

## CONTRIBUTEURS

### MAS-II: Modern Actuarial Statistics II

**aut., cre.** Alec James van Rassel

**Référence (manuels, YouTube, notes de cours)** En ordre alphabétique :  
**Contributeurs**

## Contents

<b>A Prerequisites</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>Decision Trees</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>Distributions</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>Principal Components Analysis (PCA)</b>	<b>15</b>
Discrete Distributions . . . . .	3	<b>Clustering</b>	<b>16</b>
Severity Distributions . . . . .	5		
Joint Distributions . . . . .	5		
Conditional Distributions . . . . .	5		
Aggregate Distributions . . . . .	5		
Normal, Uniform, Pareto, Exponential, and Gamma . . . . .	5		
<b>B Introduction to Credibility</b>	<b>7</b>		
<b>Basic Framework of Credibility</b>	<b>7</b>		
Full Credibility . . . . .	7		
Claim Frequency . . . . .	7		
Claim Severity . . . . .	8		
Aggregate Loss . . . . .	8		
Partial Credibility . . . . .	8		
<b>Bühlmann Credibility</b>	<b>9</b>		
Basic framework . . . . .	9		
Variance components . . . . .	9		
Credibility factors . . . . .	9		
<b>Bayesian Credibility</b>	<b>10</b>		
Basic framework . . . . .	10		
Premium . . . . .	10		
Conjugate distributions . . . . .	10		
Nonparametric empirical Bayes method . . . . .	10		
<b>C Linear Mixed Models</b>	<b>11</b>		
<b>D Bayesian Analysis and Markov Chain Monte Carlo</b>	<b>12</b>		
<b>E Statistical Learning</b>	<b>13</b>		
<b>K-Nearest Neighbors</b>	<b>13</b>		

# A

## Prerequisites

### Distributions

#### Context

We typically use 3 types of random variable to describe losses:

Frequency or number of losses	always discrete
Severity or amount of losses (payment)	usually continuous, can be discrete or mixed too
Aggregate or total loss from summing a number (Frequency) of Severity variables	same as the severity

### Discrete Distributions

#### Context

Discrete random variables are usually counting (frequency) variables, meaning their possible values are  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$

#### Probability Mass Function (PMF)

$N$  is a *discrete random variable* if it has a **probability mass function**  $p_k$  such that  $p_k = \Pr(N = k)$

Definition	Domain	Condition
$p_k = \Pr(N = k)$	$p_k \in [0, 1]$	$\sum_k p_k = 1$

#### Poisson Distribution

Notation	Parameters	Domain
$N \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$	$\lambda > 0$	$n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$\Pr(N = n)$	$= \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^n}{n!}$
$E[N]$	$= \lambda$
$\text{Var}(N)$	$= \lambda$

- > If  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are *independent* Poisson r.v., then  $N_1 + N_2 \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$ .
- > The  $e^{-\lambda}$  term makes the probabilities sum to 1 as the Taylor series for  $e^\lambda$  is

$$e^\lambda = 1 + \lambda + \frac{\lambda^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} + \dots$$

## Binomial Distribution

### Context

A binomial r.v.  $N$  has  $m$  *independent* trials each having a probability  $q$  of a loss where  $n$  is the total number of losses.

Notation	Parameters	Domain
$N \sim \text{Bin}(m, q)$	$q \in (0, 1); m \in \mathbb{N}$	$n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$\Pr(N = n)$	$= \binom{m}{n} q^n (1 - q)^{m-n}$
$E[N]$	$= mq$
$\text{Var}(N)$	$= mq$

- > If  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are *independent* binomial r.v. with the *same*  $q$  then  $N_1 + N_2 \sim \text{Bin}(m_1 + m_2, q)$ .
- > The case where  $m = 1$  corresponds to a **Bernoulli** r.v.

