# Defects across dimensions

June 9, 2020

# 1 Superconformal algebra

We use the conventions from Bobev and friends 1503.02081, which we now summarize. We work in euclidean signature, so upper and lower indices do not matter. The conformal algebra is:

$$[D, P_{\mu}] = P_{\mu},\tag{1}$$

$$[D, K_{\mu}] = -K_{\mu},\tag{2}$$

$$[K_{\mu}, P_{\nu}] = 2 \left( \delta_{\mu\nu} D - M_{\mu\nu} \right),$$
 (3)

$$[M_{\mu\nu}, M_{\rho\sigma}] = -\delta_{\mu\rho} M_{\nu\sigma} \pm \dots, \tag{4}$$

$$[M_{\mu\nu}, P_{\rho}] = -\delta_{\mu\rho} P_{\nu} + \delta_{\nu\rho} P_{\mu}, \tag{5}$$

$$[M_{\mu\nu}, K_{\rho}] = -\delta_{\mu\rho} K_{\nu} + \delta_{\nu\rho} K_{\mu}. \tag{6}$$

The supercharges transform as:

$$[D, Q_{\alpha}^+] = \frac{1}{2}Q_{\alpha}^+,\tag{7}$$

$$[D, Q_{\dot{\alpha}}^{-}] = \frac{1}{2} Q_{\dot{\alpha}}^{-}, \tag{8}$$

$$[R, Q_{\alpha}^+] = Q_{\alpha}^+, \tag{9}$$

$$[R, Q_{\dot{\alpha}}^-] = -Q_{\dot{\alpha}}^-,$$
 (10)

$$[K^{\mu}, Q_{\alpha}^{+}] = \Sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^{\mu} S^{\dot{\alpha}+}, \tag{11}$$

$$[K^{\mu}, Q_{\dot{\alpha}}^{-}] = \Sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} S^{\alpha -}, \tag{12}$$

$$[M_{\mu\nu}, Q_{\alpha}^{+}] = (m_{\mu\nu})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}Q_{\beta}^{+},$$
 (13)

$$[M_{\mu\nu}, Q_{\dot{\alpha}}^{-}] = (\tilde{m}_{\mu\nu})^{\dot{\beta}}_{\dot{\alpha}} Q_{\dot{\beta}}^{-},$$
 (14)

and

$$[D, S^{\dot{\alpha}+}] = -\frac{1}{2}S^{\dot{\alpha}+},$$
 (15)

$$[D, S^{\alpha -}] = -\frac{1}{2}S^{\alpha -},\tag{16}$$

$$[R, S^{\dot{\alpha}+}] = S^{\dot{\alpha}+},\tag{17}$$

$$[R, S^{\alpha-}] = -S^{\alpha-},\tag{18}$$

$$[P_{\mu}, S^{\dot{\alpha}+}] = -\bar{\Sigma}^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha}_{\mu} Q^{+}_{\alpha}, \tag{19}$$

$$[P_{\mu}, S^{\alpha-}] = -\bar{\Sigma}^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha}_{\mu} Q^{-}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \tag{20}$$

$$[M_{\mu\nu}, S^{\dot{\alpha}+}] = -(\tilde{m}_{\mu\nu})^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\alpha}}S^{\dot{\beta}+}, \tag{21}$$

$$[M_{\mu\nu}, S^{\alpha-}] = -(m_{\mu\nu})_{\beta}^{\ \alpha} S^{\beta-}.$$
 (22)

The anticommutators are:

$$\{Q_{\alpha}^{+}, Q_{\dot{\alpha}}^{-}\} = \Sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^{\mu} P_{\mu},\tag{23}$$

$$\{S^{\dot{\alpha}+}, S^{\alpha-}\} = \bar{\Sigma}^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha}_{\mu} K_{\mu},\tag{24}$$

$$\{S^{\dot{\alpha}+}, Q_{\dot{\beta}}^{-}\} = \delta^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\ \dot{\beta}} \left(D + \frac{d-1}{2}R\right) - (\tilde{m}_{\mu\nu})^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\ \dot{\beta}} M_{\mu\nu} + \dots,$$
 (25)

$$\{S^{\alpha-}, Q_{\beta}^{+}\} = \delta^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} \left(D - \frac{d-1}{2}R\right) - (m_{\mu\nu})_{\beta}{}^{\alpha}M_{\mu\nu} + \dots$$
 (26)

The gamma matrices are defined from

$$\Sigma_i \bar{\Sigma}_j + \Sigma_j \bar{\Sigma}_i = 2\delta_{ij}, \tag{27}$$

$$\bar{\Sigma}_i \Sigma_j + \bar{\Sigma}_j \Sigma_i = 2\delta_{ij},\tag{28}$$

$$\bar{\Sigma}_{\mu}^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} = (\Sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^{\mu})^*, \tag{29}$$

and then

$$m_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4} \left( \Sigma_{\nu} \bar{\Sigma}_{\mu} - \Sigma_{\mu} \bar{\Sigma}_{\nu} \right), \tag{30}$$

$$\tilde{m}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4} \left( \bar{\Sigma}_{\mu} \Sigma_{\nu} - \bar{\Sigma}_{\nu} \Sigma_{\mu} \right). \tag{31}$$

