Math 104: Real Analysis

Albert Ye

June 26, 2023

CONTENTS

Contents				3
1	Week 1			
	1.1	Lectu	re 1	5
		1.1.1	Logic and Sets	5
		1.1.2	Indexed Sets	5
		1.1.3	Set of Natural Numbers	6
		1.1.4	Set of Rational Numbers	6
	1.2	Lectu	re 2	7
		1.2.1	Ordered Sets	7
		1.2.2	Defects of $\mathbb Q$	7
		1.2.3	Real Numbers	8
		1.2.4	Consequences of the Completeness Axiom	8
	1.3 Lecture 3		re 3	9
		1.3.1	Archimedean Property	9
		1.3.2	(Gates to) Infinity	9
2	2 Week 2			11
	2.1	Lectu	re 4	11
		2.1.1	Limits of Sequences	11
		212	Proving Limits	12

CHAPTER 1

WEEK 1

1.1 Lecture 1

1.1.1 Logic and Sets

For clauses p, q: we have $p \land q$, $p \lor q$, $\neg p$. These are and, or, not; respectively.

Moreover, we have $p \implies q$ meaning that q is true if p is true. Moreover, we have $p \iff q$ meaning that p is true if q is true and q is true if p is true.

Other terminology: := is a definition, \forall is for all, \exists is exists, $a \in A$ means that element a is in the set A, $a \notin A$ means that element a is in the set A.

For sets, we have \subset , =, \subseteq to determine subset and equality relations. Moreover, we have \cap , \cup to represent union and intersections of sets. There is also $A \setminus B$ to denote everything in A but not B, and we have A^C to denote every element not in A.

Theorem 1.1 (DeMorgan's Laws). Let A and B be sets.

(a)
$$(A \cup B)^C = A^C \cap B^C$$

(b)
$$(A \cap B)^C = A^C \cup B^C$$

(c)
$$A \setminus (B \cap C) = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$$

(d)
$$A \setminus (B \cup C) = (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C)$$

1.1.2 Indexed Sets

Let Λ be a set and suppose for each $a \in \Lambda$ there is a set A_a . The set $\{A_a : a \in \Lambda\}$ is called a **collection of sets** indexed by Λ . In this case, Λ is called the indexing set for this collection.

$$\bigcup_{a\in A}=\{x|x\in A_a \text{ for some } a\in A\}$$

$$\bigcap_{a \in A} = \{x | x \in A_a \text{ for all } a \in A\}.$$

We can generalize DeMorgan's laws to indexed collections:

Theorem 1.2 (Generalized DeMorgan). If $\{B_a:a\in\Lambda\}$ is an indexed collection of sets and A is a set, then

$$A \setminus \bigcup_{a \in \Lambda} B_a = \bigcap_{a \in \Lambda} (A \setminus B_a),$$

$$A \setminus \bigcap_{a \in \Lambda} B_a = \bigcup_{a \in \Lambda} (A \setminus B_a).$$

1.1.3 Set of Natural Numbers

We set \mathbb{N} to be all positive integers, \mathbb{Z} to be all integers, and \mathbb{N}_0 to be all nonnegative integers.

Definition 1.3 (Peano Axioms). 1. $1 \in \mathbb{N}$.

- 2. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $n + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$. We'll call this the successor.
- 3. 1 is not the successor of any element
- 4. If $n, m \in NN$ have the same successor, then n = m.
- 5. (Induction) If $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with the properties $1 \in S$ and $n \in S \implies n+1 \in S$, then $S = \mathbb{N}$. This becomes induction when we have S as the set of elements where a certain property holds.

So, for induction, we have a base case where we have P_0 or P_1 or some starting value. And then, we have induction that proves that P_k being true implies P_{k+1} is true. Then it dominoes over.

Remember that we didn't prove that P_{n+1} is true, but rather that it can be implied from P_n .

1.1.4 Set of Rational Numbers

We define \mathbb{Q} , the set of rational numbers, by $\mathbb{Q} := \{\frac{m}{n} | m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0\}$.

Remark 1.4. © contains all terminating decimals.

Remark 1.5. If $\frac{m}{n} \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, then $\frac{m}{n} = \frac{rm}{rn}$, so we assume that m, n are coprime usually.

Definition 1.6 (Field Axioms). Remembering these is now an exercise for the reader.

We see that the set of rational numbers with addition and multiplication is a field. Going through the axioms is left as an exercise to the reader.

1.2 Lecture 2

1.2.1 Ordered Sets

Definition 1.7 (Ordered Set). We define an **ordered set** to be a set S with an order satisfying the following criteria:

- 1. $\forall \alpha, \beta \in S$, either $\alpha < \beta, \alpha = \beta, \alpha > \beta$.
- 2. $\alpha < \beta, \beta < \gamma \implies \alpha < \gamma$.
- 3. $\alpha \leq \beta \implies \alpha + \gamma \leq \beta + \gamma$
- 4. $\alpha \leq \beta, \gamma \geq 0 \implies \alpha \gamma \leq \beta \gamma$
- 5. $\alpha \leq \beta, \beta \leq \alpha \implies \alpha = \beta$

A set that is a field and an ordered set can be called an **ordered field**.

