ASE 389P-7 Problem Set 5

Posting Date: October 28, 2022

You need not hand in anything. Instead, be prepared to answer any of these problems—or similar problems—on an upcoming take-home exam. You may discuss your solutions with classmates up until the time that the exam becomes available, but do not swap work (including code).

Readings

All background reading material is found on Canvas. You'll find notes on FFT-based code search for GNSS acquisition in fftAcqTheory.pdf. This draws from original work in [1].

Problems

1. In lecture we modeled a discrete-time GNSS signal exiting the RF front-end as

$$x(j) = A(\tau_i)D[\tau_i - t_d(\tau_i)]C[\tau_i - t_s(\tau_i)]\cos[2\pi f_{IF}\tau_i + \theta(\tau_i)] + n(j)$$

with the following definitions, where all time-based quantities are in units of seconds:

$$T_{j} \quad \text{sample time expressed in receiver time} \\ A(\tau_{j}) \quad \text{signal amplitude} \\ D[\tau] = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} d_{q} \Pi_{T_{d}}(\tau - iT_{d}) \quad \pm 1\text{-valued navigation data bit stream} \\ d_{i} \quad \text{navigation data bit interval} \\ T_{d} \quad \text{navigation data bit reference epoch} \\ C[\tau] = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{\text{mod}(i,N_{c})} \Pi_{T_{c}}(\tau - iT_{c}) \quad \text{spreading (ranging) code sequence} \\ N_{c} \quad \text{number of chips in spreading (ranging) code sequence} \\ k_{s}(\tau_{j}) \quad \text{code phase expressed as a code start time} \\ c_{i} \quad \pm 1\text{-valued spreading (ranging) code chip values} \\ f_{IF} \quad \text{intermediate frequency in Hz} \\ \theta(\tau_{j}) \quad \text{beat carrier phase in radians} \\ f_{D}(\tau_{j}) = (2\pi)^{-1} d/d\tau \left[\theta(\tau)\right]|_{\tau=\tau_{j}} \quad \text{apparent Doppler frequency shift in Hz} \\ n(j) \quad \text{element of a zero mean discrete-time Gaussian} \\ \text{white noise sequence with } E[n(j)n(k)] = \sigma_{n}^{2} \delta_{jk} \\ T \quad \text{uniform sample interval: } T = \tau_{j+1} - \tau_{j} \\ \end{cases}$$

In the above, δ_{jk} is the Kronecker delta which is equal to unity for j=k and 0 otherwise. The signal's carrier-to-noise ratio C/N_0 is related to σ_n^2 by

$$C/N_0 = \frac{A^2}{4\sigma_n^2 T}$$

Complex accumulations S_k are computed within a GNSS receiver according to the following recipe:

$$S_{k} = \sum_{j=j_{k}}^{j_{k}+N_{k}-1} x(j) \exp\left\{-i\left[2\pi f_{IF}\tau_{j} + \hat{\theta}(\tau_{j})\right]\right\} C[\tau_{j} - \hat{t}_{s,k}]$$

In this recipe, $i = \sqrt{-1}$, $\hat{t}_{s,k}$ is the estimate of the code start time for the kth accumulation, $\hat{\theta}(\tau_j)$ is the estimate of the beat carrier phase at sample time τ_j , N_k is the number of samples that participate in the kth accumulation (note that N_k is approximately constant across different k), and j_k is the minimum value of the index j that respects the bound $\hat{t}_{s,k} \leq \tau_j$.

Whereas the above is a recipe for computing S_k , we'd also like to have a model for S_k that relates it to code and carrier phase errors. We can use such a model to design acquisition and tracking algorithms. In lecture we considered the case of a random spreading code $C(\tau)$ and we claimed that under this assumption S_k can be modeled as

$$S_k[\Delta t_k, \Delta \theta(\tau_j)] = \frac{N_k \bar{A}_k d_i}{2} \bar{R}(\Delta t_k) \left\{ \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{j=j_k}^{j_k + N_k - 1} \exp\left[i\Delta \theta(\tau_j)\right] \right\} + n_k$$

Using your lecture notes, define all the quantities in this equation that have not been previously defined in this problem. Then show how this model can be derived from the foregoing recipe for S_k under the assumption of a random spreading code and the previously-defined noise model for n(j). Derive the mean and variance of n_k in terms of σ_n and show that $E[n_k^*n_l] = 0$ for $k \neq l$.

