

CONTRIBUTEURS

MAS-II: Modern Actuarial Statistics II

aut., cre. Alec James van Rassel

Référence (manuels, YouTube, notes de cours) En ordre alphabétique :
Contributeurs

Contents

A Introduction to Credibility	3
Basic Framework of Credibility	3
Full Credibility	3
Claim Frequency	3
Claim Severity	4
Aggregate Loss	4
Partial Credibility	4
Bühlmann Credibility	5
Basic framework	5
Variance components	5
Credibility factors	5
Bayesian Credibility	6
Basic framework	6
Premium	6
Conjugate distributions	6
Nonparametric empirical Bayes method	6
B Linear Mixed Models	7
C Bayesian Analysis and Markov Chain Monte Carlo	8
D Statistical Learning	9
K-Nearest Neighbors	9
Decision Trees	10
Principal Components Analysis (PCA)	11
Clustering	12

A

Introduction to Credibility

Basic Framework of Credibility

Context

The *limitation fluctuation credibility* approach, or *classical credibility* approach, calculates an updated prediction (U) of the **loss measure** as a weighted (Z) average of recent claim experience (D) and a rate (M) specified in the manual. Thus, we calculate the *premium* paid by the *risk group* as

$$U = ZD + (1 - Z)M.$$

Notation

M Predicted loss based on the "manual".

D Observed losses based on the recent experience of the risk group.

Z Weight assigned to the recent experience D called the *credibility factor* with $Z \in [0, 1]$.

U Updated prediction of the premium.

Terminology

Risk group block of insurance policies, covered for a period of time upon payment of a *premium*.

Claim frequency The number of claims denoted N .

Claim severity The amount of the i^{th} claim denoted X_i .

Aggregate loss The total loss denoted S where $S = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_N$.

Pure premium The pure premium denoted P where $P = S/E$ with E denoting the number of exposure units.

Exam tips

Typical questions about this involve being given 3 of M, D, Z , and U then finding the missing one.

Context

With $\min\{D, M\} \leq U \leq \max\{D, M\}$, we can see that the credibility factor determines the relative importance of the claim experience of the risk group D relative to the manual rate M .

If $Z = 1$, we obtain *Full Credibility* where the predicted premium depends only on the data ($U = D$). It follows that with $Z < 1$, we obtain *Partial Credibility* as the weighted average of both D and M .

Full Credibility

Contexte

The classical credibility approach determines the *minimum data size* required for the experience data (D) to be given *full credibility*. The minimum data size, or *standard for full credibility*, depends on the **loss measure**.

Claim Frequency

The claim frequency random variable N has mean μ_N and variance σ_N^2 .

If we assume $N \approx \mathcal{N}(\mu_N, \sigma_N^2)$, then the probability of observing claim frequency

within k of the mean is $\Pr(\mu_N - k\mu_N \leq N \leq \mu_N + k\mu_N) = 2\Phi\left(\frac{k\mu_N}{\sigma_N}\right) - 1$.

We often assume that the claim frequency $N \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda_N)$ and then apply the normal approximation to find the standard for full credibility for claim frequency λ_F .

First, we impose that the probability of the claim being with k of the mean must be at least $1 - \alpha$. Then, we rewrite $\frac{k\mu_N}{\sigma_N} = k\sqrt{\lambda_N}$ and set $\lambda_N \geq \left(\frac{z_{1-\alpha/2}}{k}\right)^2$ where

$$\lambda_F = \left(\frac{z_{1-\alpha/2}}{k}\right)^2.$$

Claim Severity

We assume that the loss amounts X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N are independent and identically distributed random variables with mean μ_X and variance σ_X^2 . Full credibility is attributed to $D = \bar{X}$ if $2\Phi\left(\frac{k\mu_X}{\sigma_N/\sqrt{N}}\right) - 1 \geq 1 - \alpha$.

Similarly to claim frequency, we apply the normal approximation with $\bar{X} \approx \mathcal{N}(\mu_X, \sigma_X^2/N)$. Then, we find $N \geq \left(\frac{z_{1-\alpha/2}}{k}\right)^2 \cdot \left(\frac{\sigma_X}{\mu_X}\right)^2 = \lambda_F CV_X^2$ where the *standard for full credibility for claim severity* is $\lambda_F CV_X^2$.

Aggregate Loss

For the aggregate loss $S = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_N$, we have $\mu_S = \mu_N \mu_X$ and $\sigma_S^2 = \mu_N \sigma_X^2 + \mu_X^2 \sigma_N^2$.

With the same normality assumptions for the Poisson distributed N , we find $\lambda_N \geq \left(\frac{z_{1-\alpha/2}}{k}\right)^2 \cdot \left(\frac{\mu_X^2 + \sigma_X^2}{\mu_X^2}\right) = \lambda_F(1 + CV_X^2)$ where the *standard for full credibility for claim severity* is $\lambda_F(1 + CV_X^2)$.

Note The conditions are the same for the *Pure Premium* as for the aggregate loss.

Partial Credibility

The *credibility factor* for :

Claim Frequency is $Z = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_N}{\lambda_F}}$.

Claim Severity is $Z = \sqrt{\frac{N}{\lambda_F CV_X^2}}$.

Aggregate Loss and Pure Premium is $Z = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_N}{\lambda_F(1 + CV_X^2)}}$

Bühlmann Credibility

[Basic framework](#)

[Variance components](#)

[Credibility factors](#)

Bayesian Credibility

[Basic framework](#)

[Premium](#)

[Conjugate distributions](#)

[Nonparametric empirical Bayes method](#)

B

Linear Mixed Models

C

Bayesian Analysis and Markov Chain Monte Carlo

D

Statistical Learning

K-Nearest Neighbors

Decision Trees

Principal Components Analysis (PCA)

Clustering