RESCIENCEC

Replication / Computer Science

[Re] Velho and Legrand (2009) - Accuracy Study and Improvement of Network Simulation in the SimGrid Framework

Arnaud Legrand^{1,2,3,4,5, ID} and Pedro Velho⁶

¹Univ. Grenoble Alpes, Grenoble, France - ²CNRS, Délégation Alpes, Grenoble, France - ³INRIA Rhone Alpes, Grenoble, France - ⁴Grenoble INP (Institute of Engineering Univ. Grenoble Alpes), Grenoble, France - ⁵Laboratoire d'Informatique de Grenoble, CNRS UMR5217, 38000 Grenoble, France - ⁶Ryax Technologies, Lyon, France

Edited by (Editor)

Received 01 November 2018

Published

DOI

This paper reports the successful reproduction of the results in a article [1] entitled *Accuracy Study and Improvement of Network Simulation in the SimGrid Framework*, which has been published at the SimuTools 2009 conference. In this article, we detail several pitfalls we stumbled upon during this process and report the actions we took to improve the reproducibility of this work.

The first action we took is related to the visibility and availability of this article. Open access was not mandated by the funders of this research at the time of publication and the only the bibliography entry was available on HAL. The preprint was hosted on the webpage of both authors and visible mostly through the SimGrid publication webpage.

Action #1: The PDF version of the original article has thus been uploaded on HAL when engaging in the replication process.

Historical Context

This article compares the accuracy of two methods for predicting how competing TCP network flows interfere with each others. It is the first article Arnaud Legrand wrote with his first PhD student Pedro Velho. It was already a reproduction of the work [2] of close colleagues, Henri Casanova and Kayo Fujiwara, and we already had faced difficulties in doing so at that time. Actually, we could never obtain the exact same numbers as them despite their care and ours. This failure motivated us to improve our methodology, and in particular switching to R, but it was 10 years ago. It was thus a good test of time!

1.1 Scientific context

SimGrid is a simulation toolking allowing to evaluate the performance of large scale distributed computing systems such as data grids, desktop grids, clusters or peer-to-peer systems. In this field, it is common to resort to simulation which enable to (in theory) reproducible results and allow to explore many application and platform scenarios, including platforms which do not exist yet. Unfortunately, as noted in [3], in this field most people build their own "ad-hoc" simulators which are rarely validated (, which makes final results quite questionable) nor made available (, which hinders both reproducibility of results and comparison of articles with one anothers). SimGrid is an attempt to provide a high quality simulation toolkit, which would be stable and perenial from a software point of view and whose models would be as validated as possible against reality and other simulators.

Copyright © 2020 A. Legrand and P. Velho, released under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Correspondence should be addressed to Arnaud Legrand (arnaud.legrand@imag.fr)
The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.
Code is available at https://github.com/alegrand/reproducibility-challenge..

In this context, network simulation is certainly the most critical part and packet-level simulation are thus often considered as particularly realistic and faithful since they try to account for every detail of the network protocols. Unfortunately, such *microscopic* approach is undoubtedly interesting when studying peculiarities of network protocols, it leads to prohibitively long simulation time when studying large-scale distributed systems. An alternative is thus to simulate networks by relying on higer level, *macroscopic* models, thus enabling much faster simulation at the potential cost of an accuracy loss. SimGrid, uses a flow-level approach that approximates the behavior of TCP networks, including TCP's bandwidth sharing properties. A preliminary study of the accuracy loss by comparing it to popular packet-level simulators has been proposed in [2] and in which regimes in which SimGrid's accuracy was comparable to that of these packet-level simulators were identified. The article we reproduce here [1] was a reproduction these experiments and provided a deeper analysis that enabled to greatly improve SimGrid's range of validity.

The network is modeled as a graph where nodes represent hosts while edges represent network links. In SimGrid's flow-level modeling, the time needed to transfer a message of size S between hosts i and j is given by:

$$T_{i,j}(S) = L_{i,j} + S/B_{i,j},$$
 (1)

where $L_{i,j}$ (resp. $B_{i,j}$) is the end-to-end network latency (resp. bandwidth) on the route connecting i and j. Although determining $L_{i,j}$ may be straightforward, estimating the bandwidth $B_{i,j}$ is more difficult as it depends on interactions with every other flow. This is generally done by assuming that the flow has reached *steady-state*, in which case the simulation amounts to solving a bandwidth sharing problem, i.e., determining how much bandwidth is allocated to each flow.

More formally, consider a connected network that consists of a set of links \mathcal{L} , in which each link l has capacity B_l . Consider a set of flows \mathcal{F} , where each flow is a communication between two network vertices along a given path. Determine a "realistic" bandwidth allocation ρ_f for flow f, so that:

$$\forall l \in \mathcal{L}, \sum_{f \text{ going through } l} \rho_f \leqslant B_l . \tag{2}$$

In SimGrid, the "realistic" bandwidth sharing model [4] used is Max-min fairness [5], which is reached by recursively maximizing

$$\min_{f \in \mathcal{F}} w_f \rho_f \quad \text{under constraints in Eq. (2)}, \tag{3}$$

where w_f is generally chosen as the round-trip time of flow f. This objective corresponds to what one would naively expect from a network, i.e. be "as fair as possible" so that the least favored flows receive as much bandwidth as possible while accounting through weights w_f for the well-known RTT-unfairness of TCP [6].

