

PROJECTILE_UPDATE*

feature □- attributes proj_id: INTEGER model: GAME

projectile_action_output: STRING

feature

make_proj_update+

-- make feature for the class

make_model

-- creates the client supplier link from model to this class

feature -- Commands

incr_proj_id+

-- increments the current projectile id value by one

decr_proj_id+

-- increments the current projectile id value by one

update_location(p: PROJECTILE)+

-- Updates the projectile `p` location

-- It can either be "out of board" or at a location "[X,Y]"

 $update_location_list(p_list: LINKED_LIST[PROJECTILE])$

-- Updates the location of a list of projectiles

-- Used when multiple projectiles of the same type are spawned

set_projectile_action(output: STRING)

-- Appends `output` to a string called `projectile_action_output`

-- It is used to append output after a projectile moves or is spawned

feature - Queries

get_projectile(identity: INTEGER; projectile_list: LINKED_LIST[PROJECTILE]): PROJECTILE

-- Returns a projectile based on the identity passed in

-- and the projectile list to look through (enemy or friendly projectile list)

require

identity_exists: ∃identity : identity ∈ projectile_list

ensure

 $proj_apart_of_list$: $projectile \in projectile_list$

FRIENDLY_PROJECTILE_UPDATE+

feature -- Attributes

projectiles: LIST[PROJECTILE]

move_output: STRING
feature - Commands

create_projectile: LINKED_LIST[PROJECTILE]

-- Spawns a new projectile and appends it to a linked list that will be used for output to the game board

-- The spawn also appends the new projectile to the `projectiles` list

move_proj

-- moves all existing projectiles in the list 'projectiles'

require

 $list_not_empty: \exists x : x \in projectiles$

Alborz Gharabaghi 216442428 3311 Project Report

In phase 5 of a turn, here is how my enemies perform pre-emptive and non-pre-emptive actions. First, I have a deferred class called ENEMY, where both pre-emptive and non-pre-emptive actions are deferred. These two deferred features are to be implemented by each type of enemy, including Grunt, Fighter, Interceptor, Carrier, and Pylon. Here's an image of the Grunt's pre-emptive and non-pre-emptive actions:

As you can see, the pre-emptive action returns a string which will be specific to the pre-emptive action of the grunt. The enemy action is also implemented, and it makes a call to the model enemy_projectile_update spawn feature, where it will spawn an enemy projectile with the specified movement and damage numbers. The same goes for every other enemy type, this is a great demonstration of dynamic binding and polymorphism as well as inheritance going on, we defer a general feature and have it implemented in different ways for each enemy type. We can also see that the class if quite cohesive as everything that is related to a Grunt and its actions are the only thing present in the class.

In terms of when the actions are called, during a pass, move, special or fire command from the user, when it comes to an enemie's actions a call is made to enemy_update.preemptive_act and enemy_update.enemy_act in order. We can see in the following image how these features are implemented:

Alborz Gharabaghi 216442428

3311 Project Report

We will use an across loop to go through every enemy that is currently in the game, and call its respective pre-emptive action; this goes the same for a non-pre-emptive action. Every time an enemies pre-emptive action is finished, it's output is appending to a `preemptive_output` STRING, where that will be outputted when in debug mode. There isn't much programming from the interface going on here, but there is polymorphism as every enemy `e` is type ENEMY, and calling e.preemtpive_action will call the dynamic type of the enemy `e` pre-emptive action. The class ENEMY_UPDATE which has the code in it above is cohesive as it deals with updating the enemies actions, which in this case pertains to performing two types of actions. Also if we plan on changing how the preemptive_act feature operates, we will only have to change it in ENEMY_UPDATE since that's the only place where the code exists.

For how scoring of the Starfighter works, it operates in the following way. I made a class called 'SCORING', where it keeps track of a score integer that is increased or decreased based on what enemies are killed. The way we know how much to increase or decrease this value is reliant on my COLLISIONS class, which deals with entities dying (thus giving score).

The above code fragment is from my COLLISION class, the following situation deals with seeing if a friendly projectile 'p' has collided with any type of enemy 'e'. If the collision results in the death of an enemy, we do model.scoring.incr_score(2). The following call refers to the singleton instance of model which contains the SCORING class in the form of a client supplier relation ship, and we simply increase the score value inside of SCORING by an integer amount; in this case 2 for killing a Grunt. This abides by single choice principle since if we ever want to change

Alborz Gharabaghi 216442428

3311 Project Report

the type of the `score` value in scoring or the incr_score feature, we ONLY have to do it in the SCORING class, not inside of COLLISION where there might be multiple calls of incr_score. Cohesion is present in the SCORING class because it contains nothing but a `score` integer as well as ways to increment it and decrement it.

As we can see, it is quite cohesive. We can also see some information hiding going on as we restrict the feature where we incr_score to the COLLISION class only, in order to avoid any other classes calling it when they have no business doing so. The COLLISION class is solely responsible for changing the score.