Hint: The signal component of S_k will boil down to a summation involving three functions of time: $A(\tau_j)$, $R_c[t_s(\tau_j) - \hat{t}_{s,k}] = C[\tau_j - t_s(\tau_j)]C[\tau_j - \hat{t}_{s,k}]$, and $\exp[i\Delta\theta(\tau_j)]$. Assume that $A(\tau_j)$ and $R_c[t_s(\tau_j) - \hat{t}_{s,k}]$ are statistically independent and vary slowly enough over the accumulation that they can be modeled as constant in τ_j . Justify the choice of \bar{A}_k and $\bar{R}(\Delta t_k)$ as the appropriate constants. Assume that for the modeled S_k we take the expectation of R_c with respect to the underlying code, which we have assumed is perfectly random.

2. Derive the expression for carrier-to-noise ratio

$$C/N_0 = \frac{A^2}{4\sigma_n^2 T}$$

introduced above under the assumption that the analog-to-digital converter that produces the samples x(j) is preceded by a bandpass anti-aliasing filter with (one-sided) noise-equivalent bandwidth $B_{ne} = 1/2T$. In other words, assume that the bandpass analog signal is sampled at exactly the Nyquist frequency according to the bandpass sampling theorem. Also assume that the noise component of the analog signal is spectrally flat (white) with two-sided power density $N_0/2$.

Hints: Use the definition of C/N_0 and your results for modeling noise in the conversion from analog to digital signals from Problem Set 4.

3. The "High-Sensitivity GNSS Acquisition" notes pointed out that the summation in the model for S_k is closely related to the discrete-time coherence function

$$C_{\text{coh}}(N) = \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \exp\left[i\Delta\theta(\tau_j)\right] \right|$$

where N is the number of samples that participate in a coherent accumulation.

To prevent signal power from being lost due to variations in $\Delta\theta(\tau_j)$, the value of the coherence function must be maintained near unity. Explain in your own words why this is so. To accompany your explanation, draw a picture that illustrates the concept of signal amplitude degradation due to variations in $\Delta\theta(\tau_j)$.

The coherence time is defined as $\tau_{\rm coh} \triangleq TN_{\rm coh}$, where T is the sampling interval and $N_{\rm coh}$ is the value of N for which the quantity

$$E\left[C_{\text{coh}}^{2}(N)\right] = \frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} E\left[\exp\left\{i\left[\Delta\theta(\tau_{j}) - \Delta\theta(\tau_{k})\right]\right\}\right]$$

drops to some specified value. For our purposes, we will define this value to be 0.5. (Here, $E[\xi]$ denotes the expected value of the random variable ξ). Derive this expression for $E\left[C_{\text{coh}}^2(N)\right]$ from the foregoing definition of $C_{\text{coh}}(N)$.

4. Write a function in Matlab that computes the coherence. Your function should adhere to the following interface

```
function [Ccoh] = computeCoherence(DeltaThetaVec, N)
% computeCoherence : Compute the value of the discrete-time coherence function
%
                  Ccoh(N).
%
% INPUTS
% DeltaThetaVec ---- Ns-by-1 vector representing a sampled carrier phase
                   error time history, in rad.
%
 {\tt N} ----- The number of samples that will be used to evaluate
                   the coherence Ccoh(N).
%
%
% OUTPUTS
% Ccoh ----- The value of the discrete-time coherence function for
                   the first N samples of DeltaThetaVec.
%
% References:
%
      _______
```

Develop a simulation that employs your function computeCoherence to examine the coherence of three common processes that drive variations in the time history $\Delta\theta(\tau_i)$:

White phase noise: $\Delta\theta(\tau_j)$ is a sample from a discrete-time white Gaussian sequence with $E[\Delta\theta(\tau_j)\Delta\theta(\tau_m)] = \sigma_{\theta}^2 \delta_{j,m}$. The units of σ_{θ} are radians.

