



OGC API - PROCESSES - PART 3: WORKFLOWS AND CHAINING

STANDARD
Implementation

CANDIDATE SWG DRAFT

Version: 1.0

Submission Date: 2029-03-30

Approval Date: 2029-03-30

Publication Date: 2029-03-30

Editor: Jérôme Jacovella-St-Louis, Panagiotis (Peter) A. Vretanos

Notice for Drafts: This document is not an OGC Standard. This document is distributed for review and comment. This document is subject to change without notice and may not be referred to as an OGC Standard.

Recipients of this document are invited to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent rights of which they are aware and to provide supporting documentation.

License Agreement

Permission is hereby granted by the Open Geospatial Consortium, ("Licensor"), free of charge and subject to the terms set forth below, to any person obtaining a copy of this Intellectual Property and any associated documentation, to deal in the Intellectual Property without restriction (except as set forth below), including without limitation the rights to implement, use, copy, modify, merge, publish, distribute, and/or sublicense copies of the Intellectual Property, and to permit persons to whom the Intellectual Property is furnished to do so, provided that all copyright notices on the intellectual property are retained intact and that each person to whom the Intellectual Property is furnished agrees to the terms of this Agreement.

If you modify the Intellectual Property, all copies of the modified Intellectual Property must include, in addition to the above copyright notice, a notice that the Intellectual Property includes modifications that have not been approved or adopted by LICENSOR.

THIS LICENSE IS A COPYRIGHT LICENSE ONLY, AND DOES NOT CONVEY ANY RIGHTS UNDER ANY PATENTS THAT MAY BE IN FORCE ANYWHERE IN THE WORLD. THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IS PROVIDED "AS IS", WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, AND NONINFRINGEMENT OF THIRD PARTY RIGHTS. THE COPYRIGHT HOLDER OR HOLDERS INCLUDED IN THIS NOTICE DO NOT WARRANT THAT THE FUNCTIONS CONTAINED IN THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY WILL MEET YOUR REQUIREMENTS OR THAT THE OPERATION OF THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR ERROR FREE. ANY USE OF THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SHALL BE MADE ENTIRELY AT THE USER'S OWN RISK. IN NO EVENT SHALL THE COPYRIGHT HOLDER OR ANY CONTRIBUTOR OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS TO THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY BE LIABLE FOR ANY CLAIM, OR ANY DIRECT, SPECIAL, INDIRECT OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, OR ANY DAMAGES WHATSOEVER RESULTING FROM ANY ALLEGED INFRINGEMENT OR ANY LOSS OF USE, DATA OR PROFITS, WHETHER IN AN ACTION OF CONTRACT, NEGLIGENCE OR UNDER ANY OTHER LEGAL THEORY, ARISING OUT OF OR IN CONNECTION WITH THE IMPLEMENTATION, USE, COMMERCIALIZATION OR PERFORMANCE OF THIS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY.

This license is effective until terminated. You may terminate it at any time by destroying the Intellectual Property together with all copies in any form. The license will also terminate if you fail to comply with any term or condition of this Agreement. Except as provided in the following sentence, no such termination of this license shall require the termination of any third party end-user sublicense to the Intellectual Property which is in force as of the date of notice of such termination. In addition, should the Intellectual Property, or the operation of the Intellectual Property, infringe, or in LICENSOR's sole opinion be likely to infringe, any patent, copyright, trademark or other right of a third party, you agree that LICENSOR, in its sole discretion, may terminate this license without any compensation or liability to you, your licensees or any other party. You agree upon termination of any kind to destroy or cause to be destroyed the Intellectual Property together with all copies in any form, whether held by you or by any third party.

Except as contained in this notice, the name of LICENSOR or of any other holder of a copyright in all or part of the Intellectual Property shall not be used in advertising or otherwise to promote the sale, use or other dealings in this Intellectual Property without prior written authorization of LICENSOR or such copyright holder. LICENSOR is and shall at all times be the sole entity that may authorize you or any third party to use certification marks, trademarks or other special designations to indicate compliance with any LICENSOR standards or specifications. This Agreement is governed by the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. The application to this Agreement of the United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods is hereby expressly excluded. In the event any provision of this Agreement shall be deemed unenforceable, void or invalid, such provision shall be modified so as to make it valid and enforceable, and as so modified the entire Agreement shall remain in full force and effect. No decision, action or inaction by LICENSOR shall be construed to be a waiver of any rights or remedies available to it.

None of the Intellectual Property or underlying information or technology may be downloaded or otherwise exported or reexported in violation of U.S. export laws and regulations. In addition, you are responsible for complying with any local laws in your jurisdiction which may impact your right to import, export or use the Intellectual Property, and you represent that you have complied with any regulations or registration procedures required by applicable law to make this license enforceable.

Suggested additions, changes and comments on this document are welcome and encouraged. Such suggestions may be submitted using the online change request form on OGC web site: http://portal.opengeospatial.org/public_ogc/change_request.php

Copyright notice

Copyright © 2022 Open Geospatial Consortium

To obtain additional rights of use, visit <http://www.ogc.org/legal/>

Note

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. The Open Geospatial Consortium shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Recipients of this document are requested to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent claims or other intellectual property rights of which they may be aware that might be infringed by any implementation of the standard set forth in this document, and to provide supporting documentation.

CONTENTS

I. ABSTRACT	vii
II. KEYWORDS	vii
III. PREFACE	viii
IV. SECURITY CONSIDERATIONS	ix
V. SUBMITTING ORGANIZATIONS	x
VI. SUBMITTERS	x
1. SCOPE	2
2. CONFORMANCE	4
2.1. Requirements classes extending <i>Part 1: Core</i> execution requests	4
2.2. Requirements class specifying a new on-demand, client-driven execution mechanism	5
2.3. Requirements classes specifying alternative ad-hoc workflow definitions	6
2.4. Declaration of conformance	6
3. NORMATIVE REFERENCES	9
4. TERMS AND DEFINITIONS	11
5. CONVENTIONS	13
5.1. Identifiers	13
6. OVERVIEW	15
6.1. Architecture Diagram	15
6.2. Design goals	17
7. NESTED PROCESSES AND REMOTE PROCESSES CONFORMANCE CLASSES	21
7.1. Requirement Class <i>Nested Processes</i>	21
7.2. Requirement Class <i>Remote Core Processes</i>	22
8. COLLECTION INPUT AND REMOTE COLLECTIONS CONFORMANCE CLASSES	25
8.1. Requirement Class <i>Collection Input</i>	25
8.2. Requirement Class <i>Remote Collections</i>	26

9. INPUT/OUTPUT FIELDS MODIFIERS CONFORMANCE CLASSES	29
9.1. Requirement Class <i>Input Fields Modifiers</i>	29
9.2. Requirement Class <i>Output Fields Modifiers</i>	31
10. DEPLOYABLE WORKFLOWS CONFORMANCE CLASS	35
10.1. Requirement Class <i>Deployable Workflows</i>	35
11. COLLECTION OUTPUT CONFORMANCE CLASS	39
11.1. Requirement Class <i>Collection Output</i>	39
12. COMMON WORKFLOW LANGUAGE DEFINITIONS CONFORMANCE CLASS	44
12.1. Requirement Class <i>Common Workflow Language Definitions</i>	44
13. OPENEO PROCESS GRAPHS WORKFLOW CONFORMANCE CLASS	47
13.1. Requirement Class <i>OpenEO Process Graphs Workflow</i>	47
14. MEDIA TYPES	50
ANNEX A (INFORMATIVE) CONFORMANCE CLASS ABSTRACT TEST SUITE (NORMATIVE)	52
A.1. Conformance Class A	52
ANNEX B (INFORMATIVE) COASTAL EROSION SUSCEPTIBILITY EXAMPLE WORKFLOW	54
ANNEX C (INFORMATIVE) REVISION HISTORY	58
BIBLIOGRAPHY	60

