# Every graph is (2,3)-choosable

Tsai-Lien Wong \* Xuding Zhu †

2012.11.14

#### Abstract

A total weighting of a graph G is a mapping  $\phi$  that assigns to each element  $z \in V(G) \cup E(G)$  a weight  $\phi(z)$ . A total weighting  $\phi$  is proper if for any two adjacent vertices u and v,  $\sum_{e \in E(u)} \phi(e) + \phi(u) \neq \sum_{e \in E(v)} \phi(e) + \phi(v)$ . This paper proves that if each edge e is given a set L(e) of 3 permissible weights, and each vertex v is given a set L(v) of 2 permissible weights, then G has a proper total weighting  $\phi$  with  $\phi(z) \in L(z)$  for each element  $z \in V(G) \cup E(G)$ .

**Key words:** Total weighting, edge weighting, (k, k')-choosable, permanent

#### 1 Introduction

A total weighting of a graph G is a mapping  $\phi: V(G) \cup E(G) \to R$ . A total weighting  $\phi$  is proper if for any edge uv of G,

$$\sum_{e \in E(u)} \phi(e) + \phi(u) \neq \sum_{e \in E(v)} \phi(e) + \phi(v),$$

where E(v) is the set of edges incident to v. A total weighting  $\phi$  with  $\phi(v) = 0$  for all vertices v is also called an *edge weighting*.

Karonski, Luczak and Thomason [7] first studied edge weighting of graphs. If G has an isolated edge, then it is obvious G has no proper edge weighting. On the other hand,

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Applied Mathematics, National Sun Yat-sen University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan 80424, and National Center for Theoretical Sciences. Grant numbers: 99-2115-M-110-001-MY3. Email: tlwong@math.nsysu.edu.tw

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang Normal University, China. Grant numbers: NSF11171310 and ZJNSF Z6110786. Email: xudingzhu@gmail.com.

if G has no isolated edges, then G has a proper k-edge weighting for some k, i.e., a proper edge weighting  $\phi$  with  $\phi(e) \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  for all edges e. The problem is to determine the smallest such integer k. There are many graphs (for example, odd cycles) that have no proper 2-edge weighting. However, we do not know any graph without isolated edges which has no proper 3-edge weighting. Karonski, Luczak and Thomason [7] conjectured that k=3 is enough for every graph with no isolated edges. This conjecture received considerable attention, and is called the 1-2-3 conjecture. A constant bound k=30 was proved by Addario-Berry, Dalal, McDiarmid, Reed and Thomason in 2007 [2]. This bound was improved to k=16 by Addario-Berry, Dalal and Reed in [1] and to k=13 by Wang and Yu in [12]. A breakthrough on this conjecture was obtained by Kalkowski, Karosnki and Pfender in 2010 [9], where the bound is reduced to k=5.

Total weighting of graphs was first studied by Przybyło and Woźniak in [10], where they defined  $\tau(G)$  to be the least integer k such that G has a proper total weighting  $\phi$  with  $\phi(z) \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$  for  $z \in V(G) \cup E(G)$ . They proved that  $\tau(G) \leq 11$  for all graphs G, and conjectured that  $\tau(G) = 2$  for all graphs G. This conjecture is called the 1-2 conjecture. A breakthrough on 1-2 conjecture was obtained by Kalkowski in [8], where it was proved that every graph G has a proper total weighting  $\phi$  with  $\phi(v) \in \{1, 2\}$  for  $v \in V(G)$  and  $\phi(e) \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  for  $e \in E(G)$ .

The list version of edge weighting of graphs was introduced by Bartnicki, Grytczuk and Niwczyk in [6], and the list version of total weighting of graphs was introduced independently by Wong and Zhu in [15] and by Przybyło and Woźniak [11]. Suppose  $\psi:V(G)\cup E(G)\to\{1,2,\ldots,\}$  is a mapping which assigns to each vertex and each edge of G a positive integer. A  $\psi$ -list assignment of G is a mapping E which assigns to E and E are a proper E and total weighting is a proper total weighting E with E and E we say E is total weight E and E are a proper E total weighting of E. We say E is a proper E say E and E are a proper E total weighting of E and E are a proper E total weighting of E and E are a proper E total weighting of E and E are a proper E for E and E and E are a proper E for E and E for E for E for E and E for E for

As strengthening of the 1-2-3 conjecture and the 1-2 conjecture, it was conjectured in [15] that every graph with no isolated edges is (1,3)-choosable and every graph is (2,2)-choosable. Some special graphs are shown to be (1,3)-choosable, such as complete graphs, complete bipartite graphs, trees [6], Cartesian product of an even number of even cycles, of a path and an even cycle, of two paths [14]. Some special graphs are shown to be (2,2)-choosable, such as complete graphs, generalized theta graphs, trees [15], subcubic graphs, Halin graphs [16], complete bipartite graphs [13]. However, before this paper, it was unknown if there are constants k, k' such that every graph is (k, k')-choosable. The existence of such constants is proposed as a conjecture in [15]. In this paper, we prove that every graph is (2,3)-choosable.