Given the computed bandwidth allocation (which defines all data transfer rates), and the size of the data to be transmitted by each flow, one can determine which flow will complete first. Upon completion of a flow, or upon arrival of a new flow, the bandwidth allocation can be reevaluated. Compared to a packet-level simulation, this approach allows to quickly step-forward in time when large data transfers are involved. However, since steady-state is assumed, it ignores many transient aspects such as throughput oscillations, and slow start. This work was later extended to compare with other bandwidth sharing models [7] and has been the core of the PhD thesis of Pedro Velho [8].

In the article we reproduce, the accuracy of the *flow-level* simulations of SimGrid are compared to the *packet-level* simulations of GTNetS [9] the Georgia Tech Network Simulator. This was done through three series of simulations

1. One-link: The first set of experiments is for a single TCP flow going through a single link with varying physical **latency** and **bandwidth**, and message **size**. The

main goal of this scenario is to study the size for which transient effects such as slow start are negligible.

- 2. A Dumbell Topology: The second set of experiments is for two TCP flows A and B on a dumbbell topology with varying **bandwidth** of the inner link and **latency** of the end-link used by flow B. The main goal of this scenario is to study the ability of accounting for RTT-unfairness.
- 3. Random Topology: 4 sets of 10 random topologies generated with two topology generators were used. The sets comprised either small (50 nodes) or large (200 nodes) and either relatively homogeneous or heterogeneous platforms. 200 flows were generated between random pairs of end-points in the topology, which all start simultaneously and communicate 100MB of data. The main goal of this scenario is to evaluate the overall accuracy of SimGrid and possibly to detect corner-case situations for which the SimGrid model was particularly wrong.

Due to the long simulation time, we only reproduce in this article the first series of simulation but we checked that we could easily run at least one simulation of the two other series.

1.2 Computational context

SimGrid is mostly written in C while GTNetS is mostly written in C++ and both are open source simulators. Although SimGrid is designed to be as stand alone as possible GTNetS relies on third party libraries. The first challenge would thus be to reproduce a software environment allowing to recompile and rerun both libraries.

To ease the comparison of both simulators, SimGrid had been modified to run GTNetS internally, which allowed to easily switch between the microscopic (GTNetS) model and the macroscopic (Max-Min) model from the command line, while using the exact same platform description and communication scenario. This integration required modifying both SimGrid and GTNetS and was done through a set of patches before being integrated in the main branch of SimGrid. The second challenge would thus be to manage to correctly modify and recompile a simulator using both libraries.

Although these details were not given in the articles, the general workflow of the simulations for all three scenarios was as follow:

- A simple C code called gtnets.c was linked against SimGrid and GTNetS;
- A perl script called sweep-parse.pl (when called with the sweep argument) would generate platform and flow/deployment XML input files and run all simulations by passing the previous XML input file to the gtnets binary with a different command line argument to switch between the GTNetS model and the Max-Min model. The simulation would produce a text output.
- The same perl script (when called with the parse argument) would then parse all the text logs and produce a csv data file.
- The data file would then be analyzed with an R script and since our mastery of R was quite low at that time, we still relied on gnuplot to generate figures.

The third challenge would thus be to manage to run all this workflow, provided the right instructions could be found.

Note that although the first two series of experiments did not have much external dependencies, the third one relied on many random network topologies generated by BRITE [10], which is a dicontinued Java software, using the Waxman model [Waxman]. The description of the parameters used to generate the topologies were shallow and there was no information regarding seeds so our hope to rerun this software to regenerate the same topologies was quite low. However, theses intermediate files may have

been stored and made available. The fourth challenge would thus be to recover the network topology and data used in the third series of experiments.

2 Rebuilding the code and its environment

2.1 Original source code and retrieval of the software

Instructions — Although the development of SimGrid is still very active, GTNetS' development appears to be discontinued as the last version of GTNetS dates back October 2008. Finding both source code is relatively easy however, the main difficulty was to find the instructions and to know which version to use. SimGrid has successively moved from the Inria gforge to the Inria gitlab, GitHub, and more recently Framagit. Although the whole software history has been correctly moved in the process, we realized some information have not been transfered and even sometimes lost:

• Although we could have used a development version of SimGrid from late 2009, we thought it would be simpler to reproduce this work using a stable release (e.g., the version 3.3, which dates from April 2009). Unfortunately, the releases of SimGrid on GitHub only start from May 2010. Indeed, although the SimGrid git history starts from 2004 (, when migrating from CVS to SVN), when the development team decided to migrate from subversion to git (in 2010), the SVN tags have not been transfered. Fortunately, the old releases of SimGrid are still available on the Inria gforge.

Action #2: We have thus now uploaded the original release of SimGrid version 3.3 on Github.

• The LaTeX source of the article is stored in the private Inria Gforge simgrid-publis project, in an svn under the PUBLISHED/09_validation_simutools directory.

Action #3: We have now made the LATEX source of the article available in the github repository attached to this Rescience submission.

- We know that we made our instructions on how conduct these experiments available somewhere but no link was given in the original article and we could not really remember where it was as there was no standard way of doing so back then. We though they were given on the former contrib/section of http://simgrid.gforge.inria.fr/ (, which was hard to maintain and was thus abandonned) or on http://simgrid-publis.gforge.inria.fr/ (, which finally only hosts data on two articles from 2011). However after inspecting the Internet Archive, we could not find it.
- Arnaud Legrand therefore tried them on his laptop but although he could find many related files (including the topology generators) he failed finding the right data and doing so, he realized many the data of some of his previously published articles were dangling links and had not been correctly transfered when migrating from a laptop to an other! The instructions could probably have been recovered on backup hard drives but he had the chance to meet Pedro Velho and to ask him whether his own backups were in better shape, which was fortunately the case. Pedro Velho could find all the required data (a 61MB zip archive) and shared it through dropbox. It turned out that we later realized that this archive was also available from Pedro Velho's former webpage, which is still available but which is not highly ranked on search engines and which he cannot modify anymore as he does not work for the same company anymore.