White frequency noise (phase random walk): The instantaneous Doppler frequency error $\Delta\omega(\tau_j)$ is a sample from a discrete-time white Gaussian sequence with $E[\Delta\omega(\tau_j)\Delta\omega(\tau_m)] = \sigma_\omega^2 \delta_{j,m}$. $\Delta\theta(\tau_j)$ is the integral of the time history $\Delta\omega(\tau_j)$. This process is sometimes called phase random walk because the integrated white frequency noise "walks" around randomly. The units of σ_ω are radians per sampling interval.

White frequency rate noise (frequency random walk): The instantaneous Doppler frequency rate error $\Delta\alpha(\tau_j)$ is a sample from a discrete-time white Gaussian sequence with $E[\Delta\alpha(\tau_j)\Delta\alpha(\tau_m)] = \sigma_{\alpha}^2 \delta_{j,m}$. $\Delta\omega(\tau_j)$ is the integral of the time history $\Delta\alpha(\tau_j)$, and $\Delta\theta(\tau_j)$ is the integral of the time history $\Delta\omega(\tau_j)$. This process is sometimes called frequency random walk because the integrated white frequency rate noise "walks" around randomly. The units of σ_{α} are radians per sampling interval squared.

Using Monte-Carlo-type simulations, estimate the coherence time $\tau_{\rm coh} = T N_{\rm coh}$ for the white frequency noise and white frequency rate noise processes with $\sigma_{\omega} = 0.01$ radians per sampling interval and $\sigma_{\alpha} = 0.0001$ radians per sampling interval squared. In other words, generate a large number (many thousands) of realizations of the random process $\Delta\theta(\tau_j)$ using Matlab's random number generator and then look at the mean of $C_{\rm coh}^2(N)$ to estimate the coherence time. Assume that the sampling interval T is equal to 1 ms. Use simple Euler-type integration to derive $\Delta\theta(\tau_j)$ from $\Delta\omega(\tau_j)$ and $\Delta\alpha(\tau_j)$.

Experiment with the value of $C_{\text{coh}}(N)$ for white phase noise with, say, $\sigma_{\theta} = 0.8$ radians, and several different values of N. Why doesn't it make sense to estimate the coherence time for the white phase noise process?

5. Let

$$s(j) \triangleq D[\tau_j - t_d(\tau_j)]C[\tau_j - t_s(\tau_j)]\cos[2\pi f_{IF}\tau_j + \theta(\tau_j)]$$

so that we can abbreviate our model for x(j) as

$$x(j) = \theta s(j) + n(j)$$

where $\theta \in \{0, A\}$, with A > 0, and where the noise samples $\{n(j)\}$ are taken to be independent, identically-distributed random variables with the distribution $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_n^2)$. Assume that both A and s(j) are perfectly known to us for $j = j_k, j_k + 1, \ldots, j_k + N_k - 1$. Suppose we take N_k observations of the samples x(j) and stack these in an observation vector z as

$$z = [x(j_k), x(j_k + 1), \dots, x(j_k + N_k - 1)]$$

A hypothesis test for the presence of the signal s(j) can be written as

$$H_0: \theta = \theta_0 = 0$$

$$H_1: \theta = \theta_1 = A$$

Since θ can assume only a single point under both H_0 and H_1 , this is a *simple* hypothesis test. Show that the optimal detection statistic implies an architecture wherein the incoming signal is correlated with $s(j), j = j_k, j_k + 1, \ldots, j_k + N_k - 1$. Explain why it is not necessary to know the value of A to form the detection statistic.

Hint: This problem can be cast as a special case of the General Gaussian Problem discussed in the *Detection Theory Basics* notes.