The Casimir is

$$C_2 = D^2 - \frac{1}{2} \{ P_{\mu}, K^{\mu} \} - \frac{1}{2} M_{\mu\nu} M^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} R^2 + \frac{1}{2} [S^{\dot{\alpha}+}, Q_{\dot{\alpha}}^-] + \frac{1}{2} [S^{\alpha-}, Q_{\alpha}^+]. \tag{32}$$

Acting with it on an operator with quantum numbers  $\Delta, \ell, r$  gives the eigenvalue

$$\lambda_C = \Delta(\Delta - d + 2) + \ell(\ell + d - 2) - \frac{r^2}{2}.$$
 (33)

When we look at the particular case d=3 we take indices  $\mu, \nu=1,2,3$  and build the gamma matrices from the Pauli matrices

$$\Sigma^1 = \sigma^1, \quad \Sigma^2 = \sigma^2, \quad \Sigma^3 = \sigma^3, \tag{34}$$

When we look at the particular case d=4 we take indices  $\mu, \nu=1,2,3,4$  and build the remaining matrix as

$$\Sigma^4 = i \mathbb{1}_2. \tag{35}$$

## 1.1 Action of generators on fields

We will consider two-point functions  $\langle \phi_1(x_1)\phi_2(x_2)\rangle$ , where  $\phi_1$  is chiral and  $\phi_2$  is antichiral

$$[Q_{\alpha}^+, \phi_1(0)] = 0, (36)$$

$$[Q_{\dot{\alpha}}^-, \phi_2(0)] = 0. (37)$$

Consistency with the superconformal algebra fixes the R-charge in terms of the dimension:

$$[D, \phi_1(0)] = \Delta_{\phi},\tag{38}$$

$$[D, \phi_2(0)] = \Delta_{\phi},\tag{39}$$

$$[R, \phi_1(0)] = \frac{2}{d-1} \Delta_{\phi}, \tag{40}$$

$$[R, \phi_2(0)] = \frac{2}{d-1} \Delta_{\phi}. \tag{41}$$

The operators are translated as

$$\phi(x) = e^{x \cdot P} \phi(0) e^{-x \cdot P},\tag{42}$$

which implies the usual

$$[P_{\mu}, \phi(x)] = \partial_{\mu}\phi(x), \tag{43}$$

$$[D, \phi(x)] = (x^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} + \Delta)\phi(x), \tag{44}$$

$$[M_{\mu\nu}, \phi(x)] = (x_{\nu}\partial_{\mu} - x_{\mu}\partial_{\nu})\phi(x), \tag{45}$$

$$\dots$$
 (46)

The shortening condition implies

$$[Q_{\alpha}^{+}, \phi_{1}(x_{1})] = 0, \tag{47}$$

$$[S^{\dot{\alpha}+}, \phi_1(x_1)] = 0, \tag{48}$$

$$[Q_{\dot{\alpha}}^-, \phi_2(x_2)] = 0, (49)$$

$$[S^{\alpha}, \phi_2(x_2)] = 0. (50)$$

For the remaining S generators we get

$$[S^{\alpha-}, \phi_1(x_1)] = x_1^{\mu} \bar{\Sigma}_{\mu}^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} [Q_{\dot{\alpha}}^-, \phi_1(x_1)], \tag{51}$$

$$[S^{\dot{\alpha}+}, \phi_2(x_2)] = x_2^{\mu} \bar{\Sigma}_u^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} [Q_{\alpha}^+, \phi_2(x_2)]. \tag{52}$$

# 2 Half-BPS boundaries

## 2.1 Non-supersymmetric

We can obtain a subalgebra restricting to

$$D, \quad R, \quad M_{ab}, \quad P_a, \quad K_a, \tag{53}$$

where a, b = 1, ..., d - 1 are parallel to the boundary, which sits at  $x^d = 0$ . The defect Casimir is obtained from the full one restricting to the defect operators

$$C_{\text{def}} = D^2 - \frac{1}{2} \{ P_a, K^a \} - \frac{1}{2} M_{ab} M^{ab}$$
 (54)

The Ward identities fix the two-point function

$$\langle \phi_1(x_1)\phi_2(x_2)\rangle = \frac{f(\xi)}{(2x_1^d)^{\Delta_1}(2x_2^d)^{\Delta_2}}, \qquad \xi = \frac{x_{12}^2}{4x_1^d x_2^d}.$$
 (55)

