

# Computational Logic - Assignment 1

Alessandro Marostica\*

October 11, 2023

## Prelude

I have never used LaTeX before, please bear with my plausibly horrible usage.

## Exercise 2

**Disjunction** Using De Morgan's law, one can define the OR operator as such:

$$A \vee B \equiv \neg(\neg A \wedge \neg B)$$

$A$	$B$	$A \vee B$	$A$	$B$	$\neg A$	$\neg B$	$\neg A \wedge \neg B$	$\neg(\neg A \wedge \neg B)$
T	T	T	T	T	F	F	F	T
T	F	T	T	F	F	T	F	T
F	T	T	F	T	T	F	F	T
F	F	F	F	F	T	T	T	F

**Implication** One can define IMPLIES as such:

$$A \rightarrow B \equiv \neg A \vee B$$

$A$	$B$	$A \rightarrow B$	$A$	$B$	$\neg A$	$\neg A \vee B$
T	T	T	T	T	F	T
T	F	F	T	F	F	F
F	T	T	F	T	T	T
F	F	T	F	F	T	T

**Material equivalence** One can define IFF as such:

$$A \leftrightarrow B \equiv (A \wedge B) \vee (\neg A \wedge \neg B)$$

$A$	$B$	$A \leftrightarrow B$	$A$	$B$	$A \wedge B$	$\neg A$	$\neg B$	$\neg A \wedge \neg B$	$(A \wedge B) \vee (\neg A \wedge \neg B)$
T	T	T	T	T	T	F	F	F	T
T	F	F	T	F	F	F	T	F	F
F	T	F	F	T	F	T	F	F	F
F	F	T	F	F	F	T	T	T	T

Note that IFF yields the same result as XNOR, which is opposite of XOR.

**Exclusive disjunction** One can define XOR as such:

$$A \oplus B \equiv (A \wedge \neg B) \vee (\neg A \wedge B)$$

$A$	$B$	$A \oplus B$	$A$	$B$	$\neg A$	$\neg B$	$A \wedge \neg B$	$\neg A \wedge B$	$(A \wedge \neg B) \vee (\neg A \wedge B)$
T	T	F	T	T	F	F	F	F	F
T	F	T	T	F	F	T	T	F	T
F	T	T	F	T	T	F	F	T	T
F	F	F	F	F	T	T	F	F	F

---

\*Arianna, per avermi stimolato ad usare LaTeX

## NAND is sufficient to define all simple logical operators

$\neg p$  can be defined as  $p \uparrow p$  ( $\uparrow$  is the symbol for NAND), this is equivalent to  $p \uparrow p \leftrightarrow \neg(p \wedge p) \leftrightarrow \neg p$ . In natural language this can be referred to as "not both p and p".

$p \wedge q$  can be defined as  $\neg(p \uparrow q)$