1.2.2 Defects of ℚ

Theorem 1.8 (Irrationality of $\sqrt{2}$). There is no α such that $\alpha^2 = 2$.

Proof. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that there is $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\alpha^2 = 2$. We see that $\alpha = \frac{m}{n}$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\gcd(m, n) = 1$.

Since $a^2=2$, we have $\frac{m^2}{n^2}=2$, implying that $m^2=2n^2$, or $2|m^2$. As 2 is prime, we see that $2|m\implies m=2p$ for some integer p. Then, $(2p)^2=2n^2\implies 4p^2=2n^2\implies n^2=2p^2$. Once again, we have that 2|n from above, so m,n are both even. This contradicts the claim that m,n are coprime, so $\sqrt{2}$ cannot be expressed in a rational form.

This motivates the concept of incompleteness.

Definition 1.9 (Incompleteness). Let S be an ordered set, and let $A \subseteq S$.

- 1. An element $\beta \in S$ is an upper bound for A if $\alpha \leq \beta, \forall \alpha \in A$. Then, we say that A is bounded above.
- 2. An element $\beta \in S$ is a lower bound for A if $\alpha \geq \beta, \forall A \in A$. Then, we say that A is bounded below.

Definition 1.10 (Supremum). Suppose S is an ordered set and $A \in S$ is bounded above. Suppose $\exists B \in S$ such that:

- 1. β is an upper bound for A.
- 2. If r is another upper bound, then $r \geq \beta$.

Then, we will call β the least upper bound, or the supremum (sup) of A.

The greatest lower bound is then called the **infimum** (inf) of A.

Remark 1.11. Supremum and infimum may not exist or belong to *A*.

Definition 1.12 (Completeness). An ordered set S is said to have the least upper bound property, or completeness, if every upper bounded set has a supremum in S.

1.2.3 Real Numbers

Theorem 1.13 (Real Numbers). There is a unique ordered field $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, \leq)$ that has the following properties:

- 1. Completeness.
- 2. $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is an ordered subfield; i.e., $(+,\cdot,\leq)$ restricted to \mathbb{Q} are the usual $(+,\cdot,\leq)$ on \mathbb{Q} .

Lecturer says we will be using the result, and not the proof.

There's another theorem with properties of real number arithmetic but honestly I'm too lazy to write it as of now so you'll see it later.

1.2.4 Consequences of the Completeness Axiom

Existence of Infimum

Theorem 1.14. Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a set bounded below. Then $\inf E$ exists in \mathbb{R} .

Proof. Define -E to be $\{-x|x \in E\}$. Then, we see that -E must be bounded above, implying that it must have a supremum by definition of completeness. Then, we let $\sup -E = \beta$.

Then, for any α such that $x \ge \alpha \forall x \in E$, then we have $y \le -\alpha \forall y \in E$. We see that $-\alpha \ge \beta$ by definition of supremum, so $\alpha \le -\beta$. As a result, inf $E = -\beta$.

1.3 Lecture 3

1.3.1 Archimedean Property

Theorem 1.15 (Archimedean Property). If a > 0 and b > 0, then for some positive integer n, we have na > b.

Proof. Assume that the Archimedean Property fails. Then, for all positive integers n, we have na < b for some positive a and b.

Now, let's observe $S = \{na | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then, let $b = \sup S$, which must exist by completeness.

Consider b-a. Since b is a supremum and a is positive, then $\exists s \in S$ such that s > b-a. However, a+s must also be in S by definition, and a+s > a+(b-a)=b, contradicting the claim that $b=\sup S$.

Corollary 1.16. 1. If a > 0, then $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{n} < a$.

2. If b > 0, then $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that b < n.

Theorem 1.17 (Density of \mathbb{Q}). If $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and a < b, then $\exists r \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that a < r < b.

Proof. This is an exercise for the reader. Till I fill the proof in.

Theorem 1.18 (Existence of nth roots). Given any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha > 0$, and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there's a $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $\beta^n = \alpha$.

Proof. This is an exercise for the reader until I feel like writing more about this.

Corollary 1.19. 1. $b_1, b_2 > 0$ s.t. $b_1^n = b_2^n$. Then, $b_1 = b_2$.

2. If a, b > 0, then $\sqrt[n]{ab} = \sqrt[n]{a} \sqrt[n]{b}$.

1.3.2 (Gates to) Infinity

We define the set of extended reals to be $\mathbb{R}^* = \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\} \cup \{\infty\}$, with the extended order $-\infty < \alpha < \infty$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then, ∞ is an upper bound for any $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $-\infty$ is a lower bound for any $E \subset \mathbb{R}$.

