Hermitian Modular Forms for Fields of Low Discriminant

Diploma Thesis
in Mathematics

by
Albert Zeyer

submitted to the
Faculty of Mathematics, Computer Science and Natural Science of
RWTH Aachen University

October 2012
revised version from June 6, 2013

Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Aloys Krieg
Second examiner: Dr. Martin Raum

written at the
Lehrstuhl A für Mathematik
Prof. Dr. A. Krieg

Contents

Chapter 1

Introduction

We develop an algorithm to compute Fourier expansions of Hermitian modular forms of degree 2 over $\mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathcal{O})$ for $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-\Delta})$, $\Delta \in \{3, 4, 8\}$.

In [PY07], spaces of Siegel modular cusp forms are calculated.

A similar algorithm is also [Rau12, Algorithm 4.3] for Jacobi forms.

We are doing the same for Hermitian modular forms.

Along with the theoretical work, the algorithm has also been implemented. The implementation has been done with the Sage ([S$^+$13]) framework. It is implemented in C++ ([Str83]), Cython ([BBS$^+$13]) and Python ([vR13]). The code can be found on GitHub ([Zey13a]) and another backup might be on [Zey13b].

Chapter 2

Preliminaries

$\mathbb{N}$ denotes the set $\{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$, $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}$ are all **integers**. $\mathbb{Q}$ are all the **rational numbers**, $\mathbb{R}$ are the **real numbers** and $\mathbb{C}$ are the **complex numbers**. $\mathbb{R}^+ := \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x > 0\}$, $\mathbb{R}^\times$ and $\mathbb{C}^\times$ denotes all non-zero numbers.

Let $\mathrm{Mat}_n(R)$ be the set of all $n \times n$ **matrices** over some commutative ring R. Likewise, $\mathrm{Mat}_n^T(R)$ are the **symmetric** $n \times n$ matrices. X^T is the **transposed** matrix of $X \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(R)$. $\overline{Z}$ is the **conjugated** matrix of $Z \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C})$. For $R \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, $\overline{R} \subseteq R$, the set of **Hermitian matrices** in R is defined as

$$\mathrm{Her}_n(R) = \left\{ Z \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(R) \,\middle|\, \overline{Z}^T = Z \right\}.$$

Thus, for $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathrm{Her}_n(R)$, we have $a = \overline{a}$ and $d = \overline{d}$, i.e. $a, d \in R \cap \mathbb{R}$. We also have $c = \overline{b}$ and thus we introduce the shorter notation (**Gauß notation**) $[a, b, c] := \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ \overline{b} & c \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathrm{Her}_n(\mathbb{C})$ for $a, c \in \mathbb{R}$, $b \in \mathbb{C}$.

A matrix $Y \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is greater 0 if and only if $\forall x \in \mathbb{C}^n - \{0\} : Y[x] := \overline{x}^T Y x \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Such matrices are called the **positive definitive matrices**, defined by

$$\mathcal{P}_n(R) = \{X \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(R) \mid X > 0\}$$

for $R \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. Note that $\mathcal{P}_n(R) \subseteq \mathrm{Her}_n(R)$, i.e. all positive definite matrices are Hermitian. For a matrix over $\mathbb{R}$, it means that it is also symmetric.

For $A, X \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C})$, we define $A[X] := \overline{X}^T A X$. The **denominator** of a matrix $Z \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{Q})$ is the smallest number $x \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $xZ \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{Z})$. We also write $\mathrm{denom}(Z) = x$. $1_n \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ denotes the **identity matrix**.

The **general linear group** is defined by

$$\mathrm{GL}_n(R) = \{X \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(R) \mid \det(X) \text{ is a unit in } R\}$$

and the **special linear group** by

$$\mathrm{SL}_n(R) = \{X \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(R) \mid \det(X) = 1\}.$$

The **orthogonal group** is defined by

$$\mathrm{O}_n(R) = \left\{ X \in \mathrm{GL}_n(R) \,\middle|\, X^T 1_n X = 1_n \right\} \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n(R).$$

The **symplectic group** is defined by

$$\mathrm{Sp}_n(R) = \left\{ X \in \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(R) \,\middle|\, \overline{X}^T J_n X = J_n \right\} \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(R) \subseteq \mathrm{Mat}_{2n}(R)$$

where $J_n := \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -1_n \\ 1_n & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathrm{SL}_{2n}(R)$ (as in [Der01]). (Note that some authors (e.g. [PY07]) define J_n negatively.) $\mathrm{Sp}_n(R)$ is also called the **unitary group**. Note that [Der01] uses $\mathrm{U}_n(R) = \mathrm{Sp}_n(R)$. Also note that $M = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathrm{Sp}_1(\mathbb{Z}) \Leftrightarrow ad - bc = 1 \Leftrightarrow M \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Thus, $\mathrm{Sp}_1(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

In addition, for a ring $R \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, define

$$\mathrm{Rot}(U) := \begin{pmatrix} \overline{U}^T & \\ & U^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}_2(R), \qquad U \in \mathrm{GL}_2(R)$$

$$\mathrm{Trans}(H) := \begin{pmatrix} 1_2 & H \\ & 1_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}_2(R), \qquad H \in \mathrm{Her}_2(R)$$

and note that we have $J_2 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} & -1_2 \\ 1_2 & \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathrm{Sp}_2(R)$. Those tree types of matrices form a generator set for the group $\mathrm{Sp}_2(R)$.

