### **Scientific Computation**

**Spring**, **2019** 

**Lecture 14** 

#### **Notes**

Extra office hour this week: Thursday, 4-5pm, MLC

#### Plan for last few weeks:

- Lectures 15 and 16: Discrete Fourier transforms and nonlinear time series analysis
- Lectures 17 and 18: Numerical differentiation
- Lectures 19 and 20: Compiling Python code, parallel computing with Python, suggested topics from class

### **Data analysis**

- With PCA, the goal was to extract information from a dataset
- Now, we want to think about filling in information missing from a dataset
- There are many different approaches, we will look at just one based on lowrank matrix factorization
- Is this actually useful? Very important in computer graphics, vision, machine learning, and recommender systems
- We will focus on the latter example

- How do Netflix, Amazon, Facebook, etc... decide what to recommend to you?
- They collect:
  - information about what you like (and dislike)
  - information about what everyone else likes
- And then attempt to predict what you will spend time and/or money on
- How is this data organized? one example is a ratings matrix

How is this data organized? – one example is a ratings matrix

	Suits	Sex Education	Friends	Stranger Things	Killing Eve
Don	5	?	1	?	?
Liz	0	4	5	?	?
Kamala	2	?	3	?	5
Beto	1	5	4	5	?

- How do we fill in the missing entries?
- Organizing idea: Need ratings for high-level concepts (e.g. genre) rather than individual movies

Imperial College • Note: in practice, ratings matrices are much, much larger

Let's first think about a simpler case – how do we fill in the missing entries in

this matrix: 
$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ \times & 6 \\ 2 & \times \end{bmatrix}$$

- We need to set criteria to assess how well we fill in the data
- Basic idea: Fill in the data without increasing the "information" or introducing "new trends"

Let's first think about a simpler case – how do we fill in the missing entries in

this matrix: 
$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ \times & 6 \\ 2 & \times \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 6 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

- We need to set criteria to assess how well we fill in the data
- Basic idea: Fill in the data without increasing the "information" or introducing "new trends"
- If we maximized variance like in PCA, we would be doing the opposite and inventing trends
- We could think about minimizing variance, but a simpler closely-related idea is to minimize the rank
  - Rank(D) = dimension of column space of D = dimension of row space of D
  - In the example above, the rank-1 estimate would be as above

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ \times & 6 \\ 2 & \times \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 6 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

- With this approach, we have estimated "ratings" without introducing new information or trends
- Basic idea of matrix factorization-based recommender systems:
  - Complete the ratings matrix so that:
    - Changes to existing entries are "small"
    - The rank of the resulting matrix is minimized

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ \times & 6 \\ 2 & \times \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 6 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

- With this approach, we have estimated "ratings" without introducing new information or trends
- Basic idea of matrix factorization-based recommender systems:
  - Complete the ratings matrix so that:
    - Changes to existing entries are "small"
    - The rank of the resulting matrix is minimized
  - We will look at an approach based on the SVD
    - A SVD is a sum of rank-1 matrices
    - Rank(A) = number of non-zero singular values of A

- This is an optimization problem, and we first need to define a cost function
- Motivating example:

Find "completed" ratings matrix, A, such that rank(A) is minimized and A = R for all valid entries in R

- The rank is discrete, and it is easier to solve continuous optimization problems with differentiable cost functions (NP hard to minimize rank while leaving existing ratings unchanged)
- Instead of minimizing rank, we can maximize the sum of the singular values of A (the rank is the number of non-zero singular values):

Find A such that  $|A|_*$  is minimized and  $A_{i,j} = R_{i,j}$  for  $(i,j) \in \Omega$ .  $\Omega$  is the set of indices for which ratings have been specified in R, and  $|A|_*$  is the sum of the singular values of A.

- For numerical calculations, the missing ratings are typically replaced with a number, say, -1000 or something that cannot be mistaken for an actual rating
- We then need an auxiliary matrix to help "remove" these numbers:

$$E_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 0, & (i,j) \in \Omega \\ R_{i,j} - A_{i,j} & (i,j) \notin \Omega \end{cases}$$

- So, our optimization problem requires: R A E = 0
- How do we enforce this constraint? With a matrix of Lagrange multipliers, Y,
  which must be found as part of the problem
- Our provisional cost function (Lagrangian) is:

$$\mathcal{L} = |A|_* + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} Y_{ij} (R_{ij} - A_{ij} - E_{ij})$$

Our provisional cost function (Lagrangian) is:

$$\mathcal{L} = |A|_* + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} Y_{ij} (R_{ij} - A_{ij} - E_{ij})$$

We add one more regularizing term:

$$\mathcal{L} = |A|_* + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} Y_{ij} (R_{ij} - A_{ij} - E_{ij}) + \mu |R - A - E|_F$$

- This reduces the likelihood of large ratings being placed in A
- And introduces a new parameter, µ, that must be determined
- But it also allows us to leverage a powerful optimization result (shown later)

We can now state our "full" optimization problem:
 Find A, E, Y, μ, such that the Lagrangian (below) is minimized:

$$\mathcal{L} = |A|_* + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} Y_{ij} (R_{ij} - A_{ij} - E_{ij}) + \mu |R - A - E|_F$$

Next step: construct method to solve the optimization problem.