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 – Conformance class URIs	7
Table C.1 – Revision history	58

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 – Workflows extension architecture diagram	16
Figure B.1 – Coastal Erosion Example Workflow Diagram	55
Figure B.2 – Coastal Erosion Example Workflow Execution Request	56

LIST OF RECOMMENDATIONS

REQUIREMENTS CLASS 1	21
REQUIREMENTS CLASS 2	22
REQUIREMENTS CLASS 3	25
REQUIREMENTS CLASS 4	26
REQUIREMENTS CLASS 5	29
REQUIREMENTS CLASS 6	31
REQUIREMENTS CLASS 7	35
REQUIREMENTS CLASS 8	39
REQUIREMENTS CLASS 9	44
REQUIREMENTS CLASS 10	47
REQUIREMENT 1	21
REQUIREMENT 2	22
REQUIREMENT 3	23
REQUIREMENT 4	23
REQUIREMENT 5	25
REQUIREMENT 6	26
REQUIREMENT 7	27
REQUIREMENT 8	27
REQUIREMENT 9	30
REQUIREMENT 10	30
REQUIREMENT 11	31
REQUIREMENT 12	32
REQUIREMENT 13	32
REQUIREMENT 14	33
REQUIREMENT 15	36
REQUIREMENT 16	36
REQUIREMENT 17	37
REQUIREMENT 18	40
REQUIREMENT 19	40
REQUIREMENT 20	41
REQUIREMENT 21	42

REQUIREMENT 22	44
REQUIREMENT 23	47
PERMISSION 1	41
REQUIREMENT A.1	52



ABSTRACT

This specification extends the core capabilities specified in *OGC API – Processes – Part 1: Core* with the ability to chain nested processes, refer to both local and external processes and collections of data accessible via OGC API standards as inputs to a process, and trigger execution of processes through OGC API data delivery specifications such as *OGC API – Tiles, DGGs, Coverages, Features, EDR and Maps*. Conformance classes defined in this specification enable clients to integrate discovered data and processes as a workflow in an ad-hoc manner (without first requiring to deploy the workflow as a process), then drive the execution on-demand for a particular area, time and resolution of interest using a “pull” mechanism (pulling the final required output from the client, as opposed to a batch execution starting from source inputs of the first processing step). The workflows defined with this specification can also be deployed as processes using the *OGC API – Processes – Part 2: Deploy, Replace, Undeploy* extension.



KEYWORDS

The following are keywords to be used by search engines and document catalogues.

ogcdoc, OGC document, API, openapi, html, ogcapi



PREFACE

OGC API standards define modular API building blocks to spatially enable Web APIs in a consistent way. The OpenAPI specification is used to define the API building blocks.

OGC API – Processes provides API building blocks to describe, execute, monitor and retrieve results of Web-accessible processes. *OGC API – Processes* is comprised of multiple parts, each of them is a separate standard. The conformance classes defined in this *Part 3* document leverage conformance classes defined in *Part 1: Core*, and in some cases conformance classes defined in *Part 2: Deploy, Replace, Undeploy*.

The development of this extension has been financially supported by GeoConnections, a national collaborative initiative led by Natural Resources Canada. GeoConnections supports the integration and use of the Canadian Geospatial Data Infrastructure (CGDI), an on-line resource that improves the sharing, access and use of open geospatial information.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. The Open Geospatial Consortium shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Recipients of this document are requested to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent claims or other intellectual property rights of which they may be aware that might be infringed by any implementation of the standard set forth in this document, and to provide supporting documentation.



SECURITY CONSIDERATIONS

No security considerations have been made for this document.



SUBMITTING ORGANIZATIONS

The following organizations submitted this Document to the Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC):

- Ecere Corporation
- CubeWerx Inc.
- GeoLabs
- University of Calgary
- Pangaea Innovations Pty. Ltd.
- Natural Resources Canada
- EOX IT Services GmbH
- Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (CREAF)
- Centre de Recherche en Informatique de Montréal (CRIM)
- 52°North Spatial Information Research GmbH



SUBMITTERS

All questions regarding this submission should be directed to the editors or the submitters:

Name	Affiliation
Jérôme Jacovella-St-Louis (editor)	Ecere Corporation
Panagiotis (Peter) A. Vretanos (editor)	CubeWerx Inc.
Gérald Fenoy	GeoLabs
Perry Peterson	University of Calgary
Marta Padilla Ruiz	University of Calgary
Matthew B.J. Purss	Pangaea Innovations Pty. Ltd.

Ryan Ahola	Natural Resources Canada
Stephan Meißl	EOX IT Services GmbH
Joan Masó Pau	Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (CREAF)
Francis Charette Migneault	Centre de Recherche en Informatique de Montréal (CRIM)
Benjamin Proß	52°North Spatial Information Research GmbH



1

SCOPE

This document specifies an extension to *OGC API – Processes – Part 1: Core* that defines the behavior of an implementation that supports the ability to define ad-hoc workflows, chain nested processes, refer to both local and external processes and collections of data accessible via OGC API standards as inputs to a process, and trigger execution of processes through OGC API data delivery specifications such as *OGC API – Tiles*, *DGGS*, *Coverages*, *Features*, *EDR* and *Maps*. This extension also defines the behavior of an implementation that supports the ability to deploy a workflow defined using the mechanisms it describes through the *OGC API – Processes – Part 2: Deploy, Replace, Undeploy* extension.



2

CONFORMANCE

This standard defines ten requirements conformance classes.

The standardization targets of all conformance classes are “Web APIs”.

The requirements classes are:

2.1. Requirements classes extending *Part 1: Core* execution requests

Requirements Class “Nested Processes” (<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/req/nested-processes>)

The *Nested Processes* conformance class extends the execution request of *OGC API – Processes – Part 1: Core* with the ability to specify another process as an input. A "process" key is used to define such an input.

Requirements Class “Remote Core Processes” (<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/req/remote-core-processes>)

The *Remote Core Processes* conformance class extends the capability defined in the *Nested Processes* conformance class to the execution of remote processes using the *Core* conformance class of *OGC API – Processes – Core: Part 1* in addition to local processes. Remote processes supporting the *Collection Output* conformance class can also be executed with support for the *Remote Collections* as an alternative to this conformance class.

Requirements Class “Collection Input” (<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/req/collection-input>)

The *Collection Input* conformance class extends the capability defined in *OGC API – Processes – Part 1: Core* with the ability to reference an OGC API collection as input. A "collection" key is used to define such an input.

Requirements Class “Remote Collections” (<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/req/remote-collections>)

The *Remote Collections* conformance class extends the capability defined in the *Collection Input* conformance class to remote collections in addition to local collections. If *Nested Processes* are also supported, this also extends to remote processes supporting the *Collection Output* conformance classes, specified using the "process" key.

Requirements Class “Input Fields Modifiers” (<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/req/input-fields-modifiers>)

The *Input Fields Modifiers* conformance class extends the execution request of OGC API – Processes – Part 1: Core with the ability to filter or sort data used as an input to a process according to field values, as well as to select specific fields from the data or derive new fields from existing ones, using an expression language such as the OGC Common Query Language 2.0 (CQL2). Those modifiers are defined by the “filter”, “properties” and “sortBy” keys.

Requirements Class “Output Fields Modifiers” (<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/req/output-fields-modifiers>)

The *Output Fields Modifiers* conformance class extends the execution request of OGC API – Processes – Part 1: Core with the ability to filter or sort data returned as an output from a process according to field values, as well as to select specific fields from the data or derive new fields from existing ones, using an expression language such as the OGC Common Query Language 2.0 (CQL2). Those modifiers are defined by the “filter”, “properties” and “sortBy” keys.

