# Computational Logic - Assignment 3

#### Alessandro Marostica

December 6, 2023

## Exercise 2

Let's break down the proof in two parts:

- 1. R is symmetric  $\Rightarrow \psi \Rightarrow \Box \diamond \psi$
- 2.  $\psi \Rightarrow \Box \diamond \psi \Rightarrow R$  is symmetric

#### R is symmetric $\Rightarrow \psi \Rightarrow \Box \diamond \psi$ :

Let's assume that  $\psi$  is true in a world w,  $w \models \psi$ . We need to show that  $w \models \Box \diamond \psi$ . By definition of modal operators, since R is symmetric, if v is accessible from w, then w is accessible from v. Therefore, if  $\psi$  is true in v, then  $\psi$  is also true in w. This implies that  $\Box \psi$  is true in w and  $\diamond \psi$  is true in w. Therefore  $\Box \diamond \psi$  holds in w. Since this holds for an arbitrary world w where  $\psi$  is true, we can conclude that  $\psi \Rightarrow \Box \diamond \psi$ .

### $\psi \Rightarrow \Box \diamond \psi \Rightarrow R$ is symmetric:

To show symmetry, we need to show that if wRv then vRw for all worlds w and v. Let's assume wRv, this means that v is accessible form w. Let's also consider the formula  $\psi = \neg \Box \neg \diamond \bot$ , which is not necessarily false. By assuming  $\psi \Rightarrow \Box \diamond \psi$ , we have  $\neg \Box \neg \diamond \bot \Rightarrow \Box \diamond \neg \Box \neg \diamond \bot$ . In the world w:

- 1.  $\neg \Box \neg \diamond \bot$  is true in w since  $\diamond \bot$  is true in vv, which is accessible from w.
- 2.  $\square \diamond \neg \square \neg \diamond \bot$  is true in w by assumption.

This implies that  $\neg \Box \neg \diamond \bot$  is true in all worlds accessible from w, including v. Now, considering formula  $\diamond \bot$ , we have that this is true in v since  $\neg \Box \neg \diamond \bot$  is true in v. Therefore vRw. Since this is true in an arbitrary world, we can conclude that R is symmetric.

## Exercise 3

As in Exercise 2, we will break down this proof in two parts:

- 1. F is euclidean  $\Rightarrow F \models \diamond \psi \Rightarrow \Box \diamond \psi$
- 2.  $F \models \diamond \psi \Rightarrow \Box \diamond \psi \Rightarrow F$  is euclidean

## F is euclidean $\Rightarrow$ $F \models \diamond \psi \Rightarrow \Box \diamond \psi$ :

Let w be a world in W, Suppose  $w \models \diamond \psi$ , meaning there exists a world v such that wRv and  $v \models \psi$ . We now need to show that  $w \models \Box \diamond \psi$ . Thanks to the euclidean property, if wRv and wRu then vRu for any u in W. Since wRv is reflexive, vRw, then vRv. This means that  $\diamond \psi$  is true at v. Now  $\Box \diamond \psi$  is ture at w because  $\diamond \psi$  is ture at all worlds accessible from w.

## $F \models \diamond \psi \Rightarrow \Box \diamond \psi \Rightarrow F$ is euclidean:

Let's take any x, y, z in W such that xRy and xRz. We need to show that yRz. Let's consider the formula  $\phi = \diamond \psi$ , where  $\phi$  is an arbitrary formula. Since xRy there exists u such that xRu and  $u \models \psi$ . Now, xRz and, by assuming  $F \models \diamond \psi \Rightarrow \Box \diamond \psi$ , we have  $xRz \Rightarrow zRw$  for any w such that xRu. Therefore we have zRw for some w such that xRu. Since y is accessible from x, y is also accessible from u, which implies yRw. Given yRw and zRw, by transitivity we have yRz. Since this holds for arbitrary x, y, z in W, we can conclude that F is euclidean.