<u>Action #4:</u> We have now made the instructions and data used in the original article available in the GitHub repository attached to this Rescience submission.

simutools09/instructions/README

Author : Pedro Velho last modified : 03/11/2008

************ Disclaimer ***********

This text as well as the data and results provided here are under GPL copyright. To consult the GPL terms and usage condition see in the top directory: GPL.txt

All programs use the gtnets.c simulation program. A source code copy is located in this

CAUTION: This script relies on parsing the output, so every modification (even slight ones) on gtnets.c output may cause the parsing feature to unpredicted behavior. If you are not sure about your gtnets.c file please use the one distributed here.

Short History

This directory hold experiment comparing the SimGrid framework network simulation engine with GTNets. GTNets is a packet level network simulator and we believe it can provide realistic transmission time prediction due to its characteristic of simulating through discrete events the entire TCP protocol stack. This work is the normal continuation of the work presented by Kayo Fujiwara and Henry Casanova in [1] and was submitted to the SimuTools09 conference which is still to be evaluated and accepted/reject.

##################################### Directory Structure

Three category of experiments were performed. Each one was tackled separately and are organize in distinct directories as follows:

- ./Ol-onelink Verify message size communication time correlation
- * ./01-onelink verily message size seminary.

 * ./02-dumbbell Bandwidth sharing experiments

 * ./03-random Complex platforms to assure model improvements

################################## Global System Requirements

Experiments and analyze scripts are provided to reproduce the graphs presented in [FIXME(Rapport de recherche ou reference simutools]. To run experiments some minimum system requirements are needed:

- * GTNets patched simgrid version, we kindly provide GTNets with patches in the simgrid contrib svn repository [FIXME]
 * SimGrid, configured and compiled with GTNets support [FIXME]

For plotting graphs and explore the data:

- * R the gnu version of S [FIXME]
- * Gnuplot [FIXME]

Refernces ####################################

[FIXME] The R (GNU S) language website. FIXME URL [FIXME] Plotting scientific data with Gnuplot. FIXME URL [FIXME] SimGrid website. FIXME URL [FIXME] Kayo Fujiwara and Henry Casanova FIXME

Figure 1. The README which accompanies instructions recovered from Pedro Velho on the simulation workflow are very helpful to understand the general process but lack important version information.

This archive comprises 3 sub-archives corresponding to each of the 3 series of simulations mentioned earlier (01-onelink.tgz, 02-dumbbell.tgz, 03-random.tgz) as well as a GTNetS version (GTNetS-Oct-10-08.tar.gz) and the master simulation file (gtnets.c) which should be compiled against SimGrid and GTNetS. The README that can be found in each subarchives describes in details how to rerun the experiments and corresponds to the process described in Section 1.2. Unfortunately, the master README (see Figure 1 provides information about dates and the contents of the archive but most information related to software versions are broken (it was a working version, which we intended to consolidate when the article would be accepted). Furthermore, after a thorough inspection of the GTNetS archive, we realized it did not seem to have been patched.

• Arnaud Legrand therefore started searching again for gtnets versions that would be on his laptop and finally found it, along with all the patches and compiling instructions which are crucial to correctly build such prototype software (see Figure 2. These information were actually public but had become completely hidden in the (now unmaintained and long forgotten) contrib section of the SVN (while git is now the default version manager) of the Inria Gforge SimGrid project.

 $\underline{Action~\#5:}$ We have now ensured that the GTNetS version and the patches we used are archived on Software Heritage. a

^aThe save request was done on 4/30/2020, 6:50:02 PM but it is still pending.

In the end, we have thus managed to recover three important archives, whose versions should be the one run to produce the results of the original Simutools09 article:

- 1. The stable release v3.3 of SimGrid (from April 2009) from the public Inria Gforge. Although experiments were probably run in late 2008, the previous stable SimGrid release is from 2007 and v3.3 incorporates everything that was needed.
- 2. A snapshot of GTNetS from January 2008 along with the patches to apply from the public Inria Gforge but which was not visible anymore.
- 3. The simulation instructions and data from one of the author's hard drive.

No information regarding the software environment is available except that it was run on a Debian in the late 2008.

2.2 Rebuilding the software environment

SimGrid is mostly a C library whose software dependencies had at that time been kept to the bare minimum (C and C++ compiler). Furthermore, we are developers of the SimGrid library so building it was rather straightforward. However, after trying to compile GTNetS, we realized it depends on the Qt3 GUI Library whereas the version which is now commonly found is Qt5! Therefore, we decided to recreate a minimal software environment as close as possible.

The codename for a stable Debian distribution at that time was *Lenny*. Debian provides two particularly interesting tools to reproduce "old" environments:

- 1. The Debian snapshot archive is a wayback machine that allows access to old packages based on dates and version numbers. It consists of all past and current packages the Debian archive provides.
- 2. The Debuerreotype is a reproducible, snapshot-based Debian rootfs builder. It allows to prepare from old debian images from the snapshot archive, which is particularly useful to build Docker images containing old software environments.

