# Contributions to the structure theory of $\omega$ -languages

# Albert Zeyer

# 10. Februar 2011

# Inhaltsverzeichnis

1	Inti	roduction				
2	Automaton					
	2.1	Path				
	2.2	Acceptence of finite words				
3	*-la	anguages				
	3.1	regular languages				
	3.2	piece-wise testable				
	3.3	k-locally testable				
	3.4	$\operatorname{dot-depth}$ - $n$				
	3.5	starfree				
	3.6	locally modulo testable				
	3.7	R-trivial				
	3.8	endlich / co-endlich				
	3.9	endwise testable				
4	ω-la	anguages				
	4.1	Büchi automaton				
	4.2	Muller automaton				
	4.3	Rabin automaton				
	4.4	Staiger Wagner class of $\mathcal{K}$				
5	Operations: *-language $K$ to $\omega$ -language $L_{\omega}(K)$					
	_					
6	*-Sprachklassen					
	6.1	$oldsymbol{ ext{regular}}$				
	6.2	piece-wise testable				
	6.3	k-locally testable				
	6.4	$\operatorname{dot-depth}_n$				
	6.5	starfree				
	6.6	locally modulo testable				
	6.7	R-trivial				
	6.8	endlich / co-endlich				
	6.9	endwise testable				

7	$\omega$ -Sprachklassen	7
	7.1 Staiger Wagner Klasse zu $\mathcal{K}$	7
8	Operationen: von *-Sprache $K$ zu $\omega$ -Sprache $L_{\omega}(K)$	7
	8.1	7
9	Lemmas	8
	9.1 piece-wise testable	8
	9.2 extension of $\mathcal{L}^*(FO[+1])$	8
	9.3 limit of $\mathcal{L}^*(FO[<])$	9
	9.4 BC ext $\mathcal{L}^*(FO[<]) \subseteq BC \lim \mathcal{L}^*(FO[<])$	

# 1 Introduction

...

## 2 Automaton

An **automaton**  $\mathcal{A}$  on the alphabet  $\Sigma$  is given by a set Q of states and a subset  $E \subset Q \times A \times Q$  of transitions. In most cases you also have a subset  $I \subset Q$  of initial states and a subset  $F \subset Q$  of final states.

We write:

$$\mathcal{A} = (Q, \Sigma, E, I, F).$$

The automaton is **finite** iff Q and  $\Sigma$  are finite.

The automaton is **deterministic** iff E is a set of functions  $Q \times A \to \mathcal{Q}$  and there is only a single initial state.

#### 2.1 Path

Two transitions  $(p, a, q), (p', a', q') \in E$  are **consecutive** iff q = p'.

A path in the automaton A is a sequence of consecutive transitions, written as:

$$q_0 \rightarrow^{a_0} q_1 \rightarrow^{a_1} q_2 \dots$$

# 2.2 Acceptence of finite words

An automaton  $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \Sigma, E, I, F)$  accepts a finite word  $w = (a_0, a_1, ..., a_n) \in \Sigma^*$  iff there is a path  $q_0 \to^{a_0} q_1 \to^{a_1} q_2 \cdots \to^{a_n} q_{n+1}$  with  $q_0 \in I$  und  $q_{n+1} \in F$ .

The language  $L^*(\mathcal{A})$  is defined as set of all words which are accepted by  $\mathcal{A}$ .

## 3 \*-languages

The \*-languages are all languages of words  $w \in \Sigma^*$ , i.e. the set of languages of finite words.

#### 3.1 regular languages

A languages is regular iff an automaton accepts it.

- 3.2 piece-wise testable
- 3.3 k-locally testable
- 3.4 dot-depth-n
- 3.5 starfree
- 3.6 locally modulo testable
- 3.7 R-trivial
- 3.8 endlich / co-endlich
- 3.9 endwise testable
- 4  $\omega$ -languages

#### 4.1 Büchi automaton

An automaton  $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \Sigma, E, I, F)$  **Büchi-accepts** a word  $\alpha = (a_0, a_1, a_2, ...) \in \Sigma^{\omega}$  iff there is an infinite path  $q_0 \to^{a_0} q_1 \to^{a_1} q_2 \to^{a_2} q_3...$  with  $q_0 \in I$  and  $\{q_i | q_i \in F\}$  infinite, i.e. which reaches a state in F infinitely often.

The language  $L^{\omega}(\mathcal{A})$  is defined as the set of all infinite words which are Büchi-accepted by  $\mathcal{A}$ .

An automaton  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Büchi automaton iff you use the Büchi-acceptence.

