

# Plant-Pathogen Interactions Ontology (PPIO)

Alejandro Rodríguez Iglesias<sup>a,\*</sup>, Mikel Egaña Aranguren<sup>a</sup> Alejandro Rodríguez González<sup>a</sup>  
Mark D. Wilkinson<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Biological Informatics Group, Centre for Plant Biotechnology and Genomics (CBGP), Technical University of Madrid (UPM), Spain*

**Abstract.** Plant-pathogen interactions are an important knowledge domain within plant biology and biotechnology, both scientifically and in economic terms. Unlike other knowledge domains within life sciences, however, semantic technologies have not been used extensively to codify it; therefore, there is a lack of axiomatic models amenable to automated integration and inference. We present the Plant-Pathogen Interactions Ontology (PPIO), a first step towards the axiomatization of plant-pathogen interactions knowledge. PPIO encourages consistent annotation and supports both query and inference.

**Keywords:** Plant pathogenic bacteria, Ontologies, Semantic Web, PPIO

## 1. Introduction

Many bacterial genera are responsible for causing diseases in a wide range of plant species [11], and if the different levels of the host defense barriers are overcome by the pathogen, the infection process can ultimately lead to the death of the plant. This phenomenon can affect crop yield, which automatically will translate into considerable economic loss. On the other hand, in the context of challenges such as feeding a growing world population, and the trend of emerging economies to consume more meat, where animal feed increases the consumption per capita of available food-crops, it is crucial that we identify ways to increase the productivity of crop fields [12]. Therefore, being able to accurately record, explore, and query biological data regarding plant-pathogen interactions is a necessary step towards the improvement and protection of these important plant species. This issue continues being subject of intensive research worldwide. This is documented in hundreds of articles that focus on the biological consequences and the mechanisms of pathogenic bacteria interactions with their hosts [5] [6].

Certain semantics-oriented projects are particularly noteworthy as a result of their success in using se-

mantics to aid in automated data integration from non-collaborating resources, like the OBO foundry [13], which includes Gene Ontology (GO) [3], Bio2RDF [2] and the W3C Semantic Web for Health Care and Life Sciences Interest Group<sup>1</sup>. In the context of plant biotechnology and phytopathology, however, semantic technologies have been applied to only a limited number of domain resources. For example, the Plant Ontology Consortium developed the Plant Ontology<sup>2</sup> platform to describe the anatomy, morphology and developmental stages of plants [8]. The Plant Trait Ontology [9], related to the Gramene project<sup>3</sup>, defines a vocabulary for describing the specific appearance or qualities of various plant anatomical structures. The Plant Disease Ontology (IDOPlant) [14], was modeled after the human Infectious Diseases Ontology (IDO) [4] to serve as a reference ontology that contained and covered any plant infectious disease. Apart from IDOPlant, there is another contribution directly related to the plant-pathogenic bacteria area, and is the GO extension for description of the Type III Effectors [10], which is part of the Plant-Associated Microbe Gene Ontology project (PAMGO).

---

<sup>1</sup><http://www.w3.org/blog/hcls/>

<sup>2</sup><http://www.plantontology.org/>

<sup>3</sup><http://www.gramene.org>

---

\*Corresponding author. Email:alejandroriglesias@gmail.com

As just discussed, Semantic technologies have proven extremely effective and have widely adopted in other branches of the life sciences community. However, they have not been extensively applied to the knowledge domain of plant-pathogenic bacteria to date. Despite the clear need for automation of data annotation, categorization, integration, interpretation, and quality-control, the data and knowledge in this domain remains largely computationally opaque, limiting the utility and usability of these important datasets. Here we present the Plant Pathogen Interactions Ontology (PPIO), an ontological platform that integrates data related to plant pathology and physiology in the context of pathogenic interactions. The aim of PPIO is to leverage this combined knowledge to assist in the interpretation of the phenotypic responses that result from plant-pathogenic bacteria interactions. This platform offers an axiomatic scaffold into which biologically relevant data can be embedded in a precise and computationally-effective accessible manner.

