

Time Iteration

Computational Economics @ Bundesbank

Pablo Winant

Introduction

Introduction

How do we solve models? One needs to choose between several representations.

- ▶ bellman representation
- ▶ first order conditions
- ▶ other iterative schemes

Goal:

- ▶ define what is the time-iteration method for first-order models
- ▶ explain how to discretize a model and apply it in practice

First order representation of a model

Generic Value Function Representation

- ▶ All variables of the model are vectors:
 - ▶ states $s \in \mathcal{S} \subset R^{n_s}$
 - ▶ controls $x \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{S}, R^{n_x})$
 - ▶ we assume bounds $a(s) \leq x \leq b(s)$
 - ▶ shocks: $\epsilon \sim \text{i.i.d. distrib}$

- ▶ Transition:

$$s_{t+1} = g(s_t, x_t, \epsilon_{t+1})$$

- ▶ Value function:

$$V(s) = E_0 \sum_{t \geq 0} \beta^t [U(s_t, x_t)]$$

- ▶ Solution is a function $V()$ (value) which is a fixed point of the Bellman-operator:

$$\forall s, V(s) = \max_{a(s) \leq x \leq b(s)} U(s, x) + \beta E [V(g(s, x, \epsilon))]$$

- ▶ The argmax, defines a decision rule *function*: $x = \varphi(s)$

First order representation

- ▶ All variables of the model are vectors:
 - ▶ states s_t
 - ▶ controls x_t
 - ▶ we assume bounds
$$a(s) \leq x \leq b(s)$$
 - ▶ shocks: ϵ_t (i.i.d. random law)
- ▶ Transition:

$$s_{t+1} = g(s_t, x_t, \epsilon_{t+1})$$

- ▶ Decision rule:

$$x_t = \varphi(s_t)$$

- ▶ Arbitrage:

$$E_t[f(s_t, x_t, s_{t+1}, x_{t+1})] = 0 \perp a(s_t) \leq x_t \leq b(s_t)$$

- ▶ These equations must be true for any s_t .
- ▶ Remark: time subscript are conventional. They are used to precise:
 - ▶ when expectation is taken (w.r.t ϵ_{t+1})
 - ▶ to avoid repeating $x_t = \varphi(s_t)$ and $x_{t+1} = \varphi(s_t)$
- ▶ Sometimes there are bounds on the controls
 - ▶ we encode them with complementarity constraints
 - ▶ more on it later

Example 1: neoclassical growth model

- ▶ capital accumulation:

$$k_t = (1 - \delta)k_{t-1} + i_{t-1}$$

- ▶ production:

$$y_t = k_t^\alpha$$

- ▶ consumption:

$$c_t = (1 - s_t)y_t$$

$$i_t = s_t y_t$$

- ▶ optimality:

$$\beta E_t \left[\frac{U'(c_{t+1})}{U'(c_t)} (1 - \delta + \alpha k_{t+1}^{\alpha-1}) \right] = 1$$

- ▶ states: k_t , with one transition equation

- ▶ controls: y_t, c_t, i_t, s_t , with four “arbitrage” equations

- ▶ it is possible but not mandatory to reduce the number of variables/equations by simple substitutions

Example 2: consumption-savings model

Simplest consumption/savings model:

► Transition:

$$w_t = \exp(\epsilon_t) + (w_{t-1} - c_{t-1})\bar{r}$$

► Objective:

$$\max_{0 \leq c_t \leq w_t} E_0 \left[\sum \beta^t U(c_t) \right]$$

► First order conditions:

$$\beta E_t \left[\frac{U'(c_{t+1})}{U'(c_t)} \bar{r} \right] - 1 \perp 0 \leq c_t \leq w_t$$

► F.O.C. reads as:

$$\beta E_t \left[\frac{U'(c_{t+1})}{U'(c_t)} \bar{r} \right] - 1 \leq 0 \perp c_t \leq w_t$$

and

$$0 \leq \beta E_t \left[\frac{U'(c_{t+1})}{U'(c_t)} \bar{r} \right] - 1 \perp 0 \leq c_t$$

► First one reads: *if my marginal utility of consumption today is higher than expected mg. utility of cons. tomorrow, I'd like to consume more, but I can't because, consumption is bounded by income (and no-borrowing constraint).*