### 2 Proof of the main result

The proof of the main result uses polynomial method. For each  $z \in V(G) \cup E(G)$ , let  $x_z$  be a variable associated to z. Fix an arbitrary orientation D of G. Consider the polynomial

$$P_G(\lbrace x_z : z \in V(G) \cup E(G) \rbrace) = \prod_{e = uv \in E(D)} \left( \left( \sum_{e \in E(u)} x_e + x_u \right) - \left( \sum_{e \in E(v)} x_e + x_v \right) \right).$$

Assign a real number  $\phi(z)$  to the variable  $x_z$ , and view  $\phi(z)$  as the weight of z. Let  $P_G(\phi)$  be the evaluation of the polynomial at  $x_z = \phi(z)$ . Then  $\phi$  is a proper total weighting of G if and only if  $P_G(\phi) \neq 0$ . The question is under what condition one can find an assignment  $\phi$  for which  $P_G(\phi) \neq 0$ .

An index function of G is a mapping  $\eta$  which assigns to each vertex or edge z of G a nonnegative integer  $\eta(z)$ . An index function  $\eta$  of G is valid if  $\sum_{z \in V \cup E} \eta(z) = |E|$ . Note that |E| is the degree of the polynomial  $P_G(\{x_z : z \in V(G) \cup E(G)\})$ . For a valid index function  $\eta$ , let  $c_{\eta}$  be the coefficient of the monomial  $\prod_{z \in V \cup E} x_z^{\eta(z)}$  in the expansion of  $P_G$ . It follows from the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz [3, 5] that if  $c_{\eta} \neq 0$ , and L is a list assignment which assigns to each  $z \in V(G) \cup E(G)$  a set L(z) of  $\eta(z) + 1$  real numbers, then there exists a mapping  $\phi$  with  $\phi(z) \in L(z)$  such that

$$P_G(\phi) \neq 0.$$

An index function  $\eta$  of G is called *non-singular* if there is a valid index function  $\eta' \leq \eta$  (i.e.,  $\eta'(z) \leq \eta(z)$  for all  $z \in V(G) \cup E(G)$ ) such that  $c_{\eta'} \neq 0$ . The following is the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 1** Every graph G has a non-singular index function  $\eta$  with  $\eta(v) \leq 1$  for  $v \in V(G)$  and  $\eta(e) \leq 2$  for  $e \in E(G)$ .

As observed above, this implies that every graph G is (2,3)-choosable.

We write the polynomial  $P_G(\{x_z : z \in V(G) \cup E(G)\})$  as

$$P_G(\{x_z : z \in V(G) \cup E(G)\}) = \prod_{e \in E(D)} \sum_{z \in V(G) \cup E(G)} A_G[e, z] x_z.$$

It is straightforward to verify that for  $e \in E(G)$  and  $z \in V(G) \cup E(G)$ , if e = (u, v) (oriented from u to v), then

$$A_G[e,z] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z = v, \text{ or } z \neq e \text{ is an edge incident to } v, \\ -1 & \text{if } z = u, \text{ or } z \neq e \text{ is an edge incident to } u, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now  $A_G$  is a matrix, whose rows are indexed by the edges of G and the columns are indexed by edges and vertices of G. Given a vertex or edge z of G, let  $A_G(z)$  be the column of  $A_G$  indexed by z. For an index function  $\eta$  of G, let  $A_G(\eta)$  be the matrix, each of its column is a column of  $A_G$ , and each column  $A_G(z)$  of  $A_G$  occurs  $\eta(z)$  times as a column of  $A_G(\eta)$ . It is known [4] and easy to verify that for a valid index function  $\eta$  of G,  $c_{\eta} \neq 0$  if and only if  $\operatorname{per}(A_G(\eta)) \neq 0$ , where  $\operatorname{per}(A)$  denotes the permanent of the square matrix A. Thus a valid index function  $\eta$  of G is non-singular if and only if  $\operatorname{per}(A_G(\eta)) \neq 0$ . Recall that if A is an  $m \times m$  matrix, then

$$per(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} A[i, \sigma(i)],$$

where  $S_m$  is the symmetric group of order m.

It is well-known (and follows easily from the definition) that the permanent of a matrix is multi-linear on its column vectors (as well as its row vectors): If a column C of A is a linear combination of two columns vectors  $C = \alpha C' + \beta C''$ , and A' (respectively, A'') is obtained from A by replacing the column C with C' (respectively, with C''), then

$$per(A) = \alpha per(A') + \beta per(A''). \tag{1}$$

Assume A is a square matrix whose columns are expressed as linear combinations of columns of  $A_G$ . Define an index function  $\eta_A: V(G) \cup E(G) \to \{0, 1, \dots, \}$  as follows:

For  $z \in V(G) \cup E(G)$ ,  $\eta_A(z)$  is the number of columns of A in which  $A_G(z)$  appears with nonzero coefficient.