For $Z \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C})$, we call

$$\Re(Z) := \frac{1}{2}\left(Z + \overline{Z}^T\right) \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C})$$

the **real** part and

$$\Im(Z) := \frac{1}{2i}\left(Z - \overline{Z}^T\right) \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C})$$

the **imaginary** part of Z and we have $Z = \Re(Z) + i\Im(Z)$. Note that we usually have $\Re(Z), \Im(Z) \notin \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{R})$ but we have $\Re(Z), \Im(Z) \in \mathrm{Her}_n(\mathbb{C})$.

We say that some function $f\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ with $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathrm{Mat}_n(R)$, $\mathcal{B} \subseteq R$ is k**-invariant** under some $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathrm{Mat}_n(R)$ where $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{X}] := \{A[X] \in \mathcal{A} \mid X \in \mathcal{X}\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ if and only if

$$\det(U)^k f(T[U]) = f(T) \text{ for all } T \in \mathcal{A}, U \in \mathcal{X}.$$

We write

$$\left(\mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{A}}\right)^{\mathcal{X}} := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{A}} \,\middle|\, f \text{ is } k\text{-invariant under } \mathcal{X} \right\}.$$

2.1 Elliptic modular forms

Elliptic modular forms are holomorphic functions over the set

$$\mathcal{H}_1 := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Im(z) > 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$$

which is called the **Poincaré upper half plane**.

Let f be a holomorphic function $\mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathbb{C}$. **Modular forms** are functions which are invariant with regard to a specific **translation**. In this case, the translation is given by some $M \in \mathrm{Sp}_1(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and a **weight** $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $M = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathrm{Sp}_1(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_1$. We write

$$M\tau := \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}.$$

Note that we have $\Im(M\tau) = \frac{\Im(\tau)}{(c\Re(\tau)+d)^2+(c\Im(\tau))^2} > 0$ and thus $M\tau \in \mathcal{H}_1$. We define the **translated function** $f|M\colon \mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathbb{C}$ as

$$(f|M)(\tau) := (c\tau + d)^{-k} \cdot f(M\tau).$$

Let Γ be a subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}_1(\mathbb{Z})$. We also call Γ the **translation group**.

An **Elliptic modular form** with weight $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ over Γ is a holomorphic function

$$f\colon \mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathbb{C}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} &(1)\ \ f|M = f \quad \forall\, M \in \Gamma, \\ &(2)\ \ f(\tau) = O(1) \quad \text{for } \tau \to i\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (1) yields the equation

$$f\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{b\tau + c}\right) = (c\tau + d)^k \cdot f(\tau) \quad \forall\, \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \Gamma, \tau \in \mathcal{H}_1.$$

$\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma)$ denotes the vector space of such Elliptic modular forms.

In this work, we use a specific subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}_1(\mathbb{Z})$. We define

$$\Gamma_0(l) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}_1(\mathbb{Z}) \,\middle|\, c \equiv 0 \pmod{l} \right\} \subseteq \mathrm{Sp}_1(\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq \mathrm{Mat}_2(\mathbb{Z})$$

as a subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}_1(\mathbb{Z})$.

An **Elliptic modular cusp form** is an Elliptic modular form $f\colon \mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathbb{C}$ with

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} f(it) = 0.$$

We can represent the cusps with $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{Q}$.

2.2 Siegel modular forms

Siegel modular forms are a generalization of Elliptic modular forms for higher dimensions. Let

$$\mathcal{H}_n := \left\{ Z \in \mathrm{Mat}_n^T(\mathbb{C}) \,\middle|\, \Im(Z) > 0 \right\}$$

be the **Siegel upper half space**. We call $\mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ the **Siegel modular group**. Siegel modular forms are holomorphic functions $\mathcal{H}_n \to \mathbb{C}$ for a given **degree** $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The **translation group** Γ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathbb{Z})$. For $M = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{smallmatrix} \right) \in \mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and $Z \in \mathcal{H}_n$, we write

$$M \cdot Z := (AZ + B) \cdot (CZ + D)^{-1}.$$

Again, we can confirm that $M \cdot Z \in \mathcal{H}_n$. Generalizing the Elliptic translation, the Siegel **translated function** $f|M \colon \mathcal{H}_n \to \mathbb{C}$ is defined as

$$(f|M)(Z) := \det(CZ + D)^{-k} \cdot f(M \cdot Z)$$

A **Siegel modular form** of degree $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with weight $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ over Γ is a holomorphic function

$$f \colon \mathcal{H}_n \to \mathbb{C}$$

with

(1) $f|M = f \quad \forall\, M \in \Gamma$,
(2) for $n = 1$: $f(Z) = O(1) \quad$ for $Z \to i\infty$

$\mathcal{M}_k^{\mathcal{H}_n}(\Gamma)$ denotes the vector space of such Siegel modular forms.

Note that Elliptic modular forms are Siegel modular forms of degree $n = 1$. Thus we have $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma) = \mathcal{M}_k^{\mathcal{H}_1}(\Gamma)$.