## 4.2 Muller automaton

A Muller automaton  $\mathcal{A}$  is a finite, deterministic automaton with **Muller acceptence** and a set  $\mathcal{T} \in 2^Q$ , called the **table** of the automaton (instead of the set F). A word  $w \in \Sigma^{\omega}$  is accepted iff there is a path p with  $Inf(p) \in \mathcal{T}$ , where Inf(p) is the set of infinitely often reached states of the path p.

We write:

$$\mathcal{A} = (Q, \Sigma, E, i, \mathcal{T}).$$

#### 4.3 Rabin automaton

A Rabin automaton is a tuple  $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \Sigma, E, i, \mathcal{R})$ , where  $(Q, \Sigma, E)$  is a deterministic automaton, i is the initial state and  $\mathcal{R} = \{(L_j, U_j) | j \in J\}$  is a family of pairs of state-sets. A path p is successfull iff it starts in i and there is an index j inJ such that p reaches  $U_j$  infinitely often and  $L_j$  only finitely often. If the automaton is finite, this is equivalent to

$$\operatorname{Inf}(p) \cap L_j = \emptyset$$
 and  $\operatorname{Inf}(p) \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ .

## 4.4 Staiger Wagner class of K

# 5 Operations: \*-language K to $\omega$ -language $L_{\omega}(K)$

#### 5.1 ...

- a) \* alle Sprachen  $K\dot{\Sigma}^{\omega} = \text{ext}(K), K \in \mathcal{K}$ 
  - \* offene G
- \* Staiger Wagner Klasse http://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Staiger-Wagner-Automat Erich Grädel, Wolfgang Thomas und Thomas Wilke (Herausgeber), Automata, Logics, and Infinite Games, LNCS 2500, 2002, Seite 20 (auf englisch) http://www.automata.rwth-aachen.de/material/skripte/areasenglish.pdf s.53
  - a') dual  $\overline{K} = \omega$ -Wörter, deren alle Präfixe in K sind
  - b) Sprachen  $\lim \mathcal{K}$  BC Muller-erkennbare (BC: boolean closure ?)
  - b') von einer Stelle an alle Prefixe in K
  - c) Kleene-Closure

alle der Form  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i \dot{V}_i^{\omega}, U_i, V_i \in \mathcal{K}$ 

d) K nicht suffix sensitiv

 $K \in \mathcal{K} \Rightarrow K\dot{\Sigma}^* \in \mathcal{K}$ 

Hauptfrage: Für welche  $\mathcal{K}$  ergibt sich eine andere Sprache als bei  $\mathcal{K} = \text{Reg.}$ 

# 6 \*-Sprachklassen

- 6.1 regular
- 6.2 piece-wise testable
- 6.3 k-locally testable
- 6.4 dot-depth-n
- 6.5 starfree
- 6.6 locally modulo testable
- 6.7 R-trivial
- 6.8 endlich / co-endlich
- 6.9 endwise testable
- 7  $\omega$ -Sprachklassen
- 7.1 Staiger Wagner Klasse zu K
- 8 Operationen: von \*-Sprache K zu  $\omega$ -Sprache  $L_{\omega}(K)$
- 8.1 ...
- a) \* alle Sprachen  $K\dot{\Sigma}^{\omega} = \text{ext}(K), K \in \mathcal{K}$ 
  - \* offene G
- \* Staiger Wagner Klasse http://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Staiger-Wagner-Automat Erich Grädel, Wolfgang Thomas und Thomas Wilke (Herausgeber), Automata, Logics, and Infinite Games, LNCS 2500, 2002, Seite 20 (auf englisch) http://www.automata.rwth-aachen.de/material/skripte/areasenglish.pdf s.53
  - a') dual  $\overline{K} = \omega$ -Wörter, deren alle Präfixe in K sind
  - b) Sprachen  $\lim \mathcal{K}$  BC Muller-erkennbare (BC: boolean closure?)
  - b') von einer Stelle an alle Prefixe in K
  - c) Kleene-Closure
  - alle der Form  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i \dot{V}_i^{\omega}, U_i, V_i \in \mathcal{K}$
  - d)  $\mathcal{K}$  nicht suffix sensitiv
  - $K \in \mathcal{K} \Rightarrow K\dot{\Sigma}^* \in \mathcal{K}$

## 9 Lemmas

### 9.1 piece-wise testable

#### Theorem 9.1.

 $BC \operatorname{ext} \mathcal{L}^*(\text{piece-wise testable}) = BC \lim \mathcal{L}^*(\text{piece-wise testable})$ 

*Proof.* L piece-wise testable  $\Leftrightarrow L$  is a boolean algebra of  $\Sigma^* a_1 \Sigma^* a_2 \cdots a_n \Sigma^*$ 