Note that the column vectors of  $A_G$  are not linearly independent. A column of A may be written as the linear combination of columns of  $A_G$  in different ways. Thus the index function  $\eta_A$  is not uniquely determined by the matrix A itself, instead it is determined by how its columns are expressed as linear combinations of columns of  $A_G$ . For simplicity, we use the notation  $\eta_A$ . However, whenever the index function  $\eta_A$  is used, we refer to an explicit expression of its columns as linear combination of columns of  $A_G$  which is clear from the context.

To prove Theorem 1, it suffices to find a square matrix A whose columns are expressed as linear combinations of columns of  $A_G$  such that for each  $v \in V(G)$ ,  $\eta_A(v) \leq 1$ , and for each edge e of G,  $\eta_A(e) \leq 2$ .

Another observation [15] we shall frequently use is that for an edge e = uv of G,

$$A_G(e) = A_G(u) + A_G(v). (2)$$

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1. Indeed, we shall prove a slightly stronger result.

**Theorem 2** Assume G is a connected graph and F is a spanning tree of G. Then there is a matrix A whose columns are linear combinations of columns of  $A_G$  such that  $per(A) \neq 0$  and  $\eta_A(v) \leq 1$  for  $v \in V$ ,  $\eta(e) = 0$  for  $e \in E(F)$  and  $\eta_A(e) \leq 2$  for  $e \in E \setminus E(F)$ .

**Proof.** Observe that Theorem 2 is equivalent to the statement that G has a valid index function  $\eta$  such that  $\operatorname{per}(A_G(\eta)) \neq 0$ , and  $\eta(v) \leq 1$  for  $v \in V(G)$ ,  $\eta(e) \leq 2$  for  $e \in E(G)$ , and moreover, for  $e \in E(F)$ ,  $\eta(e) = 0$ .

Assume this theorem is not true, and G is a minimum counterexample. It is obvious that G is connected and  $|V| \geq 3$ .

Let u be a vertex of G, which is a leaf of F. Assume  $N(u) = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k\}$  and let  $e_i = uu_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ . Assume the edge  $uv_k \in E(F)$ . Let G' = G - u. By the minimality of G, G' has a valid index function  $\eta'$  such that  $\operatorname{per}(A_{G'}(\eta')) \neq 0$ , and  $\eta'(v) \leq 1$  for  $v \in V(G')$ ,  $\eta'(e) \leq 2$  for  $e \in E(G')$ , and moreover, for  $e \in E(F - u)$ ,  $\eta(e) = 0$ .

Assume |E(G)| = m and |E(G')| = m' = m - k. We view  $\eta'$  as an index function of G, with  $\eta'(z) = 0$  if  $z \in (V(G) \cup E(G)) - (V(G') \cup E(G'))$ . Then  $A_G(\eta')$  is an  $m \times m'$  matrix, consisting m' columns of  $A_G$ . Let  $\eta = \eta'$  except that  $\eta(u) = k$ . Then  $A_G(\eta)$  is an  $m \times m$  matrix, which is obtained from  $A_G(\eta')$  by adding k copies of the column  $A_G(u)$ . The added k columns has k rows (the rows indexed by edges incident to u) that are all 1's, and all the other entries of these k columns are 0. Therefore  $\operatorname{per}(A_G(\eta)) = \operatorname{per}(A_{G'}(\eta'))k!$ , and hence  $\operatorname{per}(A_G(\eta)) \neq 0$ .

Let  $M_0 = A_G(\eta)$ . For i = 1, 2, ..., k-1, if  $\eta'(u_i) = 0$ , then let  $M_i = M_{i-1}$ . If  $\eta'(u_i) = 1$ , then let  $M_i$  be obtained from  $M_{i-1}$  by replacing  $A_G(u_i)$  with  $A_G(e_i)$ .

Claim 1 For i = 1, 2, ..., k,  $per(M_i) = per(M_{i-1})$ .

**Proof.** If  $\eta'(u_i) = 0$ , then  $M_i = M_{i-1}$ , there is nothing to prove. Assume  $\eta'(u_i) = 1$  and  $M_i$  is obtained from  $M_{i-1}$  by replacing  $A_G(u_i)$  with  $A_G(e_i)$ . Let  $M'_i$  be obtained from  $M_{i-1}$  by replacing  $A_G(u_i)$  with  $A_G(u)$ . In  $M'_i$ , the column  $A_G(u)$  occurs k+1 times. These k+1 columns have k rows (the rows indexed by edges incident to u) that are all 1's, and all the other entries of these k columns are 0. Therefore  $\operatorname{per}(M'_i) = 0$ . Since  $A_G(e_i) = A_G(u_i) + A_G(u)$ , by (1), we have  $\operatorname{per}(M_i) = \operatorname{per}(M_{i-1}) + \operatorname{per}(M'_i) = \operatorname{per}(M_{i-1})$ .

