### Esercizio 1

L'oggetto sharedMap e' una collection non thread safe. I TestWorker modificano il contenuto della mappa in maniera concorrente, aggiungendo, rimuovendo e cambiando il valore delle entry.

**Soluzione 1**: La modifica della mappa avviene in un blocco synchronized sull'oggeto condiviso sharedMap

### Soluzione 2: uso di ConcurrentMap

```
private final static Map<String, Integer> sharedMap = new HashMap<String, Integer>();
private final static ConcurrentMap<String, Integer> sharedMapConcurrent = new
ConcurrentHashMap<>();
```

```
private void updateMapConcurrentColl(Integer int1, Integer int5, Integer int10, String
key) {
    if (counter == 0) {
        // se counter=0, rimuovi dalla mappa se valore corrente=1
        if(sharedMapConcurrent.remove(key, int1))
            log("{" + key + "} remove 1");
    } else if (counter == 1) {
        // se counter=1, inserisci 1 se chiave non presente
        if(sharedMapConcurrent.putIfAbsent(key, int1) == null)
            log("{" + key + "} put 1");
    } else if (counter == 5) {
        // se counter=5, aggiorna mappa con 5 se valore attuale=10
        if(sharedMapConcurrent.replace(key, 10, int5))
            log("{" + key + "} replace " + 10 + " with 5");
    } else if (counter == 10) {
        // se counter=10, aggiorna mappa con 10 indipendentemente dal valore attuale
```

```
sharedMapConcurrent.computeIfPresent(key, (k,v) -> {
     log("{" + key + "} replace " + v.intValue() + " with 10");
     return sharedMapConcurrent.put(k, int10);
    });
}
```

# Esercizio 2

L'oggetto **sharedPhraes** e' una collection non thread safe. I reader cercano di iterare sulla collezione mentre il writer ne cambia il contenuto aggiungendo nuovi elementi.

Questo causa il lancio di: ConcurrentModificationException

Soluzione 1: Sincronizzazione tramite ReadWriteLock.

```
public class S7Esercizio2 {
   private final static ReadWriteLock rwLock = new ReentrantReadWriteLock();
   private final static Lock writeLock = rwLock.writeLock();
   final static Lock readLock = rwLock.readLock();
```

Main Thread: single writer

```
writeLock.lock();
try {
   S7Esercizio2.sharedPhrase.add(getWord());
} finally {
   writeLock.unlock();
}
```

ReadWorker: many reader

```
S7Esercizio2.readLock.lock();
try {
   iterator = S7Esercizio2.sharedPhrase.iterator();
   while (iterator.hasNext()) {
      sb.append(iterator.next());
      sb.append(" ");
   }
} finally {
   S7Esercizio2.readLock.unlock();
}
```

	Recognized Changes:	totCompares:	Avg compares per change
ReadWorker00	11	334321	30392.818
ReadWorker01	11	331467	30133.363
ReadWorker02	11	328281	29843.727
ReadWorker03	11	334271	30388.273
ReadWorker04	11	326360	29669.092
ReadWorker05	11	335869	30533.545
ReadWorker06	11	336765	30615.000
ReadWorker07	11	331347	30122.455
ReadWorker08	11	334927	30447.908
ReadWorker09	11	330305	30027.727
ReadWorker10	11	341544	31049.455
ReadWorker11	11	326611	29691.908
ReadWorker12	11	325886	29626.000
ReadWorker13	11	331046	30095.092
ReadWorker14	11	335364	30487.637
Simulation took:	10245 ms		

## Soluzione 2: uso di Collections.synchronizedList

## S7Esercizio2.sharedPhrase = Collections.synchronizedList(list);

```
synchronized (S7Esercizio2.sharedPhrase) {
    final Iterator<String> iterator = S7Esercizio2.sharedPhrase.iterator();
    while (iterator.hasNext()) {
        sb.append(iterator.next());
        sb.append(" ");
    }
}
```

	Recognized Changes:	totCompares:	Avg compares per change
ReadWorker00	11	161374	14670.363
ReadWorker01	11	168075	15279.546
ReadWorker02	11	172591	15690.091
ReadWorker03	11	198426	18038.727
ReadWorker04	11	158537	14412.454
ReadWorker05	10	112990	11299.000
ReadWorker06	11	137315	12483.182
ReadWorker07	11	131556	11959.637
ReadWorker08	11	167791	15253.728
ReadWorker09	11	163288	14844.363
ReadWorker10	11	144027	13093.363
ReadWorker11	11	203971	18542.818
ReadWorker12	10	128347	12834.700
ReadWorker13	11	138026	12547.818
ReadWorker14	10	102051	10205.100
Simulation took:	10328ms		

### Soluzione 3: uso di CopyOnWriteArrayList

#### S7Esercizio2.sharedPhrase = new CopyOnWriteArrayList<>(list);

In questo caso il reader non ha bisogno di usare synchronized block perche' l'iterator ci restituisce gia' uno snapshot della collection che non subira' modifiche.

	Recognized Changes:	totCompares:	Avg compares per change
ReadWorker00	11	374261	34023.727
ReadWorker01	11	379099	34463.547
ReadWorker02	11	375356	34123.273
ReadWorker03	11	378100	34372.727
ReadWorker04	11	373216	33928.727
ReadWorker05	11	372253	33841.184
ReadWorker06	11	384742	34976.547
ReadWorker07	11	373451	33950.090
ReadWorker08	11	374911	34082.816
ReadWorker09	11	378785	34435.000
ReadWorker10	11	372323	33847.547
ReadWorker11	11	374587	34053.363
ReadWorker12	10	373170	37317.000
ReadWorker13	11	367798	33436.184
ReadWorker14	10	377555	37755.500
Simulation took:	10176ms		