6. Repeat Problem 5 for the case where $\theta(\tau_j) = \tilde{\theta}_2$ is an unknown random variable uniformly distributed on $[0, 2\pi]$ and independent of the noise samples $\{n(j)\}$. Assume that all else about Problem 5 remains unchanged. Show that the optimal detection statistic implies a quadrature correlation architecture wherein the incoming signal is correlated against both

$$s_c(j) \triangleq D[\tau_j - t_d(\tau_j)]C[\tau_j - t_s(\tau_j)]\cos[2\pi f_{IF}\tau_j]$$

and

$$s_s(j) \triangleq D[\tau_j - t_d(\tau_j)]C[\tau_j - t_s(\tau_j)]\sin[2\pi f_{IF}\tau_j]$$

Is the value of A needed for the detection statistic in this case?

Hints: This is a case where the parameter vector can be modeled as $\boldsymbol{\theta} = [\tilde{\theta}_1, \tilde{\theta}_2]^T$, with $\tilde{\theta}_1 \in \{0, A\}$, and $\tilde{\theta}_2 \sim \mathcal{U}(0, 2\pi)$. The hypothesis test can be written

$$H_0: \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathcal{X}_0 \triangleq \{ \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathcal{X} | \tilde{\theta}_1 = 0 \}$$

$$H_1: \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathcal{X}_1 \triangleq \{ \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathcal{X} | \tilde{\theta}_1 = A \}$$

The likelihood ratio test then becomes

$$\Lambda(oldsymbol{z}) = rac{p(oldsymbol{z}|oldsymbol{ heta} \in \mathcal{X}_1)}{p(oldsymbol{z}|oldsymbol{ heta} \in \mathcal{X}_0)} \mathop{\gtrless}_{H_0}^{H_1}
u$$

Finding $p(z|\theta \in \mathcal{X}_0)$ is straightforward, since $\tilde{\theta}_1 = 0$ in this case. To find $p(z|\theta \in \mathcal{X}_1)$, average over $\tilde{\theta}_2$:

$$p(\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathcal{X}_1) = \int_0^{2\pi} p(\boldsymbol{z}|\tilde{\theta}_1 = A, \tilde{\theta}_2 = \xi) \underbrace{p_2(\xi)}_{\mathcal{U}[\xi;0,2\pi]} d\xi$$

The full likelihood ratio then becomes an integral having a term that looks like

$$\sum_{j} x(j) As(j,\xi)$$

where

$$s(j,\xi) \triangleq D[\tau_j - t_d(\tau_j)]C[\tau_j - t_s(\tau_j)]\cos\left[2\pi f_{IF}\tau_j + \xi\right]$$

Use the identity $\cos(a+b) = \cos a \cos b - \sin a \sin b$ to break this term into two components. You will then find similarity between your likelihood function and the one in the example under Composite Hypothesis Testing in the *Detection Theory Basics* handout.

7. In lecture, we considered the following general acquisition statistic:

$$Z = \sum_{k=1}^{N} |S_k|^2$$

Important parameters here are $N_k \approx N_a = \text{const.}$, the number of samples in each coherent accumulation, and N, the number of accumulations S_k summed noncoherently to get Z. Assuming $\Delta f_k \approx 0$ and $\Delta t_k \approx 0$, the normalized accumulation S_k can be modeled as

$$S_k = \rho_k + n_k$$

where the $\rho_k = \frac{N_k \bar{A}_k}{2\sigma_{IQ}}$, and $n_k = n_{Ik} + j n_{Qk}$, with

$$n_{Ik} \sim N(0,1), \quad n_{Qk} \sim N(0,1), \quad E[n_{Ik}n_{Ii}] = E[n_{Qk}n_{Qi}] = \delta_{k,i}, \quad E[n_{Ik}n_{Qi}] = 0 \quad \forall k, i$$

Let the parameter $\theta = \rho_k$. We can state the acquisition hypotheses as follows:

$$H_0: \theta = \theta_0 = 0$$

$$H_1: \ \theta \in \chi_1 \triangleq \{\theta \mid \theta = \theta_1 > 0\}$$

To formulate a Neyman-Pearson hypothesis test, we will need to derive the probability density functions $p(Z|\theta_0)$ and $p(Z|\theta \in \chi_1)$.