 $\subseteq$ : It is sufficient to show  $\operatorname{ext}(\mathcal{L}^*(\operatorname{piece-wise testable})) \subseteq \operatorname{BC} \lim \mathcal{L}^*(\operatorname{piece-wise testable})$ . By complete induction:

$$\operatorname{ext}(\Sigma^* a_1 \Sigma^* a_2 \cdots a_n \Sigma^*) = \Sigma^* a_1 \Sigma^* a_2 \cdots a_n \Sigma^{\omega} = \lim(\Sigma^* a_1 \Sigma^* a_2 \cdots a_n \Sigma^*)$$

$$\operatorname{ext}(\neg(\Sigma^* a_1 \Sigma^* a_2 \cdots a_n \Sigma^*)) = \Sigma^{\omega} = \lim(\Sigma^*)$$

$$\operatorname{ext}(\emptyset) = \emptyset = \lim(\emptyset)$$

It is sufficient to show negation only for such ground terms because we can always push the negation down.

$$\operatorname{ext}(A \cup B) = \operatorname{ext}(A) \cup \operatorname{ext}(B)$$
  
 $\operatorname{ext}(A \cap B) = \operatorname{ext}(A) \cap \operatorname{ext}(B)$ 

This makes the induction complete.

 $\supseteq$ : It is sufficient to show  $\lim(\mathcal{L}^*(\text{piece-wise testable})) \subseteq BC \operatorname{ext} \mathcal{L}^*(\text{piece-wise testable})$ .

$$\begin{split} \lim(\emptyset) &= \text{ext}(\emptyset), \ \lim(\Sigma^* a_1 \Sigma^* a_2 \cdots a_n \Sigma^*) = \text{ext}(\Sigma^* a_1 \Sigma^* a_2 \cdots a_n \Sigma^*) \ \ (\text{see above}) \\ \lim(\neg(\Sigma^* a_1 \Sigma^* a_2 \cdots a_n \Sigma^*)) &= \left\{\alpha \in \Sigma^\omega \mid \exists^\omega n \colon \alpha[0, n] \notin \Sigma^* a_1 \Sigma^* a_2 \cdots a_n \Sigma^*\right\} \\ &= \left\{\alpha \in \Sigma^\omega \mid \forall n \colon \alpha[0, n] \notin \Sigma^* a_1 \Sigma^* a_2 \cdots a_n \Sigma^*\right\} \\ &= \neg \exp(\Sigma^* a_1 \Sigma^* a_2 \cdots a_n \Sigma^*) \\ \lim(A \cup B) &= \left\{\alpha \in \Sigma^\omega \mid \exists^\omega n \colon \alpha[0, n] \in A \cup B\right\} = \lim(A) \cup \lim(B) \\ \lim(A \cap B) &= \left\{\alpha \in \Sigma^\omega \mid \exists^\omega n \colon \alpha[0, n] \in A \cap B\right\} \end{split}$$

and because A, B are piece-wise testable

$$= \left\{\alpha \in \Sigma^\omega \ \middle| \ \exists n : \forall m > n \colon \alpha[0,m] \in A \cap B \right\} = \lim(A) \cap \lim(B)$$

## 9.2 extension of $\mathcal{L}^*(FO[+1])$

#### Theorem 9.2.

$$\mathcal{L}^{\omega}(FO[+1]) = BC \operatorname{ext} \mathcal{L}^{*}(FO[+1])$$

*Proof.* From [Tho96, Theorem 4.8], we know that each formular in FO[+1] is equivalent (for both finite and infinite words) to a boolean combination of statements "sphere  $\sigma \in \Sigma^+$  occurs  $\geq n$  times". That statement can be expressed by a sentence of the form

$$\psi := \exists \overline{x_1} \cdots \exists \overline{x_n} \varphi(\overline{x_1}, \cdots, \overline{x_n})$$

where each  $\overline{x_i}$  is a  $|\sigma|$ -tuple of variables and the formula  $\varphi$  states:

$$\bigwedge_{\substack{i,j\in n,\\i\neq j,\\k,l\in [\sigma]}} x_{i,k} \neq x_{j,l} \ \wedge \bigwedge_{\substack{i\in n,\\k\in [\sigma]-1}} x_{i,k+1} = x_{i,k}+1 \ \wedge \bigwedge_{\substack{i\in n,\\k\in [\sigma]}} Q_{\sigma_k} x_{i,k}$$

For  $\psi$ , we have:

$$\alpha \models \psi \Leftrightarrow \exists n : \alpha[0, n] \models \psi \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Sigma^{\omega},$$

i.e.

