How to check classifiers... the measures taken.

1. Matthews Correlation Coefficient (MCC)

- Measures the quality of binary classifications
- Takes into account **all four** confusion matrix categories (TP, TN, FP, FN)
- Range: -1 (worst) to +1 (perfect); 0 = random
- Good for imbalanced datasets

2. Cohen's Kappa

- Measures agreement between predicted and actual labels, adjusted for chance agreement
- Especially useful when evaluating **human vs model agreement**

3. Balanced Accuracy

- Average of sensitivity (recall) and specificity
- Good when classes are **imbalanced**

4. Log Loss (Cross-Entropy Loss)

- Used when model predicts **probabilities** (not just classes)
- Penalizes confident but wrong predictions heavily
- Lower = better

5. Brier Score

- Measures probability accuracy (how close your predicted probabilities are to true labels)
- Lower = better
- Often used in calibration of classifiers

6. G-Mean (Geometric Mean)

- Geometric mean of sensitivity and specificity
- Used for **imbalanced datasets** to ensure both classes are treated fairly

7. Top-K Accuracy (Top-1, Top-5, etc.)

- Common in **image classification** (e.g., ImageNet)
- "Was the correct label in the top K predictions?"

8. Average Precision (AP) / Mean Average Precision (mAP)

• Used in object detection, ranking, and retrieval

• Average of precisions at different thresholds

9. Precision@K, Recall@K

- Popular in recommender systems or search engines
- How many of the top-K recommendations were actually relevant?

10. Hamming Loss

- Used in multi-label classification
- Fraction of wrong labels to total labels

Use Case	Recommended Metrics	
Imbalanced datasets	MCC, F1, Balanced Accuracy, G-Mean	
Probabilistic predictions	Log Loss, Brier Score, ROC-AUC	
Multi-class classification	Macro/Micro F1, Top-K Accuracy, Cohen's Kappa	
Ranking or recommendations	Precision@K, mAP, Recall@K	
Multi-label classification	Hamming Loss, Subset Accuracy, Jaccard Score	

Metric	Formula	Think of it as	Easy Mnemonic
Accuracy	, ,	How often your prediction is overall correct	"How many total correct"
Precision	TP / (TP + FP)	Of all predicted positives, how many were actually correct	"Trust your positive s"
Recall (Sensitivity)		Of all real positives, how many did you catch ?	"Catch all real cases"
Specificity	TN / (TN + FP)	Of all real negatives, how many did you correctly ignore ?	"Ignore negatives well"
F1 Score		Balances precision and recall (harmonic mean)	"Trade-off score"
ROC Curve	Plot of TPR vs. FPR	\mathcal{E}	"Curve for classifier quality"
AUC	Area under ROC	One number summarizing the ROC curve — 1 is best	"Bigger area = better"