Siegel modular forms aren't directly used in this work. However, the idea of this work is inspired by [PY07] and they are using them.

2.3 Hermitian modular forms

Let

$$\mathbb{H}_n := \{ Z \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid \Im(Z) > 0 \}$$

be the **Hermitian upper half space**. Note that these matrices are not symmetric as the Siegel upper half space $\mathcal{H}_n$ but we have $\mathcal{H}_n \subseteq \mathbb{H}_n$ and $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathbb{H}_1 \subseteq \mathbb{C}$.

Hermitian modular forms are holomorphic functions $\mathbb{H}_n \to \mathbb{C}$. They are a generalization of Siegel modular forms where the **translation group** Γ is not a subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ but a subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathcal{O})$ for some $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$.

More specificially, let $\Delta \in \mathbb{N}$ so that we have the imaginary quadratic number field $\mathbb{K} := \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-\Delta})$ where $-\Delta$ is the fundamental discriminant. Then, let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-\Delta})$ be the maximum order. We call $\mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathcal{O})$ the **Hermitian modular group**. Let Γ be a subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathcal{O})$.

Again, with $M = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathcal{O})$, $Z \in \mathbb{H}_n$, $M \cdot Z := (AZ + B) \cdot (CZ + D)^{-1} \in \mathbb{H}_n$ as for Siegel modular forms and the **weight** $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define the **translated function** $f|M \colon \mathbb{H}_n \to \mathbb{C}$ as

$$(f|M)(Z) := \det(CZ + D)^{-k} \cdot f(M \cdot Z).$$

A **Hermitian modular form** of **degree** $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with **weight** $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ over Γ is a holomorphic function

$$f \colon \mathbb{H}_n \to \mathbb{C}$$

with

(1) $f|M = f \quad \forall\, M \in \Gamma, Z \in \mathbb{H}_n$,

(2) for $n = 1$: f is holomorphic in all cusps.

$\mathcal{M}_k^{\mathbb{H}_n}(\Gamma)$ denotes the vector space of such Hermitian modular forms.

As it can be done for Siegel modular forms, we generalize this further by introducing a **Multiplicative character** $\nu \colon \Gamma \to \mathbb{C}^\times$. Thus, for $M_1, M_2 \in \Gamma$, we have $\nu(M_1) \cdot \nu(M_2) = \nu(M_1 \cdot M_2)$.

A **Hermitian modular form** over Γ and ν is a holomorphic function

$$f \colon \mathbb{H}_n \to \mathbb{C}$$

with

(1) $f|M = \nu(M) \cdot f \quad \forall\, M \in \Gamma, Z \in \mathbb{H}_n$,

(2) for $n = 1$: f is holomorphic in all cusps.

$\mathcal{M}_k^{\mathbb{H}_n}(\Gamma, \nu)$ denotes the vector space of such Hermitian modular forms.

For $f \in \mathcal{M}_k^{\mathbb{H}_n}(\Gamma, \nu)$, define the **Siegel Φ-operator** as

$$(f|\Phi)(Z') := \lim_{t\to\infty} f\begin{pmatrix} Z' & 0 \\ 0 & it \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z' \in \mathbb{H}_{n-1}.$$

Then (see [Der01]), $f|\Phi\colon \mathbb{H}_{n-1} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a well-defined Hermitian modular form of degree $n-1$.

A Hermitian modular form $f \in \mathcal{M}_k^{\mathbb{H}_n}(\Gamma, \nu)$ is a **Hermitian modular cusp form**, if and only if for all $R \in \mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathbb{K})$, it holds

$$(f|R)|\Phi \equiv 0.$$

In this work, we will always use Hermitian modular forms of degree $n = 2$.

2.3.1 Properties

Because $-\Delta$ is fundamental, we have two possible cases:

1. $\Delta \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ and Δ is square-free, or
2. $\Delta \equiv 0 \pmod 4$, $\Delta/4 \equiv 1, 2 \pmod 4$ and $\Delta/4$ is square-free.

And for the **maximal order** $\mathcal{O}$, we have (compare [Der01])

$$\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\frac{-\Delta + i\sqrt{\Delta}}{2},$$
$$\mathcal{O}^{\#} = \mathbb{Z}\frac{i}{\sqrt{\Delta}} + \mathbb{Z}\frac{1 + i\sqrt{\Delta}}{2}.$$

From now on, we will always work with Hermitian modular forms of degree $n = 2$. We also use $\Gamma = \mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathcal{O})$ for simplicity.

Chapter 3

Theory

In this section, we will develop the theoretical foundation for the tools to calculate the space of Fourier expansions of some precision of Hermitian modular forms $\mathcal{FE}(\mathcal{M}_n^{\mathbb{H}_2}(\Gamma))$.

We know that there is a basis of Fourier expansions such that all Fourier coefficients are over $\mathbb{Q}$.