Requirements Class “Deployable Workflows” (<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/req/deployable-workflows>)

The *Deployable Workflows* conformance class extends the execution request of OGC API – Processes – Part 1: Core with the ability to define parameterized inputs and select outputs in the context of deploying the workflow as a new process using OGC API – Processes – Part 2: Deploy, Replace, Undeploy. An “\$input” key is used to define an external input to the deployed process, and an “\$output” key is used to select an output from the deployed process. The extended JSON execution request defining the workflow can either be the direct payload of deployment POST request, or the execution unit of an OGC Application Package, if the implementation supports the associated Part 2 conformance class.

2.2. Requirements class specifying a new on-demand, client-driven execution mechanism

Requirements Class “Collection Output” (<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/req/collection-output>)

The *Collection Output* conformance class specifies a new execution mechanism allowing to trigger the execution of the process of workflow for a specific area, time and resolution of interest as a direct result of requests for output data using OGC API data access mechanisms such as those defined by OGC API – Tiles, DGGS, Coverages, Features, EDR or Maps, in a manner completely agnostic to process execution. With this execution mechanism, selected by a new query parameter, the implementation’s initial response is either a collection description document (response=collection), or an OGC API landing page (response=landingPage).

2.3. Requirements classes specifying alternative ad-hoc workflow definitions

Requirements Class “Common Workflow Language Definitions” (<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/req/cwl-workflows>)

The *Common Workflow Language Definitions* conformance class specifies the ability to execute Common Workflow Language (CWL) workflows in an ad-hoc manner i.e., without first requiring to deploy the workflow as a process (see also the relevant *Part 2* conformance class to deploy CWL workflow as a process).

Requirements Class “OpenEO Process Graphs Workflows” (<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/req/openeo-workflows>)

The *OpenEO Process Graphs Definitions* conformance class specifies the ability to execute workflows defined using OpenEO Process Graphs in an ad-hoc manner i.e., without first requiring to deploy the workflow as a process (see also the relevant *Part 2* conformance class to deploy OpenEO process graphs as a process).

All these conformance classes act as building blocks that should be implemented in combination with OGC API – Processes – Part 1: Core, as well as with Part 2: Deploy, Replace, Undeploy and/or OGC API data access specifications such as OGC API – Tiles, DGGs, Coverages, Features, EDR, Maps, when applicable.

All requirements-classes and conformance-classes described in this document are owned by the standard(s) identified.

2.4. Declaration of conformance

In order to conform to this OGC® interface standard, a software implementation shall choose to implement any one of the conformance levels specified in Annex A (normative). Conformance with this standard shall be checked using all the relevant tests specified in Annex A (normative) of this document if the respective conformance URLs listed in Table 1 is present in the conformance response.

The framework, concepts, and methodology for testing, and the criteria to be achieved to claim conformance are specified in the OGC Compliance Testing Policies and Procedures and the OGC Compliance Testing web site.

Table 1 — Conformance class URIs

CONFORMANCE CLASS	URI
Nested Processes	http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/conf/nested-processes
Remote Core Processes	http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/conf/remote-core-processes
Collection Input	http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/conf/collection-input
Remote Collections	http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/conf/remote-collections
Input Fields Modifiers	http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/conf/input-fields-modifiers
Output Fields Modifiers	http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/conf/output-fields-modifiers
Deployable Workflows	http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/conf/deployable-workflows
Collection Output	http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/conf/collection-output
Common Workflow Language Definitions	http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/conf/cwl-workflows
OpenEO Process Graphs Definitions	http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/conf/openeo-workflows



3

NORMATIVE REFERENCES

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

Benjamin Pross, Panagiotis (Peter) A. Vretanos: OGC 18-062r2, *OGC API – Processes – Part 1: Core*. Open Geospatial Consortium (2021). <https://docs.ogc.org/is/18-062r2/18-062r2.html>.

Joan Masó, Jérôme Jacovella-St-Louis: OGC 20-057, *OGC API – Tiles – Part 1: Core*. Open Geospatial Consortium (2022). <https://docs.ogc.org/is/20-057/20-057.html>.

Clemens Portele, Panagiotis (Peter) A. Vretanos, Charles Heazel: OGC 17-069r3, *OGC API – Features – Part 1: Core*. Open Geospatial Consortium (2019). <https://docs.ogc.org/is/17-069r3/17-069r3.html>.

Mark Burgoyne, David Blodgett, Charles Heazel, Chris Little: OGC 19-086r5, *OGC API – Environmental Data Retrieval Standard*. Open Geospatial Consortium (2022). <https://docs.ogc.org/is/19-086r5/19-086r5.html>.

Panagiotis (Peter) A. Vretanos: OGC API – Processes – Part 2: Deploy, Replace, Undeploy (Draft). OGC 20-044, Open Geospatial Consortium, https://github.com/opengeospatial/ogcapi-processes/tree/master/extensions/deploy_replace_undeploy/standard

Charles Heazel: OGC API – Common – Part 1: Core (Draft). OGC 19-072, Open Geospatial Consortium, <http://docs.ogc.org/DRAFTS/19-072.html>

Charles Heazel: OGC API – Common – Part 2: Geospatial Data (Draft). OGC 20-024, Open Geospatial Consortium, <http://docs.ogc.org/DRAFTS/20-024.html>

Panagiotis (Peter) A. Vretanos: OGC Common Query Language (CQL2) (Draft). OGC 21-065, Open Geospatial Consortium, <http://docs.ogc.org/DRAFTS/21-065.html>

Panagiotis (Peter) A. Vretano, Clemens Portele: OGC API – Features – Part 3: Filtering (Draft). OGC 19-079r1, Open Geospatial Consortium, <http://docs.ogc.org/DRAFTS/19-079r1.html>

Charles Heazel, Jérôme St-Louis: OGC API – Coverages – Part 1: Core (Draft). OGC 19-087, Open Geospatial Consortium, <http://docs.ogc.org/DRAFTS/19-087.html>

Joan Masó: OGC API – Maps – Part 1: Core (Draft). OGC 19-058, Open Geospatial Consortium, <http://docs.ogc.org/DRAFTS/20-058.html>

Matthew B.J. Purss: OGC API – Discrete Global Grid Systems – Part 1: Core (Draft). OGC 21-038, Open Geospatial Consortium, <https://opengeospatial.github.io/ogcna-auto-review/21-038.html>



4

TERMS AND DEFINITIONS

This document uses the terms defined in OGC Policy Directive 49, which is based on the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2, Rules for the structure and drafting of International Standards. In particular, the word “shall” (not “must”) is the verb form used to indicate a requirement to be strictly followed to conform to this document and OGC documents do not use the equivalent phrases in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

This document also uses terms defined in the OGC Standard for Modular specifications (OGC 08-131r3), also known as the ‘ModSpec’. The definitions of terms such as standard, specification, requirement, and conformance test are provided in the ModSpec.

For the purposes of this document, the following additional terms and definitions apply.

4.1. example term

term used for exemplary purposes

Note 1 to entry: An example note.

Example Here’s an example of an example term.

[SOURCE:]



5

CONVENTIONS

This sections provides details and examples for any conventions used in the document. Examples of conventions are symbols, abbreviations, use of XML schema, or special notes regarding how to read the document.

5.1. Identifiers

The normative provisions in this standard are denoted by the URI

<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0>

All requirements and conformance tests that appear in this document are denoted by partial URIs which are relative to this base.



6

OVERVIEW

As an extension to *OGC API – Processes – Part 1: Core*, this specification defines conformance classes for:

- extending execution requests submitted to `/processes/{processId}/execution`
 - referencing local and remote nested processes as inputs ("process") (Section 7),
 - referencing local and remote OGC API collections as inputs ("collection") (Section 8),
 - modifying data accessed as inputs and returned as outputs (filtering with "filter", selecting and deriving fields with "properties", sorting with "sortBy") (Section 9),
 - deploying workflows defined using such execution request as processes using *OGC API – Processes – Part 2: Deploy, Replace, Undeploy*, with the ability to parameterize inputs ("input") and select outputs ("output") (Section 10),
- requesting output data from OGC API data collections to trigger processing execution (Section 11),
- ad-hoc execution of workflows defined using the Common Workflow Language (Section 12), and
- ad-hoc execution of workflows defined using the OpenEO Process Graphs (Section 13).

