

cases	doc_1		doc_2		decision	id
	authors	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Alessandro Lenci and Magnus Sahlgren and Patrick Jeuniaux and Amaru Cuba Gyllensten and Martina Miliani	<div><div>authors</div><ul style="list-style-type: none">Alessandro LenciMagnus SahlgrenPatrick JeuniauxAmaru Cuba GyllenstenMartina Miliani</div>	<div><div>title</div>A comparative evaluation and analysis of three generations of Distributional Semantic Models</div> <div><div>publication_date</div>2021-05-20 00:00:00</div> <div><div>source</div>SupportedSources.SEMANTIC_SCHOLAR</div> <div><div>journal</div>Language Resources and Evaluation</div> <div><div>volume</div>56</div> <div><div>doi</div>10.1007/s10579-021-09575-z</div> <div><div>urls</div><ul style="list-style-type: none">https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/98886ae28d00666a5ce5a216cd0137332bfe3eda</div> <div><div>id</div>id6347277659586517241</div> <div><div>abstract</div>None</div> <div><div>versions</div></div>	DUPLICATES	126
	title	A comparative evaluation and analysis of three generations of Distributional Semantic Models				
	publication_date	2022-04-01 00:00:00				
	source	SupportedSources.INTERNET_ARCHIVE				
	journal					
	volume					
	doi					
	urls	<ul style="list-style-type: none">https://web.archive.org/web/20210525222053/https://arxiv.org/pdf/2105.09825v1.pdf				
	id	id1242153295388637549				
	abstract	Distributional semantics has deeply changed in the last decades. First, predict models stole the thunder from traditional count ones, and more recently both of them were replaced in many NLP applications by contextualized vectors produced by Transformer neural language models. Although an extensive body of research has been devoted to Distributional Semantic Model (DSM) evaluation, we still lack a thorough comparison with respect to tested models, semantic tasks, and benchmark datasets. Moreover, previous work has mostly focused on task-driven evaluation, instead of exploring the differences between the way models represent the lexical semantic space. In this paper, we perform a comprehensive evaluation of type distributional vectors, either produced by static DSMs or obtained by averaging the contextualized vectors generated by BERT. First of all, we investigate the performance of embeddings in several semantic tasks, carrying out an in-depth statistical analysis to identify the major factors influencing the behavior of DSMs. The results show that i.) the alleged superiority of predict based models is more apparent than real, and surely not ubiquitous and ii.) static DSMs surpass contextualized representations in most out-of-context semantic tasks and datasets. Furthermore, we borrow from cognitive neuroscience the methodology of Representational Similarity Analysis (RSA) to inspect the semantic spaces generated by distributional models. RSA reveals important differences related to the frequency and part-of-speech of lexical items.				
	versions					