### 2.1.1 Defect channel

Acting with the bosonic part of the defect Casimir and using the non-supersymmetric Casimir  $\lambda = \Delta(\Delta - d + 1)$  we get in general dimension

$$\frac{(C_{\text{def,bos}} - \lambda) \langle \phi_1(x_1)\phi_2(x_2) \rangle}{\langle \phi_1(x_1)\phi_2(x_2) \rangle} = \xi(\xi + 1)f''(\xi) + \frac{d}{2}(2\xi + 1)f'(\xi) - \Delta(\Delta - d + 1)f(\xi) = 0, \quad (56)$$

so the defect block that solves this is

$$f_{\Delta}(\xi) = \xi^{-\Delta} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\Delta, \Delta - \frac{d}{2} + 1; 2\Delta - d + 2; -\frac{1}{\xi}\right).$$
 (57)

### 2.1.2 Bulk channel

We should act with the full Casimir. The bosonic differential equation is

$$\frac{\left(C_{\text{bulk,bos}} - \lambda\right)\left\langle\phi_{1}(x_{1})\phi_{2}(x_{2})\right\rangle}{\left\langle\phi_{1}(x_{1})\phi_{2}(x_{2})\right\rangle} = 4\xi^{2}(\xi+1)f''(\xi) + \left(4(2\Delta_{\phi}+1)\xi(\xi+1) - 2d\xi\right)f'(\xi) + \left(-\Delta(\Delta-d) - 2d\Delta_{\phi} + 4\Delta_{\phi}^{2}(\xi+1)\right)f(\xi) = 0,$$
(58)

where the bosonic eigenvalue is  $\lambda = \Delta(\Delta - d)$ . The block is usually written in terms of  $G(\xi) = \xi^{\Delta_{\phi}} f(\xi)$  because the dependance on the external dimensions drops:

$$G_{\Delta}(\xi) = \xi^{\Delta/2} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\frac{\Delta}{2}, \frac{\Delta}{2}; \Delta - \frac{d}{2} + 1; -\xi\right).$$
 (59)

# 2.2 $\mathcal{N} = (2,0)$ subalgebra for d=3

We can obtain a subalgebra restricting to

$$D, R, M_{12}, P_1, P_2, K_1, K_2, Q_1^+, Q_2^-, S^{1-}, S^{2+}.$$
 (60)

This can be mapped to the (2,0) algebra in 2d. The defect Casimir is obtained from the full one restricting to the defect operators

$$C_{\text{def}} = D^2 - \frac{1}{2} \{ P_a, K^a \} - M_{12}^2 - \frac{1}{2} R^2 + \frac{1}{2} [S^{2+}, Q_2^-] + \frac{1}{2} [S^{1-}, Q_1^+].$$
 (61)

Acting with it on an operator with quantum numbers  $\Delta, \ell, r$  gives the eigenvalue:

$$\lambda_C = \Delta(\Delta - 1) + \ell(\ell - 1) - \frac{r^2}{2}.\tag{62}$$

### 2.2.1 Defect channel

We should act with the defect Casimir at position  $x_1$ . The new contribution from susy is

$$C_{\text{ferm}} = -\frac{1}{2}R^2 + \frac{1}{2}[S^{2+}, Q_2^-] + \frac{1}{2}[S^{1-}, Q_1^+] = -Q_2^-S^{2+} + S^{1-}Q_1^+ - \frac{1}{2}R^2 + R.$$
 (63)

Acting on one point and using the chirality of  $\phi_1$  we get

$$[C_{\text{ferm}}, \phi_1(x_1)]|0\rangle = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta_\phi^2 + \Delta_\phi\right)\phi_1(x_1)|0\rangle. \tag{64}$$

This suggests that to obtain the supersymmetric block we should just add a term  $(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{\phi}^2 + \Delta_{\phi})f(\xi)$  in the Casimir equation. Consider a superprimary  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_p$  of dimension  $\Delta$ , spin  $\ell = 1/2$  and charge  $r = r_{\phi} - 1$ , which has Casimir

$$\lambda = \Delta(\Delta - 1) + \ell(\ell - 1) - \frac{r^2}{2} = \Delta(\Delta - 1) - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{\phi}^2 + \Delta_{\phi} - \frac{3}{4}.$$
 (65)

Then only the descendant  $Q_1^+\hat{\mathcal{O}}_p$  has the right quantum numbers to appear in the defect OPE  $\phi \sim Q_1^+\hat{\mathcal{O}}_p$ , so the superconformal block should reduce to a single non-supersymmetric block. Indeed, in the differential equation all  $\Delta_{\phi}$  terms drop and we get

$$\xi(\xi+1)f''(\xi) + \frac{3}{2}(2\xi+1)f'(\xi) - \left(\Delta + \frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\Delta + \frac{1}{2} - 2\right)f(\xi) = 0,\tag{66}$$

which is solved by a shifted non-supersymmetric block  $f_{\Delta + \frac{1}{2}}(\xi)$  as expected.

