

Lecture 5

Linear independence

$$\vec{u} = (2, 3, 6) \text{ in } \vec{v}_1 = (1, 0, 0) \quad \vec{v}_2 = (0, 1, 0) \quad \vec{v}_3 = (0, 0, 1)$$

- unique,
- $\vec{u} = 2\vec{v}_1 + 3\vec{v}_2 + 6\vec{v}_3$.
- is linearly indep.

$$\vec{u} = (2, 3, 6) \text{ in } \vec{v}_1 = (1, 0, 0) \quad \vec{v}_2 = (0, 1, 0) \quad \vec{v}_3 = (0, 0, 1) \quad \vec{v}_4 = (1, -1, 3)$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{v}_1 + 4\vec{v}_2 - 9\vec{v}_3 + \vec{v}_4$$

(not unique)

$$= 2\vec{v}_1 + 3\vec{v}_2 - 6\vec{v}_3 + 0\vec{v}_4$$

infinite # of choices, but not linearly independent!

Given $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n$ we say this list is lin. indep. if the eqn.

$$a_1\vec{v}_1 + a_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + a_n\vec{v}_n = \vec{0}$$

has a unique solution $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n = 0$ (in \mathbb{F})

i.e. only linear combinations of v_1, \dots, v_n has all 0 coeff.

Why 0?

return to direct sums.

- only needed to test the 0 vector (will prove later)
- not dissimilar to linear independence.

Checking Lin. ind.

$$\bullet \vec{v}_1 = (1, 0, 0) \quad \vec{v}_2 = (0, 1, 0) \quad \vec{v}_3 = (0, 0, 1)$$

1) add coefficients, then add together

$$\exists a_1, a_2, a_3 \text{ s.t. } a_1\vec{v}_1 + a_2\vec{v}_2 + a_3\vec{v}_3 = a_1(1, 0, 0) + a_2(0, 1, 0) + a_3(0, 0, 1) = (0, 0, 0)$$

$$\Rightarrow (a_1, a_2, a_3) = (0, 0, 0)$$

\Rightarrow literally this has to be 0...

2) then check the SOE to see what needs to be 0.

$$\vec{w}_1 = (1, 2, 3) \quad \vec{w}_2 = (0, 1, -1) \quad \vec{w}_3 = (0, 0, 5)$$

- 1st row determined by \vec{w}_1
- 2nd row determined by \vec{w}_2 (perm)
- 3rd row " " \vec{w}_3

$$a_1(1, 2, 3) + a_2(0, 1, -1) + a_3(0, 0, 5) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a_1 = 0, \cancel{2a_1} + a_2 = 0, \cancel{3a_1} - a_2 + 5a_3 = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0.$$

- list of vectors is either indep. or dependent.
- if dependent, \exists no unique soln., \exists a nontrivial lin. comb. = 0.
- "nontrivial" \rightarrow not all coeff. are 0.

Span

• $\text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n)$: set of LC of $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$.

• Definition of finite-dimensional VS:

A vector space V is "finite dimensional" if \exists a finite list of vectors $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n \in V$ s.t. $V = \text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n)$.

• per this defn, \mathbb{R}^3 is finite dimensional b/c of $(1, 0, 0)$ $(0, 1, 0)$ $(0, 0, 1)$

• example of infinite dimension VS:

• polynomials (in 1 variable)

• def. function $f: \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is a polynomial if $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n$ for some $a_0, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}$ and $n \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$

• If $a_n \neq 0$, then degree of $f = n$. So degree must be nonnegative int. or $-\infty$ for the 0 function.

consider the set of polys $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$

1) is this a vector space over \mathbb{F} ?

- zero poly. included
- scalar mult. = multiplying p by constant leads to other polynomial
- vector add. = adding coeffs from 1 poly to next \Rightarrow result = polynomial.
- everything else is done by coeff. wise addition
- also this is a subspace of $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{F}}$ so we barely even need to check!

2) if $\mathcal{P}(F)$ finite-dimensional? NO!!!

- in any finite set of polynomials f_1, \dots, f_k , their span $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$ consists of polys. of degree $\leq \max(\deg(f_1), \dots, \deg(f_k))$
 \uparrow
 n .
- no terms available in higher degrees.
- now consider polynomials of degree $n+1$.
 - we will need to expand set to account for size $n+1$.
- so $\mathcal{P}(F) \neq \text{span}(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k)$.

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{P}(F)$ must be infinite-dimensional. \square

Claim: in a finite dimensional vs V the size of any indep. list doesn't exceed size of spanning list.

- first explore a dependent list $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n$.
 - remove vectors, keeping same span.
 - redundancy in the representation in span.
- there n coeffs. a_1, \dots, a_n s.t. $a_1 \vec{v}_1 + a_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + a_n \vec{v}_n = \vec{0}$
 - remove any given j s.t. $a_j \neq 0$ & rewrite this as

$$\vec{v}_j = -\frac{1}{a_j} (a_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_k \vec{v}_k)$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\text{sum w/o } a_j \vec{v}_j}$
- so $\vec{v}_j \in \text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{j-1}, \vec{v}_{j+1}, \dots, \vec{v}_n)$.
- how to tell if old span is in here? any vector in $\text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n)$ can be written w/o \vec{v}_j by using \vec{v}_j i.e. all other vectors.
- notice: can choose j s.t. $a_j \neq 0$ is the last nonzero coeff.
 Then $\vec{v}_j \in \text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{j-1})$.
- empty sum = $\vec{0}$, empty prod = 1