Computational finance, take-home exam 1: theoretical part

Karl Albin Henriksson

October 2022

Exercise 1

a)

Applying Itô's lemma on S_t gives

$$dS_t = S_t(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})dt + \sigma S_t dW_t + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} S_t dt = S_t(\mu dt + \sigma dW_t).$$

b)

a)

The payoff at maturity of a portfolio containing a call and put option is clearly

$$max(S_t - K, 0) + max(K - S_t, 0) = \begin{cases} S_t - K & \text{if } S_t > K \\ K - S_t & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = |S_t - K|.$$

Thus the present value is the same since the price of a given payoff is unique in accordance with no arbitrage.

b)

With a similar argument as before, we just need to prove that the payoff at maturity is the same.

$$S_t - max(K - S_t) = \begin{cases} S_t & \text{if } S_t < K \\ K & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = min(S_t, K).$$

Discounting the LHS to present value, We get S_0 minus the price of the put option.

Exercise 2

a)

The SDE can equivalently be written as

$$\kappa X_t dt + dX_t = e^{-\kappa t} d(e^{\kappa t} X_t) = \kappa \theta dt + \lambda W_t.$$

Now multiplying by $e^{\kappa t}$ and integrating yields

$$e^{\kappa t}X_t = x_0 + \int_0^t \kappa \theta e^{\kappa s} ds + \int_0^t \lambda e^{\kappa t} dW_s \implies X_t = x_0 e^{-\kappa t} + \theta (1 - e^{-\kappa t}) + \int_0^t \lambda e^{\kappa (s - t)} dW_s.$$

b)

$$\mathbb{E}[x_0 e^{-\kappa t} + \int_0^t \kappa \theta e^{\kappa(s-t)} ds + \int_0^t \lambda e^{\kappa(s-t)} dW_s] = x_0 e^{-\kappa t} + \int_0^t \kappa \theta e^{\kappa(s-t)} ds$$

since any deterministic function integrated w.r.t dW_t has expected value 0. Clearly the first term of the RHS disappears as $t \to \infty$. We have

$$\int_0^t \kappa \theta e^{\kappa(s-t)} ds = \left[\frac{\kappa}{\kappa} \theta e^{\kappa(s-t)}\right]_0^t = \theta e^{\kappa(t-t)} - \theta e^{\kappa(0-t)} = \theta (1 - e^{-\kappa t}).$$

Now if we let $t \to \infty$, all that remains is θ .

 $\mathbf{c})$

First part

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}[X_t^2] &= \mathbb{E}[(x_0 e^{-\kappa t} + \int_0^t \kappa \theta e^{\kappa(s-t)} ds + \int_0^t \lambda e^{\kappa(s-t)} dW_s)^2] = \\ &(x_0 e^{-\kappa t} + \int_0^t \kappa \theta e^{\kappa(s-t)} ds)^2 + 2(x_0 e^{-\kappa t} + \int_0^t \kappa \theta e^{\kappa(s-t)} ds) \, \mathbb{E}[\int_0^t \lambda e^{\kappa(s-t)} dW_s] + \mathbb{E}[(\int_0^t \lambda e^{\kappa(s-t)} dW_s)^2] = \\ &(x_0 e^{-\kappa t} + \int_0^t \kappa \theta e^{\kappa(s-t)} ds)^2 + \int_0^t (\lambda^2 e^{2\kappa(s-t)} ds = (x_0 e^{-\kappa t} + \theta (1 - e^{-\kappa t}))^2 + \lambda^2 \frac{1 - e^{-2\kappa t}}{2\kappa} = \\ &= x_0^2 e^{-2\kappa t} + 2x_0^{-\kappa t} - 2x_0^{-2\kappa t} + \theta^2 - 2\theta^2 e^{-\kappa t} + \theta^2 e^{-2\kappa t} + \frac{\lambda^2}{2\kappa} - \frac{\lambda^2}{2\kappa} e^{-\kappa t} \end{split}$$

Second part

We have

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = -a'(T-t) - b'(T-t)x - c'(T-t)x^2 \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = b(T-t) + 2c(T-t)x \\ \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} = 2c(T-t) \end{cases}$$

Substitute in the PDE:

$$-a'(T-t) - b'(T-t)x - c'(T-t)x^2 + \kappa(\theta - x)(b(T-t) + 2c(T-t)x) + \frac{\lambda^2}{2}2c(T-t) = 0.$$