## 2.2.2 Bulk channel

We should act with the full Casimir. The only new contributions from susy are

$$C_{\text{ferm}} = \frac{1}{2} [S^{\dot{\alpha}+}, Q_{\dot{\alpha}}^{-}] + \frac{1}{2} [S^{\alpha-}, Q_{\alpha}^{+}] = -Q_{\dot{\alpha}}^{-} S^{\dot{\alpha}+} + S^{\dot{\alpha}-} Q_{\alpha}^{+} + 2R.$$
 (67)

There is a contribution  $-\frac{1}{2}R^2$  that cancels between the two sides of the Casimir equation. Acting on the two points we get

$$\begin{aligned} [C_{\text{ferm}}, \phi_{1}(x_{1})\phi_{2}(x_{2})]|0\rangle \\ &= \left( [S^{\alpha-}, \phi_{1}(x_{1})][Q_{\alpha}^{+}, \phi_{2}(x_{2})] - [Q_{\dot{\alpha}}^{-}, \phi_{1}(x_{1})][S^{\dot{\alpha}+}, \phi_{2}(x_{2})] + 4\Delta_{\phi} \phi_{1}(x_{1})\phi_{2}(x_{2}) \right) |0\rangle \\ &= \left( x_{12}^{\mu} \bar{\Sigma}_{\mu}^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} [Q_{\dot{\alpha}}^{-}, \phi_{1}(x_{1})][Q_{\alpha}^{+}, \phi_{2}(x_{2})] + 4\Delta_{\phi} \phi_{1}(x_{1})\phi_{2}(x_{2}) \right) |0\rangle \end{aligned}$$
(68)

We use Ward identities to figure them out. We are only allowed to use  $Q_1^+$ ,  $Q_2^-$ ,  $S^{1-}$ ,  $S^{2+}$  to get Ward identities, because they are the only supercharges preserved by our defect. The first Ward identity

$$\langle \{Q_1^+, [Q_{\dot{\alpha}}^-, \phi_1(x_1)]\phi_2(x_2)\} \rangle = 0 \tag{69}$$

implies

$$\langle [Q_{\dot{\alpha}}^-, \phi_1(x_1)][Q_1^+, \phi_2(x_2)] \rangle = \langle [\{Q_1^+, Q_{\dot{\alpha}}^-\}, \phi_1(x_1)]\phi_2(x_2) \rangle \tag{70}$$

$$= \sum_{1,\alpha}^{\mu} \partial_{\mu}^{x_1} \langle \phi_1(x_1) \phi_2(x_2) \rangle. \tag{71}$$

We can play the same game with the other  $Q^{\pm}$  and  $S^{\pm}$  preserved by the defect. All in all, when we act with the fermionic part of the Casimir on the two-point function we get

$$\frac{C_{\text{bulk,ferm}}\langle\phi_1(x_1)\phi_2(x_2)\rangle}{\langle\phi_1(x_1)\phi_2(x_2)\rangle} = 4\xi(\xi+1)f'(\xi) + 4\Delta_{\phi}(\xi+1)f(\xi). \tag{72}$$

It is fairly non-trivial to get a differential operator that acting on the two-point function can be rewritten in terms of  $\xi$ . This is a sanity check for our calculation. The supersymmetric Casimir eigenvalue is  $\lambda = \Delta(\Delta - 1) = \lambda_{bos} + 2\Delta$ , so we should also add a term  $-2\Delta f(\xi)$  to the LHS. Adding all the contributions we get

$$4\xi^{2}(\xi+1)f''(\xi) + 2\xi(4\Delta_{\phi}(\xi+1) + 4\xi + 1)f'(\xi) + (2\Delta_{\phi}(2\Delta_{\phi}(\xi+1) + 2\xi - 1) - \Delta(\Delta-1))f(\xi) = 0.$$
(73)

If we define  $G(\xi) = \xi^{\Delta_{\phi}} f(\xi)$  the dependence on  $\Delta_{\phi}$  drops

$$4\xi^{2}(\xi+1)G''(\xi) + 2\xi(4\xi+1)G'(\xi) - \Delta(\Delta-1)G(\xi) = 0,$$
(74)

and we find the solution

$$G(\xi) = \xi^{\Delta/2} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\frac{\Delta}{2} + 1, \frac{\Delta}{2}; \Delta + \frac{1}{2}; -\xi\right),$$
 (75)

which is exactly what Philine already found!

## 2.3 $\mathcal{N} = (1,1)$ subalgebra for d=3

We can obtain a subalgebra restricting to

$$D, M_{12}, P_1, P_2, K_1, K_2, Q_1^+ + Q_2^-, Q_2^+ + Q_1^-, S^{1+} + S^{2-}, S^{2+} + S^{1-}.$$
 (76)

This can be mapped to the (1,1) algebra in 2d. The defect Casimir now changes a bit

$$C_{\text{def}} = D^2 - \frac{1}{2} \{ P_a, K^a \} - M_{12}^2 + \frac{1}{4} [S^{2+} + S^{1-}, Q_1^+ + Q_2^-] + \frac{1}{4} [S^{1+} + S^{2-}, Q_2^+ + Q_1^-].$$
 (77)