6.1. Architecture Diagram

The following diagram illustrates how the conformance classes defined in this specification extending *OGC API – Processes – Part 1: Core* execution request as well as describing a new "Collection Output" execution mechanism work together with OGC API data access specifications.



Figure 1 – Workflows extension architecture diagram

6.2. Design goals

6.2.1. Objective

This specification aims to enable instant integration of geospatial data and processing capabilities available from anywhere for visualization and analysis.

6.2.2. Obstacles addressed

6.2.2.1. Long batch processing times

The conformance classes defined in this specification aim to avoid long batch processing times, because they present several drawbacks:

- long feedback loops (configuring and adjusting parameters, retrieving and preparing input data, processing, visualizing / validating output for entire large datasets) slow down research and products deployment,
- processing results may be outdated by the time they are ready,
- batch processing entire datasets makes it difficult to prioritize more important processing (e.g., higher priority clients, emergency response) over less important requests (e.g., flooding attacks, high volume of requests from low priority clients),
- inefficient use of storage, bandwidth, computing, time, and therefore financial resources (large portions of datasets may be processed without the results ever getting used).

6.2.2.2. Integration of diverse data and processes

The conformance classes defined in this specification aim to address the following challenges bringing together datasets and processes from different origins:

- the suitability and compatibility of data sources and processing capabilities,
- the added complexity of deploying processes or workflow definitions in order to execute them, and associated authentication requirements,
- the interoperability issues associated with specific expectations in terms of format or API as part of a workflow definition,
- the possibility of re-using a workflow definition with similar datasets, and multiple areas, times or regions of interest.

6.2.3. Framework

These challenges are addressed within the consistent framework of the OGC API family of standards, as defined by *OGC API – Common – Part 1: Core* and *Part 2: Geospatial data*, by extending the processing capabilities defined in *OGC API – Processes – Part 1: Core* and *Part 2: Deploy, Replace, Undeploy* with the ability to seamlessly and effortlessly integrate with the access capabilities defined in OGC API data access specifications (such as *OGC API – Tiles*, *DGGS*, *Coverages*, *Features*, *EDR*, *Maps...*).

6.2.4. Approach

The conformance classes defined in this specification allow to:

- ***easily connect data and processes from anywhere in a re-usable and interoperable manner by***
 - leveraging the consistency of the OGC API family of standards, making it easy to find relevant processes and data collections regardless of access mechanisms,
 - leveraging the concept of a *GeoDataClass*, to easily establish the compatibility of a given data as an input to a particular process,
 - allowing ad-hoc execution of workflows definitions, without first needing to deploy the workflow as a process, making it more accessible for integration,
 - select formats and access mechanisms outside workflow definition, negotiating at each hop of a workflow, therefore making them more interoperable and re-usable,
 - making workflows definitions agnostic of area, time and region of interest, therefore easier to re-use;
- ***trigger on-demand processing with OGC API data access requests by***
 - allowing regular OGC API data access clients to readily support processing while requiring very minimal processing-specific code (performing a single POST request),
 - prioritizing client requests, which means always accessing the latest available data,
 - enabling instant feedback allowing to validate and tweak input parameters based on initial small requests tailored to the area and resolution of interest of a given view (or preview) of the results;
- ***minimize data exchange bandwidth requirements by***
 - requesting subset and downsampled data focusing on area, time, resolution and fields/bands of interests,
 - allowing to optimize usage of processing and bandwidth resources while decreasing wait times.

6.2.5. Considerations for collection input / output

An important design goal of this extension is to separate from the workflow definition the negotiation of formats, data transfer APIs, area, time and resolution of interest, and selection of outputs, therefore greatly enhancing the re-usability of the workflows. This negotiation happens at each hop along a workflow chain, and the end-user client need only decide on these for the server it directly connects to (immediate hop). For example, some hops may operate better using DGGs zone IDs, while other using subset requests, and yet others using tiles; or some hops may support and work best with some format or API, while others work best with another.

When setting up a new workflow for collection output or asynchronous execution, if possible, the API should fully validate the immediate process and all nested inputs prior to returning a result. A successful result indicates a fully validated workflow which should normally succeed for requests within the range for which data is produced. It is expected that clients may make a large number of requests for the same workflow e.g., using subset or tiles or DGGs zone ID to trigger processing of a specific Area of Interest or resolution, as a client pans and zooms around a map.

With *collection input / output*, formats, CRS, specific APIs, or specific APIs do not have to be specified and should not be, so as to maximize the re-usability of the workflow. e.g., the data requests triggering the processing would specify the output formats via content type negotiation, and tiles requests would provide the AoI and resolution for any specific request which would propagate upwards in the workflow request chain.



7

NESTED PROCESSES AND REMOTE PROCESSES CONFORMANCE CLASSES

NESTED PROCESSES AND REMOTE PROCESSES CONFORMANCE CLASSES

7.1. Requirement Class *Nested Processes*

The *Nested Processes* conformance class allows to use the output of a process as an input to another process. Unless an implementation also conforms to the *Remote Processes* conformance class, only local processes are supported.

Specifying a full process URI at the top-level for a process execution request is optional, since the execution request will be posted to a specific {processId}, but allows the workflow definition to be self-describing and reusable, enabling GIS clients to easily load the workflow definition as a data source, or publish it as a new virtual collection to any OGC API supporting execution requests as a content type for a POST method to /collections.

REQUIREMENTS CLASS 1

TARGET TYPE Nested Processes

PREREQUISITES <http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-1/1.0/req/core>
<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/req/input-fields-modifiers>
<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/req/output-fields-modifiers>
<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/req/collection-input>
<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/req/remote-collections>

LABEL <http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/req/nested-processes>

The following requirements describe how an execution request references an OGC API process and how an implementation executes that process to use its output as an input to another process.

7.1.1. Referencing a process

REQUIREMENT 1

LABEL /req/nested-processes/referenced-process

STATEMENT For referencing a process as an input to another process:

REQUIREMENT 1

- | | |
|---|--|
| A | The Implementation SHALL support execution requests containing a "process" key and a corresponding URI or Relative-URI value identifying a process as an input to another process. |
| B | The Implementation SHALL interpret Relative-URIs as relative to the parent process within which the containing process object is nested. |

7.1.2. Executing a nested process

REQUIREMENT 2

LABEL /req/nested-processes/process-execution

STATEMENT For executing workflow definitions referencing a nested process:

- | | |
|---|--|
| A | The Implementation SHALL support executing local processes which can be executed using <i>OGC API – Process – Part 1: Core</i> as a process nested as an input to another process. |
| B | The Implementation SHALL consider the selected output (using the "outputs" key), or default to the only or first output of the process as the input. |

NOTE: For local processes, the specification is agnostic to how data flows from one process to the other.

7.2. Requirement Class *Remote Core Processes*

The *Remote Core Processes* conformance class allows to reference and execute a remote OGC API process using the *Core* conformance class of *OGC API – Processes – Part 1: Core* in addition to any local process.

REQUIREMENTS CLASS 2

TARGET TYPE Remote Core Processes

PREREQUISITE <http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/req/nested-processes>

LABEL <http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/req/remote-core-processes>

The following requirements describe how an execution request references a remote OGC API process and how an implementation executes that remote process to use as an input to another process.

7.2.1. Referencing a remote process

REQUIREMENT 3

LABEL /req/nested-processes/referenced-process

STATEMENT For referencing a remote process as an input to another process:

- A** The Implementation SHALL support execution requests containing a "process" key and a corresponding URI or Relative-URI value identifying an authorized remote process as an input to another process.

NOTE: The specification is agnostic to how an implementation determines whether a remote process is authorized or not. This may be based e.g., on allow/disallow lists, credentials and/or federations.