## Geometric Distribution

### Context

A geometric r.v.  $N$  with mean  $\beta$  can be obtained by setting  $n$  as the number of years **before** the first loss. Given the geometric distribution is memoryless, each year *independently* has a loss with probability

$$\underbrace{\Pr(N = 0)}_{\text{probability of a loss the first year}} = \frac{1}{1 + \beta}.$$

Notation	Parameters	Domain
$N \sim \text{Geo}(\beta)$	$\beta > 0$	$n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$\Pr(N = n)$	$= \left( \frac{\beta}{1 + \beta} \right)^n \frac{1}{1 + \beta}$
$\Pr(N \geq n)$	$= \left( \frac{\beta}{1 + \beta} \right)^n$
$E[N]$	$= \beta$
$\text{Var}(N)$	$= \beta(1 + \beta)$

- > Like the **exponential distribution**, the geometric distribution is memoryless:

$$\Pr(N = d + n | N \geq d) = \Pr(N = n)$$

$$E[N - d | N \geq d] = E[N]$$

## Negative Binomial Distribution

### Context

A negative binomial r.v.  $N$  represents the number of years  $n$  with no loss *before* the  $r^{\text{th}}$  year with a loss. We obtain a negative binomial r.v.  $N \sim \text{NBin}(r, \beta)$  by summing  $r$  iid geometric r.v.,  $N_1, N_2, \dots, N_r$ , all with the same mean  $\beta$ .

Notation	Parameters	Domain
$N \sim \text{NBin}(\beta)$	$r, \beta > 0$	$n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$\Pr(N = n)$	$= \binom{r+n-1}{r-1} \left(\frac{\beta}{1+\beta}\right)^n \left(\frac{1}{1+\beta}\right)^r$
$\Pr(N \geq n)$	$= \left(\frac{\beta}{1+\beta}\right)^n$
$E[N]$	$= r\beta$
$\text{Var}(N)$	$= r\beta(1+\beta)$

> A **geometric** r.v. is a negative binomial r.v. with  $r = 1$ .

Distribution	Mean	Variance
Binomial	$mq$	$> mq(1-q)$
Poisson	$\lambda$	$= \lambda$
Geometric	$\beta$	$< \beta(1+\beta)$
Negative Binomial	$r\beta$	$< r\beta(1+\beta)$

## Severity Distributions

### Probability Density Function (PDF)

$X$  is a *continuous random variable* if it has a **probability density function**  $f(x)$  such that  $f(x)$

Definition	Domain	Condition
$f(x) =$	$f(x) \geq 0$	$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) dx = 1$

## Joint Distributions

## Conditional Distributions

## Aggregate Distributions

## Normal, Uniform, Pareto, Exponential, and Gamma

### Normal Distribution

#### Contexte

La distribution Pareto est un mélange de deux distributions exponentielles originalement conçue pour étudier des distributions de revenus.

Notation	Parameters	Domain
$X \sim \text{Pareto}(\alpha, \theta)$	$\alpha, \theta > 0$	$x \geq 0$

$f(x)$	$= \frac{\alpha\theta^\alpha}{(x+\theta)^{\alpha+1}}$
$F(x)$	$= 1 - \left(\frac{\theta}{x+\theta}\right)^\alpha$

> Si  $X \sim \text{Pareto}(\alpha, \theta)$  alors  $Y = (X - d | X > d) \sim \text{Pareto}(\alpha, \theta + d)$ .

### Uniform Distribution

Notation	Parameters	Domain
$X \sim \text{Beta}(a, b, \theta)$	$a, b > 0$ et $\theta \geq 0$	$x \in [0, \theta]$

$f(x)$	$= \frac{\theta}{B(a, b)} \left(\frac{x}{\theta}\right)^{a-1} \left(1 - \frac{x}{\theta}\right)^{b-1}$
--------	--

>  $X \sim \text{Beta}(a = 1, b = 1, \theta) \sim \text{Unif}(0, \theta)$ .

> Si  $X \sim \text{Unif}(a, b)$  alors  $(X | X > d) \sim \text{Unif}(d, b)$  et  $(X - d | X > d) \sim \text{Unif}(0, b - d)$ .

## Pareto Distribution

### Contexte

La distribution Pareto est un mélange de deux distributions exponentielles originalement conçue pour étudier des distributions de revenus.

