



**University of Padova**  
**Automated Analysis of Security Protocols**  
**exercises report**

Alberto Lazari - 2089120

June 2023 - A.Y. 2022-2023

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Message deduction</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Inference system . . . . .	3
1.2	Proof tree solving . . . . .	3
1.3	Local theory . . . . .	3
<b>2</b>	<b>Deduction under equational theories</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Static equivalence of frames</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Observational equivalence</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Secrecy and authentication</b>	<b>5</b>
5.1	Authentication . . . . .	7
5.2	Integrity . . . . .	7
5.3	Confidentiality . . . . .	7

# 1 Message deduction

## 1.1 Inference system

$\mathcal{I}_{DY}$  provides the following inference rules:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 (\text{senc}) \frac{x \quad y}{\text{senc}(x, y)} \quad (\text{aenc}) \frac{x \quad y}{\text{enc}(x, y)} \\
 \\
 (\text{pair}) \frac{x \quad y}{\langle x, y \rangle} \quad (\text{pair}_1) \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{x} \quad (\text{pair}_2) \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{y} \\
 \\
 (\text{sdec}) \frac{\text{senc}(x, y) \quad y}{x} \quad (\text{adec}) \frac{\text{aenc}(x, \text{pk}(y)) \quad y}{x}
 \end{array}$$

## 1.2 Proof tree solving

Let  $S = sk_A, sk_B, \text{aenc}(n_A, \text{pk}(sk_B)), \text{senc}(\text{aenc}(n_B, \text{pk}(sk_A)), n_A), \text{senc}(s, \langle n_A, n_B \rangle)$ .  
 $S \vdash_{\mathcal{I}_{DY}} s$  is solved by the following proof tree:

$$\frac{\text{senc}(s, \langle n_A, n_B \rangle)}{s} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{\vdots}{n_A} \quad \frac{\text{senc}(\text{aenc}(n_B, \text{pk}(sk_A)), n_A) \quad \frac{\vdots}{n_A}}{\text{aenc}(n_B, \text{pk}(sk_A))} \text{sdec} \quad sk_A}{n_B} \text{pair} \quad \frac{\langle n_A, n_B \rangle}{n_B} \text{sdec} \quad \frac{\text{aenc}(n_A, \text{pk}(sk_B)) \quad sk_B}{n_A} \text{adec}$$

Given  $S \vdash_{\mathcal{I}_{DY}} n_A$ , which is solved by the following proof tree:

$$\frac{\text{aenc}(n_A, \text{pk}(sk_B)) \quad sk_B}{n_A} \text{adec}$$

## 1.3 Local theory

$\mathcal{I}_{DY}$  is a *local theory*, because, given  $S$  and  $t$ , every label in the proof tree that solves  $S \vdash_{\mathcal{I}_{DY}} t$  is a subterm of  $S \cup \{t\}$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{I}_{DY}$  rules do not introduce new terms (other than  $t$ ) that are not already present in  $S$ .

## 2 Deduction under equational theories

$S \vdash_{Enc} s$  is solved by the following tree:

$$\frac{\frac{\text{senc}(\text{aenc}(n_B, \text{pk}(sk_A)), n_A)}{n_A}}{\frac{\text{sdec}(\text{senc}(\text{aenc}(n_B, \text{pk}(sk_A)), n_A), n_A)}{\text{aenc}(n_B, \text{pk}(sk_A))}} =_{E_{enc}} sk_A$$

$$\frac{\frac{\vdots}{n_A}}{\frac{\text{adec}(\text{aenc}(n_B, \text{pk}(sk_A)), sk_A)}{n_B}} =_{E_{enc}}$$

$$\frac{\text{senc}(s, \langle n_A, n_B \rangle)}{\frac{\text{sdec}(\text{senc}(s, \langle n_A, n_B \rangle), \langle n_A, n_B \rangle)}{s}} =_{E_{enc}}$$

Given  $S \vdash_{Enc} n_A$ , which is solved by the following proof tree:

$$\frac{\text{aenc}(n_A, \text{pk}(sk_B)) \quad sk_B}{\frac{\text{adec}(\text{aenc}(n_A, \text{pk}(sk_B)), sk_B)}{n_A}} =_{E_{enc}}$$

Note that the rules without a label are not the ones defined in  $\mathcal{I}_{DY}$  (though they are the same). They are derived from the functions, generated from the equivalence steps. The only exception is:

$$\frac{a \quad b}{\langle a, b \rangle}$$

but  $\langle a, b \rangle$  could be defined as a 2-ary function  $\mathbf{pair}(a, b)$ .

