autho	<ul> <li>A Malek</li> <li>CJ Zðñiga-Aguilar</li> <li>H Drucker</li> <li>IE Lagaris</li> <li>IV Tetko</li> <li>JW Thomas</li> <li>M Kumar</li> <li>N Srivastava</li> <li>Patrice Y. Simard</li> <li>R Reed</li> <li>Y Bengio</li> <li>Y Shirvany</li> </ul>	authors title publication_date source	V.I. Avrutskiy  Avoiding overfitting of multilayer perceptrons by training derivatives 2018-02-28 00:00:00	
		journal	SupportedSources.INTERNET_ARCHIVE	
title	Avoiding overfitting of multilayer perceptrons by training derivatives	volume		
	Avoiding overriting of inditinayer perceptions by training derivatives     date   2018-02-28 00:00:00	doi		
source		urls	• https://web.archive.org/web/20191017025643/https://arxiv.org/pdf/1802.10301v1.pdf	
journ	11	id	id7209914630581190656	
cases		Iu Iu		NOT DUPLICATES 314
doi	10.1007/978-3-030-32520-6_12	abstract  abstract  abstract  addition to target values, its cost function uses derivatives of those up to the 4^th order. For common applications of neural networks, high order derivatives are not readily available, so simpler cases are considered: training network to approximate analytical function inside 2D and 5D domains and solvin equation inside a 2D circle. For function approximation, the cost is a sum of squared differences between output and target as well as their derivatives with respect to the input. Differential equations are usuall by putting a multilayer perceptron in place of unknown function and training its weights, so that equat within some margin of error. Commonly used cost is the equation's residual squared. Added terms are derivatives of said residual with respect to the independent variables. To investigate overfitting, the cominimized for points of regular grids with various spacing, and its root mean is compared with its value much denser test set. Fully connected perceptrons with six hidden layers and 2·10^4, 1·10^6 and 5 weights in total are trained with Rprop until cost changes by less than 10 reached. Training the networ 5·10^6 weights to represent simple 2D function using 10 points with 8 extra derivatives in each proceed test to train ratio of 1.5, whereas for classical backpropagation in comparable conditions this ratio is 2.  versions	ition to target values, its cost function uses derivatives of those up to the 4 <sup>th</sup> order. For common	
urls	• http://arxiv.org/abs/1802.10301		considered: training network to approximate analytical function inside 2D and 5D domains and solving Poisson	
id	id-7182191208635749816		ved	
abstra	investigate overfitting, the cost is minimized for points of regular grids with various spacing, and its root mean is compared with its value on much denser test set. Fully connected perceptrons with six hidden layers and \$2\cdot10^{4}\$, \$1\cdot10^{6}\$ and \$5\cdot10^{6}\$ weights in total are trained with Rprop until cost changes by less than 10% for last 1000 epochs, or when the \$10000^{\mathrm{th}}\$ epoch is reached. Training the network with \$5\cdot10^{6}\$ weights to represent simple 2D function using 10 points with 8 extra derivatives in each produces cost test to train ratio of \$1.5\$, whereas for classical backpropagation in comparable conditions this ratio is \$2\cdot10^{4}\$\$		output and target as well as their derivatives with respect to the input. Differential equations are usually solved by putting a multilayer perceptron in place of unknown function and training its weights, so that equation holds within some margin of error. Commonly used cost is the equation's residual squared. Added terms are squared derivatives of said residual with respect to the independent variables. To investigate overfitting, the cost is minimized for points of regular grids with various spacing, and its root mean is compared with its value on much denser test set. Fully connected perceptrons with six hidden layers and $2\hat{A}\cdot10^4$ , $1\hat{A}\cdot10^6$ and $5\hat{A}\cdot10^6$ weights in total are trained with Rprop until cost changes by less than 10 reached. Training the network with $5\hat{A}\cdot10^6$ weights to represent simple 2D function using 10 points with 8 extra derivatives in each produces cost test to train ratio of 1.5, whereas for classical backpropagation in comparable conditions this ratio is $2\hat{A}\cdot10^4$ .	