UNDER REVIEW

```
simutools09/README.patching_GTNetS
_____
GTNetS/Simgrid patch README
author: Pedro Velho
Note About this Patch
This patch is intended to work only with GTNetS downloaded from the GTNetS website link:
http://www.ece.gatech.edu/research/labs/MANIACS/GTNetS/software/gtnets-current.zip The last time this patch was downloaded was June 12 2008, Seems they don't have much control about new GTNetS and some other flaws it is difficult to precise a verison number.
Getting GTNetS
Two ways of getting GTNetS, one from the gtnets website and svn simgrid contrib projec tree
(RECOMMENDED).
$ wget http://www.ece.gatech.edu/research/labs/MANIACS/GTNetS/software/gtnets-current.zip
$ svn checkout svn://scm.gforge.inria.fr/svn/simgrid/contrib/trunk/GTNetS/
Applying the PATCH
$ unzip gtnets-current.zip
$ tar zxvf gtnets-current-patch.tgz
$ cat *.patch | patch -p1
Compilling GTNetS
Enter directory
$ cd gtnets-current
GTNetS is not a very active project for the moment and the portabillity is really limitated.
For the moment we tried out this patch only in linux platforms using:
gcc (GCC) 4.1.3 20070629 (prerelease) (Debian 4.1.2-13) Linux 2.6.21-2-686
Create a Makefile.linux symbolic link
$ ln -sf Makefile.linux Makefile
Create dependecies list
$ make depend
To compile debug version
$ make debug
To compile optimized version
$ make opt
=== WARNING ===
A lot of warnings are expected but the application should compile just fine. If the makefile insists in compiling some QT libraries please try a make clean before asking for help.
Installing GTNetS
Commands make debug and opt generates respectivelly libgtsim-opt.so or libgtsim-debug.so. You will need to link ONLY ONE of these libraries using the simbolic link name libgtnets.so,
for instance to libgtsim-debug.so:
# ln -sf libgtsim-debug.so / \( \text{vuserhome} \) / \( \tex
Now just put the library somewhere you know ldd is searching (tip: export LD_LIBRARY_PATH=$LD_LIBRARY_PATH:/<userhome>/usr/lib/libgtnets.so && sudo ldconfig)
The gtnets source headers are necessary. So you need to copy all headers to a place where
your compiler can find them, such as:

$ mkdir /<userhome>/usr/include/gtnets
$ cp -fr SRC/*.h /<userhome>/usr/include/gtnets
Compilling SimGrid with GTNetS
Just add the following option when running configure --with-gtnets=/<userhome>/usr
Bug reports, comments, suggestions: pedro.velho{\at}imag.fr
AMD64 bit patch
Some users experienced some problems during compilation on AMD64 bit architecture. We compiled
successfully the gtnets-current package in an:
                                : AMD Opteron(tm) Processor 248
stepping
                                : 8
cpu MHz
                                : 2193.160
cache size
                                : 1024 KB
clflush size
                                : 64
cache_alignment : 64
Using gcc (GCC) 4.2.3 (Debian 4.2.3-3)
We provide a simple patch to do this: AMD64-FATAL-Removed-DUL_SIZE_DIFF-Added-fPIC-compillin.patch
```

Figure 2. The README which accompanies the GTNetS patches provides many critical information on how to compile GTNetS and SimGrid.

Pedro and myself regularly used testing so after investigating a bit on the snapshot archive which versions of the libraries and when they had been introduced, we decided to try to bootstrap a debian Lenny from the 1st of May 2009 with the following command:

```
debuerreotype-init --keyring=/usr/share/keyrings/debian-archive-removed-keys.gpg \ rootfs testing 2009-05-01-T03:27:08Z
```

Building such an image involves installing (with dpkg) old packages in a subdirectory pretending you are root. The keyring argument passed to debuerreotype-init allows to indicate dpkg that it is safe to install these old packages even if they have been signed by package maintainers which are currently not active anymore. Unfortunately, although this approach worked like a charm for more recent target dates (e.g., 2015-06-04-T10:47:50Z), it miserably fails with a "Segmentation fault" when installing base-passwd:

```
W: Failure trying to run: chroot "/home/alegrand/Work/Documents/Articles/2020/
reproducibility_challenge/simgrid3.3_gtnets/rootfs" dpkg --force-depends
--install /var/cache/apt/archives/base-passwd_3.5.21_amd64.deb
W: See /home/alegrand/Work/Documents/Articles/2020/reproducibility_challenge/
simgrid3.3_gtnets/rootfs/debootstrap/debootstrap.log for details
error: 'debootstrap' failed!
```

We then decided to cry for help and asked two Debian guru friends, Vincent Danjean and Samuel Thibault. Samuel Thibault indicated me that he had investigated this by using the simpler following command:

```
debootstrap wheezy myroot http://archive.debian.org/debian/
```

and that the error message was then slightly more visible

```
dpkg: warning: parsing file '/var/lib/dpkg/status' near line 5 package 'dpkg':
missing description

Package: dpkg
Status: install ok installed
Maintainer: unknown
Version: 1.16.18
```

The problem actually comes from dpkg. When bootstraping such an image, we try to use old debian packages with a recent dpkg (the one running on our machine) so it is not surprising that it may break. After all, the internal format of Debian packages could have evolved and may not be supported anymore with recent versions of dpkg. Likewise, it is somehow a matter of luck that an old binary still works with a recent kernel... Indeed, when using docker or similar container-based approach, we only divert syscalls so if the ABI of the Linux kernel had changed in the meantime, binary codes would simply fail to run. Fortunately, such changes are quite rare and the Linux/Debian community is making incredible efforts to provide super stable backward compatible software so what could be the reason behind this failure?