## 2. Modelling

The initial data necessary to start building the ontology was collected manually by consulting a number of different web resources. The web page <http://pseudomonas-syringae.org/> was the starting point; it contains diverse pieces of state-of-art information related with various *Pseudomonas syringae* strains. This web page was a bridge to other web resources where more datasets were collected, like the (NCPBP, etc). After an initial collection of data was gathered, the modelling of these datasets was performed using the ontology editor Protégé (version).

### 2.1. Desing principles

The main goal pursued during the modelling and designing process was to semantically capture as many biological data existing as possible, and special effort is being done in accurately modelling the *disease triangle*<sup>4</sup>. This term is one of the most essential milestones in the plant pathology ambit, and its principal statement asserts that three factors must be present for a disease to occur: the virulent pathogen, a susceptible host and a propitious environment for disease progression. Two classes have been created to represent

these three elements, the `Environmental_parameter` and the `Organism` classes. (introducing more text here about the relation of these classes). Physiological state of plants can be inferred visually by observing plant phenotypes. Thus, significant work/endeavour is also being done in the modelling of plant phenotypic representations in PPIO. To this end, a number of classes have been specifically created to represent plant phenotypic traits in a precise and pertinent manner, being especially important the Phenotypic process and the Phenotype? Classes (figura con un esquema de la jerarquía de clases). These two classes semantically illustrate the output of the interaction between the host and the bacteria.

## 3. Creation methodology

Numerical IDs + label.

The development of PPIO is automated as much as possible. Once the basic structure is set, some parts of the ontology are produced programmatically by introducing the ontology in a tailored Galaxy [7] workflow (picture of workflow).

OWL Punning<sup>5</sup> is used in the X hierarchy of PPIO to represent X classes both as OWL classes and individuals, in order to link pathogens, which are individuals, with symptoms?. This is achieved by defining an Ontology Pre Procesoor Language (OPPL)<sup>6</sup> script (picture) and executing it via OPPL-Galaxy [1].

The organism taxa hierarchy is produced by the Galaxy tool NCBITaxonomy2OWL: NCBITaxonomy2OWL gets the user-defined taxa from the NCBO web service (URL) and injects them in the ontology, respecting the original hierarchy and adding each taxa with an ontology (REF) URI (eg) (ref github repo)

By using Galaxy, the specific workflow we need is defined once and we can execute it at will, each time a new release is set, or also if new tools are needed. The galaxy workflow can be reproduced at [biordf.org:8090](http://biordf.org:8090) with any ontology and OPPL script.

The ontology URI resolves to the ontology, and entity URIs also resolve

<sup>4</sup><http://www.apsnet.org/edcenter/instcomm/TeachingArticles/Pages/DiseaseTriangle.aspx>

<sup>5</sup><http://www.w3.org/TR/owl2-new-features/>  
Punning

<sup>6</sup><http://oppl.sf.net>

## 4. Discussion

Although the existence of ontologies related with plants and plant pathology has already been reported [9] [10] [14], the justification for building this ontology lies in its coverage of a domain not fully represented by other resources. In a comparison between the IDO-Plant and PPIO, a more generalistic approach of data modelling can be seen in the case of the first platform, which semantically describes plant infectious diseases caused by either biotic or abiotic agents. The ontology reported here pursues a knowledge capture strategy specifically focused on data that concerns plant-pathogenic bacteria interactions. On the other hand, although the GO extension for the type III effectors is built for capturing processes in the host-pathogen level, effector proteins data capture is emphasized. Of course, PPIO has been developed in order to be used with these ontological resources. In this aspect, PPIO complements these previous ontologies by introducing accurate and concrete biological information concerning plant pathogenic bacteria and the interactions with plants. The final goal of this initiative is to use this platform, combined with others, as a diagnosis/prevention/alert system. PPIO will make it possible for users to pose, and answer, questions like the following ones and obtain a meaningful answer:

1. Is tomato plant susceptible to the attack of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000?
2. Does a high humidity and low temperature rates favour the development of *Pectobacterium carotovorum* subsp. *carotovorum*?
3. What is the phenotype of the disease produced by *Dickeya dadantii* in *Solanum tuberosum*?