7.2.2. Executing a nested remote process

REQUIREMENT 4

LABEL /req/nested-processes/process-execution

STATEMENT For executing workflow definitions referencing a nested remote process:

- A** The Implementation SHALL support executing remote processes which can be executed using *OGC API – Process – Part 1: Core* as a process nested as an input to another process.
- B** The Implementation SHALL support executing remote processes and retrieving their output using the *Core* conformance class of the *OGC API – Processes – Part 1: Core*.

NOTE: The ability to support nested remote processes whose services support the *Collection Output* conformance class can also be achieved without conformance to this requirements class, through the *Nested Processes* and *Remote Collections* conformance classes.



8

COLLECTION INPUT AND REMOTE COLLECTIONS CONFORMANCE CLASSES

COLLECTION INPUT AND REMOTE COLLECTIONS CONFORMANCE CLASSES

8.1. Requirement Class *Collection Input*

The *Collection Input* conformance class allows to specify an OGC API collection available using one or more OGC API data access mechanism specification as an input to a process. Unless an implementation also conforms to the *Remote Collections* conformance class, only local collections are supported.

REQUIREMENTS CLASS 3

TARGET TYPE Collection Input

PREREQUISITES <http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-1/1.0/req/core>
<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/req/input-fields-modifiers>

LABEL <http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/req/collection-input>

The following requirements describe how an execution request references an OGC API collection and how an implementation requests data from that collection to use as an input to a process.

8.1.1. Referencing a collection

REQUIREMENT 5

LABEL /req/collection-input/referenced-collection

STATEMENT For referencing a collection as an input to a process:

- A** The Implementation SHALL support execution requests containing a "collection" key and a corresponding URI or Relative-URI value identifying an OGC API collection as an input to a process.
- B** The Implementation SHALL interpret Relative-URIs as relative to the parent process within which the containing collection object is nested.

8.1.2. Accessing data from a collection

REQUIREMENT 6

LABEL /req/collection-input/collection-access

STATEMENT For executing workflow definitions referencing a collection as an input to a process:

A The Implementation SHALL support accessing local collections (as defined by OGC API – Common – Part 2: Geospatial data) accessible using at least one OGC API data access mechanisms (e.g., OGC API – Tiles, Coverages, DGGs, Features, EDR, Maps) as an input to a process.

NOTE: For local collections, the specification is agnostic to how data flows from the collection to the process.

8.2. Requirement Class *Remote Collections*

The *Remote Collections* conformance class allows to reference a remote OGC API collection in addition to any local collections.

If the *Nested Processes* conformance class is also supported, but *Remote Processes* is not, an implementation still provides the ability to substitute a collection by remote nested processes supporting the *Collection Output* conformance class as input to a process.

REQUIREMENTS CLASS 4

TARGET TYPE Remote Collections

PREREQUISITES <http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/req/collection-input>
<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/req/nested-process>

LABEL <http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/req/remote-collections>

The following requirements describe how an execution request references a remote OGC API collection and how an implementation requests data from that collection to use as an input to a process.

8.2.1. Referencing a remote collection

REQUIREMENT 7

LABEL /req/remote-collections/referenced-collection

STATEMENT For referencing a remote collection as an input to a process:

- A** The Implementation SHALL support execution requests containing a "collection" key and a corresponding URI or Relative-URI value identifying an authorized OGC API collection as an input to a process.
- B** If the Implementation conforms to the *Nested Process* conformance class, it SHALL also support execution requests containing a "process" key and a corresponding URI or Relative-URI value identifying an authorized remote process supporting the *Collection Output* conformance class as an input to another process.
- C** The Implementation SHALL interpret Relative-URIs as relative to the parent process within which the containing collection or process object is nested.

8.2.2. Accessing data from a remote collection

REQUIREMENT 8

LABEL /req/collection-input/collection-access

STATEMENT For executing workflow definitions referencing a collection as an input to a process:

- A** The Implementation SHALL support accessing a remote OGC API collection using one or more OGC API data access mechanisms (e.g., *OGC API – Tiles, Coverages, DGGs, Features, EDR, Maps...*) as an input to a process.





9

INPUT/OUTPUT FIELDS MODIFIERS CONFORMANCE CLASSES

INPUT/OUTPUT FIELDS MODIFIERS

CONFORMANCE CLASSES

9.1. Requirement Class *Input Fields Modifiers*

The *Input Fields Modifiers* conformance class specifies how execution requests can modify an input (including those specified using the *Collection Input*, *Remote Collections*, *Nested Processes* and *Remote Processes* conformance classes) by filtering its data according to its fields (properties), selecting a subset of the data fields or deriving new fields from existing ones (e.g., by performing arithmetic), and/or sorting the data according to the value of fields. An expression language such as the OGC Common Query Language 2 (CQL2) can be used for this purpose. There are plans to extend CQL2 beyond boolean predicates to expressions that resolve to other types of values (e.g., numbers or geometry).

REQUIREMENTS CLASS 5

TARGET TYPE Input Fields Modifiers

PREREQUISITES <http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-1/1.0/req/core>
<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-features-3/0.0/req/features-filter>

LABEL <http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/req/input-fields-modifiers>

The following requirements describe the ability of an implementation to modify the data accessed as an input to a process by first applying a filter ("filter"), selecting a subset of the fields or deriving new fields ("properties") or sorting the data ("sortBy"), before providing the data as input to the process. In an execution request, these additional keys are specified at the same level as the "collection" defined in the *Collection Input* conformance class, or the "process" defined in the *Nested Process* conformance class.

For an input generated by a nested process, this capability is equivalent to the *Output Fields Modifiers* conformance class from the perspective of that nested process. For a remote process (as defined in *Remote Processes* conformance class) that supports *Output Fields Modifiers*, or for a collection (or a process supporting *Collection Output*) with a data access mechanism providing the required functionality, it is preferable to let the remote process or collection access handle the modification so as to lower bandwidth and processing requirements.

For an input from a *remote collection*, a data access mechanism for the collection may readily support some or all of these parameters (e.g., *OGC API – Features – Part 3: Filtering*). In this case, it is preferable for the Implementation to query the data with the relevant parameters.

This ability to pass on these modifiers to remote data and processing sources allows to easily bring a certain category of algorithms close to the data through simple standard expressions.

The extent to which an implementation support these field modifiers capabilities depends on its stated level of conformance to one or more expression language.

9.1.1. Filtering

REQUIREMENT 9

LABEL /req/input-fields-modifiers/filtering

STATEMENT For filtering the data coming in as an input to a process:

- A** The Implementation SHALL support specifying a "filter" key and a corresponding filter predicate as part of an input to a process.
- B** The Implementation SHALL support one or more expression languages to define the filter, such as the OGC Common Query Language (CQL2).

9.1.2. Selecting and Deriving Fields

REQUIREMENT 10

LABEL /req/input-fields-modifiers/derived-fields

STATEMENT For selecting and/or deriving new fields from the input data to a process:

- A** As part of an input to a process, the Implementation SHALL support specifying a "properties" key and a corresponding set of selected fields as an array of field names.
- B** As part of an input to a process, the Implementation SHALL support specifying a "properties" key and a corresponding set of derived fields expressions as a key / value pair object mapping expressions to field names.
- C** The Implementation SHALL support one or more expression languages to define the derived fields, such as the OGC Common Query Language (CQL2).

9.1.3. Sorting

REQUIREMENT 11

LABEL /req/input-fields-modifiers/sorting

STATEMENT For sorting the input data to a process according to its data fields:

- A** The Implementation SHALL support specifying a "sortBy" key and a corresponding comma-separated sequence of expressions defining the order in which to sort the data coming in as an input to a process.
- B** The Implementation SHALL support one or more expression languages to define the sorting expressions, such as the OGC Common Query Language (CQL2).