We can now state our "full" optimization problem:
 Find A, E, Y, μ, such that the Lagrangian (below) is minimized:

$$\mathcal{L} = |A|_* + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} Y_{ij} (R_{ij} - A_{ij} - E_{ij}) + \mu |R - A - E|_F$$

- Next step: construct method to solve the optimization problem.
- We could use any standard gradient-based optimization method (e.g. a optimizer in scipy.minimize)
  - This requires providing the derivatives of the Lagrangian with respect to the entries of A, E, Y, and  $\mu$
- We will take a different approach here. We use an iterative approach and update the four parameters sequentially. This works particularly well for large ratings matrices with low rank

We can now state our "full" optimization problem:
 Find A, E, Y, μ, such that the Lagrangian (below) is minimized:

$$\mathcal{L} = |A|_* + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} Y_{ij} (R_{ij} - A_{ij} - E_{ij}) + \mu |R - A - E|_F$$

- Step 0: Provide initial guesses for A, E, Y, µ
- Given estimates for these parameters at iteration k, there is a four-stage process to obtain improved estimates at iteration k+1
- Stage 1:  $A_{k+1} = \operatorname{arg\,min}_A \mathcal{L}(A, E_k, Y_k, \mu_k)$
- "Find A such that the Lagrangian is minimized with , E, Y,  $\mu$  held fixed to their values at iteration k"
- A recent result from optimization theory this problem is solved with:

$$svd(R - E_k + \frac{1}{\mu_k}Y_k) = U\Sigma V^T$$

$$A_{k+1} = U\tilde{\Sigma}_{\mu_k}V^T$$

- Stage 1:  $A_{k+1} = \arg\min_{A} \mathcal{L}(A, E_k, Y_k, \mu_k)$
- "Find A such that the Lagrangian is minimized with , E, Y,  $\mu$  held fixed to their values at iteration k"
- A recent result from optimization theory this problem is solved with:

$$svd(R - E_k + \frac{1}{\mu_k}Y_k) = U\Sigma V^T$$
$$A_{k+1} = U\tilde{\Sigma}_{\mu_k}V^T$$

- Here, we are iteratively "reducing" the singular values of A (and reducing its rank) with:  $\tilde{\sigma}^{(i)}=max(\sigma^{(i)}-\frac{1}{\mu_k},0)$
- So singular values of  $(R E_k + \frac{1}{\mu_k} Y_k)$  which are smaller than 1/ $\mu$  are set to zero, and all others are reduced by 1/ $\mu$

We can now state our "full" optimization problem:
 Find A, E, Y, μ, such that the Lagrangian (below) is minimized:

$$\mathcal{L} = |A|_* + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} Y_{ij} (R_{ij} - A_{ij} - E_{ij}) + \mu |R - A - E|_F$$

- Step 0: Provide initial guesses for A, E, Y, μ
- Given estimates for these parameters at iteration k, there is a four-stage process to obtain improved estimates at iteration k+1
- Stage 2:  $E_{k+1} = \arg\min_{E} \mathcal{L}(A_{k+1}, E, Y_k, \mu_k)$
- Differentiating the Lagrangian with respect to E and setting the result to zero:

$$E_{k+1} = \frac{1}{2\mu_k} Y_k + R - A_{k+1}, \ (i,j) \notin \Omega$$

• We can now state our "full" optimization problem: Find A, E, Y, µ, such that the Lagrangian (below) is minimized:

$$\mathcal{L} = |A|_* + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} Y_{ij} (R_{ij} - A_{ij} - E_{ij}) + \mu |R - A - E|_F$$

- Step 0: Provide initial guesses for A, E, Y, μ
- Given estimates for these parameters at iteration k, there is a four-stage process to obtain improved estimates at iteration k+1
- Stage 3:  $Y_{k+1} = Y_k + \mu_k (D A_{k+1} E_{k+1})$ .
- This is a relaxation of Y based on how well constraint is satisfied and is a companion result to what we used for stage 1

We can now state our "full" optimization problem:
 Find A, E, Y, μ, such that the Lagrangian (below) is minimized:

$$\mathcal{L} = |A|_* + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} Y_{ij} (R_{ij} - A_{ij} - E_{ij}) + \mu |R - A - E|_F$$

- Step 0: Provide initial guesses for A, E, Y, μ
- Given estimates for these parameters at iteration k, there is a four-stage process to obtain improved estimates at iteration k+1
- Stage 4:  $\mu_{k+1} = \rho \mu_k, \ \rho > 1$
- At larger  $\mu$ , our approximation is closer to the true minimum of the Lagrangian, so we gradually increase  $\mu$  each iteration. Increase more gradually if there are more missing entries

- See rec.py for Python implementation of this method
- Applying it to our small example:

	Suits	Sex Education	Friends	Stranger Things	Killing Eve
Don	5	1	1	1	2
Liz	0	4	5	4	3
Kamala	2	4	3	4	5
Beto	1	5	4	5	5

- There are a broad range of matrix factorization approaches
  - For example, estimate r column vectors and r row vectors that minimize an error based on Frobenius norm
- Different optimization methods can then be applied for each of these approaches
  - Stochastic gradient descent is popular for very large ratings matrices
- Underlying idea, as in PCA, and linear amplification problems: singular values and corresponding eigenvectors provide essential information about key trends "hidden" in data