We start with the space of all possible Fourier expansions, i.e. with the space $\mathcal{M}_0 := \mathbb{Q}^{\mathcal{I}}$ for some index set $\mathcal{I}$. The tools in this section are all some specific conditions which lead to some vectorspace $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} \subset \mathbb{Q}^{\mathcal{I}}$ which are always superspaces of $\mathcal{FE}_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{M}_n^{\mathbb{H}_2}(\Gamma)) \subset \mathbb{Q}^{\mathcal{I}}$. Thus, when intersecting such space, we iteratively get new subspaces

$$\mathcal{M}_{i+1} := \mathcal{M}_i \cup \tilde{\mathcal{M}}.$$

With other methods, we know the dimension of $\mathcal{FE}(\mathcal{M}_n^{\mathbb{H}_2}(\Gamma))$. Thus we can easily determine whether $\mathcal{M}_i = \mathcal{FE}_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{M}_n^{\mathbb{H}_2}(\Gamma))$, i.e. whether we are finished and can terminate the algorithm.

It is not proven that this series of spaces eventually gets to $\mathcal{FE}_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{M}_n^{\mathbb{H}_2}(\Gamma))$ but from other research, this seems likely.

3.1 Reduction to Elliptic modular forms

We develop the first method to calculate a vectorspace $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} \subset \mathcal{FE}(\mathcal{M}_n^{\mathbb{H}_2}(\Gamma))$. This methods works by reducing Hermitian modular forms to Elliptic modular forms. Methods to calculate the vectorspace of Elliptic modular forms are well known.

We start by describing the reduction.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f\colon \mathbb{H}_2 \to \mathbb{C}$ be a Hermitian modular form of weight k with $\nu \equiv 1$. Let $S \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathcal{O})$. Then, $\tau \mapsto f(S\tau)\colon \mathbb{H}_1 \subseteq \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is an Elliptic modular form of weight $2k$ to $\Gamma_0(l)$, where l is the denominator of S^{-1}.*

We write

$$f[S]\colon \mathbb{H}_1 \to \mathbb{C}, \quad \tau \mapsto f(S\tau).$$

Proof. Define $\Gamma^H := \mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathcal{O})$ as the translation group for f. Let $\tau \in \mathbb{H}_1$. With $S = [s, t, u] \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{C})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Im(S\tau) &= \frac{1}{2i}\left(S\tau - \overline{S}^T\overline{\tau}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2i}S(\tau - \overline{\tau}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2i}S \cdot 2i\Im(\tau) \\
&= S\Im(\tau) > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

thus $S\tau \in \mathbb{H}_2$. Thus, $\tau \mapsto f(S\tau)$ is a function $\mathbb{H}_1 \to \mathbb{C}$.

Let $l := \det(S)$. That is the denominator of S^{-1}. Let $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \Gamma_0(l) \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& S\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \\
=\ & (a(S\tau) + bS) \cdot ((cS^{-1})(S\tau) + d)^{-1} \\
=\ & \begin{pmatrix} a1_2 & bS \\ cS^{-1} & d1_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot S\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Define

$$M := \begin{pmatrix} a1_2 & bS \\ cS^{-1} & d1_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Mat}_4(\mathbb{C}).$$

With $l|c$, we also have $cS^{-1} = \frac{c}{l}[u, -t, s] \in \mathrm{Mat}_2(\mathcal{O})$, thus we have $M \in \mathrm{Mat}_4(\mathcal{O})$. Recall that we have $S = \overline{S}^T$ and $ad - bc = 1$. Verify that we have $M \in \mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathcal{O}) = \Gamma^H$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \overline{M}^T J_2 M \\
=\ & \overline{\begin{pmatrix} a1_2 & bS \\ cS^{-1} & d1_2 \end{pmatrix}}^T J_2 \begin{pmatrix} a1_2 & bS \\ cS^{-1} & d1_2 \end{pmatrix} \\
=\ & \begin{pmatrix} (-acS^{-1} + ac\overline{S^{-1}}^T) & (-ad1_2 + cb\overline{S^{-1}}^T S) \\ (-bc\overline{S}^T S^{-1} + ad1_2) & (-bd\overline{S}^T + bdS) \end{pmatrix} \\
=\ & J_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, because f is a Hermitian modular form, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& f[S]\left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)\tau\right) \\
= \quad & f\left(S\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}\right) \\
= \quad & f\left(M \cdot S\tau\right) \\
= \quad & \nu(M) \cdot \det(cS^{-1}S\tau + d1_2)^k \cdot f(S\tau) \\
= \quad & (c\tau+d)^{2k} \cdot f[S](\tau).
\end{aligned}$$

This is the same as

$$(f[S])|_{2k}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) = f[S].$$

It follows that $f[S]$ is an Elliptic modular form of weight $2k$ to $\Gamma_0(l)$. $\square$

Remark 3.2. Let us analyze the case $\nu \not\equiv 1$. According to [Der01], only for $\Delta \equiv 0 \pmod 4$, there is a single non-trivial Abel character ν. This ν has the following properties (see [Der01]):

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu(J_2) &= 1, \\
\nu(\mathrm{Trans}(H)) &= (-1)^{h_1+h_4+|h_2|^2}, & H &= [h_1, h_2, h_4] \in \mathrm{Her}_2(\mathcal{O}) \\
\nu(\mathrm{Rot}(U)) &= (-1)^{|1+u_1+u_4|^2|1+u_2+u_3|^2+|u_1u_4|^2} & U &= \left(\begin{smallmatrix} u_1 & u_2 \\ u_3 & u_4 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})
\end{aligned}$$

Consider the proof of the previous lemma. To calculate $\nu(M)$ with the given equations, we need to represent M in the generating system J_2, $\mathrm{Trans}(H)$ and $\mathrm{Rot}(U)$.