Acting with it on an operator with quantum numbers  $\Delta, \ell$  gives the eigenvalue:

$$\lambda_C = \Delta(\Delta - 1) + \ell^2. \tag{78}$$

### 2.3.1 Defect channel

The fermionic contribution to the Casimir equation is

$$\frac{1}{4}[S^{2+} + S^{1-}, Q_1^+ + Q_2^-] + \frac{1}{4}[S^{1+} + S^{2-}, Q_2^+ + Q_1^-] \to R - \frac{1}{2}(Q_2^- S^{1-} + Q_1^- S^{2-}) + \dots,$$
 (79)

where we dropped all terms that vanish when acting on  $\phi_1(x_1)$ :

$$[C_{\text{def,ferm}}, \phi_1(x_1)]|0\rangle = (\Delta_{\phi}\phi_1(x_1) + x_1^3 \{Q_1^-, [Q_2^-, \phi_1(x_1)]\})|0\rangle. \tag{80}$$

Using Ward identities we express  $Q_1^-Q_2^-$  as a differential operator acting at  $x_1$ , and we get

$$\frac{C_{\text{bulk,ferm}}\langle\phi_1(x_1)\phi_2(x_2)\rangle}{\langle\phi_1(x_1)\phi_2(x_2)\rangle} = -\xi f'(\xi). \tag{81}$$

We also need a contribution  $-\Delta f(\xi)$  from the difference in the Casimir eigenvalue, so

$$\xi(\xi+1)f''(\xi) + \left(2\xi + \frac{3}{2}\right)f'(\xi) - \Delta(\Delta-1)f(\xi) = 0.$$
 (82)

This is solved by the same block Philine found:

$$f(\xi) = \xi^{-\Delta} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\Delta, \frac{1}{2}(2\Delta - 1); 2\Delta; -\frac{1}{\xi}\right). \tag{83}$$

## 2.3.2 Bulk channel

The bosonic part and supersymmetric parts are the same as before, the only difference are the Ward identites we can use to rewrite  $\langle Q\phi Q\phi \rangle$  in terms of derivatives of  $\langle \phi\phi \rangle$ . As before, we can only use the preserved supercharges, so the Ward identities are

$$\langle \{Q_1^+ + Q_2^-, [Q_2^-, \phi_1]\phi_2\} \rangle = 0,$$
  
$$\langle \{Q_2^+ + Q_1^-, [Q_1^-, \phi_1]\phi_2\} \rangle = 0,$$
  
(84)

. . .

All in all we get:

$$\frac{C_{\text{bulk,ferm}}\langle\phi_1(x_1)\phi_2(x_2)\rangle}{\langle\phi_1(x_1)\phi_2(x_2)\rangle} = 4\xi f'(\xi) + 4\Delta_{\phi}f(\xi). \tag{85}$$

Following the same arguments as before, we find the blocks

$$G(\xi) = \xi^{\Delta/2} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\frac{\Delta}{2}, \frac{\Delta}{2}; \Delta + \frac{1}{2}; -\xi\right),$$
 (86)

consistent with Philine's results once more!

# **2.4** OSp(1|4) subalgebra for d=4

We can obtain a subalgebra restricting to

$$D$$
,  $M_{12}$ ,  $M_{13}$ ,  $M_{23}$ ,  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ ,  $P_3$ ,  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$ ,  $K_3$ ,  $Q_1^+ + Q_2^-$ ,  $Q_2^+ - Q_1^-$ ,  $S^{1+} - S^{2-}$ ,  $S^{2+} + S^{1-}$ . (87)

This can be mapped to the OSp(1|4) algebra in 3d. The defect Casimir now changes a bit

$$C_{\text{def}} = D^2 - \frac{1}{2} \{ P_a, K^a \} - \frac{1}{2} M_{ab} M^{ab} + \frac{1}{4} [S^{2+} + S^{1-}, Q_1^+ + Q_2^-] - \frac{1}{4} [S^{1+} - S^{2-}, Q_2^+ - Q_1^-].$$
 (88)

We are using a, b = 1, 2, 3 and the boundary sits at  $x^4 = 0$ . Acting with it on an operator with quantum numbers  $\Delta, \ell$  gives the eigenvalue:

$$\lambda_C = \Delta(\Delta - 2) + \ell(\ell + 1). \tag{89}$$

#### 2.4.1 Defect channel

The relevant part of the Casimir is

$$C_{\text{def,ferm}} = -\frac{1}{2}Q_2^-S^{1-} + \frac{1}{2}Q_1^-S^{2-} + \frac{3}{2}R + \dots,$$
 (90)

which acting at point 1 gives

$$[C_{\text{def,ferm}}, \phi_1(x_1)]|0\rangle = [x_1^3 \{Q_1^-, [Q_2^-, \phi_1(x_1)]\} + \Delta_\phi \phi_1(x_1)]|0\rangle. \tag{91}$$

Using Ward identities we find

$$\frac{C_{\text{def,ferm}}\langle\phi_1(x_1)\phi_2(x_2)\rangle}{\langle\phi_1(x_1)\phi_2(x_2)\rangle} = -\xi f'(\xi). \tag{92}$$

This is the same as the  $\mathcal{N} = (1,1)$  boundary in 3d.