► Second one reads: *only way I could*

Example 3: new-keynesian with / without ZLB

Consider the following new keynesian model:

- ▶ Assume z_t is an autocorrelated shock:

$$z_t = \rho z_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$$

- ▶ New philips curve (PC):

$$\pi_t = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \pi_{t+1} + \kappa y_t$$

- ▶ dynamic investment-saving equation (IS):

$$y_t = \beta \mathbb{E}_t y_{t+1} - \frac{1}{\sigma} (i_t - \mathbb{E}_t(\pi_{t+1})) - z_t$$

- ▶ Interest Rate Setting (taylor rule):

- ▶ The model satisfies the same specification with:
 - ▶ one state z_t and one transition equation
 - ▶ three controls: π_t , y_t and i_t with three “arbitrage” equation
- ▶ These are not real first order conditions as they are not derived from a maximization program
 - ▶ unless one tries to microfound them...
- ▶ It is possible to add a zero-lower bound constraint by replacing IRS with:

$$\alpha_\pi \pi_t + \alpha_y y_t \leq i_t \perp 0 \leq i_t$$

Time iteration

Time iteration

- So we have the equation, $\forall s_t$

$$E_t [f(s_t, x_t, s_{t+1}, x_{t+1})] = 0 \perp a(s_t) \leq x_t \leq b(s_t)$$

$$E_t [f(s_t, x_t, s_{t+1}, x_{t+1})] = 0$$

where

$$s_{t+1} = g(s_t, x_t, \epsilon_{t+1})$$

$$x_t = \varphi(s_t)$$

$$x_{t+1} = \tilde{\varphi}(s_{t+1})$$

- Let's leave the complementarity conditions aside for now
- In equilibrium $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi$

Time iteration

- ▶ We can rewrite everything as one big functional equation:

$$\forall s, \Phi(\varphi, \tilde{\varphi})(s) = E[f(s, \varphi(s), g(s, \varphi(s), \epsilon), \tilde{\varphi}(g(s, \varphi(s), \epsilon)))]$$

- ▶ A solution is φ such that $\Phi(\varphi, \varphi) = 0$
- ▶ The Coleman operator \mathcal{T} is defined implicitly by:

$$\Phi(\mathcal{T}(\varphi), \varphi) = 0$$

- ▶ The core of the time iteration algorithm, consists in the recursion:

$$\varphi_{n+1} = \mathcal{T}(\varphi_n)$$

- ▶ It maps future decision rules to current decision rules
 - ▶ same as “linear time iterations”, remember?
- ▶ Sounds fun but how do we implement it concretely?

Practical implementation

- ▶ We need to find a way to:
 1. compute expectations
 2. represent decision rules φ and φ with a finite number of parameters

Practical implementation (2)

- ▶ Computing expectations:
 - ▶ discretize shock ϵ with finite quantization $(w_i, e_i)_{i=1:K}$
 - ▶ replace optimality condition with:

$$\forall s, \Phi(\varphi, \tilde{\varphi})(s) = \sum_i w_i f(s, \varphi(s), g(s, \varphi(s), e_i), \tilde{\varphi}(g(s, \varphi(s), e_i)))$$

- ▶ ... but we still can't compute all the φ

Approximating decision rules

- ▶ We'll limit ourselves to *interpolating* functional spaces
 - ▶ We define a finite grid $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_N)$ to approximate the state space (\mathbf{s} is a finite vector of points)
 - ▶ If we know the vector of values $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$ a function φ takes on \mathbf{s} , we approximate φ at any s using an interpolation scheme \mathcal{I} :

$$\varphi(s) \approx \mathcal{I}(s, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x})$$

- ▶ Now if we replace φ by $\mathcal{I}(s, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x})$ and $\tilde{\varphi}$ by $\mathcal{I}(s, \mathbf{s}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}})$ the functional equation becomes:

$$\forall s, \Phi(\varphi, \tilde{\varphi})(s) \approx F(\mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}})(s) = \sum_i w_i f(s, x, \tilde{s}, \tilde{x})$$

where

$$x = \mathcal{I}(s, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x})$$

$$\tilde{s} = g(s, x, e_i)$$

$$\tilde{x} = \mathcal{I}(s, \mathbf{s}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}})$$