We must consider two different cases. Recall that we have $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, i.e. $ad - bc = 1$, $S = [s, t, u] \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathcal{O})$ and

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a1_2 & bS \\ cS^{-1} & d1_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathcal{O}).$$

Case 1: $c = 0$. Then we have $ad = 1$. Define $T := \frac{b}{d}S$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Trans}\left(\frac{b}{d}S\right)\mathrm{Rot}\left(\frac{1}{d}1_2\right) \\
= & \begin{pmatrix} 1_2 & \frac{b}{d}S \\ & 1_2 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{d}1_2 & \\ & d1_2 \end{pmatrix} \\
= & \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{d}1_2 & bS \\ & d1_2 \end{pmatrix} \\
= & M.
\end{aligned}
$$

And we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu\left(\mathrm{Trans}\left(\frac{b}{d}S\right)\right) &= (-1)^{\frac{b}{d}s+\frac{b}{d}u+\left|\frac{b}{d}t\right|^2}, \\
\nu\left(\mathrm{Rot}\left(\frac{1}{d}1_2\right)\right) &= (-1)^{\left|1+\frac{2}{d}\right|^2+\left|\frac{1}{d^2}\right|^2} = 1.
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 2: $c \neq 0$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Trans}\left(\frac{a}{c}S\right)\mathrm{Rot}\left(-\frac{1}{c}S\right)(-J_2)\,\mathrm{Trans}\left(-\frac{d}{c}S\right)^{-1} \\
= & \begin{pmatrix} 1_2 & \frac{a}{c}S \\ & 1_2 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{c}\overline{S}^T & \\ & -cS^{-1} \end{pmatrix}(-J_2)\begin{pmatrix} 1_2 & -\frac{d}{c}S \\ & 1_2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \\
= & \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{c}\overline{S}^T & -a1_2 \\ & -cS^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} & 1_2 \\ -1_2 & \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1_2 & \frac{d}{c}S \\ & 1_2 \end{pmatrix} \\
= & \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{c}\overline{S}^T & a1_2 \\ & -cS^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} & 1_2 \\ -1_2 & -\frac{d}{c}S \end{pmatrix} \\
= & \begin{pmatrix} a1_2 & -\frac{1}{c}\overline{S}^T + \frac{ad}{c}S \\ cS^{-1} & d1_2 \end{pmatrix} \\
= & M.
\end{aligned}
$$

And we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu\left(\text{Trans}\left(\frac{a}{c}S\right)\right) &= (-1)^{\frac{a}{c}s+\frac{a}{c}u+\left|\frac{a}{c}t\right|^2},\\
\nu\left(\text{Rot}\left(-\frac{1}{c}S\right)\right) &= (-1)^{\left|1-\frac{1}{c}s-\frac{1}{c}u\right|^2\left|1-\frac{2}{c}\Re(t)\right|^2+\left|\frac{su}{c^2}\right|^2},\\
\nu\left(-J_2\right) &= -1,\\
\nu\left(\text{Trans}\left(-\frac{d}{c}S\right)\right)^{-1} &= (-1)^{-\frac{d}{c}s-\frac{d}{c}u+\left|\frac{d}{c}t\right|^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

As a conclusion for now, it looks complicated to restrict $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, i.e. the translation group Γ^E for the Elliptic modular forms, to satisfy $\nu(M) = 1$. For example, for the case $c = 0$, one fulfilling condition would be $2|b$.

To avoid such complications, we will use $\nu \equiv 1$ for the rest of our work. □

Preliminaries 3.3. We want to calculate a generating set for the Fourier expansions of Hermitian modular forms.

We define the index set

$$\Lambda := \left\{ 0 \leq \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \bar{b} & c \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Mat}_2(\mathcal{O}^{\#}) \,\middle|\, a, c \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

as the index for the Fourier coefficients of the Fourier expansions of our Hermitian modular forms.

For a holomorphic function $f\colon \mathbb{H}_2 \to \mathbb{C}$, we write its Fourier expansion as

$$f(Z) = \sum_{T\in\Lambda} a(T) \cdot e^{2\pi i\cdot \text{tr}(TZ)}$$

with its Fourier coefficients $a\colon \Lambda \to \mathbb{Q}$.

Note that some authors use $e^{\pi i}$ as the coefficient bases and define the index set Λ in such a way that $\text{tr}(TS) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for $T \in \Lambda$ and $S \in \text{Her}_2(\mathcal{O})$. In that case, T is called "even" and one would only allow even matrices in Λ. We don't do that and we keep the factor 2 in the coefficient base, i.e. we use $e^{2\pi i}$.