9.2. Requirement Class *Output Fields Modifiers*

The *Output Fields Modifiers* conformance class specifies how execution requests can modify the data output from a process by filtering its data according to its fields (properties), selecting a subset of the data fields or deriving new fields from existing ones (e.g., by performing arithmetic), and/or sorting the data according to the value of fields. An expression language such as the OGC Common Query Language 2 (CQL2) can be used for this purpose. There are plans to extend CQL2 beyond boolean predicates to expressions that resolve to other types of values (e.g., numbers or geometry).

This capability is available whether using the *Collection Output* conformance class, or the synchronous or asynchronous execution capabilities of *OGC API – Processes – Part 1: Core*.

REQUIREMENTS CLASS 6

TARGET TYPE Output Fields Modifiers

PREREQUISITE <http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-1/1.0/req/core>

LABEL <http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/req/output-fields-modifiers>

The following requirements describe the ability of an implementation to modify the data output from a process by applying a filter ("filter"), selecting a subset of the fields or deriving new fields ("properties") or sorting the data ("sortBy"), before returning the data as an output of a process. In an execution request, these additional keys are specified at the same level as the "process" defined in the *Nested Process* conformance class, or at the top-level of an execution request as defined in *OGC API – Processes – Part 1: Core*.

When a nested process is used as an input to another process, this capability is equivalent to the *Input Fields Modifiers* conformance class from the perspective of the parent process receiving the output of the nested process. For a remote process (as defined in *Remote Processes* conformance class) that supports *Output Fields Modifiers*, or supports *Collection Output* with a data access

mechanism providing the required functionality, it is preferable to let the remote process handle the modification so as to lower bandwidth and processing requirements.

The extent to which an implementation support these capabilities depends on its stated level of conformance to one or more expression language.

9.2.1. Filtering

REQUIREMENT 12

LABEL /req/output-fields-modifiers/filtering

STATEMENT For filtering the data returned as a data output from a process:

- A** The Implementation SHALL support specifying a "filter" key and a corresponding filter predicate as part of a nested process or top-level execution request.
- B** The Implementation SHALL support one or more expression languages to define the filter, such as the OGC Common Query Language (CQL2).

9.2.2. Selecting and Deriving Fields

REQUIREMENT 13

LABEL /req/output-fields-modifiers/derived-fields

STATEMENT For selecting and/or deriving new fields returned as a data output from a process:

- A** As part of a nested process or top-level execution request object, the Implementation SHALL support specifying a "properties" key and a corresponding set of selected fields as an array of field names.
- B** As part of a nested process or top-level execution request object, the Implementation SHALL support specifying a "properties" key and a corresponding set of derived fields expressions as a key / value pair object mapping expressions to field names.
- C** The Implementation SHALL support one or more expression languages to define the derived fields, such as the OGC Common Query Language (CQL2).

9.2.3. Sorting

REQUIREMENT 14

LABEL /req/output-fields-modifiers/sorting

STATEMENT For sorting the data returned as an output from a process according to its data fields:

- A** The Implementation SHALL support specifying a "sortBy" key and a corresponding comma-separated sequence of expressions defining the order in which to sort the data returned as part of a nested process or top-level execution request.
- B** The Implementation SHALL support one or more expression languages to defined the sorting expressions, such as the OGC Common Query Language (CQL2).



10

DEPLOYABLE WORKFLOWS CONFORMANCE CLASS

DEPLOYABLE WORKFLOWS CONFORMANCE CLASS

10.1. Requirement Class *Deployable Workflows*

The *Deployable Workflows* conformance class specifies how a workflow execution request as defined in OGC API – Processes – Part 1: Core, with or without the capabilities defined in other conformance classes of this Part 3 extension, can be used as an application package payload to deploy new processes using OGC API – Processes – Part 2: Deploy, Replace, Undeploy.

It also specifies how to parameterize inputs to the workflow as inputs to the deployed process, and how to select specific outputs from the processes involved to be returned as outputs of the deployed process.

REQUIREMENTS CLASS 7

TARGET TYPE Deployable Workflows

PREREQUISITES

<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-2/0.0/req/deploy-replace-undeploy>
<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-2/0.0/req/ogcapppkg>
<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/req/nested-processes>
<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/req/remote-processes>
<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/req/collection-input>
<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/req/remote-collections>
<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/req/input-fields-modifiers>
<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/req/output-fields-modifiers>

LABEL

<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/req/deployable-workflows>

The following requirements describe the ability of an implementation to deploy a new process defined as an OGC API – Processes – Part 1: Core execution request with OGC API – Processes – Part 2: Deploy, Replace, Undeploy, including parameterizing inputs as external inputs to the deployed process using { “\$input” : “{input name}” } as input objects (in “inputs”), and selecting specific outputs to be returned from the deployed process using { “\$output” : “{output name}” } as output objects (in “outputs”). If no “\$output” object is used within a deployed workflow definition, the deployed process is assumed to return all outputs from the top-level process with the same names as that process.

A minimal but adequate process description for the resulting process can automatically be generated based on the context where the “\$input” and “\$output” objects appear within the

workflow definition, allowing to describe all inputs to the process and its outputs by inference from the source process descriptions and/or input data.

The workflow definition could either directly be the payload of the POST request to /processes (using Content-Type: application/json), or be part of the executionUnit of an OGC Application Package as defined in Part 2's *OGC Application Package* conformance class (using Content-Type: application/ogcapppkg+json).

NOTE: The application/ogcapppkg+json media type needs to be registered with IANA.

10.1.1. Parameterized inputs

REQUIREMENT 15

LABEL /req/deployable-workflows/parameterized-inputs

STATEMENT For deploying workflow definitions defined as an execution request:

- | | |
|----------|--|
| A | The Implementation SHALL support defining a parameterizable input using the "\$input" key and a corresponding input name value as part of an input to a process (within the inputs of an execution request or nested input process). |
| B | The deployed process SHALL have a corresponding input for each input selected using the "\$input" using the specified name. |
| C | Each input of the deployed process SHALL inherit the expected data type of the input in the context where the "\$input" key is specified. |

10.1.2. Selected outputs

REQUIREMENT 16

LABEL /req/deployable-workflows/parameterized-outputs

STATEMENT For deploying workflow definitions defined as an execution request:

- | | |
|----------|---|
| A | The Implementation SHALL support selecting specific outputs of processes involved in workflow definition using the "\$output" key and a corresponding output name value as part of an output of a process (within the outputs of an execution request or nested input process). |
| B | The deployed process SHALL have a corresponding output for each output selected using the "\$output" using the specified name. |
| C | Each output of the deployed process SHALL inherit the expected data type of the output in the context where the "\$output" key is specified. |

REQUIREMENT 16

D	If no "\$output" key appears in a workflow definition, the Implementation SHALL assume that all outputs from the top-level process are returned, using the same name as specified in the description of that top-level process.
---	---

10.1.3. Deploying workflow as process

REQUIREMENT 17

LABEL /req/deployable-workflows/deploying-workflow

STATEMENT For deploying workflow definitions defined as an execution request:

A	The Implementation SHALL support deploying a workflow definition as a process using the POST method mechanism described in <i>OGC API – Processes – Part 2: Deploy, Replace, Undeploy</i> with a payload consisting of an <i>OGC API – Processes – Part 1: Core</i> execution request (Content-Type: application/json, unless a specific media type execution requests is allocated), with support for any any extensions defined in this specification for which conformance is declared.
B	If the <i>OGC Application Package</i> conformance class is supported, the Implementation SHALL also support deploying a workflow definition as a process using the POST method mechanism described in <i>OGC API – Processes – Part 2: Deploy, Replace, Undeploy</i> with the executionUnit of the <i>OGC Application Package</i> payload (Content-Type: application/ogcapppkg+json) consisting of an <i>OGC API – Processes – Part 1: Core</i> execution request, with support for any any extensions defined in this specification for which conformance is declared.



