## Mode of Multivariate Gaussian Distribution

Premmi

May 11, 2016

*Proof.* The pdf of  $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$  is given by

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{D/2}} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{1/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right\}$$
(1)

where  $\mathbf{x}$  is d dimensional.

To find the mode i.e. the maximum of the Gaussian distribution, we differentiate the pdf with respect to  $\mathbf{x}$  and equate it to 0 to find the critical point where the function is maximum or minimum and then we use the second derivative test to ascertain that the function is maximized at that point. (The second derivative test for a function of more than one variable generalizes to a test based on the eigenvalues of the function's Hessian matrix at the critical point. Assuming that all the second order partial derivatives of the function are continuous on a neighbourhood of a critical point  $\mathbf{x}$ , then if the eigenvalues of the Hessian at  $\mathbf{x}$  are all **positive**, then  $\mathbf{x}$  is a local **minimum**, if the eigenvalues are all **negative**, then  $\mathbf{x}$  is a local **maximum**, and if some are positive and some negative, then the point is a **saddle point**. If the Hessian matrix is **singular**, then the second derivative test is **inconclusive**.)

Differentiating (1) with respect to  $\mathbf{x}$ ,

$$\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{D/2}} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{1/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right\} \left\{-\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right\}$$
(2)

$$= -f(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \tag{3}$$

Equating (2) to zero, we get the critical point

$$\mathbf{x} = \boldsymbol{\mu} \tag{4}$$

To verify that the pdf is maximized at (4), we evaluate the Hessian matrix at  $\mu$  and check that it is negative definite.

Differentiating (3) with respect to  $\mathbf{x}$ ,

$$\frac{\partial^2 f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x} \partial \mathbf{x}^T} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \left( \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}^T} \right) \tag{5}$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \left( -f(\mathbf{x}) \left( \mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu} \right)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \right)$$
 (6)

$$= f(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} - f(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}$$
 (7)

$$= f(\mathbf{x}) \left( \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \left( \mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu} \right) \left( \mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu} \right)^{T} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} - \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \right)$$
(8)

Evaluating (8) at  $\mathbf{x} = \boldsymbol{\mu}$  we get,

$$\left. \frac{\partial^2 f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x} \partial \mathbf{x}^T} \right|_{\mathbf{x} = \boldsymbol{\mu}} = -f(\boldsymbol{\mu}) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}$$
(9)

We know that the covariance matrix  $\Sigma$  is positive definite, hence its inverse  $\Sigma^{-1}$  is also positive definite. (since if  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $\Sigma$ , then  $1/\lambda$  is the corresponding eigenvalue of  $\Sigma^{-1}$ . As  $\lambda$  is positive, so is  $1/\lambda$  which implies that all the eigenvalues of  $\Sigma^{-1}$  are positive.)

We know that  $f(\boldsymbol{\mu})$  is positive everywhere, since the pdf is positive, and hence  $-\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}$  is negative definite which proves that the pdf is maximized at  $\mathbf{x} = \boldsymbol{\mu}$ . (if  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}$ , then  $-\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = -\lambda\mathbf{x}$ )

Hence the mode of the multivariate Gaussian distribution is  $\mu$ .

## References

[1] Christopher M. Bishop. Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning. Exercise 1.9