Notation	Parameters	Domain
$X \sim \text{Pareto}(\alpha, \theta)$	$\alpha, \theta > 0$	$x \geq 0$

$f(x)$	$= \frac{\alpha \theta^\alpha}{(x + \theta)^{\alpha+1}}$
$F(x)$	$= 1 - \left(\frac{\theta}{x + \theta}\right)^\alpha$

> Si  $X \sim \text{Pareto}(\alpha, \theta)$  alors  $Y = (X - d | X > d) \sim \text{Pareto}(\alpha, \theta + d)$ .

## Exponential Distribution

## Gamma Distribution

Notation	Parameters	Domain
$X \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \theta)$	$\alpha, \theta > 0$	$x \geq 0$

$f(x)$	$= \frac{x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x/\theta}}{\Gamma(\alpha) \theta^\alpha}$
--------	---

> On appelle  $\theta$  la moyenne et  $\lambda = \frac{1}{\theta}$  le paramètre de fréquence ("rate").

> Soit  $n$  v.a. indépendantes  $X_i \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha_i, \theta)$  alors  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Gamma}(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i, \theta)$ .

> Soit  $n$  v.a. indépendantes  $X_i \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_i)$  alors  $Y = \min(X_1, \dots, X_n) \sim \text{Exp}(\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i})$ .

> Si  $X \sim \text{Exp}(\theta)$  alors  $(X - d | X > d) \sim \text{Exp}(\theta)$ .

## Statistics

## Mode

### Context

The mode is the value that occurs the most often. A non-mathematical example of the concept is looking at the most used letter in the English alphabet. The letter E is the most used letter in the dictionary and as such is the mode of the English language.

In mathematical terms, the mode is the point which maximises the **PMF/PDF**.

Finding the mode of a continuous r.v. can be done by calculating the derivative of the PDF and finding the point where it equals 0. If the distribution is

> **unimodal**, i.e. it has a hump, then  $\text{mode} = x \text{ s.t. } f'(x) = 0$ .

> strictly increasing or decreasing, the mode will be one of the 2 extremes.

– For example, the exponential distribution is strictly decreasing and its mode is always 0.

For discrete variables, there are some ways to simplify it's calculation:

> Using the table function on the calculator and seeing where the probabilities peak.

> Using the algebraic approach of looking at  $p_k / p_{k-1}$ .

–  $p_k > p_{k-1}$  iff  $p_k / p_{k-1} > 1$ .

– The mode is the largest  $k$  s.t.  $p_k > p_{k-1}$ .

**Note** In the exam, it's best to use the calculator approach.

## B

## Introduction to Credibility

## Basic Framework of Credibility

## Context

The **limitation fluctuation credibility** approach, or **classical credibility** approach, calculates an updated prediction ( $U$ ) of the **loss measure** as a weighted ( $Z$ ) average of recent claim experience ( $D$ ) and a rate ( $M$ ) specified in the manual. Thus, we calculate the **premium** paid by the **risk group** as

$$U = ZD + (1 - Z)M.$$

## Notation

$M$  Predicted loss based on the "manual".

$D$  Observed losses based on the recent experience of the risk group.

$Z$  Weight assigned to the recent experience  $D$  called the **credibility factor** with  $Z \in [0, 1]$ .

$U$  Updated prediction of the premium.

## Terminology

**Risk group** block of insurance policies, covered for a period of time upon payment of a **premium**.

**Claim frequency** The number of claims denoted  $N$ .

**Claim severity** The amount of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  claim denoted  $X_i$ .

**Aggregate loss** The total loss denoted  $S$  where  $S = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_N$ .

**Pure premium** The pure premium denoted  $P$  where  $P = S/E$  with  $E$  denoting the number of exposure units.

## Exam tips

Typical questions about this involve being given 3 of  $M, D, Z$ , and  $U$  then finding the missing one.

## Context

With  $\min\{D, M\} \leq U \leq \max\{D, M\}$ , we can see that the credibility factor determines the relative importance of the claim experience of the risk group  $D$  relative to the manual rate  $M$ .