$$L^{\omega}(\psi) = \operatorname{ext} L^{*}(\psi).$$

Any formular in FO[+1] can be expressed as a boolean combination of  $\psi$ -like formular. With

$$L^{\omega}(\neg \psi) = \neg L^{\omega}(\psi)$$
  

$$L^{\omega}(\psi_1 \wedge \psi_2) = L^{\omega}(\psi_1) \cap L^{\omega}(\psi_2)$$
  

$$L^{\omega}(\psi_1 \vee \psi_2) = L^{\omega}(\psi_1) \cup L^{\omega}(\psi_2)$$

we get:

$$\mathcal{L}^{\omega}(FO[+1]) = BC \operatorname{ext} \mathcal{L}^{*}(FO[+1]).$$

### 9.3 limit of $\mathcal{L}^*(FO[<])$

Theorem 9.3.

$$\mathcal{L}^{\omega}(FO[<]) = BC \lim \mathcal{L}^*(FO[<])$$

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi \in FO[<]$ . By the [Tho81, Normal Form Theorem (4.4)] there are bounded formulas  $\varphi_1(y), \dots, \varphi_r(y), \psi_1(y), \dots, \psi_r(y)$  such that for all  $\alpha \in \Sigma^{\omega}$ :

$$\alpha \models \varphi \Leftrightarrow \alpha \models \bigvee_{i=1}^{r} (\forall x \exists y > x \colon \varphi_i(y)) \land \neg (\forall x \exists y > x \colon \psi_i(y))$$

Thus:

$$\alpha \models \varphi \Leftrightarrow \bigvee_{i=1}^{r} \underbrace{(\alpha \models \forall x \exists y > x \colon \varphi_{i}(y))}_{\Leftrightarrow \forall x \exists y > x \colon \alpha[0, n] \models \varphi_{i}(\omega)} \land \neg (\alpha \models \forall x \exists y > x \colon \psi_{i}(y))$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists^{\omega} n \colon \alpha[0, n] \models \varphi_{i}(\omega)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \alpha \in \lim L^{*}(\varphi_{i}(\omega))$$

where  $\varphi_i(\omega)$  stands for  $\varphi_i$  with all bounds removed. I.e. we have

$$L^{\omega}(\varphi) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} \lim(L^{*}(\varphi_{i}(\omega)) \cap \neg \lim(L^{*}(\psi_{i}(\omega))),$$

and thus

$$L^{\omega}(\varphi) \in \mathrm{BC} \lim \mathcal{L}^*(\mathrm{FO}[<]).$$

We have prooved the  $\subseteq$ -direction. For  $\supseteq$ :

$$\alpha \in \lim(L^*(\varphi))$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists^{\omega} n \colon \alpha[0, n] \models \varphi$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \alpha \models \forall x \exists y > x \colon \varphi(y)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \alpha \in L^{\omega}(\forall \exists y > x \colon \varphi(y))$$

where  $\varphi(y)$  stands for  $\varphi$  with all variables bounded by y. I.e.

$$\lim \mathcal{L}^*(FO[<]) \subseteq \mathcal{L}^{\omega}(FO[<]),$$

and thus also

$$BC \lim \mathcal{L}^*(FO[<]) \subseteq \mathcal{L}^{\omega}(FO[<]).$$

Thus we have prooved the equality.

$$\mathbf{9.4} \quad \operatorname{BC} \operatorname{ext} \mathcal{L}^*(\operatorname{FO}[<]) \subsetneqq \operatorname{BC} \lim \mathcal{L}^*(\operatorname{FO}[<])$$

Theorem 9.4.

$$BC \operatorname{ext} \mathcal{L}^*(FO[<]) \subsetneq BC \lim \mathcal{L}^*(FO[<])$$

$$Proof. \subseteq L \subset \Sigma^{\omega} \text{ starfree } \Rightarrow L\Sigma^{\omega} \in \lim(\mathcal{L}^*(FO[<]))$$

Proof.  $\neq$ :

$$L := (\Sigma^* a)^{\omega}$$

$$\Rightarrow L = \lim((\Sigma^* a)^*)$$

$$\Rightarrow L = L^{\omega}(\exists^{\omega} x : Q_a x)$$

And we have  $L \notin BC \operatorname{ext} \mathcal{L}^*(FO[<])$ .

# Literatur

- [Tho81] Wolfgang Thomas. A combinatorial approach to the theory of omega-automata. Information and  $Control,\ 48(3):261-283,\ 1981.$
- [Tho96] Wolfgang Thomas. Languages, automata, and logic. In *Handbook of Formal Languages*, pages 389–455. Springer, 1996.