another user's data or record by modifying the key value identifying the data
- IDOR exploitation
 - Injection: remote with no user interaction
 - Impact: confidentiality → attacker can read data it should not be allowed to read (it slowly and systematically reads lots of data)
- IDOR-risky webapps
 - Many objects (database records, documents, files)
 - Objects identified by HTTP request parameters
 - Authentication → each user can access some objects but not all
- What happens correctly
 - 1. Username := Extract from session
 - 2. IF Session is not authenticated
 - 3. THEN HTTP Response := "Error: please authenticate"
 - 4. URL, params := Extract from HTTP Request
 - 5. ObjectId := Determine based on URL, params
 - 6. IF Username can access ObjectId
 - 7. THEN HTTP Response := Read ObjectId from Database
 - 8. ELSE HTTP Response := "Unauthorized for this item"

- -A relatively common weakness is l'assenza del punto 6, non viene controllato se lo user ha l'accesso a quell'oggetto, glielo si fa leggere e basta
- -PHP in a nutshell: is a scripting language server side for dynamiting web document, the files on a web server are mixed HTML and PHP
- -When receiving an HTTP request for URL-x, the PHP is executed (whose output is HTML), the PHP code is replaced with its output and then is retuned the HTML content
- -A common mistake is the no authorization check (c'è l'immagine nelle slide con il codice, praticamente quando c'è il parametro invoice non c'è l'autenticazione sembrerebbe)
- Authenticated user (web attacker) interact with web app to analyze the structure of the web page and/or HTTP request, finds a promising parameter ?invoice = ... → send many HTTP requests with different values of invoice and check the HTTP responses with error code
- At this point the web attacker can read all the objects for which it can generate the correct value for invoice, even those it is not allows to read
- Examples...
- Saltzer and Schroeder: complete mediation → every access to every object must be checked for authority
 - IDOR is ultimately a failure to apply this principle
- Esempio 18app: si può modificare il campo IDbeneficiario senza alcun tipo di controllo, quindi è possibile creare buoni utilizzando un account altrui...vedendo tutti i dati dell'account utilizzato (di fatto si usa il codice fiscale dell'altra persona per accedere alla sua pagina 18app)
- IDOR clues worth investigating
 - Request parameters name resembles the name of a database table/column
 - Parameter values easily guessed: usually incremental integer, the identifier can be obtained from other pages and can be constructed/ enumerated
- Italy 2023 esempio: IDOR dati sanitari: chiunque dopo aver superato le procedure di autenticazione informatica presenti nell'ambito del portale MyCivis, poteva visualizzare, selezionare e aprire uno o più documenti presenti nel FSE di un altro assistito, anche in assenza di delega, semplicemente inserendo nel predetto parametro patientID il codice fiscale di tale assistito. Quali fossero i test di sicurezza incombenti sul fornitore e per quali ragioni tali test pur regolarmente svolti non abbiano permesso di intercettare il bug, lo chiarisce la società (puntini puntini), questa sostiene di aver realizzato i vulnerability assessment, ma che ciò non sia bastato a evitare la presenza di questo bug
- Remarks del prof: the very same mistake as in 18app, the vulnerability assessment has not detected this mistake
 - The citizen who detected this vuln and notified the company has ben denunciato male and is currently being investigated

Webapp defense in general

- Prevention: attempt to not write vulnerable code
 - Programming methodology: it requires competent and disciplined developers, must be applied correctly and systematically. Can hardly be applied on the entire code base (legacy, framework, plugins)
 - NO SYSTEMATIC APPROACH: JUST BROAD RULES
- Mitigation: attempt to make exploitation very difficult/impossible
 - HTTP options: can be activated on the web server, supported by all modern browsers
 - Code independent or minimal code changes
 - NO DEDICATED HTTP SUPPORT
- Defense in depth: always use both strategies

IDOR prevention

- Numero 6 dello pseudocodice sopra: if Username can access ObjectID is a fundamental check → authorization with design principle of the complete mediation
 - Predefined good links: the web app W generates pages where links to W are only to objects that the user can access
 - Generates pages where links to W are constructed dynamically by javascript code in the browser
 - Javascript code constructs only URLs corresponding to the current user
 - NO: CLIENT CODE OR BEHAVIOR CANNOT BE TRUSTED, the client can construct every name-value pair it wishes
- Obfuscated links: the web app W generates pages where links to W that identify objects are very complex (many parameters with strange names) and parameters values that are very long
 - NO: LONG AND STRANGE IS NOT EQUAL TO NOT ENUMERABLE OR NOT PREDICTABLE
- Implicit (wrong) assumptions
 - Browser will only follow the links that we prepare for it
 - Users will only make a few attempts to manipulate links, if at all
 - NB CLIENT CODE OR BEHAVIOR CANNOT BE TRUSTED
- IDOR prevention in a nutshell:
- 1. Implement authorization check systematically + construct URLs with parameter values (not predicable and not enumerable)
- In pratica l'ObjectID nel URL (che quindi lo user vede) idealmente dovrebbe essere l'hash dell'objectID salvato nel database interno al server, in modo da non essere predictable or enumerable
- In realtà basterebbe non saltare il passaggio dell'autorizzazione: se viene fatto il controllo sull'accesso alle risorse, allora non è fondamentale che gli URL siano con parametri non predictable e non enumerable (ma meglio più sicuri che meno sicuri)
- Quindi implementare solo la seconda fase, senza implementare una forma ti authorization check prima, non è una buona idea, ma nel caso in cui sia davvero difficult e costly implementare il check, mentre gli URL sono davvero non predictable e non enumerable, allora può essere un trade-off accettabile (meglio di niente)
- Implementation outline
- □ Table ObjectIdExternal ObjectIdInternal
- Request handling
 - □Internal := TableLookup(External)
- Response handling
 - □ External := Hash(Internal)
 - □TableInsert(External, Internal)

- -HTTP request: objectsIDs can be placed in requested URL, query string in requested URL, query string in transmitted resource (POST), transmitted resource (POST, ison resource)
- -HTTP response: objectsIDs (to be used in future requests) can be placed in transmitted resource (HREF attributed of HTLM documents), transmitted resource (javascript variables, json resource)...
- -Implementation detail: table objectIDexternal objectIDinternal is better one per use than one per web app, URL history may contain objectIDs, and the attacker might be able to access URL history of some users