Surprisingly Vincent Danjean reported me that the command worked like a charm for him, which means some local configuration of my or from his machine could change this behavior. We could actually track back the problem to an ABI modification of the kernel. As explained for example on the Einstein@Home forum, "On latest Linux distros, vsyscall is defaulted to none for security reasons. However, this breaks some very old binaries, including some binaries from this project that are statically-linked against ancient versions of glibc". Vincent had activated this a long time ago to run some old proprietary code. Booting the machine while adding vsyscall=emulate to the kernel command line allows debuerreotype to build the desired rootfs.

Since this is a bit far-fetched, we decided to check whether ready-to-use Docker images were available on the Docker Hub, which is the case. After playing a bit interactively in this Docker image trying to install everything we needed to build GTNetS and SimGrid, and following the patching and compiling instructions, we ended up with the Dockerfile presented in Figure 3. The image can be simply built with the following command:

```
shell
 docker build -t alegrand/simgrid3_3_gtnets simgrid3.3_gtnets
                             simutools09/simgrid3.3_gtnets/Dockerfile
FROM lpenz/debian-lenny-i386
LABEL maintainer="Arnaud Legrand <arnaud.legrand@imag.fr>"
# Software dependencies
less libqt3-mt libqt3-headers libqt3-mt-dev qt3-dev-tools
# Code dowloading and assembly dependencies
RUN apt-get update \
          && apt-get install -y --force-yes wget unzip subversion patch less
# Downloading GTNetS
RUN cd /root; svn checkout svn://scm.gforge.inria.fr/svn/simgrid/contrib/trunk/GTNetS/
# Downloading SimGrid
RUN cd /root; wget https://gforge.inria.fr/frs/download.php/file/21430/simgrid-3.3.tar.gz
# Building GTNetS
RUN cd /root/GTNetS/; unzip gtnets-current.zip ; tar zxvf gtnets-current-patch.tgz
RUN cd /root/GTNetS/gtnets-current; cat ../00*.patch | patch -p1
RUN cd /root/GTNetS/gtnets-current; ln -sf Makefile.linux Makefile && make depend && make opt
# Installing GTNetS
RUN cd /root/GTNetS/gtnets-current/ && \
    mkdir -p /root/usr/lib/ &&
    ln -sf `pwd`/libgtsim-opt.so /root/usr/lib/libgtnets.so && \
ln -sf `pwd`/libgtsim-opt.so /usr/lib/libgtnets.so && \
    mkdir -p /root/usr/include/ &&
cp -fr SRC/*.h /root/usr/include/
# Building SimGrid
RUN cd /root/ && tar zxf simgrid-3.3.tar.gz
RUN cd /root/simgrid-3.3/ && \
    ./configure --with-gtnets=/root/usr/ && \
export LD_LIBRARY_PATH=/root/usr/lib/libgtnets.so && \
    ldconfig && \
    make
RUN apt-get clean
```

Figure 3. The Dockerfile recipe which allows to build both GTNetS and Simgrid

Action #6: We have now an automated way to build a minimalistic environment comprising the simulation code used in the original article. This Dockerfile recipe has been made available in the GitHub repository attached to this Rescience submission. The resulting docker image has been made available on the DockerHub. It can be recovered using:

```
docker pull alegrand38/simgrid3_3_gtnets ______ shell ___
```

Note that, as such, this Dockerfile is still a bit fragile as it depends on a third party base image (lpenz/debian-lenny-i386) and downloads the code from gforge.inria.fr. Ideally, it would be improved to build on my own debuerreotype image for a specific date and to dowload the code from software heritage. We propose to leave this for the next reproducibility challenge in a decade or so.

3 Execution and reproduction of results

3.1 Expectations

Following the information from the README of each series of simulations (see Figure 4), we could easily determine which scripts to run (sweep-parse.pl). It is interesting to note that the logs of each simulation was stored in the archive (in log/) as well as the parsing of these logs (in dat/).

Before trying to rerun all this, we ensured a specific parameter combination could be run manually check whether outputs are matching or not. Here was the target:

And here was the output we should get from running gtnets.

```
head -46 simutools09/instructions/01-onelink/log/trace-file-1-1.log shell ____
```

```
======> Bandwidth (B) : 1.000000e+05 B/s (Bytes per second)
======> Latency (L): 0.00001 s (seconds)
                                   (S): 1000 B (Bytes)
======> Size
======> Size (S): 1000
=====> Model (M): CM02
[0.000000] [simix_kernel/INFO] setting 'workstation_model' to 'compound'
UNUBURE LEARN LET REPORT LINEU SETTING 'WORKSTATION_model' to 'compound'
[0.000000] [xbt_cfg/INFO] type in variable = 2
[Sl:master:(1) 0.010010] [msg_test/INFO] Send completed (to Cl). Transfer time: 0.010010
              Agregate bandwidth: 99900.099900
[S1:master:(1) 0.010010] [msg_test/INFO] Completed peer: C1 time: 0.010010 [C1:slave:(2) 0.010010] [msg_test/INFO] ===> Estimated Bw of FLOW[1] : 99900.099900 ; message from S1 to C1 with remaining : 0.000000
======> Bandwidth (B) : 1.000000e+05 B/s (Bytes per second)
======> Latency (L): 0.00001 s (seconds)
=====> Size (S): 1000 B (Bytes)
=====> Model (M): GTNets
[0.000000] [simix_kernel/INFO] setting 'workstation_model' to 'compound' [0.000000] [xbt_cfg/INFO] type in variable = 2
[0.000000] [xbt_crg/lNF0] type in Variable = 2
[0.000000] [simix_kernel/INF0] setting 'cpu_model' to 'Cas01'
[0.000000] [xbt_crg/INF0] type in variable = 2
[0.000000] [simix_kernel/INF0] setting 'network_model' to 'GTNets'
[0.000000] [xbt_crg/INF0] type in variable = 2
[S1:master:(1) 0.013140] [msg_test/INF0] Send completed (to C1). Transfer time: 0.013140

Agregate bandwidth: 76103.500761
[S1:master:(1) 0.013140] [msg_test/INF0] (completed poor: C1 time: 0.013140)
[S1:master:(1) 0.013140] [msg_test/INFO] Completed peer: C1 time: 0.013140

[C1:slave:(2) 0.013140] [msg_test/INFO] ===> Estimated Bw of FLOW[1] : 76103.500761;

message from S1 to C1 with remaining : 0.000000
======> Bandwidth (B) : 1.000000e+05 B/s (Bytes per second)
======> Latency (L): 0.00001 s (seconds)
                                   (S): 1000 B (Bytes)
(M): LegrandVelho
======> Size
=====> Model
[0.000000] [simix_kernel/INFO] setting 'workstation_model' to 'compound' [0.000000] [xbt_cfg/INFO] type in variable = 2 [0.000000] [simix_kernel/INFO] setting 'cpu_model' to 'Cas01' [0.000000] [xbt_cfg/INFO] type in variable = 2
 [0.000000] [simix_kernel/INFO] setting 'network_model' to 'LegrandVelho'
[0.000000] [xst_cfg/INFO] type in variable = 2

[S1:master:(1) 0.010974] [msg_test/INFO] Send completed (to C1). Transfer time: 0.010974

Agregate bandwidth: 91128.086469
[S1:master:(1) 0.010974] [msg_test/INFO] Completed peer: C1 time: 0.010974
```

UNDER REVIEW

```
simutools09/instructions/01-onelink/README
Author : Pedro Velho
last modified : 25/11/2008
####################################
 Disclaimer
##################################
This text as well as the data and results provided here are under GPL copyright. To consult the GPL terms and usage condition see in the top directory: GPL.txt
######################################
 Short history
Experiments with one link are important to validate the linear model. We intend by linear model the assumption that transmission time is correlated to size, bandwidth and latency in some way such as T=S/B+L, for instance. More detailed description about this assumption and the evolution of the SimGrid network engine is presented in[FIXME].
#####################################
 Directory structure
************
This directory contain many files so they are organized in a directory structure as follows:
* ./log
             - Tons of output generated by simgrid while running experiments

The raw.dat file in an R input format
Temporary files, such as those generated by R when gnuplot is called within R
Auxiliary scripts are stored here

* ./dat
\star ./tmp
* ./bin
* ./fig
             - EPS images are generated inside this directory
####################################
 Running Experiments
##################################
######################
  ./bin/sweep-parse.pl
######################
File ./bin/sweep-parse.pl is the most important script it can run the entire set of
experiments using or not a grid/cluster infrastructure to improve simulation speed. Two parameters are used, <first-task> <last-task>. Hence this script run all experiments from
first-task up to last-task including those number passed as parameters. Before running this script is important to correctly set the working directory as your SimGrid gtnets binary
location. All programs here use the gtnets.c program this programs source is normally
located in the experiments top directory.
After all log files are corrected collected in directory ./log this is script may be used to parse results generating ./dat/raw.data which will contain all experiments in a R table
format.
CAUTION: This script relies on parsing the output, so every modification (even slight ones)
on the program output may cause the parsing feature to unpredicted behavior. A gtnets.c version is stored in the top level directory of experiments, this is a trusted version, if
you are not sure about your gtnets.c file please use the one distributed here.
- Example: Be aware that executing the script should overwrite stored output.
./bin/sweep-parse.pl sweep 1 1
This generate one output trace file for the first bandwidth parameter
in ./log/trace-file-1-1.log
./bin/sweep-parse.pl parse
To parse the output, after all log files have been collected.
######################
  analyze.R
```

Figure 4. The README which ships with the first set of experiments.

This file contain all the R function used to analyze the data in ./dat/raw.data. The ./dat/raw.data file is generated by ./bin/sweep-parse.pl script as described before. I'm used to analyze my data within emacs using ESS (Emacs Speaks Statistic) package.

```
[C1:slave:(2) 0.010974] [msg_test/INFO] ===> Estimated Bw of FLOW[1] : 91128.086469 ; message from S1 to C1 with remaining : 0.0000000
```

3.2 Running the simulation in the Docker image

As the reader may have noted, the Docker image we produced only contains the binary code of the simulator but not the input files nor the perl script to run simulations. This is an intended separations of concerns and we believe it is a good practice to keep images as lightweight as possible and easier to maintain. We now describe how manually to rerun the simulation. We should first run the docker container.

```
docker run -ti alegrand38/simgrid3_3_gtnets
```

Then the input files should be copied within the container (\$CONTAINER corresponds to the container id of the contrainter and is obtained either using docker ps or by querying the hostname within the container).

```
docker cp simutools09/instructions/01-onelink/onelink-d-template.xml $CONTAINER:/root/simutools09/01-onelink docker cp simutools09/instructions/01-onelink/onelink-p-template.xml $CONTAINER:/root/simutools09/01-onelink
```