Remark 3.4. For any $S \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathcal{O})$, for the restricted function $f[S]\colon \mathbb{H}_1 \to \mathbb{C}$, this gives us

$$f[S](\tau) = \sum_{T \in \Lambda} a(T) \cdot e^{2\pi i \cdot \text{tr}(TS\tau)} = \sum_{T \in \Lambda} a(T) \cdot e^{2\pi i \cdot 2\text{tr}(TS)\tau}.$$

We use $a[S]\colon \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{Q}$ for the Fourier coefficients of $f[S]$, i.e. we have

$$f[S](\tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} a[S](n) \cdot e^{2\pi i n \tau}.$$

This gives us

$$a[S](n) = \sum_{T \in \Lambda, \text{tr}(ST) = \frac{n}{2}} a(T).$$

For the implementation of the algorithm, we need to define a finite precision of the index set of the Fourier coefficients of the Hermitian modular forms. Fix $B := B_{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathbb{N}$ as a limit. Define the precision of the Fourier coefficient index

$$\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}_B := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \bar{b} & c \end{pmatrix} \in \Lambda \,\middle|\, 0 \le a, c < B_{\mathcal{F}} \right\} \subseteq \Lambda.$$

The main algorithm is going to be described in Algorithm 3.10. It will start with the vectorspace of all possible Fourier expansions for the precision index set $\mathcal{F}$ and reduce that vectorspace.

Lemma 3.5. *Given a Hermitian modular form f and its Fourier expansion coefficients $a\colon \mathcal{F}_B \to \mathbb{Q}$ of the precision index set $\mathcal{F}_B$ and a matrix $S = [s,t,u] \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathcal{O})$, the precision of the Fourier expansion of the Elliptic modular form $f[S]$ is given by*

$$\mathcal{F}(S) = B \cdot (s + u - 2\,|t|),$$

i.e. we can calculate the Fourier expansion coefficients (as described in remark 3.4)

$$a[S]\colon \{k \in N_0 \mid k < \mathcal{F}(S)\} \to \mathbb{Q}.$$

Proof. For a given $S \in \mathcal{S}$ and limit $B \in \mathbb{N}$ which restricts $\mathcal{F} \subset \Lambda$, $\mathcal{F}(S) \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is the limit such that for any $T \in \Lambda - \mathcal{F}$, $\text{tr}(ST) \ge \mathcal{F}(S)$. Thus, for calculating the Fourier coefficients $T \in \Lambda$ with $\text{tr}(ST) \in \{0, \ldots, \mathcal{F}(S) - 1\}$, it is sufficient to enumerate the $T \in \mathcal{F}$.

Let $S = [s,t,u]$ and $T = [a,b,c]$. Recall that $S \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathcal{O})$. Then we have

$$\text{tr}(ST) = as + \bar{t}b + t\bar{b} + cu = as + cu + 2\Re(\bar{t}b).$$

Because $T \geq 0$, we have $ac \geq |b|^2$ and thus

$$|b| \leq \sqrt{ac} \leq \max(a, c).$$

Thus,

$$2\Re(\bar{t}b) \geq -2\,|t|\,|b| \geq -2\,|t| \max(a, c).$$

We also have $as + cu \geq \max(a, c)(s + u)$. Assuming $T \in \Lambda - \mathcal{F}$, we have $\max(a, c) \geq B$. For such T, we get

$$\text{tr}(ST) \geq B \cdot (s + u - 2\,|t|).$$

Given $S > 0$, we have $su > |t|^2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & s + u - 2\,|t| > 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad & su + u^2 - 2\,|t|\,u > 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad & \left(|t|^2 + u^2 - 2\,|t|\,u\right) + (su - |t|^2) > 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad & (|t| - u)^2 + (su - |t|^2) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $B > 0$, we have

$$B \cdot (s + u - 2\,|t|) > 0.$$

All inequalities were sharp estimations[1], thus we get

$$\mathcal{F}(S) = B \cdot (s + u - 2\,|t|).$$

□

Remark 3.6. Let $\mathcal{M}_i$ be a sub vector space of Hermitian modular form Fourier expansions $a\colon \mathcal{F} \to \mathbb{Q}$, i.e. $\mathcal{M}_i \subset \mathcal{FE}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{M}_k^{\mathbb{H}_2}(\Gamma))$. Remark 3.4 and lemma 3.5 gives us the tools to reduce $\mathcal{M}_i$ to a sub vector space $\mathcal{M}_{i+1} \subset \mathcal{M}_i$.

For a given $S \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathcal{O})$, when calculating the restrictions $a \mapsto a[S]$ for all $a \in \mathcal{M}_i$, we must only get Fourier expansions of Elliptic modular forms. In remark 3.8, we will see how to calculate the restricted Elliptic modular form Fourier expansions $a[S]$. And we can independently calculate the space of Elliptic modular form Fourier expansions and thus calculate the new space.

[1] For example, let $S = [2, -1, 1]$. Then you have $s + u - 2\,|t| = 1$. With $c = B$ and $a = b = 1$, you hit the limit $\text{tr}(ST) = 2 + B - 2 = B = \mathcal{F}(S)$.

Thus,

$$\mathcal{M}_{i+1} := \left\{a \in \mathcal{M}_i \,\middle|\, a[S] \in \mathcal{FE}_{\mathcal{F}(S)}(\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(l_S)))\right\} \cup \{a \in \mathcal{M}_i \mid a[S] \equiv 0\}\,.$$

Remark 3.7. In the algorithm, we want to work with Fourier expansions in $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathcal{F}}$. A canonical basis is the set $\mathcal{F}$. We analyze how practical this is in a Computer implementation.