11

COLLECTION OUTPUT CONFORMANCE CLASS

COLLECTION OUTPUT CONFORMANCE CLASS

11.1. Requirement Class *Collection Output*

The *Collection Output* conformance class specifies how clients can trigger the execution of a process or workflow for a specific area, time and resolution of interest as a result of requesting output data using OGC API data access mechanisms, such as *OGC API – Tiles, DGGs, Coverages, Features, EDR, or Maps*.

This provides an alternative to the synchronous and asynchronous execution mechanisms defined in *OGC API – Processes – Part 1: Core* for which area, time and resolution of interest are hardcoded in the execution request. With *Core*, when lengthy batch processing is not desirable, completely new execution requests returning a partial output must continuously be re-submitted.

This conformance class allows to submit an execution request once and then access the output using mechanisms widely supported by clients in a manner completely agnostic of process execution.

Compared to separate execution requests, this mechanism makes it easier for implementations to optimize for scenarios where clients will stream small partial outputs from the same pre-established processing pipeline. Parsing the execution request, validating inputs, and handshakes with remote components of a workflow only need to be performed once. An implementation could also preempt future requests based on past requests, offsetting the latency of a complex distributed workflow.

A collection document resulting from a workflow MAY provide a link to its source the definition of the workflow dgenerating it (link relation type: <http://www.opengis.net/def/rel/ogc/1.0/workflow>).

A service MAY also support deploying a workflow as a persistent collection e.g., by supporting a POST method for the /collections end-point accepting a JSON workflow definition (execution request) as payload.

REQUIREMENTS CLASS 8

TARGET TYPE Collection Output

PREREQUISITES

<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-1/1.0/req/core>
<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-common-2/1.0/req/collections>
<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-tiles-1/1.0/conf/geodata-tilesets>
<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-features-1/1.0/conf/core>

REQUIREMENTS CLASS 8

<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-coverages-1/0.0/conf/core>
<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-coverages-1/0.0/conf/coverage-scaling>
<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-coverages-1/0.0/conf/coverage-subset>
<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-coverages-1/0.0/conf/coverage-rangesubset>
<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-dggs-1/0.0/conf/data-retrieval>
<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-dggs-1/0.0/conf/zone-query>
<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-edr-1/1.0/conf/core>
<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-edr-1/1.0/conf/collections>
<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-maps-1/0.0/conf/core>
<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-maps-1/0.0/conf/spatial-subset>
<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-maps-1/0.0/conf/datetime>
<http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-maps-1/0.0/conf/scaling>

LABEL <http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/req/collection-output>

The following requirements describe an execution mechanism where a client is redirected (using a 303 See Other HTTP status code and a Location: header) to an OGC API landing page or collection description document, which provides links to request output data using standard OGC API data access mechanisms, triggering on-demand processing for a particular area, time and resolution of interest.

11.1.1. response query parameter

REQUIREMENT 18

LABEL /req/collection-output/response-query-parameter

STATEMENT For initiating the execution of a workflow and retrieving its output as an OGC API collection:

A The Implementation SHALL support the response query parameter with collection and landingPage as possible values.

11.1.2. Collection Response

A request for a collection response is only valid for an execution request generating or selecting a single output which can be retrieved using an OGC API data access mechanism.

REQUIREMENT 19

LABEL /req/collection-output/collection-response

REQUIREMENT 19

STATEMENT For initiating the execution of a workflow and retrieving its output as an OGC API collection:

- A** For requests generating or selecting a single output, the Implementation SHALL return a 303 *See Other* HTTP status code together with a *Location*: response header redirecting to a collection description document as defined in *OGC API – Common – Part 2: Geospatial data* as a response to a request specifying a `response=collection` query parameter.
- B** For requests generating multiple outputs which do not select a particular output, the Implementation SHALL return a 400 status error as a response to a request specifying a `response=collection` query parameter.
- C** The collection description towards which the client is redirected SHALL link to at least one OGC API data access mechanism such as *OGC API – Tiles, DGGs, Coverages, Features, EDR, Maps...*

11.1.3. Landing Page Response

A request for a landing page response is valid for an execution request generating one or more outputs which can be retrieved using an OGC API data access mechanism.

REQUIREMENT 20

LABEL /req/collection-output/landing-page-response

STATEMENT For initiating the execution of a workflow and retrieving its output as an OGC API dataset landing page:

- A** The Implementation SHALL return a 303 *See Other* HTTP status code together with a *Location*: response header redirecting to an OGC API dataset landing page as defined in *OGC API – Common – Part 1: Core* as a response to a request specifying a `response=landingPage` query parameter.
- B** The landing page towards which the client is redirected SHALL contain at link to a list of available collections at `../collections` as defined in *OGC API – Processes – Part 2: Geospatial data*.
- C** The collections linked from the landing page towards which the client is redirected SHALL link to at least one OGC API data access mechanism such as *OGC API – Tiles, DGGs, Coverages, Features, EDR, Maps...*

11.1.4. Collection Output expiry

PERMISSION 1

LABEL /per/collection-output/expiry

STATEMENT Collection output resources are ephemeral in nature:

PERMISSION 1

- A** An Implementation MAY return a 410 *Gone* HTTP status code for resources associated with a previous *Collection Output* response (i.e., a landing page, a collection, or any resources linked from either) if a significant period of time has elapsed since both the original request and any further associated data access request from the client.
- B** An Implementation MAY redirect a client re-posting an identical or equivalent execution request for *Collection Output* (e.g., after having received a 410 gone status) to the same location as a previous execution (expired and reinstated, or still valid), or to a new location.

11.1.5. Data Access Mechanisms

REQUIREMENT 21

LABEL /req/collection-output/data-access-mechanisms

STATEMENT For triggering processing and returning resulting output data as a response to data access requests:

- A** The Implementation SHALL support at least one OGC API data access mechanisms (e.g., *OGC API – Tiles, Coverages, DGGs, Features, EDR, Maps*) corresponding to the links returned from a `response=collection` or `response=landingPage` request.
- B** The Implementation SHALL trigger the processing required to respond to the request with considerations for the area, time and/or resolution of interest associated with the request.



12

COMMON WORKFLOW LANGUAGE DEFINITIONS CONFORMANCE CLASS

COMMON WORKFLOW LANGUAGE DEFINITIONS CONFORMANCE CLASS

12.1. Requirement Class *Common Workflow Language Definitions*

The *Common Workflow Language Definitions* conformance class specifies the use of the Common Workflow Language (CWL) Workflow Description as an alternative to an extended *OGC API – Processes – Part 1: Core* execution request to define an ad-hoc workflow (a workflow that is not first required to be deployed as a process before being executed).

The capability to deploy a CWL workflow as a process using *OGC API – Processes – Part 2: Deploy, Replace, Undeploy* is already covered by a conformance class of Part 2.

TODO: Verify that a CWL conformance class already exists or is planned for *Part 2*.

TODO: Verify and describe which (if any) of the other conformance classes defined in this extension applies to ad-hoc CWL workflows.

REQUIREMENTS CLASS 9

TARGET TYPE	Common Workflow Language Definitions
PREREQUISITES	http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-1/1.0/req/core Common Workflow Language [1]
LABEL	http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/req/cwl-workflows

The following requirements describe the ability of an implementation to execute a CWL workflow in an ad-hoc manner and return its output, without requiring a prior deployment.

12.1.1. Ad-hoc Common Workflow Language Execution

REQUIREMENT 22

LABEL	/req/cwl-workflows/adhoc-cwl-execution
-------	--

REQUIREMENT 22

STATEMENT For executing a workflow defined using the Common Workflow Language (CWL) definition:

A



The Implementation SHALL support ad-hoc execution of a CWL workflow definition (Content-Type: application/cwl+json) POSTed to /processes/CWL/execution.