## 2.4.2 Bulk channel

It works as before, but the Ward identities are different. The result is

$$\frac{C_{\text{bulk,ferm}}\langle\phi_1(x_1)\phi_2(x_2)\rangle}{\langle\phi_1(x_1)\phi_2(x_2)\rangle} = 4\xi f'(\xi) + 4\Delta_{\phi}f(\xi). \tag{93}$$

This is once again the same as for the  $\mathcal{N} = (1,1)$  boundary in 3d.

## 2.5 Boundary across dimensions

We conjecture that the fermionic part of the Casimir gives the same contribution regardless of the dimension  $2 \le d \le 4$ . (We should still check the boundary in 2d, but it probably also works there) Combining the bosonic and supersymmetric parts we get blocks "across dimensions".

### 2.5.1 Defect channel

The solution is

$$f_{\Delta}^{\text{SUSY}}(\xi) = \xi^{-\Delta} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\Delta, \frac{1}{2}(2\Delta + 2 - d); 2\Delta + 3 - d; -\frac{1}{\xi}\right)$$

$$= f_{\Delta}(\xi) + \frac{\Delta}{4\Delta - 2d + 6} f_{\Delta+1}(\xi). \tag{94}$$

### 2.5.2 Bulk channel

The solution is

$$G_{\Delta}^{\text{SUSY}}(\xi) = \xi^{\Delta/2} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\frac{\Delta}{2}, \frac{\Delta}{2}; \Delta + 2 - \frac{d}{2}; -\xi\right)$$

$$= G_{\Delta}(\xi) + \frac{\Delta^{2}}{(2\Delta - d + 2)(2\Delta - d + 4)}G_{\Delta+2}(\xi).$$
(95)

# 3 Codimension two objects

## 3.1 Non-supersymmetric

We can obtain a subalgebra restricting to

$$D, \quad R, \quad P_a, \quad K_a, \quad M_{ab}, \quad M_{ij}. \tag{96}$$

where a, b = 1, ..., p live on the defect and i, j = p+1, ..., d are orthogonal. Also p is the dimension of the defect and q = d - p is the codimension. The defect Casimir is obtained from the full one restricting to the defect operators. It factorizes into two commuting pieces

$$C_{\text{def},1} = D^2 - \frac{1}{2} \{ P_a, K^a \} - \frac{1}{2} M_{ab} M^{ab}, \qquad C_{\text{def},2} = -\frac{1}{2} M_{ij} M^{ij}.$$
 (97)

The Ward identities fix the two-point function but now there are two cross-ratios

$$\langle \phi_1(x_1)\phi_2(x_2)\rangle = \frac{f(\xi,\eta)}{|x_1^{\perp}|^{\Delta_1}|x_2^{\perp}|^{\Delta_2}}, \qquad \xi = \frac{x_{12}^2}{|x_1^{\perp}||x_2^{\perp}|}, \qquad \eta = \frac{x_1^{\perp} \cdot x_2^{\perp}}{|x_1^{\perp}||x_2^{\perp}|}. \tag{98}$$

For the defect channel we will also use

$$\chi = \frac{x_{12}^2 + 2x_1^{\perp} \cdot x_2^{\perp}}{|x_1^{\perp}| |x_2^{\perp}|}.$$
(99)

In the defect channel, using the normalization of Billo and friends, we get

$$f(\chi, \eta) \to \chi^{-\Delta} 2^{-s} \begin{pmatrix} s + \frac{q}{2} - 2 \\ \frac{q}{2} - 2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} C_s^{q/2 - 1}(\eta) \quad \text{as} \quad \chi \to \infty.$$
 (100)

### 3.1.1 Defect channel

The action of the conformal group gives, with  $\lambda = \Delta(\Delta - p)$ :

$$\frac{(C_{\text{def},1} - \lambda)\langle\phi_1(x_1)\phi_2(x_2)\rangle}{\langle\phi_1(x_1)\phi_2(x_2)\rangle} = (\chi^2 - 4)f''(\chi) + (p+1)\chi f'(\chi) - \Delta(\Delta - p)f(\chi) = 0.$$
 (101)

The action of transverse rotations gives, with  $\lambda = s(s+q-2)$ :

$$\frac{(C_{\text{def},2} - \lambda)\langle\phi_1(x_1)\phi_2(x_2)\rangle}{\langle\phi_1(x_1)\phi_2(x_2)\rangle} = (\eta^2 - 1)f''(\eta) + (q - 1)\eta f'(\eta) - s(s + q - 2)f(\eta) = 0.$$
 (102)