Now this needs to be 0 in all powers of x, thus we have the system

$$\begin{cases} -a'(T-t) + \kappa\theta b(T-t) + \frac{\lambda^2}{2}2c(T-t) = 0\\ -b'(T-t) - \kappa b(T-t) + 2\kappa\theta c(T-t) = 0\\ -c'(T-t) - 2\kappa c(T-t) = 0 \end{cases}.$$

Thus from the last equation we find that $c(T-t) = C \cdot e^{-2\kappa(T-t)}$ where C=1 due to the initial condition. To find b in the second equation, we make the ansatz

$$b = xe^{-\kappa(T-t)} + ye^{-2\kappa(T-t)}$$

for some real numbers x,y. Substituting in, we obtain

$$-(-\kappa x e^{-\kappa(T-t)} - 2\kappa y e^{-2\kappa(T-t)}) - \kappa (x e^{-\kappa(T-t)} + y e^{-2\kappa(T-t)}) + 2\kappa \theta e^{-2\kappa(T-t)} = 0$$

From this equation, we see that $y=-2\theta$, and from the initial condition, we must therefore have $x=2\theta$ and thus

$$b(T-t) = 2\theta(e^{-\kappa(T-t)} - e^{-2\kappa(T-t)})$$

To find a, we make a similar ansatz:

$$a = ze^{-\kappa(T-t)} + we^{-2\kappa(T-t)} + v.$$

Substituting in the first equation:

$$-(-\kappa z e^{-\kappa(T-t)} - 2\kappa w e^{-2\kappa(T-t)}) + \kappa \theta (2\theta (e^{-\kappa(T-t)} - e^{-2\kappa(T-t)})) + \lambda^2 e^{-2\kappa(T-t)} = 0.$$

We immediately see that $z=-2\theta^2$. A bit of algebra also gives us $w=-\frac{\lambda^2}{2\kappa}+\theta^2$. In order for the initial condition to hold, we also require $v=-(z+w)=\theta^2+\frac{\lambda^2}{2\kappa}$. Thus,

$$a(T-t) = -2\theta^{2}e^{-\kappa(T-t)} + (-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2\kappa} + \theta^{2})e^{-2\kappa(T-t)} + \theta^{2} + \frac{\lambda^{2}}{2\kappa}.$$

Now that we have a,b and c. We can easily calculate $\mathbb{E}[X_T^2]$ as

$$v(t,x_0) = \mathbb{E}[(X_T^{t,x_0})^2] = a(T-t) + b(T-t)x + c(T-t)x^2 =$$

$$= -2\theta^2 e^{-\kappa t} + (-\frac{\lambda^2}{2\kappa} + \theta^2)e^{-2\kappa t} + \theta^2 + \frac{\lambda^2}{2\kappa} + 2\theta(e^{-\kappa t} - e^{-2\kappa t})x_0 + e^{-2\kappa t}x_0^2.$$

Comparing the last row with what we obtained using the other method, we see that the results match.

Exercise 3

We use the martingale property of a discounted derivative and Itô's lemma to solve this exercise. Applying Itô's lemma gives us

$$d(e^{-r(T-t)}U(t,S,V)) = e^{-r(T-t)}(-rUdt + \frac{\partial U}{\partial t}dt + \frac{\partial U}{\partial S}dS + \frac{\partial U}{\partial V}dV + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial S^2}d < S,S > +2\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial S\partial V}d < S,V > +\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial V^2}d < V,V >)).$$

We have

$$\begin{cases} d < S, S >= (S^2V\rho^2 + S^2V(1-\rho^2))dt = S^2Vdt \\ d < S, V >= SV\rho\nu dt \\ d < V, V >= \nu^2Vdt. \end{cases}$$

Now we will use the martingale property, which means that we will let the deterministic part of dU be 0, this will give us a PDE. Thus, combining the results from above with the deterministic parts of dV and dS gives us

$$dU_{deterministic}(t,S,V) = e^{-r(T-t)}(-rU + \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial S}rS + \frac{\partial U}{\partial V}\kappa(\theta - V) + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial S^2}S^2V + 2\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial S\partial V}SV\rho\nu + \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial V^2}\nu^2V))dt.$$

Since we want this to be 0, the PDE that U(t, s, v) satisfies is

$$-rU + \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial s}rs + \frac{\partial U}{\partial v}\kappa(\theta - v) + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial s^2}s^2v + 2\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial s\partial v}sv\rho\nu + \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial v^2}\nu^2v) = 0.$$