It is then possible to substitute the target parameters in these XML files and to run the simulation in the container:

```
======> Model
                    (M) : CM02
echo 'org_babel_sh_eoe'
echo 'org_babel_sh_eoe'
[0.0000000] [simix_kernel/INFO] setting 'workstation_model' to 'compound'
[0.0000000] [simix_kernel/INFO] type in variable = 2
[0.0000000] [simix_kernel/INFO] setting 'cpu_model' to 'Cas01'
[0.000000] [xbt_cfg/INFO] type in variable = 2
[0.000000] [simix_kernel/INFO] setting 'network_model' to 'CM02'
[0.000000] [xbt_cfg/INFO] type in variable = 2
[S1:master:(1) 0.010010] [msg_test/INFO] Send completed (to C1). Transfer time: 0.010010
         Agregate bandwidth: 99900.099900
[S1:master:(1) 0.010010] [msg_test/INFO] Completed peer: C1 time: 0.010010
[C1:slave:(2) 0.010010] [msg_test/INFO] ===> Estimated Bw of FLOW[1] : 99900.099900 ; message from S1 to C1 with remaining : 0.000000
-
======> Model
                    (M) : GTNets
[0.000000] [simix_kernel/INFO] setting 'workstation_model' to 'compound'
           [xbt_cfg/INF0] type in variable = 2
[0.000000] [simix_kernel/INFO] setting 'cpu_model' to 'Cas01'
[0.000000] [xbt_cfg/INFO] type in variable = 2
[0.000000] [simix_kernel/INFO] setting 'network_model' to 'GTNets' [0.000000] [xbt_cfg/INFO] type in variable = 2
<<<<<=====>>>>>
Dumping GTNETS topollogy information
== LINKID: 0
```

We recover exactly the expected values which are reported on page 10.

3.3 Replicating the first series of simulations

Using the perl script should thus allow to reexecute the simulation. Unfortunately, it comprises hard-coded absolute paths and a few simple minor modifications had thus to be made. Here is how to proceed:

· Within the container:

```
mkdir -p /root/simutools09/01-onelink/bin
mkdir -p /root/simutools09/01-onelink/dat
mkdir -p /root/simutools09/01-onelink/log
mkdir -p /root/simutools09/01-onelink/tmp
```

· Then outside the container:

```
docker cp simutools09/instructions/01-onelink/onelink-d-template.xml $CONTAINER:/root/simutools09/01-onelink

docker cp simutools09/instructions/01-onelink/onelink-p-template.xml $CONTAINER:/root/simutools09/01-onelink

docker cp simutools09/instructions/01-onelink/bin/sweep-parse.pl $CONTAINER:/root/simutools09/01-onelink/bin/sweep-parse.pl
```

• And finally back inside the container, we fix the absolute paths and run the simulations:

```
sed -i 's|/home/velho/Development/projet-simgrid/simgrid/examples/msg/gtnets|/root/simgrid-3
/root/simutools09/01-onelink/bin/sweep-parse.pl
cd /root/simutools09/01-onelink/
./bin/sweep-parse.pl sweep 1 1

cd /root/simutools09/01-onelink/
./bin/sweep-parse.pl sweep 1 1
```



This worked like a charm! Unfortunately, according to the script, there are $40 \times 15 = 600$ (latency, bw) combinations, which take each a bit more than a minute to run, hence about 10 hours solely for the first series of experiments. We did not let it run to the end but we checked that the parsing works and that all results matched for a hundred of combinations.

3.4 Running the analysis of the first series of experiments.

The analysis depends on master R script (simutools09/instructions/01-onelink/analyze.R) which invokes perl and gnuplot. This is uggly but all pretty standard so we decided there was no need to rebuild a dedicated analysis environment and that it should run directly on our machine. Let's do all this in the /tmp to avoid messing up original data. To avoid messing up with the content of the original data, we decided to work in the /tmp of our machine as follows:

```
shell
mkdir -p /tmp/simutools09/01-onelink/dat/
mkdir -p /tmp/simutools09/01-onelink/log/
mkdir -p /tmp/simutools09/01-onelink/tmp/
mkdir -p /tmp/simutools09/01-onelink/bin/
docker cp $CONTAINER:/root/simutools09/01-onelink/dat/raw.data /tmp/simutools09/01-onelink/dat/
cp simutools09/01-onelink/analyze.R /tmp/simutools09/01-onelink/
cp simutools09/01-onelink/bin/* /tmp/simutools09/01-onelink/bin/
source("analyze.R");
# Latency (SECONDS) Size (BYTES) Time (SECONDS)
Relax this may take some time
Cadidates are X=0.934752791154703 and Y=10.6510810055123
The min is approximatelly: 0.0466609377572045 [1] "Hello!!!"
-Inf & NaN & NA \\
-Tnf & NaN & NA \
9.524 87.72 490.2 905.8 989.7 999 999.9-Inf & NaN & NA \\
-Inf & NaN & NA \\
-Inf & NaN & NA \\
-Inf & NaN & NA \\
"./tmp/gnuplotError.script" line 4: undefined variable: Inf
"./tmp/gnuplotError.script" line 4: undefined variable: Inf
There were 15 warnings (use warnings() to see them)
```

When running, a gnuplot window with a 3D graph popped up. There are error messages but the "Cadidates are X=0.934752791154703 and Y=10.6510810055123" message is really nice as these are the latency and bandwidth modifiers obtained through a custom linear regression and this is very familiar. The original paper reports .92 and 10.4 (page 5). The difference comes from the fact that the regression we just run was done using a smaller set of simulations because we didn't want to waste our time rerunning all the simulations

4 Conclusion and take-away messages

Although we only replicated a fraction of the simulations conducted in the original article, they all perfectly match and we are confident that all the results would be repro-

duced with a few additional hours of efforts and enough time to run all the simulations (several days actually). This is of little interest as GTNetS has been replaced in earlier versions of SimGrid by an other packet level simulator: NS3.