Show that $p(Z|\theta_0) = \chi_{2N}^2$; in other words, show that $p(Z|\theta_0)$ is a chi-square distribution with 2N degrees of freedom. Thus, under H_0 , Z has mean $E[Z|\theta_0] = 2N$ and variance $Var(Z|\theta_0) = 4N$.

Show that $p(Z|\theta \in \chi_1) = \chi_{2N}^2(\lambda)$; in other words, show that $p(Z|\theta \in \chi_1)$ is a noncentral chi-square distribution with 2N degrees of freedom and noncentrality parameter $\lambda = N\theta_1^2$. Thus, under H_1 , Z has mean $E[Z|\theta \in \chi_1] = 2N + \lambda$ and variance $Var(Z|\theta \in \chi_1) = 4(N + \lambda)$.

Hints: Let X_i be N independent, normally distributed random variables with means μ_i and variances σ_i . Then the random variable

$$Z = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{X_i}{\sigma_i}\right)^2$$

has a noncentral chi-square distribution with N degrees of freedom and noncentrality parameter

$$\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{\mu_i}{\sigma_i}\right)^2$$

In the special case that $\mu_i = 0 \quad \forall i$, then Z has a chi-square distribution with N degrees of freedom.

8. Write a Matlab function to calculate the null hypothesis and alternative hypothesis probability density functions and the decision threshold corresponding to a GNSS signal acquisition problem. Your function should adhere to the following interface:

```
function [pZ_H0,pZ_H1,lambda0,Pd,ZVec] = performAcqHypothesisCalcs(s)
% performAcqHypothesisCalcs : Calculate the null-hypothesis and alternative
                              hypothesis probability density functions and the
%
                              decision threshold corresponding to GNSS signal
%
                              acquisition with the given inputs.
% Z is the acquisition statistic:
%
                          1^2
%
  Z =
               sum |
                      Sk
%
               k=1 |
%
%
%
%
               N I
%
              sum \mid Ik^2 + Qk^2 \mid
%
              k=1 |_
%
%
%
% where Sk = rhok + nk
          = Ik + j*Qk
%
% and nk = nIk + j*nQk
% with nIk \sim N(0,1), nQk \sim N(0,1), and E[nIk nQi] = 1 for k = i and 0 for k !=
% i. The amplitude rhok is related to familiar parameters Nk, Abark, and
% sigma_IQ by rhok = (Nk*Abark)/(2*sigma_IQ), i.e., it is the magnitude of the
% usual complex baseband phasor normalized by sigma_IQ.
% Under HO, the statistic Z is distributed as a chi square distribution with
% 2*N degrees of freedom; under H1, it is distributed as a noncentral chi
% square distribution with lambda = N*rhok^2 and 2*N degrees of freedom.
% The total number of cells in the search grid is assumed to be nCells =
% nCodeOffsets*nFreqOffsets, where nFreqOffsets = 2*fMax*Ta and Ta = Na*T is
% the total coherent accumulation time. Here, Na is the average value of the
% number of samples in each accumulation, Nk.
% INPUTS
%
% s ----- A structure containing the following fields:
%
%
         C_NOdBHz ----- Carrier to noise ratio in dB-Hz.
%
%
         Ta ----- Coherent accumulation interval, in seconds.
%
%
%
         N ----- The number of accumulations summed noncoherently to
%
                           get Z.
%
          fMax ----- Frequency search range delimiter. The total
```

```
%
                        frequency search range is +/- fMax.
%
%
         nCodeOffsets --- Number of statistically independent code offsets in
%
                        the search range.
%
%
         PfaAcq ----- The total acquisition false alarm probability.
%
                         This is the probability that the statistic {\bf Z}
%
                         exceeds the threshold lambda in any one of the
%
                        search cells under the hypothesis HO. One can
%
                        derive the false alarm probability for *each*
%
                         search cell from PfaAcq. This procedure is
%
                         straightforward if we assume that the detection
%
                         statistics from the search cells are independent
%
                         of one another.
%
%
         ZMax ----- The maximum value of Z that will be considered.
%
%
         delZ ----- The discretization interval used for the
%
                         independent variable Z. The full vector of Z
%
                         values considered is thus ZVec = [0:delZ:ZMax].
%
%
% OUTPUTS
% pZ_HO ----- The probability density of Z under hypothesis HO.
% pZ_H1 ----- The probability density of Z under hypothesis H1.
% lambda0 ----- The detection threshold.
% Pd ----- The probability of detection.
% Zvec ----- The vector of Z values considered.
%+-----
% References:
%
%
```

