

Alec Howard

November 8, 2022

CYB-3361-01

Lab 2: One-Way Hash Function & MAC Lab

1. Hash Functions for File Containing the String: "The bees are behind your eyes."
 - a. Md5: openssl dgst -md5 myfile.txt >> md5hash
 - i. Output: MD5(myfile.txt)= 541e15c0a35a191f5dccc3f25b9d4a09
 - b. Sha1: openssl dgst -sha1 myfile.txt >> sha1hash
 - i. Output: SHA1(myfile.txt)= bd19c222260bcf3cd42d6624bc845c7a05c25721
 - c. Sha256: openssl dgst -sha256 myfile.txt >> sha256hash
 - i. Output: SHA256(myfile.txt)=
875dcde8acb951e08b0f9166b71662a6c99e56f14a48430a593fa4effd30e152

I observed that the more complicated the hashing algorithm, the longer the hashed string became.

2. Keyed Hashes for File Containing the String: "We are in your walls."
 - a. HMAC-Md5:
 - i. openssl dgst -md5 -hmac "abcdefg" myfile.txt
 1. Output: HMAC-MD5(myfile.txt)= 4bfb9bea00bdbd4ec06c3552cd106daf
 - ii. openssl dgst -md5 -hmac "abcdefghijkmnop" myfile.txt
 1. Output: HMAC-MD5(myfile.txt)= eb1000066c8e15b8f60933ae62a0f9b9
 - b. HMAC-Sha1:
 - i. openssl dgst -sha1 -hmac "abcdefg" myfile.txt
 1. Output: HMAC-SHA1(myfile.txt)=
0d187f205235424daee49d2bd108d459873122ae
 - ii. openssl dgst -sha1 -hmac "abcdefghijkmnop" myfile.txt
 1. Output: HMAC-SHA1(myfile.txt)=
6d22227c9b29273e863c3ee471bb24326490eb01
 - c. HMAC-Sha256:
 - i. openssl dgst -sha256 -hmac "abcdefg" myfile.txt
 1. Output: HMAC-SHA256(myfile.txt)=
89f53a4f402e2252bec5f1d24174888e987aac77639ec4c87c1f0eeea7293d3a
 - ii. openssl dgst -sha256 -hmac "abcdefghijkmnop" myfile.txt
 1. Output: HMAC-SHA256(myfile.txt)=
5d415da24161c739947687cd203bc57bc071d3fcf964c484e4816c9fefb0b554

The key used for HMAC does not need to have a fixed size. It requires a key of at least 64 bits and any keys greater than that are first hashed and then used.

3. Randomness of One-Way Hash

- a. I created a text file containing the string: "hungerstrike"
- b. I hashed the file using the following command: `openssl dgst -md5 myfile.txt >> md5hash`
 - i. Output: MD5(myfile.txt)= 3efdeb6ea539d76fd309ecbde71ce76
- c. I flipped a bit with the following command: `printf '\x00' | dd of=myfile.txt bs=1 seek=55 count=1 conv=notrunc`
- d. I hashed the flipped file: `openssl dgst -md5 myfile.txt >> md5hash_flipped`
 - i. Output: MD5(myfile.txt)= bbe55c3363d3d46cef7420faf6d91b3d

Original Binary:

```
00000000 | 68 75 6E 67 65 72 73 74 72 69 6B 65 0A 0A | hungerstrike..
```

Flipped Binary:

```
00000000 | 68 75 6E 67 65 72 73 74 72 69 6B 65 0A 00 00 00 00 00
```

It appears that the 28th bit was affected.

H1 and H2 were very different, here is an algorithm I wrote to calculate the number of identical bits:

```
1 //Alec Howard
2 class Main {
3     public static void main(String[] args) {
4         String h1 = "3efdeb6ea539d76fd309ecbde71ce76";
5         String h2 = "bbe55c3363d3d46cef7420faf6d91b3d";
6
7         Integer count = 0;
8         for (int i = 0; i < h1.length(); i++){
9             if (h1.charAt(i) == h2.charAt(i)){
10                 count++;
11             }
12         }
13
14         System.out.println(count + " Identical Bits.");
15     }
16 }
17 }
```

```
> sh -c javac -classpath ./target/dependency/* -d . $(find . -type f -name '*.java')
> java -classpath ./target/dependency/* Main
2 Identical Bits.
```

This shows that there are 2 identical bits the resulting hashes.

4. 4.1

- a. Theoretically it should take 2^{23} or ~8388608 attempts.
- b. I created a random string generator for the message and for each brute force attempt. Then they are both encrypted and a new string is tested until a match is found.

i.

```

#Alec Howard
#11/8/2022
#8 PM
import hashlib
import random
import string

chars = ""
#Generate string to be tested
for j in range(12):
    y = ''.join(random.choice(string.ascii_uppercase + string.ascii_lowercase + string.digits))
    chars = chars + y

print (chars)

incr = 0
#length = 10
h = hashlib.md5(chars.encode())
while True:
    m = ""
    incr +=1

    #generate new random string
    for i in range(12):
        x = ''.join(random.choice(string.ascii_uppercase + string.ascii_lowercase + string.digits))
        m = m + x
    m = hashlib.md5(m.encode())

    #Compare first 24 bits
    if m.hexdigest()[:6] == h.hexdigest()[:6]:
        print("Hash Cracked, Number of Attempts: ")
        print(incr)
        break

```

- c. Average Attempts: 5994245.67
 - i. First Trial: 2700005 attempts
 - ii. Second Trial: 8361147
 - iii. Third Trial: 6921585
5. 4.2
- a. Theoretically, it should take 2^{12} or, ~ 4096 attempts
 - b. For my program, I added each randomly-generated strings to a hashmap if it wasn't already in it. If it appeared in the hashmap already, then I printed and broke the loop.

i.

```

#Alec Howard
#11/8/2022
#8 PM
import hashlib
import random
import string
import re

incr = 0
hashmap = {}
while True:
    m = ""
    incr += 1
    #generate new random string
    for i in range(12):
        x = ''.join(random.choice(string.ascii_uppercase + string.ascii_lowercase + string.digits))
        m = m + x

    if incr == 1:
        print(m)
    temp = m
    m = hashlib.md5(m.encode())

    for v in hashmap.values():
        if v == m.hexdigest()[:6]:
            print("Hash Cracked, Number of Attempts: ")
            print(str(incr))
            print("String: ")
            print(temp)
            break
    hashmap[incr] = m.hexdigest()[:6]

```

ii. Two strings with the same first 24:

1. LIElTjcXf5B
2. kmnufqyde8K

c. Average Attempts: 7,957 attempts

- i. First Trial: 746 attempts
- ii. Second Trial: 10030 attempts
- iii. Third Trial: 13095 attempts

6. BONUS:

- a. Based on my observations, Collision-Free as far easier to break.
- b. The average for One-Way was 5994245.67 attempts, while the average for Collision-Free was only 7957 attempts