13

OPENEO PROCESS GRAPHS WORKFLOW CONFORMANCE CLASS

OPENEO PROCESS GRAPHS WORKFLOW CONFORMANCE CLASS

13.1. Requirement Class *OpenEO Process Graphs Workflow*

The *OpenEO Process Graphs Workflow* conformance class specifies the use of OpenEO Process Graphs to define workflows as an alternative to an extended OGC API – Processes – Part 1: Core execution request, to be executed in an ad-hoc manner (a workflow that is not first required to be deployed as a process before being executed).

The capability to deploy an OpenEO workflow definition as a process using OGC API – Processes – Part 2: Deploy, Replace, Undeploy is already covered by a conformance class of Part 2.

TODO: Verify that an OpenEO conformance class already exists or is planned for Part 2.

TODO: Verify and describe which (if any) of the other conformance classes defined in this extension applies to ad-hoc OpenEO workflows.

REQUIREMENTS CLASS 10

TARGET TYPE OpenEO Process Graphs Workflows

PREREQUISITES <http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-1/1.0/req/core>
OpenEO Process Graphs [2]

LABEL <http://www.opengis.net/spec/ogcapi-processes-3/0.0/req/openeo-workflows>

The following requirements describe the ability of an implementation to execute a CWL workflow in an ad-hoc manner and return its output, without requiring a prior deployment.

13.1.1. Ad-hoc OpenEO Workflow Execution

REQUIREMENT 23

LABEL /req/openeo-workflows/adhoc-openeo-execution

STATEMENT For executing a workflow defined as an OpenEO Process Graph:

REQUIREMENT 23

A



The Implementation SHALL support ad-hoc execution of a workflow defined using an OpenEO Process Graph POSTed to /processes/OpenEO/execution



14

MEDIA TYPES

The following media types are referenced by this specification.

- `application/json`: As with *OGC API – Processes – Part 1: Core*, used for the Content-Type of execution requests posted (POST) to `/processes/{processId}/execution`, as well as to deploy workflow definitions using *OGC API – Processes – Part 2: Deploy, Replace, Undeploy* by posting (POST) to `/processes`.
- A new media type (`application/ogcmoaw+json` – Modular OGC API Workflow JSON, `application/ogcexec+json`, `application/ogcexecreq+json?`) could be registered with IANA to specifically reference *OGC API – Processes* execution requests.
- `application/ogcapppkg+json`: For deploying workflows for which an execution request is embedded in the `executionUnit` of an OGC Application Package as defined in the relevant class of *OGC API – Processes – Part 2: Deploy, Replace, Undeploy*.
- `application/cwl+json`: Common Workflow Language JSON workflow definition, for ad-hoc execution of CWL.

In addition, media types referenced by other OGC specifications normatively referenced by this specification are also relevant.



A

ANNEX A (INFORMATIVE) CONFORMANCE CLASS ABSTRACT TEST SUITE (NORMATIVE)





ANNEX A

(INFORMATIVE)

CONFORMANCE CLASS ABSTRACT TEST SUITE (NORMATIVE)

NOTE: Ensure that there is a conformance class for each requirements class and a test for each requirement (identified by requirement name and number)

A.1. Conformance Class A

A.1.1. Requirement 1

REQUIREMENT A.1	
TEST PURPOSE	Verify that...
TEST METHOD	Inspect...

A.1.2. Requirement 2



B

ANNEX B (INFORMATIVE) COASTAL EROSION SUSCEPTIBILITY EXAMPLE WORKFLOW

B

ANNEX B (INFORMATIVE) COASTAL EROSION SUSCEPTIBILITY EXAMPLE WORKFLOW

This annex presents a sample workflow predicting the susceptibility of coastal erosion from four data sources. This workflow (purely intended as a demonstrative example, not to be scientifically accurate in any way), was assembled in collaboration with Perry Peterson and Marta Padilla Ruiz from the University of Calgary in the context of the OGC Federated Marine SDI Phase 3 Innovation Program initiative.

It demonstrates the use of the *Nested Processes*, *Collection Input*, *Input fields modifiers* and *Output fields modifiers* conformance classes.

The expressions used to derive field values ("properties") are written using the CQL2 language extended with a ternary conditional operator as found in the C programming language and its derivatives, as well as with the ability to return not only boolean values, but also numeric values.

Note that in the case of remote processes, the derived fields ("properties") specified for the *Slope* and *Aspect* processes could be using either the *Input* or *Output field modifiers* conformance class, depending on whether the *Slope* and *Aspect* processes generating the data, or the *PassThrough* process receiving the data, support the capability.



Figure B.1 – Coastal Erosion Example Workflow Diagram

```

{
  "process": "https://example.com/ogcapi/processes/PassThrough",
  "inputs": {
    "data": [
      {
        "process": "https://example.com/ogcapi/processes/Slope",
        "inputs": {
          "dem": { "collection": "https://example.com/ogcapi/collections/
DEM" }
        },
        "properties": { "s" : "slope >= 36.4 ? 10 : slope >= 17.6 : 7 :
slope >= 8.7 ? 5 : slope >= 3.5 ? 3 : 1" }
      },
      {
        "process": "https://example.com/ogcapi/processes/Aspect",
        "inputs": {
          "dem": { "collection": "https://example.com/ogcapi/collections/
DEM" }
        },
        "properties": { "a" : "aspect >= 315 or aspect < 45 ? 1 : aspect >=
225 or aspect < 135 : 5 : 10" }
      },
      {
        "collection": "https://example.com/ogcapi/collections/ArcticPermafr
ost",
        "properties": {
          "e" : "extent = 'c' ? 1 : extent = 'd' ? 5 : extent = 's' ? 7 :
extent = 'i' ? 10 : null"
          "c" : "content = 'l' ? 1 : content = 'm' ? 5 : content = 'h' ?
10 : 0"
        }
      },
      {
        "collection": "https://example.com/ogcapi/collections/Landsat7LandC
over",
        "properties": { "l" : "lc in(0,1,2,3,4,5,11,13,15) ? 1 : lc
in(6,7,8,9,10,12,14) ? 5 : lc = 16 ? 10 : 0" }
      },
      {
        "collection": "https://example.com/ogcapi/collections/AlaskaSurfici
alGeology",
        "properties": {
          "g" :
            "qcode = 'Ql' ? 0 : qcode in ('Qra','Qi','Qrc', 'Qrd', 'Qre')
? 1 : qcode in ('Qrb','Qaf', 'Qat', 'Qcb','Qfp','Qgmr') ? 3 : qcode in ('Qcc',
'Qcd','Qel','Qm1','Qm2','Qm3','Qm4','Qw1','Qw2') ? 5 : qcode in ('Qes','Qgm')
? 7 : qcode in ('Qed','Qgl','Qu') ? 10 : 0"
        }
      }
    ]
  },
  "properties": { "susceptibility" : "0.30 * s + 0.05 * a + 0.05 * e + 0.20 *
c + 0.10 * l + 0.30 * g" }
}

```

Figure B.2 — Coastal Erosion Example Workflow Execution Request



ANNEX C (INFORMATIVE) REVISION HISTORY



ANNEX C

(INFORMATIVE)

REVISION HISTORY

Table C.1 — Revision history

DATE	RELEAS	EDITOR	PRIMARY CLAUSES MODIFIED	DESCRIPTION
2020-10-19	0.1	J. St-Louis	all	initial draft
2022-10-19	0.2	J. St-Louis	all	reorganized into multiple conformance classes, using metanorma template, with more detailed descriptions and requirements



BIBLIOGRAPHY



BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Peter Amstutz, Michael R. Crusoe, Nebojša Tijanić (editors), Brad Chapman, John Chilton, Michael Heuer, Andrey Kartashov, Dan Leehr, Hervé Ménager, Maya Nedeljkovich, Matt Scales, Stian Soiland-Reyes, Luka Stojanovic (2020): Common Workflow Language, v1.2. Specification, Common Workflow Language working group. <https://w3id.org/cwl/>
2. OpenEO: OpenEO Developers API Reference / Process Graphs. <https://openeo.org/documentation/1.0/developers/api/reference.html#section/Processes/Process-Graphs>