Note that pZ_HO and pZ_H1 are vectors of length length(ZVec) that represent the corresponding continuous densities sampled at the points in ZVec and scaled such that the vectors each sum to 1.

You may use the script topPerformAcqHypothesisCalcs.m found on Canvas to test your function. Use your function and the following values for some of the sub-fields of s:

```
s.PfaAcq = 0.0001;
s.Ta = 0.001;
s.fMax = 7000
s.nCodeOffsets = 1023*5;
s.ZMax = 1000;
s.delZ = 0.1;
```

to plot the following two curves:

- (a) Plot the N required to achieve a probability of detection $P_D = 0.95$ or more for each of the C/N_0 values in the set $\{27, 30, 33, 36, 39, 42, 45, 48, 51\}$.
- (b) Set N=1 and vary T_a . Plot the T_a required to achieve a probability of detection $P_D=0.95$ or more for each of the C/N_0 values in the set $\{5, 10, 15, ..., 45, 50\}$.

What conclusions can you draw about the efficiency of coherent vs. noncoherent integration as regards the total length of data required to achieve a given P_D ? For example, to achieve $P_D = 0.95$ at $C/N_0 = 30$, do you require more total data for noncoherent integration or for coherent integration?

Hints: You may find the function chi2pdf, ncx2pdf, chi2inv, and ncx2cdf from Matlab's statistics toolbox useful in implementing performAcqHypothesisCalcs.

- 9. Repeat Problem 8 of Problem Set 4 except for two differences:
 - (a) Use FFT-based correlation to expedite acquisition by exploring all possible code offsets simultaneously, as described in lecture.
 - (b) Use data from the following file:

http://radionavlab.ae.utexas.edu/datastore/gnssSigProcCourse/dfDataHead.bin which includes 70 seconds of digitized IF data from the GP2015 front end.

Hints: Load samples from dfDataHead.bin into your worspace as shown in gridPSD.m from Problem Set 2. The first 14 samples should have values

1 3 3 -3 -3 1 1 -1 3 3 -1 -3 1 1

Use the document fftAcqTheory.pdf found on Canvas as your guide. Recall that you studied the GP2015 front end in Problem Set 4. The GP2015 produces digitized data with an intermediate frequency $f_{IF}=1.405396825396879$ MHz and a sampling rate $N_s=40e6/7$ samples per second. In the absence of Doppler, there would be $N_s/1000=40000/7\approx5714$ samples per GPS L1 C/A code.

The FFT implementation in Matlab, fft, is actually a discrete Fourier transform (DFT) and works for any number of input samples, not just a power-of-two number of samples. Thus, you may use the technique described in fftAcqTheory.pdf by assuming N_s samples per C/A code. However, if you wish to make the FFTs in your FFT-based acquisition run faster, you can resample the incoming data so that there are $8192 = 2^{13}$ samples per nominal C/A code.

Check to see that your results for two strong signals in the data, corresponding to PRNs 31 and 14, approximately match those given below.

PRN 31:

C/No (dB-Hz): 52.5912 maxidoffset: 5022

Code start time from first sample (us): 878.85

Measured Doppler (Hz): 175

PNR 14:

C/No (dB-Hz): 48.6108 maxidoffset: 2943

Code start time from first sample (us): 515.025

Measured Doppler (Hz): 2275

References

[1] D. Van Nee and A. Coenen, "New fast GPS code-acquisition technique using FFT," *Electronics Letters*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 158–160, 1991.