### 3 Static equivalence of frames

1. Let  $M = x, N = \mathbf{h}(y)$ .  
 $(x = \mathbf{h}(\text{senc}(a, k)) \neq_{E_{enc}} \mathbf{h}(y))_{\varphi_1}$  and  $(x =_{E_{enc}} \mathbf{h}(y))_{\varphi_2} \implies \varphi_1 \not\sim \varphi_2$
2. Let  $M = x, N = \mathbf{aenc}(\langle z, c \rangle, y)$ .  
 $(x =_{E_{enc}} \mathbf{aenc}(\langle z, c \rangle, y))_{\varphi_1}$  and  $(x = \mathbf{aenc}(\langle \text{senc}(b, k), c \rangle, y) \neq_{E_{enc}} \mathbf{aenc}(\langle z, c \rangle, y))_{\varphi_2} \implies \varphi_1 \not\sim \varphi_2$

## 4 Observational equivalence

Let  $C[\_]$  be the context

$$C[\_] = \text{out}(c, 0); \text{out}(c, 1); \text{in}(c, pk); \text{in}(c, m); \text{if } m = \text{aenc}(\langle 0, 1 \rangle, pk) \text{ then out}(b, 1) \parallel \_$$

$$C[B] \Downarrow b, \text{ while } C[A] \text{ will never emit on channel } b \implies B \not\approx A \implies A \not\approx B$$

## 5 Secrecy and authentication

The following code models the protocol in ProVerif:

```
channel c.

(* A and B identities *)
const idA : bitstring.
const idB : bitstring.

(* Symmetric encryption *)
fun senc(bitstring, bitstring) : bitstring.
reduc forall m : bitstring, k : bitstring;
    sdec(senc(m, k), k) = m.

(* Asymmetric encryption *)
type skey.
type pkey.

fun pk(skey) : pkey.
fun aenc(bitstring, pkey) : bitstring.
reduc forall m : bitstring, k : skey;
    adec(aenc(m, pk(k)), k) = m.

(* Pair *)
fun pair(bitstring, bitstring) : bitstring.
reduc forall a : bitstring, b : bitstring;
    fst(pair(a, b)) = a.
reduc forall a : bitstring, b : bitstring;
    snd(pair(a, b)) = b.

(* Hash function *)
fun h(bitstring) : bitstring.

(* Events *)
event authentication(bitstring, bitstring).
event integrity(bitstring).

(* Queries *)
(* Authentication *)
query m : bitstring, nB : bitstring;
    event(authentication(m, nB)).
```

```

(* Integrity *)
query m : bitstring;
    event(integrity(m)).
(* Confidentiality *)
query attacker(new m).

(* Processes *)
let A(sk : skey, pkB : pkey, kS : bitstring) =
    let req = pair(aenc(idA, pkB), idB) in
    out(c, senc(req, kS));
    in(c, x : bitstring);
    let nB = adec(x, sk) in
    new m : bitstring;
    out(c, senc(m, nB));
    in(c, hash : bitstring);
    if hash = h(m) then
    event integrity(m).

let S(kA : bitstring, kB : bitstring) =
    in(c, x : bitstring);
    let req = sdec(x, kA) in
    if snd(req) = idB then
    out(c, senc(fst(req), kB)).

let B(sk : skey, pkA : pkey, kS : bitstring) =
    in(c, x : bitstring);
    let enc_idA = sdec(x, kS) in
    if adec(enc_idA, sk) = idA then
    new nB : bitstring;
    out(c, aenc(nB, pkA));
    in(c, y : bitstring);
    let m = sdec(y, nB) in
    event authentication(m, nB);
    out(c, h(m)).

process
    new skA : skey; new skB : skey;
    new kAS : bitstring; new kBS : bitstring;
    ( !A(skA, pk(skB), kAS)
    | !S(kAS, kBS)
    | !B(skB, pk(skA), kBS) )

```

## 5.1 Authentication

Authentication is proved by the first query: the event occurs only when  $B$  receives  $m$  from  $A$ .

$B$  can be certain that  $m$  comes from  $A$ , because it decrypts it from a message encrypted with  $N_b$ , that could only be decrypted by  $A$ , because  $B$  encrypted asymmetrically with  $pk_A$ , thus requiring  $sk_A$  to decrypt.

The event is reached because ProVerif outputs the following statement:

`Query not event(authentication(m_2,nb_2)) is false.`

which means that the event is reachable.

## 5.2 Integrity

Integrity is proved by the second query: the event occurs only if  $A$  receives back from  $B$  the hash of  $m$ .  $A$  checks whether the hash sent from  $B$  and the real  $h(m)$  are the same.

The event is reached because ProVerif outputs the following statement:

`Query not event(integrity(m_2)) is false.`

which means that the event is reachable.

## 5.3 Confidentiality

Confidentiality is proved by the last query: it checks whether an external attacker can deduce the message  $m$ , but since it cannot only  $A$  and  $B$  know the message.

The last query is true because ProVerif outputs the following statement:

`Query not attacker(m[x = v,!1 = v_1]) is true.`