We have shown in this article how to use modern tools such as the Docker Hub, the Debian snapshot archive, the Debuerreotype, GitHub, and Software Heritage. We have tried to demonstrate and to highlight their effectiveness or potential shortcomings. Although they all require a relatively high level of operating system understanding and expertise, we believe they are all now mature enough and sufficiently easy to use both such kind of computer "archaeology" and for a daily usage (, which would greatly ease the task of anyone trying to reuse or reproduce the work).

A sound question to ask is: "Would anyone other than the original authors have succeeded in reproducing this work?". A fair answer is probably no.

- First, three different archives were needed: the first one was easy to find, the second one was publicly available but deeply hidden so it is unlikely anyone else than the original authors would have found it, and although the third one was also available on the Internet, it was not very visible and we initially recovered from the hard drive of one of the two original authors.
- Second, even after gathering the three archives, rebuilding the software environment, correctly linking and running the simulation was possible but required such a good amount of faith that we believe anyone else then the original authors would have easily given up.

At the time of writing of the original article, Pedro Velho had put a significant effort in documenting the whole workflow and relying on standard tools such as R, perl, and make to automate as much as possible. Yet, we made the three following mistakes:

- 1. We never reached the point where a full automation was done, in particular as the whole set of simulations was done manually using a cluster and we did not have satisfying tools to . If we had to redo such work today, we would probably use something like snakemake and org-mode notebooks to easily document and automate the whole work.
- 2. We underestimated the URL rot effect. Although all our work was version controlled, moving from a development platform to an other made information and archive retrieval more difficult than we anticipate. Although all the archives were finally available, it took us an inordinate amount of time to locate them.. Cleaning up is rarely done after publishing, hence the need to do it on the fly. It turns out that Pedro Velho had taken care to clean and to make all the data he had produced during his PhD thesis available on his webpage. The policy in our lab is to maintain the webpage of former members so all the data is still available. Using a perennial archive such as Zenodo would be the recommended way to proceed nowadays but this archive did not exist by then.
- 3. Finally, we underestimated the importance of capturing every information on software environment. A few ones related to processor architecture and compilers were available but it was lacunar. Fortunately, we only relied on standard open source software and from the dates, it was not too hard to identify which software must have been used and we have been able to rebuild a functional software environment at low cost, solely from binary packages. Controlling this environment and making it easily available and usable is definitely the way to go with tools like Docker but this technique was not as easy to use back then.

References

- P. Velho and A. Legrand. "Accuracy Study and Improvement of Network Simulation in the SimGrid Framework."
 In: SIMUTools'09, 2nd International Conference on Simulation Tools and Techniques. Rome, Italy, Mar. 2009.
- 2. K. Fujiwara and H. Casanova. "Speed and Accuracy of Network Simulation in the SimGrid Framework." In: Intl. Conf. on Performance Evaluation Methodologies and Tools. Nantes, France, 2007, 12:1–12:10.
- 3. S. Naicken, A. Basu, B. Livingston, and S. Rodhetbhai. "Towards Yet Another Peer-to-Peer Simulator." In: Proc. Fourth International Working Conference Performance Modelling and Evaluation of Heterogeneous Networks (HET-NETs '06). Sept. 2006.
- H. Casanova and L. Marchal. A Network Model for Simulation of Grid Application. Tech. rep. 2002-40. LIP, Oct. 2002.
- L. Massoulié and J. Roberts. "Bandwidth Sharing: Objectives and Algorithms." In: INFOCOM. 1999, pp. 1395– 1403.
- G. Marfia, C. Palazzi, G. Pau, M. Gerla, M. Sanadidi, and M. Roccetti. "TCP Libra: Exploring RTT-Fairness for TCP." In: NETWORKING 2007. Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks, Wireless Networks, Next Generation Internet. Ed. by I. F. Akyildiz, R. Sivakumar, E. Ekici, J. C. d. Oliveira, and J. McNair. Vol. 4479. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2007, pp. 1005–1013.
- 7. P. Velho, L. Schnorr, H. Casanova, and A. Legrand. "On the Validity of Flow-level TCP Network Models for Grid and Cloud Simulations." In: **ACM Transactions on Modeling and Computer Simulation** 23.4 (Oct. 2013).
- P. A. Madeira de Campos Velho. "Accurate and Fast Simulations of Large-Scale Distributed Computing Systems." Theses. Université Grenoble Alpes, July 2011.
- G. F. Riley. "The Georgia Tech Network Simulator." In: ACM SIGCOMM workshop on Models, Methods and Tools for Reproducible Network Research. Karlsruhe, Germany, 2003, pp. 5–12.
- A. Medina, A. Lakhina, I. Matta, and J. Byers. BRITE: Universal Topology Generation from a User's Perspective. Available at https://www.cs.bu.edu/brite/publications/usermanual.pdf. Apr. 2001.