Pinning down decision rules

- ▶ Note that this equation must be satisfied $\forall s$.
- ▶ In order to pin-down the N coefficients \mathbf{x} , it is enough to satisfy the equations at N different points.
- ▶ Hence we solve the square system:

$$\forall i \in [1, N], F(\mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}})(s_i) = 0$$

- ▶ In vectorized form, this is just:

$$F(\mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{s}) = 0$$

- ▶ Or, since grid \mathbf{s} is fixed:

$$F(\mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}) = 0$$

- ▶ Now the vector of decisions today, at each point of the grid, is determined as a function of the vector of decisions tomorrow, on the same grid.

Recap

- ▶ Choose a finite grid for states $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_N)$
- ▶ For a given vector of controls tomorrow $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$, one can compute the optimality of a vector of controls today by computing the value of :

$$F(\mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}) = \sum_i w_i f(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{s}}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}})$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{s}} = g(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}, e_i)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \mathcal{J}(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}; \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x})$$


- ▶ Note that because we use interpolating approximation: $\forall i, x_i = \mathcal{J}(s, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x})$
- ▶ We have enough to define an approximated time-iteration operator: implicitly defined by

$$F(T(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x})$$

- ▶ We can then implement time-iteration, but...
 - ▶ how do we compute $T(x)$?

Computing $T(\mathbf{x})$

- ▶ In each step, we have a guess, for decision rule tomorrow $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$
- ▶ We can then find the decision rule today, by solving numerically for: $\mathbf{x} \mapsto F(\mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}})$
 - ▶ usually with some variant of a Newton method
- ▶ It is possible to solve for the values at each grid point separately...
 - ▶ for each i , find optimal controls x_i in state s_i that satisfy $F(x_i, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}) = 0$
 - ▶ all the problems are independent from each other
- ▶ ...or to solve everything as a big system
 - ▶ the jacobian is block-diagonal: finding optimal value in state i or in state j today are two independent problems



Time iteration algorithm

- ▶ Discretize state-space with grid $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_N)$
- ▶ Choose initial values, for the vector of controls on the grid $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$
- ▶ Specify tolerance levels $\eta > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$
- ▶ Given an initial guess \mathbf{x}_n
 - ▶ find the zero \mathbf{x}_{n+1} of function $\mathbf{u} \mapsto F(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x}_n)$
 - ▶ that is, such that controls on the grid are optimal given controls tomorrow
 - ▶ nonlinear solver can use \mathbf{x}_n as initial guess
 - ▶ compute norm $\eta_n = |\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_{n+1}|$
 - ▶ if $\eta_n < \eta$, stop and return \mathbf{x}_{n+1}
 - ▶ else, set $\mathbf{x}_n \leftarrow \mathbf{x}_{n+1}$ and continue
- ▶ Like usual, during the iterations, it is useful to look at $\eta_n = |F(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_n)|$ and $\lambda_n = \frac{\eta_n}{\eta_{n-1}}$

What about the complementarities ?

- ▶ When there aren't any occasionally binding constraint, we look for the root of zero \mathbf{x}_{n+1} of function $\mathbf{u} \mapsto F(u, \mathbf{x}_n)$.
- ▶ If we define the vector of constraints on all grid points as $\mathbf{a} = (a(s_1), \dots, a(s_N))$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b(s_1), \dots, b(s_N))$, we can rewrite the system to solve as:

$$F(u) \perp \mathbf{a} \leq u \leq \mathbf{b}$$

- ▶ Then we can:
 - ▶ feed F , a and b to an NCP solver (like nlsolve.jl)
 - ▶ or transform this relation using Fisher-Burmeister function into a smooth nonlinear system

Time iteration variants

- ▶ You can check out:
 - ▶ endogenous grid points:
 - ▶ mathematically equivalent to TI,
 - ▶ much faster for models that have a particular structure (consumption saving models)
 - ▶ no need for a nonlinear solver
 - ▶ improved time iterations:
 - ▶ same as policy iterations for value function iterations
 - ▶ convergence is equivalent to that of TI
 - ▶ much faster but requires correct initial guess
 - ▶ parameterized expectations
 - ▶ requires that all controls are determined as a function of expectations