We can extend the definition of \sup and \inf such that

- $\sup E = \inf \mathsf{if} E \mathsf{is}$ not bounded above, and
- $\inf E = -\inf \mathsf{if} E \mathsf{is} \mathsf{not} \mathsf{bounded} \mathsf{below}.$

Note that \mathbb{R}^* does not form a field. As a result, we cannot apply a theorem or exercise stated for real numbers to ∞ , $-\infty$. This set doesn't have an algebraic structure.

We also denote unbounded intervals using $-\infty$, ∞ instead of real numbers.

Remark 1.20. Let S be any nonempty subset of $\mathbb R$. The symbols $\sup S$ and $\inf S$ always make sense. If S is bounded above, then $\sup S$ is a real; otherwise, it is $+\infty$. Same logic applies to lower bounds and $-\infty$.

Moreover, the statement $\inf S \leq \sup S$ also always makes sense.

CHAPTER 2

WEEK 2

2.1 Lecture 4

2.1.1 Limits of Sequences

Definition 2.1 (Sequence). A sequence is a function S whose domain is a set of the form $\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n \geq m\}$; m is usually 1 or 0.

Or, a sequence is an infinite list of real numbers.

Note that we must be careful in ensuring that we have ... at the end of our list for repeating sequences, to make clear that our sequence goes to infinity.

Given a sequence S_1, S_2, \ldots , we want to figure out what happens to S_n as $n \to \infty$.

Example 2.1. $S_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. The terms seem to "approach" zero.

Example 2.2. $S_n = (-1)^n, n \ge 0$. The sequence jumps around, and it appears to not approach any single value.

Intuitively: $\lim_{n\to\infty} S_n = S$ means that as S gets large, then S_n goes to S.

Epsilon-Delta

Definition 2.2 (Formal Definition of Convergence). A sequence S_n of real numbers is said to **converge** to the real number S if:

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N = N(\epsilon) \text{s. t. } n > N \implies |S_n - S| \le \epsilon.$$

So, no matter how small ϵ is, there is a threshold N s.t. once you have n>N, then you can guarantee that S_n is at most ϵ away from S.

Definition 2.3 (Limits). If S_n converges to S, we will write that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} S_n = S, \text{ or } S_n \to S.$$

The number S is called the **limit** of the sequence (S_n) .

A sequence that doesn't converge to any set number is said to diverge.

Remark 2.4. 1. The threshold N in the first definition can be treated as a positive integer by the Archimedean Property.

- 2. It's traditional to use ϵ and δ in situations where the interesting values are small positive values.
- 3. The first definition is an infinite number of statements, one for each ϵ .

Also, usually N depends on ϵ , usually with an inverse relationship.

2.1.2 Proving Limits

Example 2.3. $\lim \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} = 0$.

We see that $|S_n - S| = |\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} - 0| = |\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$. We claim that this is less than ϵ for some n.

We have that $\frac{1}{n} < \epsilon^2 \implies n > \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}$. However, as n is unbounded, we see that there is some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n > \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}$.

But this isn't a rigorous mathematical proof.

Proof. Let's set $N=\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}$. We claim that $|\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}-0| \leq \epsilon$. Setting $n>\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}$ implies that $\frac{1}{n}<\epsilon^2$. Therefore, we have $|]frac1\sqrt{n}-0|=|\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}|=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}<\epsilon$. Therefore, our sequence $S_n=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ must converge to 0.

Example 2.4.
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{2n+4}{5n+2} = \frac{2}{5}$$
.

We see that

$$|S_n - S| = \left| \frac{2n+4}{5n+2} - \frac{2}{5} \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{10n+20 - (10n+4)}{5(5n+2)} \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{16}{25n+10} \right|$$

$$= \frac{16}{25n+10}.$$

We want this value to be less than ϵ , so we have $\frac{16}{25n+10} < \epsilon \implies 25n+10 > \frac{16}{\epsilon} \implies n > \frac{16-10\epsilon}{25\epsilon}$.

Proof. We set N to be $\frac{16-10\epsilon}{25\epsilon}$ for all $\epsilon>0$. Then, we claim that for all n>N, $|\frac{2n+4}{5n+2}-\frac{2}{5}|<\epsilon$.

We see from above that this is equivalent to $\frac{16}{25n+10} < \epsilon$. However, we know that $n > N \implies n > \frac{16-10\epsilon}{25\epsilon}$. Then, we have

$$\frac{16}{25n+10} < \frac{16}{25\left(\frac{16-10\epsilon}{25\epsilon}\right)+10} = \frac{16}{\frac{16-10\epsilon}{\epsilon}+10} = \frac{16}{\frac{16}{\epsilon}} = \epsilon.$$

Therefore, our sequence $S_n=\frac{2n+4}{5n+2}$ does indeed converge to $\frac{2}{5}$.

We must write the formal proof, because all we do in the first part is find a potential threshold N, and not prove that it is valid.