In total the block is

$$\hat{f}_{\Delta,s}(\chi,\eta) = \alpha_{s,q}\chi^{-\Delta} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\frac{\Delta}{2}, \frac{\Delta+1}{2}; \Delta+1 - \frac{p}{2}; \frac{4}{\chi^{2}}\right) {}_{2}F_{1}\left(-\frac{s}{2}, \frac{q+s-2}{2}; \frac{q-1}{2}; 1 - \eta^{2}\right), (103)$$

with normalization

$$\alpha_{s,q} = 2^{-s} \frac{\Gamma(q+s-2)\Gamma(q/2-1)}{\Gamma(q/2+s-1)\Gamma(q-2)}.$$
(104)

## 3.1.2 Bulk channel

## 3.2 Non-supersymmetric with U(1) mixing

Let's imagine we have an extra non-compact  $U(1)_R$  symmetry with an Hermitian generator R. Then for codimension-two defect, the transverse rotations  $SO(2) \simeq U(1)$  can mix with the  $U(1)_R$  symmetry

$$M_{12} + iR \tag{105}$$

(We can always normalize the R generator such that the above equation holds). The second Casimir is modified to

$$C_{\text{def},2} = -(M_{12} + iR)^2. (106)$$

### 3.2.1 Bulk-defect correlator in 3d

Consider

$$\langle \phi(x_1)\hat{\mathcal{O}}(x_2)\rangle$$
 (107)

Using  $P_3$  it can depend on

$$x_1^1, \quad x_1^2, \quad x_{12}^3$$
 (108)

Using  $M_{12}$  we find that  $r_1 = r_2 + s_2$  and

$$(x_1^{\perp})^2, \quad x_{12}^3$$
 (109)

Using dilatations and  $K_3$  (and assuming  $s_2 = 0$ ) we find the usual expression

$$\langle \phi(x_1)\hat{\mathcal{O}}(x_2)\rangle = \frac{1}{[(x_1^{\perp})^2 + (x_{12}^3)^2]^{\Delta_2} |x_1^{\perp}|^{\Delta_1 - \Delta_2}}$$
(110)

Could we have  $s_2 \neq 0$ ?!?

### 3.2.2 Defect channel

Since we assume (from the previous discussion) that the exchanged operator has  $r = r_1$  and s = 0 the first Casimir equation is the same as before, and the second gives

$$\frac{(C_{\text{def},2} - \lambda)\langle\phi_1(x_1)\phi_2(x_2)\rangle}{\langle\phi_1(x_1)\phi_2(x_2)\rangle} = (\eta^2 - 1)f''(\eta) + (\eta + 2r\sqrt{\eta^2 - 1})f'(\eta) = 0.$$
(111)

The contribution from  $R^2$  cancels from the eigenvalue part, and s does not contribute by assumption (is this correct?!). Here we assume  $\eta \geq 1$ , or in another set of coordinates

$$\eta = \frac{1}{2} \left( w + \frac{1}{w} \right), \qquad 0 < w < 1.$$
(112)

In these coordinates the block reads

$$f(w) = c_1 w^{2r} + c_2, (113)$$

where the constants  $c_i$  should be fixed by consistency with the OPE.

## 3.3 Line defect in 3d

We can obtain a subalgebra restricting to

$$D, P_3, K_3, -iM_{12} + R, Q_1^+, Q_1^- S^{1+}, S^{1-}.$$
 (114)

This can be mapped to the  $\mathcal{N}=2$  algebra in 1d (the left-moving part of the  $\mathcal{N}=(2,0)$  algebra in 2d). It is natural that  $M_{12}$  appears with an extra factor of i compared to R, since in our conventions  $M_{12}$  is anti-hermitian while R is hermitian. The defect Casimir now changes a bit

$$C_{\text{def}} = D^2 - \frac{1}{2} \{ P_3, K^3 \} - (-iM_{12} + R)^2 + \frac{1}{2} [S^{1+}, Q_1^-] + \frac{1}{2} [S^{1-}, Q_1^+]. \tag{115}$$

Acting with it on an operator with quantum numbers  $\Delta, \ell$ , where  $\ell$  is the eigenvalue of  $-iM_{12} + R$  gives the eigenvalue:

$$\lambda_C = \Delta^2 - \ell^2. \tag{116}$$

# 3.3.1 Defect channel

The new contribution from susy is

$$C_{\text{def, ferm}} = -(-iM_{12} + R)^2 + \frac{1}{2}[S^{1+}, Q_1^-] + \frac{1}{2}[S^{1-}, Q_1^+]$$

$$= -(-iM_{12} + R)^2 + (-iM_{12} + R) - Q_1^- S^{1+} + S^{1-} Q_1^+.$$
(117)

Acting at point 1 only the contribution from M and R survives and S and Q drop:

$$[C_{\text{ferm}}, \phi_1(x_1)]|0\rangle = (M_{12}^2 + i(2\Delta_\phi - 1)M_{12} - \Delta_\phi(\Delta_\phi - 1)))\phi_1(x_1)|0\rangle. \tag{118}$$

The action of the generators is

$$iM_{12} \to \pm i\sqrt{1-\eta^2},$$
 (119)

$$(M_{12})^2 \to -C_{\text{def},2}$$
 (120)

Comments:

- In Lorentzian signature we could have  $\eta \geq 1$ , which eats the factor i (what about Euclidean signature?!?).
- The sign of the  $(M_{12})^2$  term also looks off, I would expect it would be  $(M_{12})^2 = +C_{\text{def},2}$ .