If  $Z = 1$ , we obtain **Full Credibility** where the predicted premium depends only on the data ( $U = D$ ). It follows that with  $Z < 1$ , we obtain **Partial Credibility** as the weighted average of both  $D$  and  $M$ .

## Full Credibility

## Contexte

The classical credibility approach determines the **minimum data size** required for the experience data ( $D$ ) to be given **full credibility**. The minimum data size, or **standard for full credibility**, depends on the **loss measure**.

## Claim Frequency

The claim frequency random variable  $N$  has mean  $\mu_N$  and variance  $\sigma_N^2$ .

If we assume  $N \approx \mathcal{N}(\mu_N, \sigma_N^2)$ , then the probability of observing claim frequency **within  $k$  of the mean** is  $\Pr(\mu_N - k\mu_N \leq N \leq \mu_N + k\mu_N) = 2\Phi\left(\frac{k\mu_N}{\sigma_N}\right) - 1$ .

We often assume that the claim frequency  $N \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda_N)$  and then apply the normal approximation to find the standard for full credibility for claim frequency  $\lambda_F$ . First, we impose that the probability of the claim being within  $k$  of the mean must be at least  $1 - \alpha$ . Then, we rewrite  $\frac{k\mu_N}{\sigma_N} = k\sqrt{\lambda_N}$  and set  $\lambda_N \geq \left(\frac{z_{1-\alpha/2}}{k}\right)^2$  where

$$\lambda_F = \left(\frac{z_{1-\alpha/2}}{k}\right)^2.$$

### Claim Severity

We assume that the loss amounts  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N$  are independent and identically distributed random variables with mean  $\mu_X$  and variance  $\sigma_X^2$ . Full credibility is attributed to  $D = \bar{X}$  if  $2\Phi\left(\frac{k\mu_X}{\sigma_N/\sqrt{N}}\right) - 1 \geq 1 - \alpha$ .

Similarly to claim frequency, we apply the normal approximation with  $\bar{X} \approx \mathcal{N}(\mu_X, \sigma_X^2/N)$ . Then, we find  $N \geq \left(\frac{z_{1-\alpha/2}}{k}\right)^2 \cdot \left(\frac{\sigma_X}{\mu_X}\right)^2 = \lambda_F CV_X^2$  where the *standard for full credibility for claim severity* is  $\lambda_F CV_X^2$ .

### Aggregate Loss

For the aggregate loss  $S = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_N$ , we have  $\mu_S = \mu_N \mu_X$  and  $\sigma_S^2 = \mu_N \sigma_X^2 + \mu_X^2 \sigma_N^2$ .

With the same normality assumptions for the Poisson distributed  $N$ , we find  $\lambda_N \geq \left(\frac{z_{1-\alpha/2}}{k}\right)^2 \cdot \left(\frac{\mu_X^2 + \sigma_X^2}{\mu_X^2}\right) = \lambda_F(1 + CV_X^2)$  where the *standard for full credibility for claim severity* is  $\lambda_F(1 + CV_X^2)$ .

**Note** The conditions are the same for the *Pure Premium* as for the aggregate loss.

### Partial Credibility

The *credibility factor* for :

**Claim Frequency** is  $Z = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_N}{\lambda_F}}$ .

**Claim Severity** is  $Z = \sqrt{\frac{N}{\lambda_F CV_X^2}}$ .

**Aggregate Loss and Pure Premium** is  $Z = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_N}{\lambda_F(1 + CV_X^2)}}$



## Bühlmann Credibility

### Context

Bühlmann's approach, a.k.a. the greatest accuracy approach or the least squares approach, estimates the future loss measure  $X_{jt}$

[Basic framework](#)

[Variance components](#)

[Credibility factors](#)

## Bayesian Credibility

[Basic framework](#)

[Premium](#)

[Conjugate distributions](#)

[Nonparametric empirical Bayes method](#)

# C

---

## Linear Mixed Models

---

## D

---

# Bayesian Analysis and Markov Chain Monte Carlo

---

# E

---

## Statistical Learning

---

### K-Nearest Neighbors

# Decision Trees

## Principal Components Analysis (PCA)

## Clustering