	doc_1		doc_2		decision	id
cases		<ul> <li>Florian Schäfer</li> <li>Spencer H. Bryngelson</li> </ul>				
	authors	<ul><li>Yash Kothari</li><li>Qi Zeng</li></ul>	authors	<ul><li>Qi Zeng</li><li>Spencer H. Bryngelson</li><li>F. Schafer</li></ul>		
	title	Competitive Physics Informed Networks				
	publication_date   2022-04-23 00:00:00		title	Competitive Physics Informed Networks		
	source	SupportedSources.PAPERS_WITH_CODE	<del> </del>	olication_date   2022-04-23 00:00:00		
	journal		source	SupportedSources.SEMANTIC_SCHOLAR	th de	
	volume		journal	ArXiv		
	doi		volume	abs/2204.11144		
	urls	<ul> <li>https://arxiv.org/pdf/2204.11144v2.pdf</li> </ul>	doi	10.48550/arXiv.2204.11144		
		https://github.com/comp-physics/cpinn	urls	https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/f3a1c70d8dce3377e93fa0c623ce4d435b5e59aa		S 186
	id	id8138487877229103170	id	id-263522818062442898		
	abstract	Neural networks can be trained to solve partial differential equations (PDEs) by using the PDE residual as the loss function. This strategy is called "physics-informed neural networks" (PINNs), but it currently cannot produce high-accuracy solutions, typically attaining about \$0.1\%\$ relative error. We present an adversarial approach that overcomes this limitation, which we call competitive PINNs (CPINNs). CPINNs train a discriminator that is rewarded for predicting mistakes the PINN makes. The discriminator and PINN participate in a zero-sum game with the exact PDE solution as an optimal strategy. This approach avoids squaring the large condition numbers of PDE discretizations, which is the likely reason for failures of previous attempts to decrease PINN errors even on benign problems. Numerical experiments on a Poisson problem show that CPINNs achieve errors four orders of magnitude smaller than the best-performing PINN. We observe relative errors on the order of single-precision accuracy, consistently decreasing with each epoch. To the authors' knowledge, this is the first time this level of accuracy and convergence behavior has been achieved. Additional experiments on the nonlinear Schr\"odinger, Burgers', and Allen-Cahn equation show that the benefits of CPINNs are not	abstract	Neural networks can be trained to solve partial differential equations (PDEs) by using the PDE residual as the loss function. This strategy is called "physics-informed neural networks†(PINNs), but it currently cannot produce high-accuracy solutions, typically attaining about 0 . 1% relative error. We present an adversarial approach that overcomes this limitation, which we call competitive PINNs (CPINNs). CPINNs train a discriminator that is rewarded for predicting mistakes the PINN makes. The discriminator and PINN participate in a zero-sum game with the exact PDE solution as an optimal strategy. This approach avoids squaring the large condition numbers of PDE discretizations, which is the likely reason for failures of previous attempts to decrease PINN errors even on benign problems. Numerical experiments on a Poisson problem show that CPINNs achieve errors four orders of magnitude smaller than the best-performing PINN. We observe relative errors on the order of single-precision accuracy, consistently decreasing with each epoch. To the authors' knowledge, this is the ï¬rst time this level of accuracy and convergence behavior has been achieved. Additional experiments on the nonlinear Schrödinger, Burgers', and Allen–Cahn equation show that the beneï¬ts of CPINNs are not limited to linear problems.		
	versions	limited to linear problems.		- T-		
	VELSIONS					