In any case, the Casimir equations to be solved are

$$(\chi^{2} - 4)f^{(2,0)}(\chi, \eta) + 2\chi f^{(1,0)}(\chi, \eta) - (\eta^{2} - 1)f^{(0,2)}(\chi, \eta) - \eta f^{(0,1)}(\chi, \eta) - (2\Delta_{\phi} - 1)\sqrt{\eta^{2} - 1}f^{(0,1)}(\chi, \eta) - (\Delta_{\phi}(\Delta_{\phi} - 1) + C_{2})f(\chi, \eta) = 0$$
(121)

Comments:

- A superspace calculation gives no nilpotent invariants and the same Casimir equation.
- The two sets of equations are decoupled so solutions are products of a function of  $\chi$  and a function of  $\eta$ . The one for  $\chi$

$$(\chi^2 - 4)f''(\chi) + 2\chi f'(\chi) - \left(\Delta + \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\Delta - \frac{1}{2}\right) f(\chi) = 0, \tag{122}$$

can be easily solved into a shifted block:  $f_{\Delta+1/2}(\chi)$ .

• It would be nice to find whether the rest (or something similar up to some signs) has a solution in terms of a sum of non-supersymmetric blocks.

# 4 Superspace calculation 3d

We translate as

$$\mathcal{O}(z) = e^{x^{\mu}P_{\mu} + \theta^{\alpha} - Q_{\alpha}^{+} + \theta^{\dot{\alpha}} + Q_{\dot{\alpha}}^{-}} \mathcal{O}(0), \tag{123}$$

where the adjoint action is implicit. Thus

$$[P_{\mu}, \mathcal{O}(z)] = \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{O}(z), \tag{124}$$

$$[Q_{\alpha}^{+}, \mathcal{O}(z)] = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\alpha -}} - \frac{1}{2} \Sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \theta^{+\dot{\alpha}} \partial_{\mu}\right) \mathcal{O}(z), \tag{125}$$

$$[Q_{\dot{\alpha}}^{-}, \mathcal{O}(z)] = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\dot{\alpha}+}} - \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}^{\mu} \theta^{-\alpha} \partial_{\mu}\right) \mathcal{O}(z), \tag{126}$$

$$[D, \phi(x)] = \left(x^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} + \theta^{\dot{\alpha}+} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\dot{\alpha}+}} + \theta^{\alpha-} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\alpha-}} + \Delta\right)\phi(x), \tag{127}$$

$$\dots$$
 (128)

The covariant derivatives are

$$D_{\alpha}^{+} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\alpha -}} + \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}^{\mu} \theta^{+\dot{\alpha}} \partial_{\mu}, \tag{129}$$

$$D_{\dot{\alpha}}^{-} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\dot{\alpha}+}} + \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^{\mu} \theta^{-\alpha} \partial_{\mu}, \tag{130}$$

The chiral and antichiral coordinates

$$y^{\mu} = x^{\mu} - \frac{1}{2}\theta^{-}\Sigma^{\mu}\theta^{+}, \qquad D_{\alpha}^{+}y^{\mu} = 0, \quad D_{\alpha}^{+}\theta^{+} = 0,$$
 (131)

$$y^{\mu} = x^{\mu} - \frac{1}{2}\theta^{-}\Sigma^{\mu}\theta^{+}, \qquad D_{\alpha}^{+}y^{\mu} = 0, \quad D_{\alpha}^{+}\theta^{+} = 0,$$

$$\tilde{y}^{\mu} = x^{\mu} + \frac{1}{2}\theta^{-}\Sigma^{\mu}\theta^{+}, \qquad D_{\alpha}^{-}y^{\mu} = 0, \quad D_{\alpha}^{-}\theta^{-} = 0.$$
(131)

Imposing conservation of  $Q_1^{\pm}$  and  $P_3$  the invariant objects are

$$z_1^1 = y_1^1 - \theta_1^{2+} \theta_2^{1-}, \quad z_1^2 = y_1^2 + i\theta_1^{2+} \theta_2^{1-}, \quad \theta_1^{2+},$$
 (133)

$$\tilde{z}_2^1 = \tilde{y}_2^1 + \theta_1^{1+} \theta_2^{2-}, \quad \tilde{z}_2^2 = \tilde{y}_2^2 + i\theta_1^{1+} \theta_2^{2-}, \quad \theta_2^{2-},$$
 (134)

$$z_{12}^3 = y_1^3 - \tilde{y}_2^3 - \theta_1^{1+} \theta_2^{1-}. (135)$$

# Useful identities

$${A, BC} = {A, B}C - B[A, C],$$
 (136)

$${A, [B, C]} = -{B, [A, C]} + [{A, B}, C],$$
 (137)

(138)