With $[a,b,c] \in \mathcal{F}$, we have $0 \le a,c < B$, thus there are only a finite number of possible $(a,c) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$. Because $0 \le [a,b,c]$, we get $ac - |b|^2 \ge 0$ and thus b is also always limited. Thus, $\mathcal{F}$ is finite but it might be huge for even small B. For example[2],

for $D = -3, B = 10,$ we have $\#\mathcal{F} = 21892.$

for $D = -3, B = 20,$ we have $\#\mathcal{F} = 413702.$

Because we want $a \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathcal{F}}$ to be a Fourier expansions of Hermitian modular forms, we can assume that a is invariant under $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$. This means that we have

$$\det(U)^k a(T[U]) = a(T) \quad \forall\, U \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}),$$

where k is the weight of the Hermitian modular forms. This is the set $\left(\mathbb{Q}^{\mathcal{F}}\right)^{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})}$, i.e. all the Fourier expansions which satisfy this invariation. In our algorithm, we can work with that set instead if we want to calculate Hermitian modular forms.

Let us develop a basis of $\left(\mathbb{Q}^{\mathcal{F}}\right)^{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})}$: For $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{F}$, define the equivalence relation

$$T_1 \sim_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})} T_2 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists\, U \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})\colon\; T_1[U] = T_2.$$

Thus, we can identify a basis of $\left(\mathbb{Q}^{\mathcal{F}}\right)^{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})}$ by $\mathcal{F}/\!\sim_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})}$. We use the same invariation notation as for $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathcal{F}}$ and write

$$\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})} := \mathcal{F}/\!\sim_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})}.$$

We identify the elements in $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})}$ by reduced matrices[3] in $\mathcal{F}$. Then, we have $(\mathcal{F})^{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$.

[2] This example was calculated with the code at [Zey13a].

[3] This is in some sense of "reduced". Details can be seen in section 4.1 and in the source code at [Zey13a]. There is an algorithm which, for a given matrix $T \in \mathcal{F}$, calculates a reduced matrix $\tilde{T}$ and a determinant character det such that $\tilde{T}[U] = T$ for some $U \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$ with $\det(U) = e^{2\pi i \cdot det/\#(\mathcal{O}^\times)}$.

Restricting the elements in $\mathcal{F}$ by the $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$-invariation makes the set $(\mathcal{F})^{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ much smaller and better to handle in Computer calculations. For example,

$$\text{for } D = -3, B = 10, \quad \text{we have } \#\left(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})}\right) = 420,$$
$$\text{for } D = -3, B = 20, \quad \text{we have } \#\left(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})}\right) = 4840.$$

This makes the set $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})}$, to identify a basis of the finite dimension vector space $\left(\mathbb{Q}^{\mathcal{F}}\right)^{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})}$, much more practical to be used in a Computer implementation. □

Remark 3.8. From remark 3.4 and lemma 3.5, we have

$$a[S](i) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{F}, \mathrm{tr}(ST) = \frac{i}{2}} a(T)$$

for $i \in \mathbb{N}_0, i < \mathcal{F}(S)$.

Set $N := \#\left(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})}\right)$ and let

$$\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})} = \{T_1, \ldots, T_N\}$$

where T_j are the reduced matrices in $\mathcal{F}$.

We have

$$\det(U)^k a(T_j[U]) = a(T_j)$$

for all $j \leq N$, $U \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$, where k is the weight of the Hermitian modular form. For any $T \in \mathcal{F}$, we can (see also remark 3.7 and section 4.1) uniquely find $j_T \leq N$ and $U_T \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$ such that

$$T_{j_T}[U_T] = T.$$

Then we have

$$a(T) = a(T_{j_T}[U]) = \det(U_T)^{-k} a(T_{j_T}).$$

Thus,

$$a[S](i) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{F}, \mathrm{tr}(ST) = \frac{i}{2}} \det(U_T)^{-k} a(T_{j_T}).$$

This gives us the formula to calculate the Fourier expansion $a[S] \colon \{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid i < \mathcal{F}(S)\} \to \mathbb{Q}$.

This is a linear map $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})}} \to \mathbb{Q}^{\{i\in\mathbb{N}_0 \mid i<\mathcal{F}(S)\}}$ and the matrix $M \in \mathrm{Mat}_{\mathcal{F}(S)\times N}(\mathbb{Q})$ of this map is given by the formula above. When we identify

$$\begin{aligned} a &= (a(T_1), \ldots, a(T_N)), \\ a[S] &= (a[S](0), \ldots, a[S](\mathcal{F}(S)-1)), \end{aligned}$$

then the i-th row and the j-th column is given by

$$M_{i,j} = \sum_{T\in\mathcal{F}, 2\mathrm{tr}(ST)=i, j_T=j} \det(U_T)^{-k}$$

and we have

$$M \cdot a = a[S].$$

The implementation of the calculation of M and its details are described in section 4.3. □

3.2 Elliptic modular cusp forms

Restricting the space of Hermitian modular form Fourier expansion space via remark 3.6 is probably not enough.

Another method is to use cusps...

We get relations between different reductions.

Let $c \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ be a representation of a cusp in $\Gamma_0(l)$. For our method, we are only interested in $c \neq \infty$. We choose a matrix $M \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $M\infty = c$. M is also called a cusp matrix.