Observe that  $M_{k-1} = A_G(\tau)$  for an index function  $\tau$  of G for which the following hold:

- $\tau(u_i) = 0$ ,  $\tau(u) = k$ ,  $\tau(v) \le 1$  for other vertices v of G.
- $\tau(e_i) \leq 1$  for i = 1, 2, ..., k 1,  $\eta(e) = 0$  for edges in F, and  $\tau(e) \leq 2$  for other edges of G.

Now we replace k-1 copies of  $A_G(u)$  with  $A_G(e_i)-A_G(u_i)$   $(i=1,2,\ldots,k-1)$ . Denote the resulting matrix by A. The matrix A is indeed the same as  $A_G(\tau)$ , as  $A_G(u)=A_G(e_i)-A_G(u_i)$  for each  $i \in \{1,2,\ldots,k-1\}$ . However, in this new format, we have  $\eta_A(v) \leq 1$  for all vertices v of G, and  $\eta_A(e) \leq 2$  for all edges of G, and  $\eta(e)=0$  for  $e \in E(F)$ . As  $\operatorname{per}(A)=\operatorname{per}(A_G(\tau))=\operatorname{per}(A_G(\eta))\neq 0$ , this completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Corollary 1 Every graph is (2,3)-choosable.

A result slightly stronger than Corollary 1 follows from Theorem 2: Suppose G is a connected graph and F is a spanning tree of G. Let  $\psi: V(G) \cup E(G) \to \{1,2,3\}$  be defined as  $\psi(v) = 2$  for every vertex v,  $\psi(e) = 1$  for  $e \in E(F)$  and  $\psi(e) = 3$  for  $e \in E(G - F)$ . Then G is total weight  $\psi$ -choosable. The non-list version of this result was obtained by Kalkowski et al [9].

The following two conjectures, which are weaker than the (1,3)-choosability conjecture and the (2,2)-choosability conjecture respectively, remain open.

**Conjecture 1** There is a constant k such that every graph with no isolated edges is (1, k)-choosable.

**Conjecture 2** There is a constant k such that every graph is (k, 2)-choosable.

## References

- [1] L. Addario-Berry, R.E.L.Aldred, K. Dalal, B.A. Reed, *Vertex colouring edge partitions*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 94 (2005), 237-244.
- [2] L. Addario-Berry, K. Dalal, C. McDiarmid, B.A. Reed, A. Thomason, *Vertex-colouring edge-weightings*, Combinatorica 27 (2007), 1-12.
- [3] N. Alon, Combinatorial Nullstellensatz, Combin. Prob. Comput. 8 (1999), 7-29.
- [4] N. Alon and M. Tarsi, A nowhere zero point in linear mappings, Combinatorica 9 (1989), 393-395.
- [5] N. Alon and M. Tarsi, Colorings and orientations of graphs, Combinatorica, 12 (1992), 125-134.
- [6] T. Bartnicki, J. Grytczuk and S. Niwczyk, Weight choosability of graphs, J. Graph Theory 60 (2009), 242-256.
- [7] M. Karoński, T. Łuczak, A. Thomason, Edge weights and vertex colours, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 91 (2004), 151-157.
- [8] M. Kalkowski, On 1,2-conjecture, manuscript, 2008.
- [9] M. Kalkowski, M. Karoński and F. Pfender, Vertex-coloring edge-weightings: towards the 1-2-3- Conjecture, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 100 (2010), 347-349.
- [10] J. Przybyło and M. Woźniak, On a 1-2 conjecture, Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science 12 (1) (2010), 101-108.
- [11] J. Przybyło and M. Woźniak, *Total weight choosability of graphs*, Electronic J. Combinatorics, Vo. 18, 2011.

- [12] T. Wang and Q. L. Yu, A note on vertex-coloring 13-edge-weighting, Frontier Math. 4 in China, 3 (2008), 1-7.
- [13] T. Wong, D. Yang and X. Zhu, *Total weighting of graphs by max-min method*, to appear in a volume dedicated to Lovász's 60th birthday, Bolyai Society Mathematical Studies, 2009.
- [14] J. Wu, T. Wong and X. Zhu, *Total weight choosability of Cartesian product of graphs*, European J. Combinatorics, to appear.
- [15] T. Wong and X. Zhu, *Total weight choosability of graphs*, J. Graph Theory 66 (2011), 198-212.
- [16] T. Wong and X. Zhu, Permanent index of matrices associated with graphs, manuscript.