PLU factorization Lec 14 Consider Ax=6 If we know the now exchanges that were required to solve the system by Eaussian elimination, we could arrange the original eggs in an order That would ensure that no row interchanges were needed Let the matrix P represent this a priori 2 agrience of now exchanges then  $(PA)_{c} = (Pb)$ can be solved w/o now exchanges. o'o The matrix PA can be factored as: PA = LU where Lis lower triangular and us upper triangular. But how do we compute ?? e may a Mus "

Equivalently, in words, to compute P me apply now exchanges to the identity matrix. MEANING OF PI =) (PA)T= (ARISPR We know from linear algebra That Now consider RHS. First write Then -R7-

(ARIGRA)T = 1 1 1 Rr Ri Rs Thus (\*+) =) 1 17 RR2R3 PT - R2R1R3 Thus of P represents a seg of somesponding seg of column snows. What is the inverse of P? INVERSE OF P Any permutation matrix is the product of elementary permutation where by delementary I mean a permutation that swaps two rows (or two columns) ONLY.  $\begin{array}{c|c} P & -R_1 - P - R_2 - P - R_$ 

Since swapping R; with R; is the same as swapping R; with R; each elementary permitation matrix is symmetric and councides with it's inverse. 0 1 0 = PR20R1 Ex PRESE Symmetric. Alss: PRIER, PRIESRI - RI-PRISRIPREDEZ = I Similarly PRIORIPRICIR; =

[PRIORI] = PRIORI = PRIORI

write a general permutation matrix as the product of elementary permutation matrices. P = P, -- Pk P-1 = (P, -- Pb)-1 = Pp -- P = PR --. PT P-1= PT Recall. Ax=b A= PTLU.

Ēx	Find the PLU decomposition of
	$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
	# =
	- 12
010	
50/2	
Com	one,
TO.	$m_{21}=1$
	$021$ $m_{31} = -1$
RZG	DR3 2
Spinopare 1	
	$501 m_{32} = 0$
	Thus one now xchampe (R26) was
	required. The wresponding permutath
	matrix is:
	" ACCUA
	P = [1 b b]
	601
	(0 1 5)
	Now repeat Gaussian elimination,
	this time doing all the was
	exchanges up front (which is
	equivalent to pre-weltiplying A by
	P):

•	
	$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \end{bmatrix}$
	1 1 0
Commentations	PA =   -1 1 2
RZOR3	
	mbine $0 \ 2 \   \ m_{21} = - $
Y)	ons 0 0 1 m3, = 1
	(M20 = 0)
	same as
	before different.
	from sofore?
	Thus Lu factoritation of PA is
	LU, where
	L= 100 = 100
	M31 1 0   -1 1 0
	(m31 m32 ) ) (10)
	U=   1 -
	0 2
	(0 0 )

= PA Thus A = PTLU = PLU (Since P is elementary). CHECK We know. and P interchanges Rz, Rz, Thus which is

occurred up front we don't need to redo G.E. and L= 100]; U= 11 CHECK PTLU = PLU= 0 1 1 2 sweepper which is A, as it should

Ex	Recompute a PLU factorization of
,	
	A = [0 1]
	[-1]-1]
	this time using partial printing.
	TWO TIPE USING PURIOUS PINGE
Sula	
2001	RIGRA
	(PARTIAL O)
	elim ) ) )
	1st dr 011 m2 = 0
	$0.20$ $m_{31} = -1$
	R16R3 111
	PARTIAL 020
	PIVOTING)
	elininate 1 1 1
	nd 7 620
	$\frac{2}{12} = \frac{1}{12}$
	1132
	with partial pivoting, we needed
	2 now exchanges (instead of)
	proviously).

Thus (notice this is not symmetric elementary) Now perform GE w! partial pivoting on

Thus PTLU Where PT= 0 1 = 1/2 1 1/ = 20 00 CHECK: 0 2 0 00 -0 00 PTLU= 0

which is A is required These last two examples demonstrate that a PLU factorization is not POVET Non