Now we look at $f[S]|M$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & f[S]|M \\ = \;& f((aS\tau + bS)(cS^{-1}S\tau + d)) \\ = \;& (f|\tilde{M})[S], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{M} = \begin{pmatrix} a & bS \\ cS^{-1} & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can find

$$\gamma \in \mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathcal{O}), \quad R = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{S} & \tilde{T} \\ 0_2 & \overline{\tilde{S}}^T \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbb{K})$$

such that $\tilde{M} = \gamma R$. We describe the details in lemma 3.9. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (f[S]|M)(\tau) \\ = \quad & (f|R)[S](\tau) \\ = \quad & f(\tilde{S}S\overline{\tilde{S}}^T \tau + \tilde{S}\tilde{T}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, a cusp c and $S \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathcal{O})$ gives us a linear map $f \mapsto f[S]|M_c$ which we can calculate as described. And given Hermitian modular forms, we must only get Elliptic modular cusp forms.

Via other methods, we can more directly calculate the vectorspace of Elliptic modular cusp forms in M_c.

By comparing this, we have another method to reduce $\mathcal{M}_i$.

Lemma 3.9.

3.3 Algorithm

Algorithm 3.10. We have the Hermitian modular form degree $n = 2$ fixed, as well as some Δ (for now, $\Delta \in \{3, 4, 8\}$). Then we select some form weight $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ($k \in \{1, \ldots, 20\}$ or so), let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-\Delta})$ be the maximal order (see chapter 2.3.1) and some subgroup Γ of $\mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathcal{O})$. Then we select an abel character $\nu\colon \Gamma \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ of $\mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathcal{O})$ (we just use $\nu \equiv 1$, see remark 3.2).

1. Enumerate matrices $S \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathcal{O})$ and for each matrix perform the following steps.

2. We set

   $$\mathcal{M}^H_{k,\mathcal{S},\mathcal{F}} := \left\{ (a[S])_{S\in\mathcal{S}} \,\middle|\, a \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathcal{F}} \text{ is } \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}) \text{ invariant} \right\} \subseteq \bigoplus_{S\in\mathcal{S}} \mathbb{Q}^{\mathcal{F}(S)}.$$

   The elements $a \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathcal{F}}$ are Fourier expansions of Elliptic modular forms ($\mathbb{H}_1 \to \mathbb{C}$) and $a(T) \in \mathbb{Q}$ for $T \in \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathrm{Mat}_2(\mathcal{O}^\#)$ are the Fourier coefficients.

   We identify

   $$\bigoplus_{S\in\mathcal{S}} \mathbb{Q}^{\mathcal{F}(S)} = \mathbb{Q}^N, \quad N = \sum_{S\in\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{F}(S).$$

   See lemma 3.5.

We want to calculate the matrix of the linear function

$$\left(\mathbb{Q}^{\mathcal{F}}\right)^{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})} \to \bigoplus_{S\in\mathcal{S}} \mathbb{Q}^{\mathcal{F}(S)}, \;\; a \mapsto (a[S])_{S\in\mathcal{S}}.$$

The base of the destination room is canonical. The dimension is N. The base of the source room can be identified by $(\mathcal{F})^{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})}$.

And we set

$$\mathcal{M}_{k,\mathcal{S},\mathcal{F}} := \bigoplus_{S\in\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{F}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}(S)}(\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(l_S)))$$

where $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(l_S))$ is the vectorspace of Elliptic modular forms over $\Gamma_0(l_S)$.

3. If

$$\dim \mathcal{M}^H_{k,\mathcal{S},\mathcal{F}} \cap \mathcal{M}_{k,\mathcal{S},\mathcal{F}} = \dim \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{H}_2}_k(\Gamma,\nu),$$

then we are ready and we can reconstruct the Fourier expansion in the following way:

...

If not, then return to step 1, and enlarge $\mathcal{S}$.

Chapter 4

Implementation

In this chapter, we are describing the implementation.

4.1 $reduceGL$

4.2 $divmod$ and $xgcd$

4.3 Calculating the matrix of the map $a \to a[S]$

Chapter 5

Conclusion

Blub

Chapter 6

References

[BBS+13] R. Bradshaw, S. Behnel, D. S. Seljebotn, G. Ewing, et al. *The Cython compiler*, 2013. `http://cython.org`.

[Der01] T. Dern. *Hermitesche Modulformen zweiten Grades*. Mainz, 2001.

[PY07] C. Poor and D.S. Yuen. Computations of spaces of siegel modular cusp forms. *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, 59(1):185–222, 2007.

[Rau12] M. Raum. Computing Jacobi Forms and Linear Equivalences of Special Divisors. *ArXiv e-prints*, December 2012.

[S+13] W. A. Stein et al. *Sage Mathematics Software (Version 5.9)*. The Sage Development Team, 2013. `http://www.sagemath.org`.

[Str83] B. Stroustrup. *The C++ programming language*, 1983.

[vR13] G. van Rossum. *The Python programming language*, 2013. `http://www.python.org`.

[Zey13a] A. Zeyer. *Code repository for this work on GitHub*, 2013. `https://github.com/albertz/diplom-thesis-math/`.

[Zey13b] A. Zeyer. *My homepage*, 2013. `http://www.az2000.de`.