A new knowledge capture project is now being developed in the laboratory, and it is our thought that it will aid in the process of collecting as many data as possible. This project starts from the idea that the participation of field experts should ensure the reliability of the PPIO data content.

## Acknowledgements

Alejandro Rodríguez Iglesias and Alejandro Rodríguez González are funded by the Isaac Peral Programme. Mark D. Wilkinson and Mikel Egaña Aranguren

are funded by the Marie Curie-COFUND Programme (FP7) of the EU.

## References

- [1] M. E. Aranguren, J. T. F. Breis, E. Antezana, C. Mungall, A. R. González, and M. Wilkinson. OPPL-Galaxy, a Galaxy tool for enhancing ontology exploitation as part of bioinformatics workflows. *Journal of Biomedical Semantics*, 4(1):2+, 2013.
- [2] F. Belleau, M.-A. Nolin, N. Tourigny, P. Rigault, and J. Morissette. Bio2rdf: Towards a mashup to build bioinformatics knowledge systems. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, 41(5):706–716, 2008.
- [3] G. O. Consortium. The Gene Ontology in 2010: extensions and refinements. *Nucleic acids research*, 38(Database issue):D331–5, 2010.
- [4] L. G. Cowell and B. Smith. Infectious disease ontology. *Infectious Disease Informatics*, pages 373–395, 2010.
- [5] P. J. G. M. de Wit. How plants recognize pathogens and defend themselves. *Cellular and molecular life sciences : CMLS*, 64(21):2726–32, 2007.
- [6] P. Dodds and J. Rathjen. Plant immunity: towards an integrated view of plant-pathogen interactions. *Nature Review Genetics*, 11:539–548, 2010.
- [7] J. Goecks, A. Nekrutenko, J. Taylor, and Galaxy Team. Galaxy: a comprehensive approach for supporting accessible, reproducible, and transparent computational research in the life sciences. *Genome biology*, 11(8):R86+, 2010.
- [8] P. Jaiswal, S. Avraham, K. Ilic, E. A. Kellogg, S. McCouch, A. Pujar, L. Reiser, S. Y. Rhee, M. M. Sachs, M. Schaeffer, L. Stein, P. Stevens, L. Vincent, D. Ware, and F. Zapata. Plant ontology (po): a controlled vocabulary of plant structures and growth stages. *Comparative and Functional Genomics*, 6(7-8):388–397, 2005.
- [9] P. Jaiswal, D. Ware, J. Ni, K. Chang, W. Zhao, S. Schmidt, X. Pan, K. Clark, L. Teytelman, S. Cartinhour, L. Stein, and S. McCouch. Gramene: development and integration of trait and gene ontologies for rice. *Comparative and Functional Genomics*, 3(2):132–136, 2002.
- [10] M. Lindeberg and A. Collmer. Gene Ontology for type III effectors: capturing processes at the host-pathogen interface. *Trends in microbiology*, 17(7):304–11, 2009.
- [11] J. Mansfield, S. Genin, S. Magori, V. Citovsky, M. Sriariyanum, P. Ronald, and et al. Top 10 plant pathogenic bacteria in molecular plant pathology. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 13(6):614–629, 2012.
- [12] E. Montesinos. Pathogenic plant-microbe interactions. What we know and how we benefit. *International microbiology : the official journal of the Spanish Society for Microbiology*, 3(2):69–70, 2000.
- [13] B. Smith, M. Ashburner, C. Rosse, J. Bard, and W. B. et al. The obo foundry: coordinated evolution of ontologies to support biomedical data integration. *Nat Biotech*, 25(11):1251–1255, 2007.
- [14] R. Walls, B. Smith, J. Elser, A. Goldfain, D. W. Stevenson, and P. Jaiswal. A plant disease extension of the Infectious Disease Ontology. In *Ronald Cornet and Robert Stevens, editors, ICBO*, pages 1–5.